PROBABILITY
AND
MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS
Vol. 22, Fasc. 1 (2002), pp. 13–18

# A LOGARITHMIC SOBOLEV INEQUALITY FOR ONE-DIMENSIONAL MULTIVALUED STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Dν

## B. DJEHICHE (STOCKHOLM), M. EDDAHBI\* AND Y. OUKNINE (MARRAKECH)

Abstract. We establish a logarithmic Sobolev inequality for a onedimensional multivalued stochastic differential equation associated with the subdifferential of a convex lower semicontinuous function, using an explicit expression for the Malliavin derivative of the considered process. This result is given under some mild conditions on the coefficients.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

In this note we prove a logarithmic Sobolev inequality for a class of one-dimensional multivalued stochastic differential equations associated with the subdifferential of a convex lower semicontinuous function h. This includes important examples including the one-dimensional diffusion processes with zero or two reflecting barriers, the reflected Bessel process and the Bang-Bang process. The inequality generalizes the one established in Capitaine [1] for one-dimensional diffusion processes. The main tool is the explicit expression of the stochastic derivative of reflected diffusion processes as given in Lépingle et al. [3], which turns out to be positive and dominated by a stochastic process as is the case of a standard diffusion process.

Let  $W = \{W_t : t \ge 0\}$  be a one-dimensional standard Brownian motion defined on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t : t \ge 0, P)$ , where  $\Omega = \mathcal{C}_0([0, T], R)$  is the space of real-valued continuous functions on [0, T] vanishing at the origin, P is the Wiener measure and  $\mathcal{F}_t$  in the natural filtration of W completed with the P-null sets of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Let  $h: \mathbb{R} \to ]-\infty, +\infty]$  be a proper convex and lower semicontinuous function, that is the interior I of its domain

$$Dom(h) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}: h(x) < +\infty\}$$

is nonempty. The multivalued maximal monotone operator  $\partial h$  is defined by its graph

$$\operatorname{Gr}(\partial h) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{R}, \ h(z) \geqslant h(x) + y(z - x) \}.$$

<sup>\*</sup> Supported in part by the TWAS research grant Ref. 98-199 RG/MATHS/AF/AC.

Let us now introduce our multivalued stochastic differential equation (MSDE). Let the real-valued functions  $\sigma$  and b be Lipschitz, let h be a proper convex and lower semicontinuous function and suppose that  $\eta$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_0$  random variable taking its values in  $\overline{I}$ , the closure of the interior of Dom (h).

Given  $\sigma$ , b,  $\eta$ , h and the Brownian motion W, Lépingle and Marois [2] proved that there exists a unique pair (Y, K) of  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted and continuous processes such that:

- For each  $0 \le t \le T$ ,  $Y_t$  takes its values in  $\overline{I}$  and  $Y_0 = \underline{\eta}$ .
- $dK_t$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite random measure on [0, T],  $K_0 = 0$  and is adapted in the sense that, for any measurable mapping  $\varphi: [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}_+$ , the process  $\int_0^t \varphi(s) dK_s$  is  $\mathscr{F}_t$ -measurable.
  - (Y, K) solves the SDE:

$$dY_t = \sigma(Y_t) dW_t + b(Y_t) dt - dK_t, \quad Y_0 = \eta.$$

• For every pair of optional processes  $(\alpha, \beta)$  such that  $(\alpha, \beta) \in Gr(\partial h)$  the measure

$$(Y_t - \alpha_t)(dK_t - \beta_t dt)$$

is a.s. positive over [0, T].

In short, the pair (Y, K) is called a solution of the problem Eq $(\eta, \sigma, b, h)$ . The following important examples are special cases of the problem Eq $(\eta, \sigma, b, h)$ :

• Reflecting SDE in zero. This corresponds to the convex function

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{for } x < 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

• Reflection with two obstacles 0 and 1 corresponds to the convex function

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{for } x \notin [0, 1], \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

• Reflected Bessel process of order  $\alpha>1$  corresponds to the convex function

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{for } x \leq 0, \\ [(1-\alpha)/2] \log x & \text{for } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

• Bang-Bang process corresponds to the convex function h(x) = |x|.

Capitaine [1] has proved a logarithmic Sobolev inequality when there is no reflection  $(K \equiv 0)$  and the diffusion coefficient  $\sigma$  is assumed to be Lipschitz

non-degenerate and  $\mathscr{C}^2$  whereas the drift coefficient is assumed Lipschitz and  $\mathscr{C}^1$ . The main result in this note, Proposition 1.1, is a logarithmic Sobolev inequality for the reflecting diffusion described above. As a by-product, we obtain the logarithmic Sobolev inequality for the standard SDE considered in Capitaine [1], under fairly weak conditions on the coefficients.

Let  $\mu$  denote the positive  $\sigma$ -finite measure associated with h and given by the formula  $\mu(a, b) = h'(b) - h'(a)$  for a < b and a and b in I,  $\mu(I^c) = 0$ , where, here and in the sequel, g' denotes the right derivative of the function g.

