

APPROXIMATION SCHEMES FOR TWO-PARAMETER STOCHASTIC EQUATIONS

BY

M. TUDOR (BUCHAREST)

Abstract. In this paper we introduce several approximation schemes for Itô equations with two parameters which are suggested by the Lie-Trotter product formula from the theory of nonlinear semi-groups.

By using the splitting up method the equation is decomposed into two simpler equations. The convergence and speed of convergence of schemes are discussed.

1. Introduction and notation. Approximation schemes for one-parameter Itô equations have been considered by Glonek [3], Milstein [4], Pardoux and Talay [5], Platen [6], Rao et al. [7], Rumelin [9]. For the two-parameter case Ermoliev and Tsarenko [2] have proved the convergence of finite differences, and in [10] some approximation schemes are considered for the infinite dimensional case. Recently in [8] several approximation schemes suggested by the Lie-Trotter formula are proposed (see also [1] for the case of parabolic stochastic equations). The method consists in a separation of the diffusion and the drift terms and obtaining in this way two simpler equations, one of them is deterministic and the other one is stochastic.

In the present paper we give similar schemes for two-parameter Itô equations. Next T is a positive number, m and n are positive integers, and λ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^2 . We introduce the following notation:

$$I = [0, T]^2, \quad h_1 = T/m, \quad h_2 = T/n, \quad h = (h_1, h_2),$$

$$s_i = ih_1, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m, \quad t_j = jh_2, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

$$z_{i,j} = (s_i, t_j), \quad I_{i,j} = [s_i, s_{i+1}) \times [0, t_j],$$

$$J_{i,j} = [0, s_i) \times [t_j, t_{j+1}), \quad R_{s,t} = [0, s) \times [0, t).$$

For a rectangle $D = [s, t) \times [u, v)$ and a two-parameter process $(f_{s,t})$ we define the increment of f on D by

$$f(D) = f_{t,v} - f_{t,u} - f_{s,v} + f_{s,u}.$$

Let $a(p, q, x): I \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $b(p, q, x): I \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^m$ be measurable mappings. We consider the following hypotheses on a, b :

$$(K) \quad |a(p, q, x)|^2 \leq K_1(1 + |x|^2), \quad |b(p, q, x)|^2 \leq K_2(1 + |x|^2)$$

for all $(p, q) \in I, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$;

$$(L) \quad \begin{aligned} |a(p, q, x) - a(p, q, y)|^2 &\leq L_1|x - y|^2, \\ |b(p, q, x) - b(p, q, y)|^2 &\leq L_2|x - y|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $(p, q) \in I, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Let $(w_{s,t})_{(s,t) \in I}$ be an \mathbb{R}^m -valued two-parameter Wiener process, i.e., $(w_{s,t})$ is continuous, w vanishes on $\{0\} \times [0, T] \cup [0, T] \times \{0\}$ for every rectangle D , $w(D)$ has Gaussian distribution with mean 0 and covariance $\lambda(D)I_m$, and for all disjoint rectangles D_1, \dots, D_k the increments $w(D_1), \dots, w(D_k)$ are independent. Let $\mathcal{F}_{s,t} = \mathcal{B}(w_{u,v}; u \leq s, v \leq t)$ be the canonical filtration associated with w . We consider the two-parameter Itô equation

$$(1) \quad x_{s,t} = x + \int_0^s \int_0^t a(p, q, x_{p,q}) dp dq + \int_0^s \int_0^t b(p, q, x_{p,q}) dw_{p,q},$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\int_0^s \int_0^t b dw$ is the Itô integral as defined for example in [11].

Remark 1. Under (K) and (L) the equation (1) has a pathwise unique continuous solution $(x_{s,t})_{(s,t) \in I}$ (see [11]). The initial condition x can be replaced by a process $(\eta_{s,t})_{(s,t) \in I}$ which is $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}$ -adapted and continuous.

2. Main results. First we introduce two approximation schemes for (1) with adapted and continuous approximating processes. We define recursively the approximating processes $u^h, x^h, \tilde{u}^h, \tilde{x}^h$ for $(s, t) \in R_{z_{1,1}}$ by

$$(2) \quad u_{s,t}^h = x + \int_0^s \int_0^t a(p, q, u_{p,q}^h) dp dq, \quad x_{s,t}^h = u_{s,t}^h + \int_0^s \int_0^t b(p, q, x_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q},$$

$$(3) \quad \tilde{u}_{s,t}^h = x + \int_0^s \int_0^t b(p, q, \tilde{u}_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q}, \quad \tilde{x}_{s,t}^h = \tilde{u}_{s,t}^h + \int_0^s \int_0^t a(p, q, \tilde{x}_{s,t}^h) dp dq.$$

The processes $u^h, x^h, \tilde{u}^h, \tilde{x}^h$ with the time parameter $R_{z_{1,1}}$ are well defined, adapted and continuous (in fact, u^h is deterministic). Suppose that for some (i, j) we defined on $R_{z_{i,j}}$ the above processes which are continuous and adapted and, moreover, $u_{s,t}^h$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s_{i-1}, t}$ -measurable if $(s, t) \in I_{i-1, j}$ and $u_{s,t}^h$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s, t_{j-1}}$ -measurable if $(s, t) \in J_{i, j-1}$.

