

ON A GENERAL ZERO-SUM STOCHASTIC GAME
WITH OPTIMAL STOPPING

BY

ŁUKASZ STETTNER (WARSZAWA)

Abstract. In the paper a general zero-sum stochastic game with stopping is considered. Using the so-called penalty method the author shows the existence of the value of the game under fairly general assumptions.

1. Introduction. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ an increasing, right continuous family of complete sub- σ -fields of \mathcal{F} . Let us suppose we have two right continuous, $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ adapted, bounded processes $(f_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(g_t)_{t \geq 0}$ such that $f_t \geq g_t$ P -a.e. for each $t \geq 0$. We shall consider the following game. There are two players and each of them is choosing, as his strategy, stopping time relative to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$. If τ and σ are stopping times chosen by the first and the second players, respectively, then the first one pays to the second the amount equal to $e^{-\alpha\tau} f_\tau$ or $e^{-\alpha\sigma} g_\sigma$ according as $\tau < \sigma$ or $\sigma \leq \tau$. The aim of the first (second) player is to minimize (maximize) the expectation

$$\mathcal{J}(\tau, \sigma) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} E \{ \chi_{\tau < \sigma} e^{-\alpha\tau} f_\tau + \chi_{\sigma \leq \tau} e^{-\alpha\sigma} g_\sigma \}.$$

For a fixed stopping time τ of the first player, the second one is interested in choosing a time σ which achieves, or at least approximates, the supremum $\sup_{\sigma} \mathcal{J}(\tau, \sigma)$. Thus, if the first player is cautious, he will choose a time giving (or at least approximating) the infimum

$$\bar{x} = \inf_{\tau \in A_0} \sup_{\sigma \in A_0} \mathcal{J}(\tau, \sigma),$$

where $A_s, s \geq 0$, denotes a family of all Markov times almost surely greater than s .

Reversing the roles of the two players, we also see that an expected gain of the second player is at least equal to

$$\underline{x} = \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_0} \inf_{\tau \in \mathcal{A}_0} \mathcal{J}(\tau, \sigma)$$

regardless of the strategy adopted by the first player. It is always true that $\underline{x} \leq \bar{x}$. The identity $\underline{x} = \bar{x}$ holds if there exists a saddle point for the game. In our situation a saddle point is a pair of Markov times $\hat{\tau}, \hat{\sigma}$ such that $\underline{x} = \mathcal{J}(\hat{\tau}, \hat{\sigma}) = \bar{x}$. Sufficient conditions for the existence of a saddle point for the game are given by Bismut [3].

The main result of the paper is Theorem 3 which shows the existence of the value of the game, equivalently the identity $\underline{x} = \bar{x}$ under fairly general conditions. Our method of the proof is new and, for instance, different from that of [3]. Namely, we use the penalization method in a general setting similar to the one considered in [10]. This method can be described as follows.

First we prove (Theorem 1) that for each $\beta > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ there exists a unique pair of right continuous, $(\mathcal{F}_s)_{s \geq 0}$ adapted processes $b_s = b_s^{\beta, \gamma}, c_s = c_s^{\beta, \gamma}$ which satisfy the equations

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} b_s &= \gamma E \left\{ \int_s^\infty e^{-(\alpha+\gamma)(t-s)} [(c_t + g_t - b_t)^+ + b_t] dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\}, \\ c_s &= \beta E \left\{ \int_s^\infty e^{-(\alpha+\beta)(t-s)} [(b_t - f_t - c_t)^+ + c_t] dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\} \end{aligned}$$

P-a.s. for each $s \geq 0$.

