

Weakly compact sets in separable Banach spaces

Grzegorz Plebanek

University of Wrocław

29th Summer Conference on Topology and its Applications
New York, July 2014

joint work with **A. Avilés** and **J. Rodríguez** (University of Murcia)

X denotes a (separable) Banach space and B_X is its unit ball.
 X^* is the dual space of all continuous functionals on X .

- 1 The space l_p of all series summable in the $p > 1$ power;
 $\|x\| = (\sum_n |x(n)|^p)^{1/p}$. $(l_p)^* = l_q$, $(l_p)^{**} = l_p$.
- 2 The space c_0 of sequences converging to 0.
 $\|x\| = \sup_n |x(n)|$, $(c_0)^* = l_1$.
- 3 The space l_1 of absolutely summable series.
 $\|x\| = \sum_n |x(n)|$, $(l_1)^* = l_\infty$.
- 4 The space $C[0, 1]$ of continuous functions with the sup norm.

Weakly compact sets in Banach spaces

The topology *weak* on X is the weakest topology making all $x^* \in X^*$ continuous. Sets of the form $V(x^*) = \{x \in X : |x^*(x)| < \varepsilon\}$, $x^* \in X^*$ are the subbase of the weak topology at $0 \in X$.

Notation

$\mathcal{K}(B_X)$ denotes the family of weakly compact subsets of the ball.

Main objective

Classify **separable** Banach spaces according to properties of $\mathcal{K}(B_X)$, considered as a set partially ordered by inclusion and/or some other relations.

Example

$B_X \in \mathcal{K}(B_X)$ iff $X^{**} = X$.

Comparing posets (P, \leq) and (Q, \leq) : Tukey reductions

Definition

We say that P is Tukey reducible to Q and write $P \preceq Q$ if there is a function $f : P \rightarrow Q$ such that $f^{-1}(B)$ is bounded in P whenever $B \subseteq Q$ is bounded.

In other words...

$P \preceq Q$ means for every $q \in Q$ there is $h(q) \in P$ such that for every $x \in P$, if $f(x) \leq q$ then $x \leq h(q)$.

$h : Q \rightarrow P$ satisfies: $h(C)$ is cofinal in P for every cofinal $C \subseteq Q$.

Q is reached as a cofinal structure and $\text{cf}(P) \leq \text{cf}(Q)$.

Here $\text{cf}(Q)$ denotes the least cardinality of a set $C \subseteq Q$ which is **cofinal**, i.e. for every $q \in Q$ there is $c \in C$ with $q \leq c$.

Notation

P and Q are Tukey equivalent, $P \sim Q$, whenever $P \preceq Q$ and $Q \preceq P$.

$P \prec Q$ means $P \preceq Q$ but **not** $Q \preceq P$.

Tukey reductions, continued

Some simple posets

$$\{0\} \prec \omega \prec \omega^\omega \prec \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{Q}) \prec [c]^{<\omega}.$$

ω^ω

For $g_1, g_2 \in \omega^\omega$, $g_1 \leq g_2$ if $g_1(n) \leq g_2(n)$ for **every** $n \in \omega$.

For the properties of $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{Q})$ see **Fremlin 91** and **Gartside & Mamatelashvili 2014**.

Remarks on cofinalities

- We have $\text{cf}(\omega) = \omega$, $\text{cf}([c]^{<\omega}) = \mathfrak{c}$
- $\text{cf}(\omega^\omega)$ is denoted by \mathfrak{d} .
- $\omega_1 \leq \mathfrak{d} \leq \mathfrak{c}$.
- **Fremlin 1991**: $\text{cf}(\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{Q})) = \mathfrak{d}$.

Classification of $\mathcal{K}(E)$ and its consequence

Theorem (Fremlin 91)

If E is coanalytic in some Polish space then either

- 1 $\mathcal{K}(E) \sim \mathcal{K}[0,1] \sim \{0\}$
(E compact), or
- 2 $\mathcal{K}(E) \sim \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{N}) \sim \omega$
(E loc. compact noncompact),
- 3 $\mathcal{K}(E) \sim \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}) \sim \omega^\omega$
(E Polish not loc. compact), or
- 4 $\mathcal{K}(E) \sim \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{Q})$
(E coanalytic but not Polish).

Corollary

If X is a Banach space with X^* separable then

- 1 $\mathcal{K}(B_X) \sim \{0\}$
(X reflexive), or
- 2 does not occur: weakly loc. compact implies compact.
- 3 $\mathcal{K}(B_X) \sim \omega^\omega$
(X not reflexive, has PCP), or
- 4 $\mathcal{K}(E) \sim \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{Q})$
(X does not have PCP).

