

A CHARACTERIZATION OF SIGN-SYMMETRIC LIOUVILLE-TYPE DISTRIBUTIONS

BY

WOJCIECH MATYSIAK (WARSZAWA)

Abstract. Sign-symmetric Liouville-type distributions on n -dimensional space are characterized by certain $(n-1)$ -dimensional distribution of quotients in a special form.

1. Introduction. In 1996 Gupta et al. [1] introduced a family of multivariate distributions which is an important generalization of many classes of distributions. It may be obtained by the following construction. For $\alpha, \beta > 0$ let $\mathcal{L}(\alpha, \beta)$ denote a distribution with probability density function

$$f(z) := \frac{\alpha}{2\Gamma(\beta/\alpha)} |z|^{\beta-1} \exp(-|z|^\alpha), \quad z \in \mathbf{R}.$$

When Z_1, \dots, Z_n are mutually independent, real-valued random variables and $Z_i \sim \mathcal{L}(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$ for some positive parameters α_i and β_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$), then the distribution of the vector

$$(1.1) \quad (X_1, \dots, X_n) := \left(\frac{Z_1 \cdot \Theta^{1/\alpha_1}}{(\sum_{j=1}^n |Z_j|^{\alpha_j})^{1/\alpha_1}}, \dots, \frac{Z_n \cdot \Theta^{1/\alpha_n}}{(\sum_{j=1}^n |Z_j|^{\alpha_j})^{1/\alpha_n}} \right),$$

where Θ is a positive random variable independent of

$$(1.2) \quad (U_1, \dots, U_n) := \left(\frac{Z_1}{(\sum_{j=1}^n |Z_j|^{\alpha_j})^{1/\alpha_1}}, \dots, \frac{Z_n}{(\sum_{j=1}^n |Z_j|^{\alpha_j})^{1/\alpha_n}} \right),$$

is called the *sign-symmetric Liouville-type distribution* and denoted by $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n; \Theta)$. (Besides, the distribution of the vector (1.2) is called the *sign-symmetric Dirichlet-type distribution* and denoted by $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{D}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$.)

The problem of explaining relations between distributions of random vectors $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and quotients $(X_1/X_n, \dots, X_{n-1}/X_n)$ has a long history. In one of the recent investigations in this field Wesolowski [3] proved a theorem characterizing symmetrically invariant two-dimensional distributions by the Cauchy distribution of quotients. This result was generalized to finite-dimensional α -symmetrically invariant distributions by Szabłowski [2], who con-

sidered a certain Cauchy-like (so-called α -Cauchy) distribution of the quotients. On the other hand, Wesolowski [4] proved that a distribution of an α -spherical random vector is uniquely determined by a distribution of quotients. In this paper, methods adapted from [2] and [4] are applied to obtain a characterization of the sign-symmetric Liouville-type distribution that generalizes previous results.

We will use the following notation: If $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$\|x\|_\alpha := \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha}.$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q > 0$, $x^{(q)} := \text{sign}(x) \cdot |x|^q$. Throughout the paper $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and β_1, \dots, β_n are positive parameters and $p_i := \sum_{j=1}^i \beta_j / \alpha_j$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

2. Characterization.

DEFINITION 1. Let $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_{n+1} > 0$. We say that a random vector $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ has a distribution $\mathcal{D}(a_1, \dots, a_n; b_1, \dots, b_{n+1})$ if its joint density function is

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i \Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b_i)}{2^n \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \Gamma(b_i)} \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|^{a_i b_i - 1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^{a_i} + 1 \right)^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b_i}.$$

The distribution \mathcal{D} is a generalization of α -Cauchy distribution defined by Szablowski [2]. More specifically, we have the following

Remark 1. A random vector $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \sim \mathcal{D}(\alpha, \dots, \alpha; 1/\alpha, \dots, 1/\alpha)$ has the n -dimensional α -Cauchy distribution ($\alpha > 0$).