It is possible to prove (Theorem 2) that the limits

$$\hat{b}_s = \lim_{\beta, \gamma \rightarrow \infty} b_s^{\beta, \gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{c}_s = \lim_{\beta, \gamma \rightarrow \infty} c_s^{\beta, \gamma}$$

are well defined and under some additional assumptions one can show (Theorem 3) that

$$\underline{x} = E \{ \hat{b}_0 - \hat{c}_0 \} = \bar{x}.$$

The problem of zero-sum stochastic game with optimal stopping was introduced by Dynkin [5] for discrete time case. The continuous time game was considered first by Krylov [6], [7] for diffusion processes and a class of standard Markov processes. Later this game was investigated from the point of view of variational inequalities by Bensoussan and Friedman [1], and by using the convex duality by Bismut [2]-[4]. An extension of results due to Krylov [6], [7] for standard Markov processes will appear in [9].

2. Penalized systems of equations and their interpretation. In this section we consider the problem of existence and uniqueness of the solution of equations (1). We also give a stochastic control interpretation of this solution,

i.e. a problem which consists in finding right continuous, $(\mathcal{F}_s)_{s \geq 0}$ adapted processes $(b_s)_{s \geq 0}$ and $(c_s)_{s \geq 0}$ such that the equations

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} b_s &= \sup_{u^2 \in M_\gamma} \text{ess E} \left\{ \int_s^\infty \exp \left[- \int_s^t (\alpha + u_r^2) dr \right] u_t^2 (c_t + g_t) dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\}, \\ c_s &= \sup_{u^1 \in M_\beta} \text{ess E} \left\{ \int_s^\infty \exp \left[- \int_s^t (\alpha + u_r^1) dr \right] u_t^1 (b_t - f_t) dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\} \end{aligned}$$

are fulfilled P -a.s. for each $s \geq 0$. In the system (2) the symbols M_β and M_γ denote the sets of all adapted processes with values from the intervals $[0, \beta]$ and $[0, \gamma]$, respectively. The solutions $(b_s)_{s \geq 0}$ and $(c_s)_{s \geq 0}$ depend on β and γ , so to be more precise one should write $(b_s^{\beta, \gamma})_{s \geq 0}$ and $(c_s^{\beta, \gamma})_{s \geq 0}$, and when it is necessary to emphasize the dependence of the solution on β, γ , we shall use this more cumbersome notation. The systems (1) and (2) will play the main role in our paper. We have

THEOREM 1. *The systems (1) and (2) are equivalent and have, as a unique solution, the pair $(b_s, c_s)_{s \geq 0}$ of right continuous, $(\mathcal{F}_s)_{s \geq 0}$ adapted processes for each positive β and γ .*

Proof. Similarly as in [10] we introduce a certain Banach space. For every right continuous, $(\mathcal{F}_s)_{s \geq 0}$ adapted process f we define the norm

$$\|f\| \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \text{ess sup}_\Omega \sup_{s \geq 0} |f(s, \omega)|.$$

It can be verified that the space \mathcal{W} of all right continuous, $(\mathcal{F}_s)_{s \geq 0}$ adapted processes f such that $\|f\| < \infty$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is a Banach space. Now, let us note that if $(\mathcal{W}_1, \|\cdot\|_1)$ and $(\mathcal{W}_2, \|\cdot\|_2)$ are \mathcal{W} -spaces, then their Cartesian product $\mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_2$ is the Banach space with the norm

$$\| \|f\| \| = \max \{ \|f_1\|_1, \|f_2\|_2 \}, \quad \text{where } f = (f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_2.$$

We define the following transformation Ψ in the space $\mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi: \left(\begin{bmatrix} z_s^1 \\ z_s^2 \end{bmatrix} \right)_{s \geq 0} &\mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \Psi^1((z_s^1, z_s^2)_{s \geq 0}) \\ \Psi^2((z_s^1, z_s^2)_{s \geq 0}) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \left(\begin{bmatrix} \gamma \text{E} \left\{ \int_s^\infty e^{-(\alpha + \gamma)(t-s)} [(z_t^2 + g_t - z_t^1)^+ + z_t^1] dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\} \\ \beta \text{E} \left\{ \int_s^\infty e^{-(\alpha + \beta)(t-s)} [(z_t^1 - f_t - z_t^2)^+ + z_t^2] dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\} \end{bmatrix} \right)_{s \geq 0} \end{aligned}$$

The transformation Ψ works from $\mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_2$ into $\mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_2$ since as the processes we can take their right continuous modifications. We want to check that Ψ is a contraction.