Now, if $(s, t) \in I_{i, j}$, we define

$$(4) \quad u_{s,t}^h = x_{s_{i-1}, t}^h + \int_{s_{i-1}}^s \int_0^t a(p, q, u_{p,q}^h) dp dq, \quad x_{s,t}^h = u_{s,t}^h + \int_{s_{i-1}}^s \int_0^t b(p, q, x_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q},$$

$$(5) \quad \tilde{u}_{s,t}^h = \tilde{x}_{s_{i-1}, t}^h + \int_{s_{i-1}}^s \int_0^t a(p, q, \tilde{u}_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q}, \quad \tilde{x}_{s,t}^h = \tilde{u}_{s,t}^h + \int_{s_{i-1}}^s \int_0^t a(p, q, \tilde{x}_{p,q}^h) dp dq,$$

where

$$f_{s-,t-} = \lim_{p',s,q',t} f_{p,q}.$$

If $(s, t) \in J_{i,j}$, we define

$$(6) \quad u_{s,t}^h = x_{s-,t,j-}^h + \int_0^s \int_{t_j}^t a(p, q, u_{p,q}^h) dp dq, \quad x_{s,t}^h = u_{s,t}^h + \int_0^s \int_{t_j}^t b(p, q, x_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q},$$

$$(7) \quad \tilde{u}_{s,t}^h = \tilde{x}_{s-,t,j-}^h + \int_0^s \int_{t_j}^t b(p, q, \tilde{u}_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q}, \quad \tilde{x}_{s,t}^h = \tilde{u}_{s,t}^h + \int_0^s \int_{t_j}^t a(p, q, \tilde{x}_{p,q}^h) dp dq.$$

If $s = T$ or $t = T$, then we define

$$(8) \quad u_{s,t}^h = u_{s-,t-}^h, \quad x_{s,t}^h = x_{s-,t-}^h, \quad \tilde{u}_{s,t}^h = \tilde{u}_{s-,t-}^h, \quad \tilde{x}_{s,t}^h = \tilde{x}_{s-,t-}^h.$$

The approximating processes $u^h, x^h, \tilde{u}^h, \tilde{x}^h$ are defined for all $(s, t) \in I$ as follows: by (2), (3) if $(s, t) \in R_{z_{1,1}}$; by (4), (5) if $(s, t) \in I_{1,1}$; by (6), (7) if $(s, t) \in J_{2,1}$; by (4), (5) if $(s, t) \in I_{2,2}$; by (6), (7) if $(s, t) \in J_{3,2}$; by (4), (5) if $(s, t) \in I_{3,3}, \dots$; and by (8) if $s = T$ or $t = T$.

Remark 2. The processes $u^h, x^h, \tilde{u}^h, \tilde{x}^h$ are continuous and adapted and, moreover, $u_{s,t}^h$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}$ -measurable if $(s, t) \in I_{i,j}$ and $\tilde{u}_{s,t}^h$ is \mathcal{F}_{s,t_j} -measurable if $(s, t) \in J_{i,j}$.

LEMMA 1. The following equations hold:

$$(9) \quad u_{s,t}^h = x + \int_0^s \int_0^t a(p, q, u_{p,q}^h) dp dq + \int_0^{[s/h_1]h_1} \int_0^t b(p, q, x_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q}$$

if $(s, t) \in R_{z_{i,j}}$, $i+j$ is odd;

$$(10) \quad \tilde{u}_{s,t}^h = x + \int_0^s \int_0^t a(p, q, \tilde{u}_{p,q}^h) dp dq + \int_0^{s/[t/h_2]h_2} \int_0^t b(p, q, \tilde{x}_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q}$$

if $(s, t) \in R_{z_{i,j}}$, $i+j$ is even;

$$(11) \quad x_{s,t}^h = x + \int_0^s \int_0^t a(p, q, u_{p,q}^h) dp dq + \int_0^s \int_0^t b(p, q, x_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q},$$

$$(12) \quad \tilde{u}_{s,t}^h = x + \int_0^{[s/h_1]h_1} \int_0^t a(p, q, \tilde{x}_{p,q}^h) dp dq + \int_0^s \int_0^t b(p, q, \tilde{u}_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q}$$

if $(s, t) \in R_{z_{i,j}}$, $i+j$ is odd;

$$(13) \quad \tilde{u}_{s,t}^h = x + \int_0^{s/[t/h_2]h_2} \int_0^t a(p, q, \tilde{x}_{p,q}^h) dp dq + \int_0^s \int_0^t b(p, q, \tilde{u}_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q}$$

if $(s, t) \in R_{z_{i,j}}$, $i+j$ is even;

$$(14) \quad \tilde{x}_{s,t}^h = x + \int_0^s \int_0^t a(p, q, \tilde{x}_{p,q}^h) dp dq + \int_0^s \int_0^t b(p, q, \tilde{u}_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q}.$$

Proof. On $R_{z_{1,1}}$ the equations are obvious. Assume that they hold on $R_{z_{i,j}}$ and let us prove their validity on $I_{i,j}$ and $J_{i,j}$. By hypothesis, for $(s, t) \in I_{i-1,j}$ we have

$$(15) \quad x_{s,t}^h = x + \int_0^s \int_0^t a(p, q, u_{p,q}^h) dp dq + \int_0^s \int_0^t b(p, q, x_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q}.$$

Then, using (15) and the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_{s,t}^h &= x_{s_i-,t-}^h + \int_{s_i 0}^s \int_0^t a(p, q, u_{p,q}^h) dp dq \\ &= x + \int_0^{s_i} \int_0^t a(p, q, u_{p,q}^h) dp dq + \int_0^{s_i} \int_0^t b(p, q, x_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q} + \int_{s_i 0}^s \int_0^t a(p, q, u_{p,q}^h) dp dq \\ &= x + \int_0^s \int_0^t a(p, q, u_{p,q}^h) dp dq + \int_0^{[s/h_1]h_1} \int_0^t b(p, q, x_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_{s,t}^h &= u_{s,t}^h + \int_{[s/h_1]h_1 0}^s \int_0^t b(p, q, x_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q} \\ &= x + \int_0^s \int_0^t a(p, q, u_{p,q}^h) dp dq + \int_0^{[s/h_1]h_1} \int_0^t b(p, q, x_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q} + \int_{[s/h_1]h_1 0}^s \int_0^t b(p, q, x_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q} \\ &= x + \int_0^s \int_0^t a(p, q, u_{p,q}^h) dp dq + \int_0^s \int_0^t b(p, q, x_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly one obtains the equations for $(s, t) \in J_{i,j}$ and for \tilde{u}^h, \tilde{x}^h .