On the other hand, the distribution introduced by Definition 1 is a special case of the sign-symmetric Liouville distribution. Applying Proposition 3.2 from [1] we get

COROLLARY 1. A random vector $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \sim \mathcal{D}(a_1, \dots, a_n; b_1, \dots, b_{n+1})$ has the distribution $\mathcal{SL}(a_1, \dots, a_n; a_1 b_1, \dots, a_n b_n; \Theta)$, where Θ has the inverted beta-distribution $\mathcal{IB}(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i, b_{n+1}, 1)$, i.e. the probability density function of Θ is

$$f(r) = \frac{1}{B(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i, b_{n+1})} r^{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i - 1} \left(\frac{1}{r+1} \right)^{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b_i}, \quad 0 < r < \infty,$$

B denoting the Euler beta-function.

The main result of this paper is

THEOREM 1. A random vector X without an atom at 0 has the sign-symmetric Liouville-type distribution $\mathcal{SL}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n; \Theta)$ if and only if the following three conditions hold:

- (i) $X \sim -X$ (here the symbol \sim denotes the equidistribution);

(ii) for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and for some $\alpha > 0$ the random vector

$$Y_j := \left(\frac{X_1^{\langle \alpha_1/\alpha \rangle}}{X_j^{\langle \alpha_j/\alpha \rangle}}, \dots, \frac{X_{j-1}^{\langle \alpha_{j-1}/\alpha \rangle}}{X_j^{\langle \alpha_j/\alpha \rangle}}, \frac{X_{j+1}^{\langle \alpha_{j+1}/\alpha \rangle}}{X_j^{\langle \alpha_j/\alpha \rangle}}, \dots, \frac{X_n^{\langle \alpha_n/\alpha \rangle}}{X_j^{\langle \alpha_j/\alpha \rangle}} \right)$$

has the $(n-1)$ -dimensional distribution $\mathcal{D}(\alpha, \dots, \alpha; \beta_1/\alpha_1, \dots, \beta_n/\alpha_n)$;

(iii) Y_j and $\sum_{i=1}^n |X_i|^{\alpha_i}$ are independent.

It is easily seen that sign-symmetric Liouville distributions contain α -symmetrically invariant distributions as a subclass. Hence and in view of Remark 1, Theorem 1 is an important generalization of Szabłowski's result [2].

3. Auxiliary results and proofs. We begin with three technical lemmas (an easy proof of the first one is left to the reader):

LEMMA 1. If $q > 0$, then $Z \sim \mathcal{Z}(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if $Z^{\langle q \rangle} \sim \mathcal{Z}(\alpha/q, \beta/q)$.

LEMMA 2. Let Z_1, \dots, Z_n be mutually independent, real-valued random variables and $Z_i \sim \mathcal{Z}(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$ for some positive parameters α_i and β_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Fix $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and let $q_i > 0$ for $i \neq j$. Then the joint density function of the random vector

$$\overset{M}{Z} = \left(\frac{Z_1^{\langle \alpha_1/q_1 \rangle}}{Z_j^{\langle \alpha_j/q_1 \rangle}}, \dots, \frac{Z_{j-1}^{\langle \alpha_{j-1}/q_{j-1} \rangle}}{Z_j^{\langle \alpha_j/q_{j-1} \rangle}}, \frac{Z_{j+1}^{\langle \alpha_{j+1}/q_{j+1} \rangle}}{Z_j^{\langle \alpha_j/q_{j+1} \rangle}}, \dots, \frac{Z_n^{\langle \alpha_n/q_n \rangle}}{Z_j^{\langle \alpha_j/q_n \rangle}} \right)$$

is

$$(3.1) \quad \int \left| \prod_{i \neq j} z^{\langle \alpha_i/q_i \rangle} \prod_{i \neq j} g_i(x_i z^{\langle \alpha_i/q_i \rangle}) \cdot \frac{\alpha_j}{2\Gamma(\beta_j/\alpha_j)} |z|^{\beta_j-1} \exp(-|z|^{\alpha_j}) dz \right|,$$

where g_i stands for the probability density function of $Z_i^{\langle \alpha_i/q_i \rangle}$.