If $z = (z^1, z^2)$, $w = (w^1, w^2) \in \mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \Psi(z) - \Psi(w) \| \\ &= \max \{ \| \Psi^1(z^1, z^2) - \Psi^1(w^1, w^2) \|, \| \Psi^2(z^1, z^2) - \Psi^2(w^1, w^2) \| \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \Psi^1(z^1, z^2) - \Psi^1(w^1, w^2) \| \\ &= \sup_{\Omega} \sup_{s \geq 0} \left| \gamma E \left\{ \int_s^{\infty} e^{-(\alpha+\gamma)(t-s)} [(z_t^2 + g_t - z_t^1)^+ + z_t^1 - \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - (w_t^2 + g_t - w_t^1)^+ - w_t^1] dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

To continue the proof we need the following

LEMMA 1. If $F(x, y) = \beta(x-y)^+ + \beta y$, then

$$|F(z^1, z^2) - F(w^1, w^2)| \leq \beta \max \{|z^1 - w^1|, |z^2 - w^2|\} \quad (\beta > 0).$$

The proof of this lemma is not difficult, and therefore can be omitted. From Lemma 1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \Psi^1(z^1, z^2) - \Psi^1(w^1, w^2) \| \\ & \leq \sup_{\Omega} \sup_{s \geq 0} E \left\{ \int_s^{\infty} e^{-(\alpha+\gamma)(t-s)} \gamma \max \{|z_t^1 - w_t^1|, |z_t^2 - w_t^2|\} dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{\gamma}{\alpha + \gamma} \| \|z - w \| \| \end{aligned}$$

and, analogously,

$$\| \Psi^2(z^1, z^2) - \Psi^2(w^1, w^2) \| \leq \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \| \|z - w \| \|.$$

Thus, finally,

$$\| \Psi(z) - \Psi(w) \| \leq \frac{\max \{\gamma, \beta\}}{\alpha + \max \{\gamma, \beta\}} \| \|z - w \| \|.$$

The last inequality insures the existence of the unique solution of the system (1). Using similar considerations as in [10] we can show that this solution satisfies also the system (2). Now, by the Banach principle we can show the uniqueness of the solution of (2).

Let us define the transformation $\Phi: \mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_2$ by

$$\Phi(z^1, z^2) = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi^1(z^1, z^2) \\ \Phi^2(z^1, z^2) \end{bmatrix} = \left(\begin{bmatrix} \sup_{u^2 \in M_\gamma} \text{E} \left\{ \int_s^\infty \exp \left[- \int_s^t (\alpha + u_r^2) dr \right] u_t^2 (z_t^2 + g_t) dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\} \\ \sup_{u^1 \in M_\beta} \text{E} \left\{ \int_s^\infty \exp \left[- \int_s^t (\alpha + u_r^1) dr \right] u_t^1 (z_t^1 - f_t) dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\} \end{bmatrix} \right)_{s \geq 0}$$

We obtain easily

$$\|\Phi(z^1, z^2) - \Phi(w^1, w^2)\| \leq \frac{\max\{\gamma, \beta\}}{\alpha + \max\{\gamma, \beta\}} \|z - w\|.$$

Consequently, Φ is a contraction, and thus we have established the theorem.

Remark 1. Applying Lemma 1 of [10] to the system (1) one can obtain the third equivalent system of penalized equations:

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} b_s &= \gamma \text{E} \left\{ \int_s^\infty e^{-\alpha(t-s)} (c_t + g_t - b_t)^+ dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\}, \\ c_s &= \beta \text{E} \left\{ \int_s^\infty e^{-\alpha(t-s)} (b_t - f_t - c_t)^+ dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 1. There exists a unique, right continuous, $(\mathcal{F}_s)_{s \geq 0}$ adapted process $(a_s^{\beta, \gamma})_{s \geq 0}$ satisfying the equation

$$(4) \quad a_s^{\beta, \gamma} = \text{E} \left\{ \int_s^\infty e^{-\alpha(t-s)} [-\beta(a_t^{\beta, \gamma} - f_t)^+ + \gamma(a_t^{\beta, \gamma} - g_t)^-] dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\}$$

P-a.s. for each $s \geq 0$ and, furthermore, $a_s^{\beta, \gamma} = b_s^{\beta, \gamma} - c_s^{\beta, \gamma}$.

