

On a new functional interpretation

FERNANDO FERREIRA

Universidade de Lisboa

LOGIC COLLOQUIUM 2007

Wrocław, July 17

at

Universitas Wratislaviensis

"This blindness (or prejudice, or whatever you may call it) of logicians is indeed surprising. But I think the explanation is not hard to find. It lies in a widespread lack, at that time, of the required epistemological attitude toward metamathematics and toward non-finitary reasoning."

Kurt Gödel

"On a hitherto undiluted extension of the
finitary standpoint"

K. Gödel (1958)

operation, always performable (and
constructively recognized as such) on given
computable functionals

as immediately intelligible

Type (variables)

0 - type (of natural numbers)

$\tau \rightarrow \rho$ - if τ and ρ are types

x^τ - variable of type τ

$S^{0 \rightarrow 0}$ - constant for the successor function

$P^{\tau \rightarrow \tau}, h^\tau, x^0 \xrightarrow{It_\tau} P^x(h)$

$$It_\tau(P, h, Sx) \stackrel{=}{=} P(It_\tau(P, h, x))$$

Primitive recursive functionals in the
sense of Gödel

the mathematicians will probably raise objections against that, because contemporary mathematics is thoroughly extensional.

K. Gödel

$$Q^{\tau=0} (It_{\tau} (P, h, Sx)) =_o Q (P (It_{\tau} (P, h, x)))$$

Gödel's Dialectica interpretation:

$$PA \rightsquigarrow HA \rightsquigarrow T$$

trade-off between quantifier-complexity and raising of types

$$PA^{\omega} \rightsquigarrow HA^{\omega} \rightsquigarrow T$$

$$A \rightsquigarrow A^D := \exists \underline{x} \forall \underline{y} A_D(\underline{x}, \underline{y})$$

quantifier-free

$$(A \wedge B)^D := \exists x, z \forall y, w \left(\underline{A_D(x, y) \wedge B_D(z, w)} \right)$$

$$(A \vee B)^D := \exists m^0, x, z \forall y, w \left(\underline{(m=0 \rightarrow A_D(x, y)) \wedge (m \neq 0 \rightarrow B_D(z, w))} \right)$$

$$\left(\forall z A(z) \right)^D := \exists f \forall z, y \underline{A_D(fz, y, z)}$$

$$\left(\exists z A(z) \right)^D := \exists z, x \forall y \underline{A_D(x, y, z)}$$

$$B^D := \exists z \forall w B_D(z, w)$$

$$(A \rightarrow B)^D :=$$

$$\exists f, g \forall x, w \left(\underline{A_D(x, g x w)} \rightarrow B_D(f x, w) \right)$$

Motivation:

$$\exists x \forall y A_D(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z \forall w B_D(z, w)$$

$$\forall x \left(\forall y A_D(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z \forall w B_D(z, w) \right)$$

$$\forall x \exists z \left(\forall y A_D(x, y) \rightarrow \forall w B_D(z, w) \right)$$

$$\forall x \exists z \forall w \left(\forall y A_D(x, y) \rightarrow B_D(z, w) \right)$$

$$\forall x \exists z \forall w \exists y \left(A_D(x, y) \rightarrow B_D(z, w) \right)$$

$$\exists f \forall x \forall w \exists y \left(A_D(x, y) \rightarrow B_D(f x, w) \right)$$

$$\exists f, g \forall x, w \left(A_D(x, g x w) \rightarrow B_D(f x, w) \right)$$

Soundness Theorem (Gödel '58)

If $HA^\omega \vdash A$ then there are closed
 $HA \vdash A$

terms t such that

$$HA^\omega \vdash \forall y A_D(t, y)$$

$$T \vdash A_D(t, y)$$

Monotone functional interpretation (Kohlenbach '96)

$$HA^\omega \vdash \exists x \leq^* q \forall y A_D(x, y)$$

q a closed monotone term.