LEMMA 2. The following estimates hold:

$$(16) \quad \sup_{(s,t) \in I} E(|z_{s,t}|^2) \leq C_1 := 6(|x|^2 + T^4 K_1 + T^2 K_2) \exp\{6T^2(T^2 K_1 + K_2)\}$$

for $z = u^h, x^h, \tilde{u}^h, \tilde{x}^h$;

$$(17) \quad \sup_{(s,t) \in I} E(|x_{s,t}^h - u_{s,t}^h|^2) \leq C_2(h_1 + h_2), \quad C_2 = TK_2(1 + C_1);$$

$$(18) \quad \sup_{(s,t) \in I} E(|\tilde{x}_{s,t}^h - \tilde{u}_{s,t}^h|^2) \leq C_3(h_1^2 + h_2^2), \quad C_3 = K_1 T^2(1 + C_1).$$

Proof. Define $K_3 = 3(|x|^2 + T^4 K_1 + T^2 K_2)$ and $K_4 = 3(T^2 K_1 + K_2)$. Using Lemma 1 and (K), for $(s, t) \in I$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (19) \quad E(|u_{s,t}^h|^2) &\leq 3|x|^2 + 3T^2 \int_0^s \int_0^t E(|a(p, q, u_{p,q}^h)|^2) dp dq \\ &\quad + 3 \int_0^s \int_0^t E(|b(p, q, x_{p,q}^h)|^2) dp dq \\ &\leq K_3 + K_4 \int_0^s \int_0^t [E(|u_{p,q}^h|^2) + E(|x_{p,q}^h|^2)] dp dq. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we obtain

$$(20) \quad E(|x_{s,t}^h|^2) \leq K_3 + K_4 \int_0^s \int_0^t [E(|u_{p,q}^h|^2) + E(|x_{p,q}^h|^2)] dpdq.$$

Summing (19), (20) and using Gronwall's lemma we obtain

$$E(|u_{s,t}^h|^2) + E(|x_{s,t}^h|^2) \leq 2K_3 \exp(2T^2 K_4).$$

Similarly we deduce (16) for \tilde{u}^h, \tilde{x}^h .

Next, if $(s, t) \in R_{z_i, j}$ and $i+j$ is odd, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(|x_{s,t}^h - u_{s,t}^h|^2) &= \int_{[s/h_1]h_1}^s \int_0^t E(|b(p, q, x_{p,q}^h)|^2) dpdq \\ &\leq \int_{[s/h_1]h_1}^s \int_0^t K_2 [1 + E(|x_{p,q}^h|^2)] dpdq \leq K_2(1 + C_1)Th_1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $i+j$ is even, we have

$$E(|x_{s,t}^h - u_{s,t}^h|^2) \leq K_2(1 + C_1)Th_2.$$

An analogous argument works for $\tilde{x}^h - \tilde{u}^h$.

THEOREM 1. Assume (K) and (L) are satisfied. Then

$$(21) \quad \sup_{(s,t) \in I} E(|x_{s,t}^h - x_{s,t}|^2) \leq C_4(h_1 + h_2),$$

where $C_4 = 3T^2 L_1 C_2 \exp\{3T^2(T^2 L_1 + L_2)\}$;

$$(22) \quad \sup_{(s,t) \in I} E(|\tilde{x}_{s,t}^h - x_{s,t}|^2) \leq \tilde{C}_4(h_1^2 + h_2^2),$$

where $\tilde{C}_4 = 3L_2 C_3 \exp\{3T^2(T^2 L_1 + L_2)\}$.

Proof. We justify only (21) (similarly for (22)). We have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{s,t}^h - x_{s,t} &= \int_0^s \int_0^t [a(p, q, x_{p,q}^h) - a(p, q, x_{p,q})] dpdq \\ &\quad + \int_0^s \int_0^t [b(p, q, x_{p,q}^h) - b(p, q, x_{p,q})] dw_{p,q} \\ &\quad + \int_0^s \int_0^t [a(p, q, u_{p,q}^h) - a(p, q, x_{p,q}^h)] dpdq. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using (L) and Lemma 2 (the second estimate), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(|x_{s,t}^h - x_{s,t}|^2) &\leq 3(T^2 L_1 + L_2) \int_0^s \int_0^t E(|x_{p,q}^h - x_{p,q}|^2) dpdq \\ &\quad + 3T^2 L_1 \sup_{(p,q) \in I} E(|u_{p,q}^h - x_{p,q}^h|^2) \\ &\leq 3T^2 L_1 C_2 (h_1 + h_2) + 3(T^2 L_1 + L_2) \int_0^s \int_0^t E(|x_{p,q}^h - x_{p,q}|^2) dpdq \end{aligned}$$

and with Gronwall's lemma we get

$$E(|x_{s,t}^h - x_{s,t}|^2) \leq C_4(h_1 + h_2),$$

where $C_4 = 3T^2 L_1 C_2 \exp\{3T^2(T^2 L_1 + L_2)\}$. In the same manner we estimate $E(|\tilde{x}_{s,t}^h - x_{s,t}|^2)$. Thus the proof is complete.