Proof. Obviously, $(b_s - c_s)_{s \geq 0}$ from (3) satisfies (4). By Lemma 1 of [10], equation (4) is equivalent to

$$a_s^{\beta, \gamma} = \text{E} \left\{ \int_s^\infty e^{-(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(t-s)} [-\beta(a_t - f_t)^+ + \gamma(a_t - g_t)^- + (\beta + \gamma)a_t] dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\}$$

and the transformation

$$\mathcal{W} \ni (z_s)_{s \geq 0} \mapsto \left(\text{E} \left\{ \int_s^\infty e^{-(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(t-s)} [-\beta(z_t - f_t)^+ + \gamma(z_t - g_t)^- + (\beta + \gamma)z_t] dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\} \right)_{s \geq 0}$$

is a contraction with the parameter $(\beta + \gamma)/(\alpha + \beta + \gamma) < 1$.

From [10] we obtain without difficulty

COROLLARY 2. *The solution of equation (4) is of the form*

$$\begin{aligned} a_s^{\beta,\gamma} &= \inf_{u^1 \in M_\beta} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{u^2 \in M_\gamma} E \left\{ \int_s^t \exp \left[- \int_s^t (\alpha + u_r^1 + u_r^2) dr \right] (u_t^1 f_t + u_t^2 g_t) dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{sup\,ess\,inf}_{u^2 \in M_\gamma} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{u^1 \in M_\beta} E \left\{ \int_s^t \exp \left[- \int_s^t (\alpha + u_r^1 + u_r^2) dr \right] (u_t^1 f_t + u_t^2 g_t) dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\} \end{aligned}$$

P-a.e. for each $s \geq 0$.

This corollary explains a probabilistic idea of the penalized method. The process a_s denotes the value of the game in which the first and the second players stop with densities

$$u_t^1 \exp \left[- \int_s^t u_r^1 dr \right] \quad \text{and} \quad u_t^2 \exp \left[- \int_s^t u_r^2 dr \right],$$

respectively.

3. Identification of the solution of the system (1) and a limit theorem. The system (1) is a counterpart of a penalized equation studied in [10] in connection with the optimal stopping. Moreover, it turns out that solutions are also α -supermartingales. Let us recall that a process $(z_s)_{s \geq 0}$ is an α -supermartingale if $(e^{-\alpha s} z_s)_{s \geq 0}$ is a supermartingale. Namely, we have

PROPOSITION 1. *The solutions of the system (1), i.e. processes $(b_s^{\beta,\gamma})_{s \geq 0}$ and $(c_s^{\beta,\gamma})_{s \geq 0}$, are right continuous α -supermartingales.*

This proposition follows easily from the form of equations (1) and (3).

Now we can prove the following important convergence result:

THEOREM 2. *If*

$$\operatorname{sup\,ess}_{\beta \geq 0, \gamma \geq 0} b_s^{\beta,\gamma} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \hat{b}_s \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{sup\,ess}_{\beta \geq 0, \gamma \geq 0} c_s^{\beta,\gamma} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \hat{c}_s$$

are finite, then $(\hat{b}_s)_{s \geq 0}$ and $(\hat{c}_s)_{s \geq 0}$ are right continuous α -supermartingales.