Proof. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function. Since Z_i are independent, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} Ef(\overset{M}{Z}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2}} f \left(\frac{z_1}{z^{\langle \alpha_j/q_1 \rangle}}, \dots, \frac{z_{j-1}}{z^{\langle \alpha_j/q_{j-1} \rangle}}, \frac{z_{j+1}}{z^{\langle \alpha_j/q_{j+1} \rangle}}, \dots, \frac{z_n}{z^{\langle \alpha_j/q_n \rangle}} \right) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i \neq j} g_i(z_i) dz_1 \dots dz_{j-1} dz_{j+1} \dots dz_n \cdot g(z) dz, \end{aligned}$$

where g is the probability density function of Z_j . Let (with z fixed)

$$x_i := z_i/z^{\langle \alpha_i/q_i \rangle} \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

The Jacobian of this transformation is $\prod_{i \neq j} z^{\langle \alpha_i/q_i \rangle}$. Formula (3.1) is now easily seen. ■

LEMMA 3. Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \sim \mathcal{SL}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n; \Theta)$. Fix $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and let $q_i > 0$ for $i \neq j$. The random vector

$$\overset{M}{X} = \left(\frac{X_1^{\langle \alpha_1/q_1 \rangle}}{X_j^{\langle \alpha_j/q_1 \rangle}}, \dots, \frac{X_{j-1}^{\langle \alpha_{j-1}/q_{j-1} \rangle}}{X_j^{\langle \alpha_j/q_{j-1} \rangle}}, \frac{X_{j+1}^{\langle \alpha_{j+1}/q_{j+1} \rangle}}{X_j^{\langle \alpha_j/q_{j+1} \rangle}}, \dots, \frac{X_n^{\langle \alpha_n/q_n \rangle}}{X_j^{\langle \alpha_j/q_n \rangle}} \right)$$

has the distribution $\mathcal{D}(q_1, \dots, q_{j-1}, q_{j+1}, \dots, q_n; \beta_1/\alpha_1, \dots, \beta_n/\alpha_n)$.

Proof. Since for every $k \in \{1, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, n\}$

$$\frac{X_k^{\langle \alpha_k/q_k \rangle}}{X_j^{\langle \alpha_j/q_k \rangle}} = \frac{Z_k^{\langle \alpha_k/q_k \rangle}}{Z_j^{\langle \alpha_j/q_k \rangle}},$$

the probability density function of \tilde{X} is defined by (3.1). Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| \prod_{i \neq j} z^{\langle \alpha_j/q_i \rangle} \right| \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{q_i}{2\Gamma(\beta_i/\alpha_i)} |x_i z^{\langle \alpha_j/q_i \rangle}|^{(\beta_i/\alpha_i)q_i - 1} \exp(-|x_i z^{\langle \alpha_j/q_i \rangle}|^{q_i}) \\ &\quad \times \frac{\alpha_j}{2\Gamma(\beta_j/\alpha_j)} |z|^{\beta_j - 1} \exp(-|z|^{\alpha_j}) dz \\ &= \frac{\alpha_j \prod_{i \neq j} q_i}{2^n \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\beta_i/\alpha_i)} \prod_{i \neq j} |x_i|^{(\beta_i/\alpha_i)q_i - 1} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |z|^{\alpha_j p_n - 1} \exp[-|z|^{\alpha_j} (\sum_{i \neq j} |x_i|^{q_i} + 1)] dz. \end{aligned}$$

