

Grzegorz Plebanek

Independent families in measure algebras

grzes@math.uni.wroc.pl

<http://www.math.uni.wroc.pl/~grzes>

Definition. A family $\{a_\xi : \xi < \kappa\}$ in a Boolean algebra \mathfrak{A} is

(i) **centred** if $\bigwedge_{\xi \in I} a_\xi \neq 0$ for every finite $I \subseteq \kappa$;

(ii) **independent** if $\bigwedge_{\xi \in I} a_\xi^{\phi(\xi)} \neq 0$ for every finite $I \subseteq \kappa$ and every $\phi : I \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$.

Definition. A cardinal number κ is a **precalibre** of a Boolean algebra \mathfrak{A} if for every family $\{a_\xi : \xi < \kappa\} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}^+$ there is $X \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ such that $\{a_\xi : \xi \in X\}$ is centred.

Definition. A cardinal number κ is an **independence precalibre** of a Boolean algebra \mathfrak{A} if for every family $\{a_\xi : \xi < \kappa\}$ of distinct elements of \mathfrak{A} there is $X \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ such that $\{a_\xi : \xi \in X\}$ is independent.

Definition. For a measure algebra (\mathfrak{A}, μ) a cardinal number κ is a **measure precalibre** if in every family $\{a_\xi : \xi < \kappa\} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ with $\inf_{\xi < \kappa} \mu(a_\xi) > 0$ there is a centred subfamily of size κ .

Definition. For a measure algebra (\mathfrak{A}, μ)

- (i) a family $\{a_\xi : \xi < \kappa\} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ is **separated** if there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mu(a_\xi \Delta a_\eta) \geq \varepsilon$ for $\xi \neq \eta$;
- (ii) a cardinal number κ is a **measure independence precalibre** of \mathfrak{A} if every separated family $\{a_\xi : \xi < \kappa\} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ contains an independent subfamily of size κ .

Definition. κ is $(*)$ -precalibre of measure algebras if for every (\mathfrak{A}, μ) and $\{a_\xi : \xi < \kappa\} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ satisfying (A) there is $X \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ such that $\{a_\xi : \xi \in X\}$ has property (P), where

$(*)$	(A)	(P)
precal.	$a_\xi \neq 0$	centred
ind. precal.	$a_\xi \neq a_\eta$	independent
m. precal.	$\mu(a_\xi) \geq \varepsilon$	centred
m. ind. precal.	$\mu(a_\xi \Delta a_\eta) \geq \varepsilon$	independent

Remarks.

(1) If $\text{cof}(\kappa) > \omega$ then κ is a measure precalibre iff κ is a precalibre (of measure algebras).

(2) Every measure independence precalibre of measure algebras is a measure precalibre.

(3) No $\kappa \leq \mathfrak{c}$ is independence precalibre of measure algebras.

Measure precalibres.

(1) ω is a measure precalibre.

(2) $\kappa < \mathfrak{c}$ may be (under MA + non CH) and may not be (under CH) a measure precalibre; Fremlin vol. 5 of Measure Theory or Džamonja & G.P. [04].

(3) **Problem. (Haydon)** *Let κ_n be regular and precalibre of measure algebras. Is $\kappa = \sup_{n < \omega} \kappa_n$ a measure precalibre?*

(4) **Problem. (Fremlin)** *Is it rel. consistent that every regular κ is a precalibre of measure algebras?*

Fact. (Shelah, Argyros & Tsarpalias, Fremlin) *If $\text{cof}(\kappa) = \omega$ and $2^\kappa = \kappa^+$ then κ^+ is not a precalibre of measure algebras.*

Measure independence precalibres.

(1) Fact. ω is a measure independence precalibre.

(2) Theorem. (Argyros & Tsarpalias [82])

Assume that $\kappa = \text{cof}(\kappa)$ and $\tau^\omega < \kappa$ for $\tau < \kappa$ (for instance: $\kappa = \mathfrak{c}^+$). Then κ is an independence precalibre of all ccc Boolean algebras, so in particular of all measure algebras (**Haydon [77]**).

(3) Theorem. (Shelah [99]; Džamonja & G.P. [04]) Suppose that for some θ ,

$$\theta = \theta^\omega < \text{cof}(\kappa) \leq \kappa \leq 2^\theta.$$

Then κ is a measure independence precalibre. If, moreover, $\kappa > 2^{\mathfrak{C}}$ then κ is an independence precalibre of measure algebras.

Theorem. (Fremlin & G.P.)

For $\kappa \geq \omega_2$ TFAE

(i) κ is a measure precalibre;

(ii) κ is a measure independence precalibre.

Theorem. (Fremlin [97]) *Under MA + nonCH, ω_1 is a measure independence precalibre.*

Theorem. (G.P. [97]) *It is rel. consistent that ω_1 is a measure precalibre but not measure independence precalibre.*

About the proof.