Proof. Let us introduce some additional notation

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} b^{1,\beta,\gamma} &= \Phi^1(0, 0), & \dots & \quad b^{n+1,\beta,\gamma} = \Phi^1(b^{n,\beta,\gamma}, c^{n,\beta,\gamma}), \\ c^{1,\beta,\gamma} &= \Phi^2(0, 0), & \dots & \quad c^{n+1,\beta,\gamma} = \Phi^2(b^{n,\beta,\gamma}, c^{n,\beta,\gamma}). \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b^{n,\beta,\gamma} = b^{\beta,\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c^{n,\beta,\gamma} = c^{\beta,\gamma}.$$

If $\gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2$ and $\beta_1 \leq \beta_2$, then

$$b_s^{1,\beta_1,\gamma_1} \leq b_s^{1,\beta_2,\gamma_2}, \quad c_s^{1,\beta_1,\gamma_1} \leq c_s^{1,\beta_2,\gamma_2}$$

and, inductively,

$$b_s^{n,\beta_1,\gamma_1} \leq b_s^{n,\beta_2,\gamma_2}, \quad c_s^{n,\beta_1,\gamma_1} \leq c_s^{n,\beta_2,\gamma_2}$$

P-a.e. for each $s \geq 0$ and $n \in N$. Taking the limits with $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ and $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ in the first identities of (5) we obtain

$$b_s^{1,\infty,\infty} = \lim_{\beta,\gamma \rightarrow \infty} b_s^{1,\beta,\gamma} = \sup_{u^2 \in M_\infty} \text{ess E} \left\{ \int_s^\infty \exp \left[- \int_s^t (\alpha + u_r^2) dr \right] u_t^2 g_t dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\},$$

$$c_s^{1,\infty,\infty} = \lim_{\beta,\gamma \rightarrow \infty} c_s^{1,\beta,\gamma} = \sup_{u^1 \in M_\infty} \text{ess E} \left\{ \int_s^\infty \exp \left[- \int_s^t (\alpha + u_r^1) dr \right] u_t^1 (-f_t) dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\}$$

P-a.e. for each $s \geq 0$. Now, by [10] we notice easily that these processes are the α -Snell envelopes of the processes $(g_s)_{s \geq 0}$ and $(-f_s)_{s \geq 0}$. This means that $(b_s^{1,\infty,\infty})_{s \geq 0}$ and $(c_s^{1,\infty,\infty})_{s \geq 0}$ are the smallest right continuous α -supermartingales majorizing $(g_s)_{s \geq 0}$ and $(-f_s)_{s \geq 0}$, respectively. Analogously,

$$b_s^{n+1,\infty,\infty} = \sup_{u^2 \in M_\infty} \text{ess E} \left\{ \int_s^\infty \exp \left[- \int_s^t (\alpha + u_r^2) dr \right] u_t^2 (c_t^{n,\infty,\infty} + g_t) dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\},$$

$$c_s^{n+1,\infty,\infty} = \sup_{u^1 \in M_\infty} \text{ess E} \left\{ \int_s^\infty \exp \left[- \int_s^t (\alpha + u_r^1) dr \right] u_t^1 (b_t^{n,\infty,\infty} - f_t) dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\}$$

are the α -Snell envelopes of the processes $(c_s^{n,\infty,\infty} + g_s)_{s \geq 0}$ and $(b_s^{n,\infty,\infty} - f_s)_{s \geq 0}$. Now it is easy to see that $[(b_s^{n,\infty,\infty})_{s \geq 0}]_{n \in N}$ and $[(c_s^{n,\infty,\infty})_{s \geq 0}]_{n \in N}$ are increasing sequences of right continuous α -supermartingales, and $b_s^{n,\infty,\infty} \uparrow \hat{b}_s$, $c_s^{n,\infty,\infty} \uparrow \hat{c}_s$ *P*-a.e. for each s as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes our proof.