Next we introduce other approximating processes $v^h, y^h, \tilde{v}^h, \tilde{y}^h$ which are more appropriate for the numerical treatment. For $(s, t) \in R_{z_{1,1}}$ we define

$$(23) \quad v_{s,t}^h = x + \int_0^s \int_0^t a(p, q, v_{p,q}^h) dp dq, \quad y_{s,t}^h = v_{h_1-, h_2-}^h + \int_0^s \int_0^t b(p, q, y_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q};$$

$$(24) \quad \tilde{v}_{s,t}^h = x + \int_0^s \int_0^t b(p, q, \tilde{v}_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q}, \quad \tilde{y}_{s,t}^h = \tilde{v}_{h_1-, h_2-}^h + \int_0^s \int_0^t a(p, q, \tilde{y}_{p,q}^h) dp dq.$$

For some (i, j) we defined the processes $v^h, y^h, \tilde{v}^h, \tilde{y}^h$ on $R_{z_{i,j}}$ such that: $y_{s,t}^h, \tilde{v}_{s,t}^h$ are $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}$ -measurable, $v_{s,t}^h$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s_{i-1}, t}$ -measurable if $(s, t) \in I_{i-1, j}$, $v_{s,t}^h$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s, t_{j-1}}$ -measurable if $(s, t) \in J_{i, j-1}$, and $\tilde{y}_{s,t}^h$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s, t}$ -measurable if $(s, t) \in I_{i-1, j} \cup J_{i, j-1}$. Now, if $(s, t) \in I_{i, j}$, we define (with the convention $y_{0-, t}^h = y_{s, 0-}^h = x$)

$$(25) \quad \begin{cases} v_{s,t}^h = y_{s_{i-1}, t-}^h + \int_{s_{i-1}}^s \int_0^t a(p, q, v_{p,q}^h) dp dq, \\ y_{s,t}^h = v_{s_{i+1}, t_{j-1}}^h + \int_{s_{i-1}}^s \int_0^t b(p, q, y_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q}; \end{cases}$$

$$(26) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{v}_{s,t}^h = \tilde{y}_{s_{i-1}, t-}^h + \int_{s_{i-1}}^s \int_0^t b(p, q, \tilde{v}_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q}, \\ \tilde{y}_{s,t}^h = \tilde{v}_{s_{i+1}, t_{j-1}}^h + \int_{s_{i-1}}^s \int_0^t a(p, q, \tilde{y}_{p,q}^h) dp dq; \end{cases}$$

and if $(s, t) \in J_{i, j}$, we define

$$(27) \quad \begin{cases} v_{s,t}^h = y_{s-, t_{j-1}}^h + \int_0^{s_{i-1}} \int_{t_{j-1}}^t a(p, q, v_{p,q}^h) dp dq, \\ y_{s,t}^h = v_{s_{i-1}, t_{j+1}-}^h + \int_0^{s_{i-1}} \int_{t_{j-1}}^t b(p, q, y_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q}; \end{cases}$$

$$(28) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{v}_{s,t}^h = \tilde{y}_{s-, t_{j-1}}^h + \int_0^{s_{i-1}} \int_{t_{j-1}}^t b(p, q, \tilde{v}_{p,q}^h) dw_{p,q}, \\ \tilde{y}_{s,t}^h = \tilde{v}_{s_{i-1}, t_{j+1}-}^h + \int_0^{s_{i-1}} \int_{t_{j-1}}^t a(p, q, \tilde{y}_{p,q}^h) dp dq. \end{cases}$$

Also, if $s = T$ or $t = T$, we set $v_{s,t}^h = v_{s-, t-}^h, y_{s,t}^h = y_{s-, t-}^h, \tilde{v}_{s,t}^h = \tilde{v}_{s-, t-}^h, \tilde{y}_{s,t}^h = \tilde{y}_{s-, t-}^h$.

The definition of $v^h, y^h, \tilde{v}^h, \tilde{y}^h$ on the whole I is obtained as follows: we start with $z \in R_{z_{1,1}}$ and define the processes by (23), (24), and then alternatively on $I_{1,1}$ by (25), (26), on $J_{2,1}$ by (27), (28), on $I_{2,2}$ by (25), (26), etc.

Remark 3. The processes y^h and \tilde{v}^h are $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}$ -adapted; $v_{s,t}^h$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s_i,t}$ -measurable if $(s, t) \in I_{i,j}$; $v_{s,t}^h$ is \mathcal{F}_{s,t_j} -measurable if $(s, t) \in J_{i,j}$; $\tilde{y}_{s,t}^h$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s_{i+1},t_j}$ -measurable if $(s, t) \in I_{i,j}$; and $\tilde{y}_{s,t}^h$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s_i,t_{j+1}}$ -measurable if $(s, t) \in J_{i,j}$.