Proof.

If X^* is separable then $(B_{X^{**}}, weak^*)$ is compact metric and $(B_X, weak)$ is $F_{\sigma\delta}$.

X has PCP if for every weakly closed bounded $A \subseteq X$, $(A, weak) \rightarrow (A, norm)$ has a point of continuity.

Edgar & Wheeler: $(B_X, weak)$ is Polish iff X^* is separable and X has PCP.

Possible Tukey classification of Banach spaces

Example

If $X = C[0,1]$ then $\mathcal{H}(B_X) \sim [\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}$.

Conjecture

If X is a separable Banach space then $\mathcal{H}(B_X)$ is Tukey equivalent to one of the following:

$$\{0\}, \quad \omega^\omega, \quad \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q}), \quad [\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}.$$

SWCG Banach space

Definition

A Banach space X is WCG if $X = \overline{\text{lin}}(K)$ for some $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$.

Every separable X is WCG...

Definition

A Banach space X is SWCG if there is $L \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ such that for every $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there is n such that $K \subseteq n \cdot L + \varepsilon \cdot B_X$.

In other words

X is SWCG if and only if there are $L_n \in \mathcal{K}(B_X)$ such that for every $K \in \mathcal{K}(B_X)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $K \subseteq L_n + \varepsilon \cdot B_X$ for some n .

Examples

$L_1[0, 1]$ is SWCG; try $L = \{f \in L_1[0, 1] : |f| \leq 1\}$.

l_1 is SWCG; try $K_n = \{x \in B_{l_1} : x(k) = 0 \text{ for } k \geq n\}$.

c_0 is not SWCG; how many weakly compact sets we need to generate c_0 strongly?

Asymptotic structures

Definition

Say that $(P, \leq_\varepsilon: \varepsilon > 0)$ is an **asymptotic structure** if every \leq_ε is a binary relation on P and for $\eta < \varepsilon$, $x \leq_\eta y$ implies $x \leq_\varepsilon y$.

Definition

Given asymptotic structures $(P, \leq_\varepsilon: \varepsilon > 0)$ and $(Q, \leq_\varepsilon: \varepsilon > 0)$, we say that $P \preccurlyeq Q$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(P, \leq_\varepsilon) \preccurlyeq (Q, \leq_\delta).$$

Remarks

Given an asymptotic structure $(P, \leq_\varepsilon: \varepsilon > 0)$ and an ordinary poset (Q, \leq) ,

- $P \preccurlyeq Q$ means $(P, \leq_\varepsilon) \preccurlyeq (Q, \leq)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$;
- $Q \preccurlyeq P$ means $(Q, \leq) \preccurlyeq (P, \leq_\delta)$ for some $\delta > 0$.

Asymptotic structures of weakly compact sets

Notation

$\mathbb{A}\mathbb{K}(B_X)$ is $\mathcal{K}(B_X)$ equipped with relations \leq_ε , where $K \leq_\varepsilon L$ means $K \subseteq L + \varepsilon \cdot B_X$.

Examples and remarks

- X is SWCG iff $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{K}(B_X) \preceq \omega$.
- If $X = c_0$ then $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{K}(B_X) \sim \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{Q})$. Hence $\text{cf}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{K}(B_X)) = \mathfrak{d}$ so c_0 is strongly generated by \mathfrak{d} weakly compact sets.
- If $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{K}(B_X) \sim P$ for some poset P then $P \preceq \mathcal{K}(B_X) \preceq P^\omega$.
- To show that $P \preceq \mathbb{A}\mathbb{K}(B_X)$ we need to define $f : P \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(B_X)$ such that for every $L \in \mathcal{K}(B_X)$ there is $p \in P$ such that whenever $f(x) \subseteq L + \varepsilon \cdot B_X$ then $x \leq p$.

Problem

Is it true that for every separable X , either $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{K}(B_X) \preceq \omega$ or $\omega^\omega \preceq \mathbb{A}\mathbb{K}(B_X)$?

Remarks

For every Banach space X , either $\mathcal{K}(B_X) \sim \{0\}$ or $\omega^\omega \preceq \mathcal{K}(B_X)$.
Assuming $\mathfrak{d} > \omega_1$, for the nonseparable space $X = l_1(\omega_1)$,

- neither $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{K}(B_X) \preceq \omega$ (because X is not SWCG),
- nor $\omega^\omega \preceq \mathbb{A}\mathbb{K}(B_X)$ (because $\text{cf}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{K}(B_X)) = \omega_1$).