Using symmetry and the integral formula ($\mu, \nu > 0$)

$$\int_0^{\infty} x^{\nu-1} \exp[-\mu x^p] dx = \frac{1}{|p|} \mu^{-\nu/p} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{p}\right),$$

we get

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} |z|^{\alpha_j p_n - 1} \exp[-|z|^{\alpha_j} (\sum_{i \neq j} |x_i|^{q_i} + 1)] dz = \frac{2}{\alpha_j} (\sum_{i \neq j} |x_i|^{q_i} + 1)^{-p_n} \Gamma(p_n).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n) &= \frac{\alpha_j \prod_{i \neq j} q_i}{2^n \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\beta_i/\alpha_i)} \prod_{i \neq j} |x_i|^{(\beta_i/\alpha_i)q_i - 1} \frac{2}{\alpha_j} (\sum_{i \neq j} |x_i|^{q_i} + 1)^{-p_n} \Gamma(p_n) \\ &= \frac{\prod_{i \neq j} q_i}{2^{n-1}} \frac{\Gamma(p_n)}{\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\beta_i/\alpha_i)} \prod_{i \neq j} |x_i|^{(\beta_i/\alpha_i)q_i - 1} (\sum_{i \neq j} |x_i|^{q_i} + 1)^{-p_n}. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\tilde{X} := (X_1^{\langle \alpha_1/\alpha \rangle}, \dots, X_n^{\langle \alpha_n/\alpha \rangle})$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Then $\tilde{X} = U_\alpha \cdot \Theta^{1/\alpha}$, where

$$U_\alpha := \frac{(Z_1^{\langle \alpha_1/\alpha \rangle}, \dots, Z_n^{\langle \alpha_n/\alpha \rangle})}{\|(Z_1^{\langle \alpha_1/\alpha \rangle}, \dots, Z_n^{\langle \alpha_n/\alpha \rangle})\|_\alpha}$$

(U_α and Θ are independent). From Lemma 1 we get

$$U_\alpha \sim \mathcal{SD}\left(\alpha, \dots, \alpha; \frac{\beta_1}{\alpha_1} \alpha, \dots, \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n} \alpha\right)$$

and

$$\tilde{X} \sim \mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha, \dots, \alpha; \frac{\beta_1}{\alpha_1}\alpha, \dots, \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n}\alpha; \Theta\right).$$

Furthermore,

$$X \sim \mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n; \Theta) \Leftrightarrow \tilde{X} \sim \mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha, \dots, \alpha; \frac{\beta_1}{\alpha_1}\alpha, \dots, \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n}\alpha; \Theta\right).$$

Wesołowski [4] showed the following fact. Let the distributions of random vectors

$$\{(-1)^{\varepsilon_1} Y_1, \dots, (-1)^{\varepsilon_n} Y_n\},$$

which have been constructed from a random vector $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ concentrated on the unit α -sphere $S_\alpha := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: \|x\|_\alpha = 1\}$, coincide for any $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and let a random vector $P = (P_1, \dots, P_n)$ take the form $P = RY$ (for some positive random variable R independent of Y). Then the distribution of the vector P is uniquely determined by the distribution of the quotients $(P_1/P_j, \dots, P_{j-1}/P_j, P_{j+1}/P_j, \dots, P_n/P_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Since \tilde{X} obviously satisfies the assumptions of the above statement, applying Lemma 3 we obtain the result of Theorem 1. ■

Acknowledgement. The author is indebted to P. J. Szablowski for valuable suggestions and remarks and to J. Wesołowski for showing the contents of paper [4] prior to publication.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. D. Gupta, J. K. Misiewicz and D. St. P. Richards, *Infinite sequences with sign-symmetric Liouville distributions*, Probab. Math. Statist. 16 (1996), pp. 29–44.
- [2] P. J. Szablowski, *Uniform distributions on spheres in finite dimensional L_α and their generalizations*, J. Multivariate Anal. 64 (1998), pp. 103–117.
- [3] J. Wesołowski, *A characterization of the bivariate elliptically contoured distribution*, Statist. Papers 33 (1992), pp. 143–149.
- [4] J. Wesołowski, *On a uniqueness property of α -spherical distributions*, Probab. Math. Statist. 19 (1999), pp. 123–132.

Faculty of Mathematics
and Information Sciences, Warsaw
University of Technology, 00-661 Warszawa, Poland
E-mail address: Wojciech.Matysiak@prioris.mini.pw.edu.pl

Received on 4.6.1998;
revised version on 10.12.1999