LEMMA 3. The following estimates hold:

$$(29) \quad \sup_{(s,t) \in I} E(|z_{s,t}|^2) \leq D_1 \quad \text{for } z = v^h, y^h, \tilde{v}^h, \tilde{y}^h,$$

where $D_1 = (4 + |x|^2) \exp \{5T^2(1 + K_1 + K_2)\}$;

$$(30) \quad \sup_{(s,t) \in I} E(|y_{s,t}^h - v_{s,t}^h|^2) \leq D_2(h_1 + h_2 + h_1 h_2 + h_1^2 + h_2^2),$$

where $D_2 = (3K_2 + 6T^2 K_1 + TK_2)(1 + D_1)$;

$$(31) \quad \sup_{(s,t) \in I} E(|\tilde{y}_{s,t}^h - \tilde{v}_{s,t}^h|^2) \leq \tilde{D}_2(h_1 + h_2 + h_1^2 + h_2^2 + h_1^2 h_2^2),$$

where $\tilde{D}_2 = (6K_1 + 12TK_2 + 2T^2 K_1)(1 + D_1)$.

Proof. By Itô's formula for $\{v_{s,t}^h\}_{s_i \leq s < s_{i+1}}$, $0 \leq t < t_j$ is fixed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |v_{s,t}^h|^2 &= |y_{s_i-,t-}^h|^2 + 2 \int_{s_i}^s \int_0^t \langle a(p, q, v_{p,q}^h, v_{p,t}^h) \rangle dp dq \\ &\leq |y_{s_i-,t-}^h|^2 + \int_{s_i}^s \int_0^t [|a(p, q, v_{p,q}^h)|^2 + |v_{p,t}^h|^2] dp dq \\ &\leq |y_{s_i-,t-}^h|^2 + K_1 T h_1 + \int_{s_i}^s \int_0^t (K_1 |v_{p,q}^h|^2 + |v_{p,t}^h|^2) dp dq; \\ E(|v_{s,t}^h|^2) &\leq E(|y_{s_i-,t-}^h|^2) + TK_1 h_1 + \int_{s_i}^s \int_0^t [K_1 E(|v_{p,q}^h|^2) + E(|v_{p,t}^h|^2)] dp dq. \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$(32) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_j} E(|v_{s,t}^h|^2) \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_j} E(|y_{s_i-,t-}^h|^2) + TK_1 h_1 + T(1 + K_1) \int_{s_i}^s \sup_{q < t_j} E(|v_{p,q}^h|^2) dp,$$

so that, by Gronwall's lemma,

$$(33) \quad \sup_{t < t_j} E(|v_{s,t}^h|^2) \leq [\sup_{t \leq t_j} E(|y_{s_i-,t-}^h|^2) + TK_1 h_1] \exp \{ T(1 + K_1) h_1 \}.$$

Since $v_{s_{i+1}-,t_j-}^h$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s_i,T}$ -measurable and if $s \leq s', t \leq t'$, and

$$E \left[\int_s^{s'} \int_t^{t'} h(p, q) dw_{p,q} / \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_{s,T} \cup \mathcal{F}_{T,t}) \right] = 0,$$

we obtain, for $s_i \leq s < s_{i+1}$, $0 \leq t < t_j$,

$$\begin{aligned} E(|y_{s,t}^h|^2) &= E(|v_{s_{i+1}-,t_j-}^h|^2) + \int_{s_i}^s \int_0^t E(|b(p, q, y_{p,q}^h)|^2) dp dq \\ &\leq E(|v_{s_{i+1}-,t_j-}^h|^2) + K_2 \int_{s_i}^s \int_0^t [1 + E(|y_{p,q}^h|^2)] dp dq, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(34) \quad E(|y_{s,t}^h|^2) \leq E(|v_{s_{i+1}-,t_j-}^h|^2) + TK_2 h_1 + K_2 \int_{s_i}^s \int_0^t E(|y_{p,q}^h|^2) dp dq$$

and, by Gronwall's lemma,

$$(35) \quad E(|y_{s,t}^h|^2) \leq [E(|v_{s_{i+1}-,t_j-}^h|^2) + TK_2 h_1] \exp(TK_2 h_1).$$

If we take $s \nearrow s_{i+1}$ in (33) and we use Fatou's lemma, we deduce

$$(36) \quad \sup_{t < t_j} E(|v_{s_{i+1}-,t-}^h|^2) \leq [\sup_{t < t_j} E(|y_{s_i-,t-}^h|^2) + TK_1 h_1] \exp\{T(1 + K_1)h_1\},$$

and using (36) in (35) we get

$$(37) \quad \sup_{t < t_j} E(|y_{s,t}^h|^2) \leq \{TK_2 h_1 + [TK_1 h_1 + \sup_{t < t_j} E(|y_{s_i-,t-}^h|^2)] \exp\{T(1 + K_1)h_1\}\} \exp(TK_2 h_1).$$

Taking $s \nearrow s_{i+1}$ in (37) and applying Fatou's lemma we obtain

$$(38) \quad \sup_{t \leq t_j} E(|y_{s_{i+1}-,t-}^h|^2) \leq [T(K_1 + K_2)h_1 + \sup_{t < t_j} E(|y_{s_i-,t-}^h|^2)] \exp\{T(1 + K_1 + K_2)h_1\}$$

and inductively we get

$$(39) \quad \sup_{t < t_j} E(|y_{s_{i+1}-,t-}^h|^2) \leq (1 + |x|^2) \exp\{2T^2(1 + K_1 + K_2)\}.$$

Using (39) in (36) we obtain

$$\sup_{t < t_j} E(|v_{s_{i+1}-,t-}^h|^2) \leq [TK_1 h_1 + (1 + |x|^2) \exp\{2T^2(1 + K_1 + K_2)\}] \exp\{T(1 + K_1)h_1\},$$

so that

$$(40) \quad \sup_{t < t_j} E(|v_{s_{i+1}-,t-}^h|^2) \leq (2 + |x|^2) \exp\{3T^2(1 + K_1 + K_2)\}.$$

Replacing (40) in (35) we obtain

$$(41) \quad E(|y_{s,t}^h|^2) \leq (3 + |x|^2) \exp\{4T^2(1 + K_1 + K_2)\},$$

which together with (33) implies

$$(42) \quad E(|v_{s,t}^h|^2) \leq (4 + |x|^2) \exp \{5T^2(1 + K_1 + K_2)\}.$$

The same estimates, (41) and (42), follow if $0 \leq s < s_i, t_j \leq t < t_{j+1}$. A similar computation works for \tilde{v}^h, \tilde{y}^h .

