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#### Abstract

Of all the characterizations of the normal distribution, three landmarks are the theorems of Bernstein and Skitovitch concerning independence of linear forms and the theorem of Geary concerning independence of sample mean and variance. In this note, ideas from several proofs of these theorems are distilled to give unified proofs which depend mostly on simple probability and characteristic function concepts.


1. Introduction. In this note we present proofs of three characterization theorems: those of Bernstein and of Skitovitch concerning independence of linear forms and Geary's Theorem which concerns independence of sample mean and variance. Part of the motivation for this work was the realization that these three important theorems seem not to be very well known amongst statisticians, perhaps because of the rather patchy coverage in probability textbooks. Feller [3] seems to provide the best coverage with a proof of Bernstein's Theorem and careful statements of the Theorems of Skitovitch and Geary. Other probability textbooks I have examined give (if they mention the topic at all) versions of Bernstein's Theorem or Geary's Theorem, often with restrictive moment conditions imposed.

Early proofs of these results involved such restrictive moment assumptions or used cumbersome finite difference methods (see, e.g., Feller [3], especially p. 79). Zinger [15] and Lancaster [8] proved the same results by showing that the independence of certain functions of independent variables implies the existence of moments of all orders and then using cumulant arguments. The proofs presented here are unified, in that they all involve first adapting Lancaster's argument to establish finite second (or higher) moments, and then adapting a characteristic function (cf) argument of Lukacs [10], and elementary in that mostly only simple probability and of concepts are used. It is hoped that this approach will make the theory accessible to a wider audience.
2. Bernstein's Theorem. The following result was first proved under the assumption of equal (and finite) variances by Bernstein [1].

Theorem 1. Suppose $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are independent random variables (rv's). Put $Y_{1}=X_{1}+X_{2}$ and $Y_{2}=X_{1}-X_{2}$. If $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ are independent, then $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are normally distributed with the same variance.

Proof. We prove this theorem using two lemmas, which are proved at the end of this section. We put $\mu_{i}=\mathbb{E}\left(X_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2$.

Lemma 1. The assumptions of Theorem 1 imply that $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ have finite variances.

Lemma 2. Under the assumptions of Theorem $1, X_{1}-\mu_{1}$ and $X_{2}-\mu_{2}$ have the same distribution.

By Lemma 2 we may suppose without loss of generality that $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=0$ and write $\phi$ for the common of of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$. Let $Y_{3}=Y_{2}^{2}=X_{1}^{2}+X_{2}^{2}$ $-2 X_{1} X_{2}$ and let $\gamma$ be the joint of of $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{3}$ :

$$
\gamma(s, t)=\mathbf{E}\left(\exp \left[i S Y_{1}+i t Y_{3}\right]\right)
$$

We have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{3}\right|=\mathbb{E}\left(X_{1}-X_{2}\right)^{2} \leqslant 2 \mathbb{E}\left(X_{1}^{2}\right)+2 \mathbb{E}\left(X_{2}^{2}\right)<\infty
$$

from Lemma 1 , so that, using dominated convergence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{-1}|\gamma(s, t+h)-\gamma(s, t)| & =\left|\mathbb{E}\left(\exp \left[i s Y_{1}+i t Y_{3}\right]\right)\left(\exp \left[i h Y_{3}\right]-1\right) / h\right| \\
& \rightarrow i \mathbb{E} Y_{3} \exp \left[i s Y_{1}+i t Y_{3}\right] \quad \text { as } h \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the partial derivative $\partial \gamma / \partial t$ exists. Therefore, using independence and Lemma 2, we have on the one hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0} & =2 i \mathbb{E}\left(X_{1}^{2} \exp \left[i s X_{1}\right]\right) \mathbb{E}\left(\exp \left[i s X_{2}\right]\right)-2 i \mathbb{E}^{2}\left(X_{1} \exp \left[i s X_{1}\right]\right) \\
& =-2 i \phi^{\prime \prime}(s) \phi(s)+2 i\left(\phi^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and on the other hand

$$
\left.\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}=\left.\mathbb{E}\left(\exp \left[i s Y_{1}\right]\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{E}\left(\exp \left[i t Y_{3}\right]\right)\right|_{t=0}=2 i \sigma^{2}(\phi(s))^{2}
$$

where $\sigma^{2}$ is the common variance of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$. Equating these two expressions gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\phi \phi^{\prime \prime}+\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\sigma^{2} \phi^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is easily solved to give $\phi(s)=\exp \left[-\sigma^{2} s^{2} / 2\right]$ and the theorem follows.
We complete this section with proofs of the lemmas.
Proof of Lemma 1. Take any $\varepsilon<1 / 2$ and choose $A \in(0, \infty)$ so that