weakening of conclusion

$$\text{If } HA^\omega + AC^\omega + IP_V^\omega + MP^\omega + \Delta \vdash A$$

$$\text{then } \dots \quad HA^\omega + \Delta \vdash \forall y A_D(t, y)$$

$$AC^\omega : \quad \forall x \exists y A(x, y) \rightarrow \exists f \forall x A(x, f(x))$$

$$IP_V^\omega : \quad (C_V \rightarrow \exists z B(z)) \rightarrow \exists z (C_V \rightarrow B(z))$$

$$MP^\omega : \quad \neg \forall z A_{gf}(z) \rightarrow \exists z \neg A_{gf}(z)$$

$$\text{If } HA^\omega + AC^\omega + IP_V^\omega + MP^\omega + \Delta \vdash \forall x \exists y A_{gf}(x, y),$$

$$\text{then } HA^\omega + \Delta \vdash \forall x \exists y A_{gf}(x, y)$$

Howard - Bezem strong majorizability :

$$x \leq_o^* y \iff x \leq y$$

$$x \leq_{\tau \rightarrow \rho}^* y \iff \forall u^\tau \forall v \leq_\tau^* u (xv \leq_\rho^* yv \wedge yv \leq_\rho^* yv)$$

Theorem (Howard '73)

For each closed term t there is a closed term q such that $HA^\omega \vdash t \leq^* q$.

Benefits of MFI (part I)

$$\Delta : \quad \forall b \exists u \leq r b \quad \forall v \quad B_{af}(v, u, b)$$

r closed term

Benefits of MFI (part II)

Uniform bounds

$$\forall x' \quad \forall z \leq_s x \quad \exists y^o \quad A(x, y, z)$$

get

$$\forall x' \quad \exists z \leq_s x \quad \exists y \leq t x \quad A(x, y, z)$$

Proof Mining

Benefits of MFI (part III)

applies to full second-order arithmetic

via Spector's 1962 far-fetched

generalization of the Dialectica interpretation,

using bar-recursion.

Uniform bounds still exist

Bounded functional interpretation (FF, Oliva, 2005)

Intensional (rule-governed) majorizability

$$x \leq_0 y \iff x \leq y$$

$$x \leq_{\tau \rightarrow \rho} y \rightarrow \forall u^e \forall v \leq_0^u (x u \leq_e y v \wedge y v \leq_e y u)$$

$$\frac{A_{bd} \wedge u \leq v \rightarrow s u \leq t v \wedge t u \leq t v}{A_{bd} \rightarrow s \leq t}$$

$$\forall x \leq t (\dots) \iff \forall x (x \leq t \rightarrow \dots)$$
$$\exists x \leq t (\dots) \iff \exists x (x \leq t \wedge \dots)$$



new syntax

$$A \rightsquigarrow A^B := \exists \tilde{x} \forall \tilde{y} \underbrace{A_B(x, y)}_{\text{bounded}}$$

$$(A \vee B)^B := \exists \tilde{x}, z \forall \tilde{y}, w \left(\underbrace{\forall y' \leq y A_B(x, y')} \vee \underbrace{\forall w' \leq w B_B(x, w')} \right)$$

$$\left(\forall z \leq t A(z) \right)^B := \exists \tilde{x} \forall \tilde{y} \underbrace{\forall z \leq t A_B(x, y, z)}$$

$$\left(\exists z A(z) \right)^B := \exists \tilde{z}, x \forall \tilde{y} \underbrace{\exists z' \leq z \forall y' \leq y A_B(x, y', z')}$$

⋮

$$\exists \tilde{x} (\dots) \quad \text{is} \quad \exists x (x \leq x \wedge \dots)$$

$$\forall \tilde{x} (\dots) \quad \text{is} \quad \forall x (x \leq x \rightarrow \dots)$$

Soundness Theorem (Oliva, FF, 2005)

If $HA_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\omega} + \text{PRINCIPLES} + \Delta \vdash A$ then
there are closed monotone terms t such that

$$HA_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\omega} + \Delta_{\text{weak}} \vdash \tilde{\forall} y A_B(t, y)$$

Expecting: $\exists w \forall y A_B(w, y)$

Conservator result

Π_2^0 -conservative over

HA^{ω} (even HA).