Next, if $0 \leq t < t_j, s_i \leq s < s_{i+1}$, we have

$$(43) \quad E(|y_{s,t_j-}^h - y_{s,t}^h|^2) = \int_{s_i}^s \int_t^{t_j} E(|b(p, q, y_{p,q}^h)|^2) dp dq \leq K_2(1 + D_1)h_1 h_2,$$

$$(44) \quad E(|v_{s_{i+1}-,t_j-}^h - v_{s,t}^h|^2) \\ \leq 3E(|y_{s_i-,t_j-}^h - y_{s_i-,t}^h|^2) + 3E\left[\int_{s_i}^{s_{i+1}} \int_0^{t_j} a(p, q, v_{p,q}^h) dp dq\right]^2 \\ + 3E\left[\int_{s_i}^s \int_0^t a(p, q, v_{p,q}^h) dp dq\right]^2 \leq 3K_2(1 + D_1)h_1 h_2 + 6T^2 K_1(1 + D_1)h_1^2 = D'_1.$$

Since $v_{s_{i+1}-,t_j-}^h - v_{s,t}^h$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s_i, T}$ -measurable for $t < t_j, s_i \leq s < s_{i+1}$, we have

$$E(|y_{s,t}^h - v_{s,t}^h|^2) = E(|v_{s_{i+1}-,t_j-}^h - v_{s,t}^h|^2) + \int_{s_i}^s \int_0^t E(|b(p, q, y_{p,q}^h)|^2) dp dq \\ \leq D'_1 + K_2 T(1 + D_1)h_1.$$

An analogous computation works for $0 \leq s < s_i, t_j \leq t < t_{j+1}$. Therefore

$$E(|y_{s,t}^h - v_{s,t}^h|^2) \leq 3K_2(1 + D_1)h_1 h_2 + 6T^2 K_1(1 + D_1)(h_1^2 + h_2^2) + TK_2(1 + D_1)(h_1 + h_2) \\ \leq D_2(h_1 + h_2 + h_1 h_2 + h_1^2 + h_2^2)$$

with D_2 defined as above. We proceed in the same manner for $E(|\tilde{y}_{s,t}^h - \tilde{v}_{s,t}^h|^2)$.

THEOREM 2. Assume that (K) and (L) are satisfied. Then the following estimates hold:

$$(45) \quad \sup_{(s,t) \in I} E(|y_{s,t}^h - x_{s,t}|^2) \leq D_3(h_1 + h_2 + h_1 h_2 + h_1^2 + h_2^2),$$

$$(46) \quad \sup_{(s,t) \in I} E(|\tilde{y}_{s,t}^h - x_{s,t}|^2) \leq \tilde{D}_3(h_1 + h_2 + h_1^2 + h_2^2),$$

where D_3 and \tilde{D}_3 are given explicitly in the proof.

Proof. Let $(s, t) \in I_{i,j}$. From the equality

$$u_{s,t}^h - v_{s,t}^h = x_{s-,t-}^h - y_{s_i-,t-}^h + \int_{s_i}^s \int_0^t [a(p, q, u_{p,q}^h) - a(p, q, v_{p,q}^h)] dp dq$$

we obtain, by Itô's formula, along $t = \text{constant}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |u_{s,t}^h - v_{s,t}^h|^2 &= |x_{s_i-,t-}^h - y_{s_i-,t-}^h|^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{s_i}^s \int_0^t \langle [a(p, q, u_{p,q}^h) - a(p, q, v_{p,q}^h)], u_{p,t}^h - v_{p,t}^h \rangle dp dq \\ &\leq |x_{s_i-,t-}^h - y_{s_i-,t-}^h|^2 + 2L_1 \int_{s_i}^s \int_0^t |u_{p,q}^h - v_{p,q}^h| |u_{p,t}^h - v_{p,t}^h| dp dq. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\sup_{t < t_j} E(|u_{s,t}^h - v_{s,t}^h|^2) \leq \sup_{t < t_j} E(|x_{s_i-,t-}^h - y_{s_i-,t-}^h|^2) + 2TL_1 \int_{s_i}^s \sup_{q < t_j} E(|u_{p,q}^h - v_{p,q}^h|^2) dp,$$

and thus, by Gronwall's lemma,

$$(47) \quad \sup_{t < t_j} E(|u_{s,t}^h - v_{s,t}^h|^2) \leq \sup_{t < t_j} E(|x_{s_i-,t-}^h - y_{s_i-,t-}^h|^2) \exp(2TL_1 h_1).$$

Next from (44) and (47) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (48) \quad E(|u_{s,t}^h - v_{s_{i+1}-,t_j-}^h|^2) &\leq 2E(|u_{s,t}^h - v_{s,t}^h|^2) + 2E(|v_{s,t}^h - v_{s_{i+1}-,t_j-}^h|^2) \\ &\leq 2D'_1 + 2 \sup_{t < t_j} E(|x_{s_i-,t-}^h - y_{s_i-,t-}^h|^2) \exp(2TL_1 h_1) = \tilde{d}_1. \end{aligned}$$