Remark 2. Theorem 2 was proved under the assumption that $(b_s)_{s \geq 0}$ and $(\hat{c}_s)_{s \geq 0}$ are finite. This demand will be satisfied if we impose the following assumption similar to that introduced by Mokobodzki [8] in the case of Markov games:

ASSUMPTION. *There exist two right continuous positive α -supermartingales $(x_s)_{s \geq 0}$ and $(y_s)_{s \geq 0}$ such that for each s*

$$(6) \quad g_s \leq x_s - y_s \leq f_s \text{ P-a.e.}$$

We find out immediately that $(\hat{b}_s)_{s \geq 0}$ and $(\hat{c}_s)_{s \geq 0}$ are finite since for each n we have $b_s^{n,\infty,\infty} \leq x_s$ and $c_s^{n,\infty,\infty} \leq y_s$ *P*-a.e. for each $s \geq 0$.

4. The main result. In this section we prove the main result of the paper.

THEOREM 3. *Assume that the right continuous, $(\mathcal{F}_s)_{s \geq 0}$ adapted, bounded processes $(f_s)_{s \geq 0}$ and $(g_s)_{s \geq 0}$ are such that*

- (i) $f_s \geq g_s$ *P*-a.e. for each $s \geq 0$,
- (ii) the assumption (6) holds.

Then $\bar{x} = \underline{x} = E\hat{a}_0$, where $\hat{a} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \hat{b} - \hat{c}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_r &= \inf_{\tau \in A_r} \sup_{\sigma \in A_r} \text{E} \{ \chi_{\tau < \sigma} e^{-\alpha(\tau-r)} f_\tau + \chi_{\sigma \leq \tau} e^{-\alpha(\sigma-r)} g_\sigma \mid \mathcal{F}_r \} \\ &= \sup_{\sigma \in A_r} \inf_{\tau \in A_r} \text{E} \{ \chi_{\tau < \sigma} e^{-\alpha(\tau-r)} f_\tau + \chi_{\sigma \leq \tau} e^{-\alpha(\sigma-r)} g_\sigma \mid \mathcal{F}_r \} \end{aligned}$$

P-a.e. for each $r \geq 0$.

Proof. The proof consists of three steps.

1. First we establish a new representation of $(a_s^{\beta,\gamma})_{s \geq 0}$. We need the following obvious lemma:

LEMMA 2. If $(d_s)_{s \geq 0}$ is right continuous and for each $s \geq 0$

$$d_s = E \left\{ \int_s^\infty e^{-\alpha(t-s)} h_t dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\} \quad P\text{-a.e.},$$

where $(h_s)_{s \geq 0}$ is a right continuous, $(\mathcal{F}_s)_{s \geq 0}$ adapted, bounded process, then

$$Q_r(s) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} d_s e^{-\alpha(s-r)} + \int_r^s e^{-\alpha(t-r)} h_t dt$$

for $s \geq r$ is a right continuous, bounded martingale.

From (4) and Lemma 2 we infer that for each $r \geq 0$

$$Q_r(s) = a_s^{\beta,\gamma} e^{-\alpha(s-r)} + \int_r^s e^{-\alpha(t-r)} [-\beta(a_t^{\beta,\gamma} - f_t)^+ + \gamma(a_t^{\beta,\gamma} - g_t)^-] dt$$

is an $(\mathcal{F}_s)_{s \geq r}$ right continuous, bounded martingale. Thus for $\tau, \sigma \in \mathcal{A}$, we obtain the representation

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad a_r^{\beta,\gamma} &= Q_r(r) = E(Q_r(\tau \wedge \sigma) \mid \mathcal{F}_r) \\ &= E \{ a_{\tau \wedge \sigma}^{\beta,\gamma} e^{-\alpha(\tau \wedge \sigma - r)} \mid \mathcal{F}_r \} + \\ &\quad + E \left\{ \int_r^{\tau \wedge \sigma} e^{-\alpha(t-r)} [-\beta(a_t^{\beta,\gamma} - f_t)^+ + \gamma(a_t^{\beta,\gamma} - g_t)^-] dt \mid \mathcal{F}_r \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