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(\left|X_{i}\right|>A\right)<\varepsilon \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{P}\left(\left|Y_{i}\right|>A\right)<\varepsilon \quad \text { for } i=1,2 .
$$

Using independence and the inequalities $\left|Y_{i}\right| \geqslant\left|X_{1}\right|-\left|X_{2}\right|$ for $i=1,2$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1-\varepsilon) \mathbf{P}\left(\left|X_{1}\right|>3 A\right) & \leqslant \mathbf{P}\left(\left|X_{1}\right|>3 A,\left|X_{2}\right| \leqslant A\right) \\
& \leqslant \mathbb{P}\left(\left|Y_{1}\right| \geqslant 2 A,\left|Y_{2}\right| \geqslant 2 A\right) \leqslant \varepsilon^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $\mathbf{P}\left(\left|X_{i}\right|>3 A\right)<2 \varepsilon^{2}$ for $i=1$; the inequality can be proved in the same way for $i=2$. Iterating this result leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}\left(\left|X_{i}\right|>3^{k} A\right)<(2 \varepsilon)^{2^{k}} / 2, \quad i=1,2 ; k \geqslant 0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{X_{i}^{2}}{A^{2}}\right) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}\left(X_{i}^{2}>A^{2} x\right) d x \leqslant \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}\left(\left|X_{i}\right|>A \sqrt{n}\right)  \tag{3}\\
& \leqslant 1+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{3^{k} \leqslant \sqrt{n<3^{k+1}}} \mathbf{P}\left(\left|X_{i}\right|>3^{k} A\right) \\
& \leqslant 1+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 3^{2 k+2} \mathbf{P}\left(\left|X_{i}\right|>3^{k} A\right) \\
& \leqslant 1+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 3^{2 k+2}(2 \varepsilon)^{2^{k}} / 2<\infty .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 2. Let the joint of of $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ be

$$
\psi(s, t)=\mathbf{E}\left(\exp \left[i s Y_{1}+i t Y_{2}\right]\right)
$$

The partial derivative $\partial \psi / \partial t$ exists for the same kind of reasons that $\partial \gamma / \partial t$ does, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0} & =\mathbf{E}\left(i X_{1} \exp \left[i s X_{1}\right]\right) \mathbf{E}\left(\exp \left[i s X_{2}\right]\right)-\mathbf{E}\left(i X_{2} \exp \left[i s X_{2}\right]\right) \mathbf{E}\left(\exp \left[i s X_{1}\right]\right) \\
& =\phi_{1}^{\prime}(s) \phi_{2}(s)-\phi_{1}(s) \phi_{2}^{\prime}(s),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\phi_{i}$ is the of of $X_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. But we also have

$$
\left.\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}=\left.\mathbf{E}\left(\exp \left[i s Y_{1}\right]\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{E}\left(\exp \left[i t Y_{2}\right]\right)\right|_{t=0}=i \phi_{1}(s) \phi_{2}(s)\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right) .
$$

Thus

$$
\phi_{1}^{\prime} / \phi_{1}-\phi_{2}^{\prime} / \phi_{2}=i\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\phi_{1}(s)=\phi_{2}(s) \exp \left[i s\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)\right],
$$

which implies Lemma 2.
3. Skitovitch's Theorem. In this section we show how to extend the methods of Section 2 to prove a generalisation of Bernstein's Theorem due to Skitovitch [13] (see also [14]). We work with cf's again and avoid cumulants and Marcinkiewicz's Theorem (see [11]).