Utilizing the $\mathcal{F}_{s_i, T}$ -measurability of $u_{s,t}^h, v_{s_{i+1}-,t_j-}^h$ we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} E(|x_{s,t}^h - y_{s,t}^h|^2) &= E(|u_{s,t}^h - v_{s_{i+1}-,t_j-}^h|^2) + \int_{s_i}^s \int_0^t E(|b(p, q, x_{p,q}^h) - b(p, q, y_{p,q}^h)|^2) dp dq \\ &\leq E(|u_{s,t}^h - v_{s_{i+1}-,t_j-}^h|^2) + L_2 \int_{s_i}^s \int_0^t E(|x_{p,q}^h - y_{p,q}^h|^2) dp dq \\ &\leq \sup_{t < t_j} E(|u_{s,t}^h - v_{s_{i+1}-,t_j-}^h|^2) + L_2 \int_{s_i}^s \sup_{q < t_j} E(|x_{p,q}^h - y_{p,q}^h|^2) dp. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sup_{t < t_j} E(|x_{s,t}^h - y_{s,t}^h|^2) \leq \sup_{t < t_j} E(|u_{s,t}^h - v_{s_{i+1}-,t_j-}^h|^2) + L_2 T \int_{s_i}^s \sup_{q < t_j} E(|x_{p,q}^h - y_{p,q}^h|^2) dp,$$

so that, by (48) and Gronwall's lemma,

$$(49) \quad \sup_{t < t_j} E(|x_{s,t}^h - y_{s,t}^h|^2) \leq \tilde{d}_1 \exp(TL_2 h_1).$$

If we take $s \nearrow s_{i+1}$ and $t \nearrow t'$ in (49), we obtain the recursive inequality

$$\alpha_{i+1} := \sup_{t < t_j} E(|x_{s_{i+1}-,t-}^h - y_{s_{i+1}-,t-}^h|^2) \leq [2D'_1 + 2\alpha_i \exp(2TL_1 h_1)] \exp(TL_2 h_1)$$

or

$$(50) \quad \alpha_{i+1} \leq [6K_2(1+D_1)h_1 h_2 + 12T^2 K_1(1+D_1)h_1^2 + 2\alpha_i] \exp\{T(2L_1 + L_2)h_1\}.$$

By induction we deduce from (50) the inequality

$$(51) \quad \sup_{t \leq t_j} E(|x_{s_{i+1}-,t}^h - y_{s_{i+1}-,t}^h|^2) \leq \frac{6K_2(1+D_1)h_2 + 12T^2 K_1(1+D_1)h_1}{T(2L_1 + L_2)} \exp\{T^2(2L_1 + L_2)\}.$$

Utilizing (51) in (49) we obtain

$$(52) \quad E(|x_{s,t}^h - y_{s,t}^h|^2) \leq d'_1(h_1 + h_2 + h_1 h_2 + h_1^2),$$

where

$$(53) \quad d'_1 = 6(1+D_1) \left[K_2 + 2T^2 K_1 + \frac{2(K_2 + 2T^2 K_1)}{T(2L_1 + L_2)} \exp\{T^2(2L_1 + L_2)\} \right] \exp(T^2 L_2).$$

Similarly for $(s, t) \in J_{i,j}$ we obtain

$$(54) \quad E(|x_{s,t}^h - y_{s,t}^h|^2) \leq d'_1(h_1 + h_2 + h_1 h_2 + h_2^2).$$

Now (52), (54), and (21) imply (45) with

$$(55) \quad D_3 = 2d'_1 + 2C_4.$$

Next, for $(s, t) \in I_{i,j}$, utilizing the equality

$$\tilde{u}_{s,t}^h - \tilde{v}_{s,t}^h = \tilde{x}_{s_{i-},t}^h - \tilde{y}_{s_{i-},t}^h + \int_{s_i}^s \int_0^t [b(p, q, \tilde{u}_{p,q}^h) - b(p, q, \tilde{v}_{p,q}^h)] dw_{p,q}$$

and $\mathcal{F}_{s_i, T}$ -measurability of $\tilde{x}_{s_{i-},t}^h - \tilde{y}_{s_{i-},t}^h$ we deduce

$$E(|\tilde{u}_{s,t}^h - \tilde{v}_{s,t}^h|^2) = E(|\tilde{x}_{s_{i-},t}^h - \tilde{y}_{s_{i-},t}^h|^2) + L_2 \int_{s_i}^s \int_0^t E(|\tilde{u}_{p,q}^h - \tilde{v}_{p,q}^h|^2) dp dq$$

and, by Gronwall's lemma,

$$(56) \quad \sup_{t < t_j} E(|\tilde{u}_{s,t}^h - \tilde{v}_{s,t}^h|^2) \leq \sup_{t \leq t_j} E(|\tilde{x}_{s_{i-},t}^h - \tilde{y}_{s_{i-},t}^h|^2) \exp(TL_2 h_1) = \beta_i \exp(TL_2 h_1).$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t < t_j} E(|\tilde{x}_{s,t}^h - \tilde{y}_{s,t}^h|^2) &\leq (1 + h_1) \sup_{t < t_j} E(|\tilde{u}_{s,t}^h - \tilde{v}_{s_{i+1}-,t_j}^h|^2) \\ &\quad + (1 + 1/h_1) L_1 T h_1 \int_{s_i}^s \sup_{q < t_j} E(|\tilde{x}_{p,q}^h - \tilde{y}_{p,q}^h|^2) dp, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(57) \quad \sup_{t < t_j} E(|\tilde{x}_{s,t}^h - \tilde{y}_{s,t}^h|^2) \leq (1 + h_1) \sup_{t < t_j} E(|\tilde{u}_{s,t}^h - \tilde{v}_{s_{i+1}-,t_j}^h|^2) \exp\{2L_1 T h_1(1 + h_1)\}.$$