2. Equation (7) can be transformed in the following way. First we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_r^{\beta,\gamma} &\geq E \left\{ \int_r^{\tau \wedge \sigma} e^{-\alpha(t-r)} [-\beta(a_t^{\beta,\gamma} - f_t)^+] dt \mid \mathcal{F}_r \right\} + \\ &\quad + E \{ \chi_{\sigma \leq \tau} a_\sigma^{\beta,\gamma} e^{-\alpha(\sigma-r)} \mid \mathcal{F}_r \} + E \{ \chi_{\tau < \sigma} a_\tau^{\beta,\gamma} e^{-\alpha(\tau-r)} \mid \mathcal{F}_r \} \\ &\geq E \left\{ \int_r^{\tau \wedge \sigma} e^{-\alpha(t-r)} [-\beta(a_t^{\beta,\gamma} - f_t)^+] dt \mid \mathcal{F}_r \right\} + \\ &\quad + E \{ \chi_{\sigma \leq \tau} e^{-\alpha(\sigma-r)} [g_\sigma - (a_\sigma^{\beta,\gamma} - g_\sigma)^-] \mid \mathcal{F}_r \} + E \{ \chi_{\tau < \sigma} a_\tau^{\beta,\gamma} e^{-\alpha(\tau-r)} \mid \mathcal{F}_r \} \end{aligned}$$

with the identity for $\sigma = \inf \{ t \geq r : a_t^{\beta,\gamma} \leq g_t \}$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_r^{\beta,\gamma} &= \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_r} \text{ess} E \left\{ \int_r^{\tau \wedge \sigma} e^{-\alpha(t-r)} [-\beta(a_t^{\beta,\gamma} - f_t)^+] dt + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \chi_{\sigma \leq \tau} e^{-\alpha(\sigma-r)} [g_\sigma - (a_\sigma^{\beta,\gamma} - g_\sigma)^-] + \chi_{\tau < \sigma} a_\tau^{\beta,\gamma} e^{-\alpha(\tau-r)} \mid \mathcal{F}_r \right\} \end{aligned}$$

P -a.e. for each $r \geq 0$.

Similarly,

$$(8) \quad a_r^{\beta, \gamma} \leq \sup_{\sigma \in A_r} \text{ess E} \{ \chi_{\sigma \leq \tau} e^{-\alpha(\sigma-r)} [g_\sigma - (a_\sigma^{\beta, \gamma} - g_\sigma)^-] + \chi_{\tau < \sigma} e^{-\alpha(\tau-r)} [f_\tau + (a_\tau^{\beta, \gamma} - f_\tau)^+] \mid \mathcal{F}_r \},$$

and since for $\tau = \inf \{ t \geq r : a_t^{\beta, \gamma} \geq f_t \}$ we have the equality in (8), we finally obtain

$$a_r^{\beta, \gamma} = \inf_{\tau \in A_r} \sup_{\sigma \in A_r} \text{ess E} \{ \chi_{\sigma \leq \tau} e^{-\alpha(\sigma-r)} g_\sigma + \chi_{\tau < \sigma} e^{-\alpha(\tau-r)} f_\tau - \chi_{\sigma \leq \tau} e^{-\alpha(\sigma-r)} (a_\sigma^{\beta, \gamma} - g_\sigma)^- + \chi_{\tau < \sigma} e^{-\alpha(\tau-r)} (a_\tau^{\beta, \gamma} - f_\tau)^+ \mid \mathcal{F}_r \}.$$

In a similar way, changing the role of inf and sup operations, we get

$$a_r^{\beta, \gamma} = \sup_{\sigma \in A_r} \text{ess inf}_{\tau \in A_r} \text{E} \{ \chi_{\sigma \leq \tau} e^{-\alpha(\sigma-r)} g_\sigma + \chi_{\tau < \sigma} e^{-\alpha(\tau-r)} f_\tau - \chi_{\sigma \leq \tau} e^{-\alpha(\sigma-r)} (a_\sigma^{\beta, \gamma} - g_\sigma)^- + \chi_{\tau < \sigma} e^{-\alpha(\tau-r)} (a_\tau^{\beta, \gamma} - f_\tau)^+ \mid \mathcal{F}_r \}.$$

3. Our aim is now to estimate the processes $((a_s^{\beta, \gamma} - g_s)^-)_{s \geq 0}$ and $((a_s^{\beta, \gamma} - f_s)^+)_{s \geq 0}$ as $\beta, \gamma \rightarrow +\infty$. For this purpose we need the following lemma:

LEMMA 3. For each $s \geq 0$ we have $g_s \leq \hat{a}_s \leq f_s$ P-a.e.