$HA_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\omega} + \text{PRINCIPLES}$ is

$HA_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\omega}$. Actually, over

The PRINCIPLES includes:

- mAC_{ω}^{ω} : $\tilde{\forall}x \tilde{\exists}y A(x,y) \rightarrow \tilde{\exists}f \tilde{\forall}x \tilde{\exists}y \leq f x A(x,y)$

- bC_{ω}^{ω} : $\forall z \leq x \exists y A(x,y) \rightarrow \tilde{\exists}w \forall z \leq x \exists y \leq w A(x,y)$

FAN:

$$\forall z \leq_1 1 \exists m^{\circ} A(z, m) \rightarrow \exists m^{\circ} \forall z \leq_1 1 \exists m \leq m A(z, m)$$

(for extensional A)

- $bBCC_{\omega}^{\omega}$: $\tilde{\forall}w \exists z \leq x \forall y \leq w A_{bd}(x,y) \rightarrow \exists z \leq x \forall y A_{bd}(x,y)$

↑
ideal element
uniform for each y

WKL follows

- MAJ: $\forall x \exists y x \leq y$

- LLPO

$$\forall m, k \circ (A_{gf}(m) \vee B_{gf}(k)) \rightarrow \forall m A_{gf}(m) \vee \forall k B_{gf}(k)$$

- Refutes LPO

$$\forall x^1 \left(\exists m \circ x(m) = 0 \vee \forall m x(m) \neq 0 \right)$$

- Refutes extensionality

$$\forall \Phi^2 \forall x^1, y^1 \left(\forall k \circ (x(k) = y(k)) \rightarrow \Phi(x) = \Phi(y) \right)$$

- Every extensional function from the Cantor space to \mathbb{N} is uniformly continuous.

Lemma (Flattening) If $HA_{\omega}^{\omega} \vdash A$

then $HA^{\omega} \vdash A^*$.

- The flattening of $HA_{\omega}^{\omega} + \text{PRINCIPLES}$ is inconsistent.

Second-order arithmetic

The intuitionistic theory

$WKL_0 + LLPO + IP_V + MP + AC^N + FAN$

is Π_2^0 -conservative over PRA.

AC^N : $\forall m \exists k A(m, k) \rightarrow \exists X (\text{Func}(X) \wedge \forall m A(m, X(m)))$

FAN : $\forall X \exists m A(X, m) \rightarrow \exists k \forall X \exists m \leq k A(X, m)$

m	type 0	
X	type 1	$\chi \leq_1 1$
$m \in X$	$\chi(m) = 0$	

$WKL_0 + LLPO + IP_V + MP + AC^M + FAN$



$PRA_{\Delta}^{\omega} + PRINCIPLES$



PRA_{Δ}^{ω}



PRA^{ω}



PRA

H. Friedman : WKL_0 is Π_2^0 -conservative over RCA_0 .

Injecting uniformities into Peano arithmetic

$$\forall b \exists c A_{ba}(b, c) \rightarrow \exists f \forall b \exists c \leq f(b) A_{ba}(b, c)$$

$$\forall z \leq c \exists y A_{ba}(y, z) \rightarrow \exists b \forall z \leq c \exists y \leq b A_{ba}(y, z)$$

$$\forall x \exists y (x \leq y)$$

into mathematics

- F. Ferreira & P. Oliva

Bounded Functional Interpretation

APAL 135, pp. 73-112 (2005)

- F. Ferreira

Proof Interpretations

Textos de Matemática (série B), n.º 38

Universidade de Coimbra (2006), 42 pp

<http://www.ciul.ul.pt/~ferferr/Proofinterpretations.pdf>

- U. Kohlenbach & P. Oliva

Proof Mining: a Systematic Way of Analysing
Proofs

Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics
242, pp. 136-164 (2003)

- U. Kohlenbach

Applied Proof Theory: Proof Interpretations
and their Use in Mathematics

to appear in Springer Monographs in Mathematics