Theorem 2. Suppose that $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are independent rv's, where $n \geqslant 2$, and that $Y_{1}=a_{1} X_{1}+\ldots+a_{n} X_{n}$ and $Y_{2}=b_{1} X_{1}+\ldots+b_{n} X_{n}$ are also independent, where $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ are non-zero constants. Then each $X_{i}$ is normally distributed.

Proof. It is easy to see that we can assume $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ all equal 1 . Lemma 1 can be extended in a straightforward way to establish the finiteness of the variances of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ as follows. Let $\alpha=\min _{i}\left|b_{i}\right|$ and $\beta=\max _{i}\left|b_{i}\right|$. Choose $\varepsilon<1-2^{-1 /(n-1)}$ and choose $A$ so that

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(\left|Y_{1}\right|>n A\right)<\varepsilon, \quad \mathbf{P}\left(\left|Y_{2}\right|>n \beta A\right)<\varepsilon
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(\left|X_{i}\right|>A\right)<\varepsilon \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n
$$

Put $\gamma=(2 n-1) \beta / \alpha(\geqslant 3)$. Then it follows as in Section 2 (see also (13) below) that for $i=1, \ldots, n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}\left(\left|X_{i}\right|>\gamma A\right)<2 \varepsilon^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathbf{E}\left(X_{i}^{2}\right)<\infty$ holds as in the proof of Lemma 1.
Extending the notation of the last section in an obvious way we have

$$
\left.\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}=\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j} i b_{j} X_{j} \exp \left[i s \sum_{k} X_{k}\right]\right)=\sum_{j} b_{j} \phi_{j}^{\prime}(s) \prod_{k \neq j} \phi_{k}(s)
$$

and

$$
\left.\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}=\left.\prod_{j} \phi_{j}(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{E}\left(\exp \left[i t Y_{2}\right]\right)\right|_{t=0}=\prod_{j} \phi_{j}(s) \sum_{k} i b_{k} \mu_{k}
$$

Combining these equations and integrating we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{j}\left(\phi_{j}(s) \exp \left[-i s \mu_{j}\right]\right)^{b_{j}}=1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the fact that $\sum_{j} b_{j} \sigma_{j}^{2}=0$, which follows from $\operatorname{cov}\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)=0$, we can write (5) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{j}\left(\phi_{j}(s) \exp \left[-i s \mu_{j}+s^{2} \sigma_{j}^{2} / 2\right]\right)^{b_{j}}=1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, this equation also holds with $b_{j}$ replaced by $b_{j}^{2}$. To see this, put

$$
Y_{3}=Y_{2}^{2}=\sum_{j} b_{j}^{2} X_{j}^{2}+\sum_{j \neq k} b_{j} b_{k} X_{j} X_{k}
$$

Then with $\gamma$ as in Section 2 we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}=-i\left(\sum_{j} b_{j}^{2} \phi_{j}^{\prime \prime}(s) \prod_{k \neq j} \phi_{k}(s)+\sum_{j \neq k} b_{j} b_{k} \phi_{j}^{\prime}(s) \phi_{k}^{\prime}(s) \prod_{l \neq j, k} \phi_{l}(s)\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}=i x \prod_{j} \phi_{j}(s), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi=\sum_{j} b_{j}^{2} \sigma_{j}^{2}+\left(\sum_{j} b_{j} \mu_{j}\right)^{2}$. Therefore, (7) and (8) lead to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j} b_{j}^{2} \frac{\phi_{j}^{\prime \prime}}{\phi_{j}}+\sum_{j \neq k} b_{j} b_{k} \frac{\phi_{j}^{\prime} \phi_{k}^{\prime}}{\phi_{j} \phi_{k}}=-x \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(it is clear from (5) that no $\phi_{j}(s)$ vanishes). We define $K_{j}(s)=\log \phi_{j}(s)$, in terms of which (9) together with (6) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}^{2} K_{j}^{\prime \prime}(s)=-\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}^{2} \sigma_{j}^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which integrates to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{j}\left(\phi_{j}(s) \exp \left[-i s \mu_{j}+s^{2} \sigma_{j}^{2} / 2\right]\right)^{b_{j}^{2}}=1 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the $b_{j}$ 's are integers, then each $\phi_{j}^{b_{j}^{2}}$ is a cf, and so the normality of the $X_{j}$ 's follows from Cramér's Theorem which states that the only independent decomposition of a normal rv is into normal components (see, e.g., Linnik [9], who establishes the equivalence of this special case of Theorem 2 and Cramér's Theorem).