Theorem

If a separable space X does not contain an isomorphic copy of l_1 then $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{K}(B_X) \sim \mathcal{H}(B_X)$ and, moreover, is Tukey equivalent to either

- 1 $\{0\}$ (if X is reflexive), or
- 2 ω^ω (if X is not reflexive, X^* is separable and X has PCP), or
- 3 $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q})$ (if X is not reflexive, X^* is separable and X does not have PCP), or
- 4 $[\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}$ (if X^* is not separable).

The proof uses a result of **López Pérez & Soler Arias 2012** and some Ramsey type results due to **Todorčević 2010** and others.

Under analytic determinacy

Theorem

Assuming the axiom of analytic determinacy, every separable space Banach space X satisfies one of the following

- 1 $\text{AK}(B_X) \sim \mathcal{H}(B_X) \sim \{0\}$,
- 2 $\omega \preceq \text{AK}(B_X) \preceq \omega^\omega$ and $\mathcal{H}(B_X) \sim \omega^\omega$,
- 3 $\text{AK}(B_X) \sim \mathcal{H}(B_X) \sim \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q})$,
- 4 $\text{AK}(B_X) \sim \mathcal{H}(B_X) \sim [c]^{<\omega}$.

Theorem (under analytic determinacy)

If \mathcal{I} is an analytic ideal on ω , $\mathcal{I}^\perp = \{A \subseteq \omega : A \cap I \text{ finite for } I \in \mathcal{I}\}$ then \mathcal{I}^\perp is Tukey equivalent to one of the following $\{0\}, \omega, \omega^\omega, \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q}), [c]^{<\omega}$.

The proof is based on results on analytic gaps due to **Todorćević** and analytic multigaps due to **Avilés and Todorćević** 2013-2014.

Two positive results

Let Y be a subspace of X .

- $\mathcal{K}(B_Y) \preceq \mathcal{K}(B_X)$.

Proof. $\mathcal{K}(B_Y) \ni K \rightarrow K \in \mathcal{K}(B_X)$ is Tukey because if $K \subseteq L \in \mathcal{K}(B_X)$ then $K \subseteq L \cap Y \in \mathcal{K}(B_Y)$.

- If Y is complemented in X (i.e. $X = Y \oplus Z$ for some closed Z) then $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{K}(B_Y) \preceq \mathbb{A}\mathbb{K}(B_X)$.

Proof. Let $P : X \rightarrow Y$ be a projection. If $K \in \mathcal{K}(B_Y)$, $L \in \mathcal{K}(B_X)$ and $K \subseteq L + \varepsilon \cdot B_X$ then $K \subseteq P(L) + \varepsilon \cdot \|P\| \cdot B_Y$.

Following Mercourakis & Stamaki

There is a subspace Y of $X = L_1[0,1]$ (which is SWCG so $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{K}(B_X) \sim \omega$) such that $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{K}(B_Y) \sim \omega^\omega$.

Unconditional bases

- Let $E = \langle e_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ be an unconditional basic sequence in X , i.e. there is $C > 0$ such that $\|\sum_{n \in J} a_n \cdot e_n\| \leq C \cdot \|\sum_{n \in J} a_n \cdot e_n\|$ for any finite sets $I \subseteq J \subseteq \omega$ and any scalars $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$.
- **Lemma.** Let $\mathcal{N}(E) = \{A \subseteq \omega : (e_n)_{n \in A} \text{ is weakly null}\}$. Then $\mathcal{N}(E) \preceq \mathbb{AK}(B_X)$.
- Let \mathcal{A} be an adequate family on ω , i.e. \mathcal{A} is hereditary and $A \in \mathcal{A}$ whenever all finite subsets of A are in \mathcal{A} .
- Following **Argyros & Mercourakis 1993** define a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on c_{00} by

$$\|x\| = \sup_{T \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{n \in T} |x(n)|.$$

Let X be the completion of c_{00} with respect to such a norm.

- We have $\mathcal{N}(E) \sim \mathcal{A}^\perp \preceq \mathbb{AK}(B_X)$.
- Consistently, there is a Banach space X and $E \subseteq X$ such that $\mathbb{AK}(E)$ is not Tukey equivalent to any of $\{0\}, \omega, \omega^\omega, \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{Q}), [\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}$.