On the other hand (by using (K) and (56)), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 (58) \quad E(|\tilde{u}_{s,t}^h - \tilde{v}_{s_{i+1}, t_j}^h|^2) &= E(|\tilde{u}_{s,t}^h - \tilde{v}_{s,t}^h + \tilde{v}_{s,t}^h - \tilde{v}_{s_{i+1}, t_j}^h|^2) \\
 &= E(|\tilde{u}_{s,t}^h - \tilde{v}_{s,t}^h|^2) + \int_{s_i}^{s_{i+1}} \int_{t_j}^t E(|b(p, q, \tilde{v}_{p,q}^h)|^2) dp dq \\
 &\leq \beta_i \exp(TL_2 h_1) + K_1(1 + D_1)h_1 h_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, taking $s \nearrow s_{i+1}$ in (57) and using (58), we obtain

$$\beta_{i+1} \leq \exp\{1 + 2L_1 T(1 + h_1)\} h_1 [\beta_i \exp(L_2 T h_1) + K_1(1 + D_1)h_1 h_2],$$

$$\beta_{i+1} \leq [\beta_i + K_1(1 + D_1)h_1 h_2] \exp\{h_1[1 + 2L_1 T(1 + h_1)] + 2L_2 T\}.$$

Hence, by induction and putting

$$(59) \quad d'_2 = \frac{K_1(1 + D_1)}{1 + 2L_1 T + 2L_2 T} \exp\{T[1 + 2L_1 T(1 + h_1) + 2L_2 T]\},$$

we get

$$(60) \quad \beta_i \leq d'_2 h_2.$$

Then (58) becomes

$$E(|\tilde{u}_{s,t}^h - \tilde{v}_{s_{i+1}, t_j}^h|^2) \leq d'_2 \exp(TL_2 h_1) h_2 + K_1(1 + D_1)h_1 h_2,$$

which used in (57) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 (61) \quad E(|\tilde{x}_{s,t}^h - \tilde{y}_{s,t}^h|^2) &\leq (1 + h_1)[d'_2 \exp(TL_2 h_1) h_2 \\
 &\quad + K_1(1 + D_1)h_1 h_2] \exp\{2TL_1 h_1(1 + h_1)\} \\
 &\leq (T + 1)[d'_2 + K_1(1 + D_1)](h_1 + h_2 + h_1 h_2) \exp\{T(1 + T)(L_2 + 2TL_1)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

A similar inequality follows if $(s, t) \in J_{i,j}$. Then from (22), (61) we get

$$(62) \quad E(|\tilde{y}_{s,t}^h - x_{s,t}|^2) \leq \tilde{D}_3(h_1 + h_2 + h_1 h_2 + h_1^2 + h_2^2),$$

where

$$(63) \quad \tilde{D}_3 = 2\tilde{C}_4 + [d'_2 + K_1(1 + D_1)] \exp\{T(1 + T)(L_2 + 2TL_1)\}.$$

The proof is complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bensoussan and R. Glowinski, *Approximation of Zakai equation by the splitting method*, in: *Stochastic Systems and Optimization*, Proc. of the Sixth IFIP Conference on Stochastic Systems and Optimization, Warszawa 1988; J. Zabczyk (Ed.), Lecture Notes in Control and Inform. Sci. 136, Springer-Verlag, 1989.

- [2] Iu. Ermoliev and T. Tsarenco, *Convergence of finite differences for the Darboux equation* (in Russian), *Kibernetika* 5 (1977).
- [3] P. Glorenec, *Estimation a priori des erreurs dans la résolution numérique d'équations différentielles stochastiques*, *Sém. de Probab.* 1, Rennes, 1977.
- [4] G. Milstein, *Approximate integration of stochastic differential equations*, *Theory Probab. Appl.* 19 (1974), pp. 583–588.
- [5] E. Pardoux and D. Talay, *Discretization and simulation of stochastic differential equations*, *Acta Appl. Math.* 3 (1985), pp. 23–47.
- [6] E. Platen, *An approximation method for a class of Itô processes*, *Liet. Matem. Rink.* 21 (1981), pp. 121–133.
- [7] N. Rao, J. Borwankar and D. Ramakrishna, *Numerical solution of Itô integral equations*, *SIAM J. Control Optim.* 12 (1974), pp. 124–139.
- [8] A. Răşcanu and C. Tudor, *Approximation schemes for stochastic equations driven by semimartingales* (to appear).
- [9] W. Rumelin, *Numerical treatment of stochastic differential equations*, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 19 (1982), pp. 604–613.
- [10] C. Tudor and M. Tudor, *On approximation in quadratic mean for the solutions of two-parameter stochastic differential equations in Hilbert spaces*, *An. Univ. Bucureşti Mat.* (1983), pp. 73–88.
- [11] J. Yeh, *Two-parameter stochastic differential equations*, in: *Real and Stochastic Analysis*, Wiley, 1986, pp. 249–344.

Academy of Economical Studies
Dept. of Math.
6 Piaţa Romana Street
70167 Bucharest, Romania

Received on 28.8.1990;
revised version on 20.8.1991