Proof. From the system (3) we can obtain

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} b_s^{\infty, \gamma} &= \gamma \text{E} \left\{ \int_s^\infty e^{-\alpha(t-s)} (g_t - a_t^{\infty, \gamma})^+ dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\}, \\ c_s^{\beta, \infty} &= \beta \text{E} \left\{ \int_s^\infty e^{-\alpha(t-s)} (a_t^{\beta, \infty} - f_t)^+ dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\} \end{aligned}$$

P-a.e. for each $s \geq 0$. Let us write

$$K^{\varepsilon, \gamma} = \{(t, \omega) : g_t(\omega) - a_t^{\infty, \gamma}(\omega) \geq \varepsilon\}, \quad K^\varepsilon = \{(t, \omega) : g_t(\omega) - \hat{a}_t(\omega) \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Thus $K^{\varepsilon, \gamma} \supset K^\varepsilon$ (see Section 2, Corollary 2) and

$$b_s^{\infty, \gamma} \geq \gamma \text{E} \left\{ \int_s^\infty e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \varepsilon \chi_{K^{\varepsilon, \gamma}} dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\} \geq \gamma \varepsilon \text{E} \left\{ \int_s^\infty e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \chi_{K^\varepsilon} dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\}.$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{b_s^{\infty, \gamma}}{\gamma \varepsilon} \geq \text{E} \left\{ \int_s^\infty e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \chi_{K^\varepsilon} dt \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right\} \geq 0,$$

and as $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ we infer that K^ε has $dt \otimes dP$ measure zero. Since $(g_s)_{s \geq 0}$ and $(\hat{a}_s)_{s \geq 0}$ are the right continuous processes and ε is arbitrary, we have $\hat{a}_s \geq g_s$ P-a.e. for each $s \geq 0$. Similarly, from the second equation of (9) we obtain $\hat{a}_s \leq f_s$ P-a.e. for each $s \geq 0$.

Summarizing the results of steps 2 and 3 of our proof we establish the required assertion of Theorem 3.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bensoussan and A. Friedman, *Non-linear variational inequalities and differential games with stopping times*, J. Functional Analysis 16 (1974), p. 305-352.
- [2] J. M. Bismut, *Sur un problème de Dynkin*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 39 (1977), p. 31-53.
- [3] — *Contrôle de processus alternants et applications*, ibidem 47 (1979), p. 241-288.
- [4] — *Temps d'arrêt, quasi-temps d'arrêt et retournement du temps*, Ann. Probability 7 (1979), p. 933-964.
- [5] E. B. Dynkin, *The game variant of the optimal stopping problem*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 185 (1969), p. 16-19.
- [6] N. V. Krylov, *The problem with two free boundaries for an elliptic equation and optimal stopping of Markov processes*, ibidem 194 (1970), p. 1263-1265.
- [7] — *Control of Markov processes and the spaces W* , Math. USSR-Izv. 5 (1971), p. 233-266.
- [8] G. Mokobodzki, *Sur opérateur de réduite. Remarques sur un travail de J. M. Bismut*, Séminaire de Théorie du Potentiel 3, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 681, p. 188-208, Springer 1978.
- [9] Ł. Stettner, *The penalized method in stochastic control*, Ph. D. Dissertation, Institute of Mathematics of the Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw 1981.
- [10] — and J. Zabczyk, *Strong envelopes of stochastic processes and a penalty method*, Stochastics 4 (1981), p. 267-280.

Institute of Mathematics
Polish Academy of Sciences
ul. Śniadeckich 8
00-950 Warszawa, Poland

Received on 15. 11. 1980