Theorem. (Hajnal's free set theorem) *If $\kappa \geq \omega_2$ and $J : \kappa \rightarrow [\kappa]^{\leq \omega}$ is a set mapping such that $\xi \notin J_\xi$ for every $\xi < \kappa$ then there is $X \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ such that $\eta \notin J_\xi$ for all $\eta, \xi \in X$.*

(1) Lemma. *Let $\kappa \geq \omega_2$ have uncountable cofinality. If $\{s_\xi : \xi < \kappa\} \subseteq [\kappa]^{< \omega}$ is a pairwise disjoint family, $\{J_\xi : \xi < \kappa\} \subseteq [\kappa]^{\leq \omega}$ are such that $s_\xi \cap J_\xi = \emptyset$ for every $\xi < \kappa$ then there is $X \subseteq \kappa$ of cardinality κ such that $s_\xi \cap J_\eta = \emptyset$ whenever $\xi, \eta \in X$.*

(2) Lemma. *Let $B \subseteq \{0, 1\}^\kappa$ be a measurable set and $X \subseteq \kappa$ be such that $B \notin \mathfrak{A}[X]$. Then there are a finite set $s \subseteq \kappa \setminus X$, a countable set $J \subseteq \kappa \setminus s$, nonempty clopen sets $C(0), C(1) \sim s$, a set $Z \sim J$ with $\lambda(Z) > 0$ such that $Z \cap C(i) \subseteq B^i$ for $i = 0, 1$.*

Consider a separated family $\{B_\xi : \xi < \kappa\}$ of subsets of $\{0, 1\}^\kappa$. Let $B_\xi \sim I_\xi$, $I_\xi \in [\kappa]^\omega$.

We can assume that

$$B_\xi \notin \mathfrak{A}[X_\xi], \quad \text{where} \quad X_\xi = \bigcup_{\eta < \xi} J_\eta.$$

Apply Lemma 2 to every $B_\xi \notin \mathfrak{A}[X_\xi]$: there are pairwise disjoint finite sets s_ξ in κ , nonempty clopen sets $C_\xi(0), C_\xi(1) \sim s_\xi$, and sets Z_ξ of positive measure, where every $Z_\xi \sim J_\xi \subseteq \kappa \setminus s_\xi$.

We can assume that

$$J_\xi \cap s_\eta = \emptyset \text{ for all } \xi, \eta;$$

$\{Z_\xi : \xi < \kappa\}$ is centred in \mathfrak{A} .

For any finite set $a \subseteq \kappa$ and $\varphi : a \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda\left(\bigcap_{\xi \in a} B_\xi^{\varphi(\xi)}\right) &\geq \lambda\left(\bigcap_{\xi \in a} Z_\xi \cap C_\xi(\varphi(\xi))\right) = \\ &= \lambda\left(\bigcap_{\xi \in a} Z_\xi\right) \cdot \prod_{\xi \in a} \lambda(C_\xi(\varphi(\xi))) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Haydon's property. Say that κ has Haydon's property if every compact space K that carries a Radon measures of Maharam type κ can be continuously mapped onto $[0, 1]^\kappa$.

Theorem. (G.P. [97] + Haydon + Fremlin) For $\kappa \geq \omega_2$, TFAE

(a) κ has Haydon's property;

(b) κ is a measure precalibre.

(c) κ is a measure independence precalibre.

Theorem. (Fremlin [97]) Under MA + non CH, ω_1 has Haydon's property and (therefore) is a measure independence precalibre.

Theorem. (G.P. [97]) *Let \mathcal{N} be the null ideal of the measure on $\{0, 1\}^{\omega_1}$. Suppose that $\text{cov}(\mathcal{N}) > \omega_1$ but there is a family $\{N_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ such that $\bigcup_{\xi < \omega_1} N_\xi$ meets every perfect set in $\{0, 1\}^{\omega_1}$. Then ω_1 is a measure precalibre but does not have Haydon's property and (therefore) is not a measure independence precalibre.*

Theorem. (Kunen & van Mill [95] for (b) \rightarrow (a); G.P. [95] for (a) \rightarrow (b)) *TFAE*

(a) ω_1 is a measure precalibre;

(b) every Radon measure on a first-countable (compact) space is of countable type.

Problem. *Can we replace in (b) "first-countable" by "countably tight"?*

Problem. Suppose that ω_1 is a measure pre-calibre; let $\{a_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$ be a separated family in a measure algebra. Can we find $X \in [\omega_1]^{\omega_1}$ such that $\{a_\xi : \xi \in X\}$ is free, i.e. $\bigwedge_{\xi \in I} a_\xi \wedge \bigwedge_{\xi \in J} a_\xi^c \neq 0$ for every finite $I, J \subseteq X$ with $\max I < \min J$?