However, it is not difficult to adapt Cramer's proof ([2], pp. 55-56) to prove Theorem 2 in general. Choose $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$. It follows from (4) that $\mathbb{E}\left(\exp \left[A X_{j}^{2}\right]\right)<\infty$ for any $A>0$ (cf. (3)). This guarantees that $\phi_{j}(s)$ is an entire function for all complex values of s . Also, it follows from (11) that

$$
\prod_{j} \left\lvert\, \phi_{j}(s)^{b^{2}} \leqslant \exp \left[\frac{1}{2}|s|^{2} \sum_{j} b_{j}^{2} \sigma_{j}^{2}+|s| \sum_{j} b_{j}^{2}\left|\mu_{j}\right|\right]\right.,
$$

so that each $\phi_{j}^{b^{2}}$ is of order $\leqslant 2$. Since no $\phi_{j}$ has a zero, it follows from Hadamard's factorization theorem (see, e.g., [3], p. 525) that $b_{j}^{2} \log \phi_{j}(s)$ is a quadratic polynomial so that $X_{j}$ is normally distributed.
4. Geary's Theorem. Geary [4] proved the following result assuming all moments are finite.

Theorem 3. Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be independent and identically distributed rv's and put

$$
\bar{X}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}{n}, \quad S^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}}{n-1} .
$$

If $\bar{X}$ and $S^{2}$ are independent, then $X_{1}$ is normally distributed.

Proof. Our proof follows Lukacs's in showing that the of $\phi$ of $X_{1}$ satisfies the differential equation (1), by differentiating with respect to $t$ and setting $t=0$ in the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} \exp \left[i s \bar{X}+i t S^{2}\right]=\phi^{n}(s / n) \chi(t) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ is the of of $S^{2}$; we omit details of this step, which may also be found on p. 363 of [7]. Use is made of the interesting identity (in terms of our unbiased $S^{2}$ )

$$
S^{2}=\frac{(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2}-\sum \sum_{i \neq j} X_{i} X_{j}}{n(n-1)}
$$

The validity of this differentiation of (12) depends of course on the finiteness of $\operatorname{var}\left(X_{1}\right)$, which Lukacs assumed, but which is actually a consequence of independence and can be verified along the lines of Lemma 1:

Lemma 3. Under the conditions of Theorem $3, \mathbf{E}\left(X_{1}^{2}\right)<\infty$.
Proof. Take $\varepsilon$ as in the last section and choose $A$ such that $\mathbf{P}\left(\left|X_{i}\right|>A\right)<\varepsilon$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $\mathbf{P}\left(S^{2} \geqslant 4(n-1)^{3} A^{2} / n^{2}\right)<\varepsilon$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-\varepsilon)^{n-1} \mathbf{P}\left(\left|X_{1}\right|>(2 n-1) A\right)  \tag{13}\\
& \quad \leqslant \mathbf{P}\left(\left|X_{1}\right|>(2 n-1) A,\left|X_{j}\right| \leqslant A, j=2, \ldots, n\right) \\
& \quad \leqslant \mathbf{P}\left(|\bar{X}|>A, S^{2} \geqslant \frac{4(n-1)^{3} A^{2}}{n^{2}}\right)<\varepsilon^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the second inequality we use in particular

$$
\sqrt{(n-1) S^{2}} \geqslant\left|X_{1}-\bar{X}\right| \geqslant(1-1 / n)\left|X_{1}\right|-\sum_{2}^{n}\left|X_{i}\right| / n \geqslant 2(n-1)^{2} A / n .
$$

It follows that $\mathbf{P}\left(\left|X_{1}\right|>(2 n-1) A\right)<2 \varepsilon^{2}$ and the rest of the proof is similar to that of Lemma 1.
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