PROPOSITION 1.1. Let the pair (Y, K) be the solution of the problem Eq $(\eta, \sigma, b, h)$ . Furthermore, assume that  $\eta$  is in  $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0)$  with  $p \ge 4$  and that  $\sigma$  and b satisfy the following conditions:

- (1)  $\sigma$  is the difference of two convex functions,  $\sigma(x) > 0$  for all x in I, and  $\sigma$  and b are Lipschitz functions.
  - (2) The measure  $-\sigma'(Y_t)dK_t$  is a.s. positive on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .
  - (3) There exists a positive constant c such that the measure

(1.1) 
$$\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma' - \frac{b}{\sigma}\right)'(dx) + \mu(dx) - cdx \text{ is positive.}$$

Then, for any function f in  $\mathscr{C}_b^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ ,

(1.2) 
$$E[f^2(Y_t)\log f^2(Y_t)] - E[f^2(Y_t)]\log E[f^2(Y_t)]$$

$$\leq \frac{1 - \exp(-2ct)}{c} E\left[ \left( f'(Y_t) \sigma(Y_t) \right)^2 \right].$$

Remark.

• The logarithmic Sobolev inequality (1.2) coincides with the one corresponding to the case  $K \equiv 0$ , established in Capitaine [1] under stronger conditions on the coefficients. If furthermore  $\sigma$  is  $\mathscr{C}^2$  and b is  $\mathscr{C}^1$  in Proposition 1.1, the condition (1.1) coincides with the main condition leading to the logarithmic Sobolev inequality in Capitaine [1], namely

$$\sigma^{-1}(L\sigma-b'\sigma)\geqslant -c,$$

where  $c \ge 0$  and L is the infinitesimal generator of the diffusion with coefficients  $\sigma$  and b.

- Using the method of proof of Proposition 2 in Capitaine [1], we can easily extend the logarithmic Sobolev inequality (1.2) to every cylindric function of the process Y. For ease of exposition, we omit the details.
- The condition (2) in Proposition 1.1 is satisfied if e.g. h is a decreasing function and  $\sigma'(x) \ge 0$  dx a.e.

### 2. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

It is proved in Lépingle et al. [3], Proposition 2.7, that if  $\eta$  is in  $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0)$  with  $p \ge 2$ , then we have the explicit formula for the Malliavin derivative of the process Y:

$$D_{r} Y_{t} = 1_{B_{r,T}}(t) \left\{ \sigma(Y_{r}) + \int_{r}^{t} \sigma'(Y_{s}) D_{r} Y_{s} dW_{s} + \int_{r}^{t} b'(Y_{s}) D_{r} Y_{s} ds - D_{r} K_{t} \right\},\,$$

where  $B_{r,T}$  in a random set, in the case when h is decreasing and is affine on  $[a, b]^c$ ,  $1_{B_{r,T}}(t) = [r, T]$ . Moreover, it follows from Theorem 3.2 in [3] that if  $\eta$  is in  $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0)$  with  $p \ge 4$ , then a.s. in  $[0, t] \times \Omega$ 

$$(2.1) 0 \leqslant D_r Y_t \leqslant V_t(r) := U_t(r) \exp\left(-\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{L_t^x - L_r^x}{\sigma^2(x)} \mu(dx)\right),$$

where  $L_s^x$  denotes the local time of the process Y at x at time s and

$$U_{t}(r) = \sigma(Y_{r}) \exp\left(\int_{r}^{t} \sigma'(Y_{s}) dW_{s} - \int_{r}^{t} \frac{1}{2} (\sigma')^{2} (Y_{s}) ds + \int_{r}^{t} b'(Y_{s}) ds\right).$$

As in [1], we consider the reflected diffusion Y as a functional of W. Hence the logarithmic Sobolev inequality for functionals of the Brownian motion yields

$$E[f^{2}(Y_{t})\log f^{2}(Y_{t})] - E[f^{2}(Y_{t})]\log E[f^{2}(Y_{t})] \le 2E\int_{0}^{t} (f'(Y_{t})D_{r}Y_{t})^{2} dr.$$

Now, by (2.1), the above inequality becomes

$$E[f^2(Y_t)\log f^2(Y_t)] - E[f^2(Y_t)]\log E[f^2(Y_t)] \le 2E\int_0^t (f'(Y_t)V_t(r))^2 dr.$$

In order to get the inequality, we make use of the following upper bound of the process  $U_t(r)$ .

LEMMA 2.1. Under the conditions of Proposition 1.1 we have

$$U_t(r) \leq \sigma(Y_t) \exp\left(-\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{L_t^{\mathbf{x}} - L_r^{\mathbf{x}}}{\sigma(\mathbf{x})} \left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma' - \frac{b}{\sigma}\right)'(d\mathbf{x})\right).$$

Proof. For t in [0, T], we set

$$M_{t} = \exp\left(-\int_{0}^{t} \sigma'(Y_{s}) dW_{s} - \int_{0}^{t} \left(b' - \frac{1}{2} [\sigma']^{2}\right) (Y_{s}) ds\right),$$

$$A_{t} = \exp\left(-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{R} \frac{d_{u} L_{u}^{x}}{\sigma(x)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma' - \frac{b}{\sigma}\right)' (dx)\right).$$

Now, integrating by parts we get

$$A_{t}\sigma(Y_{t})M_{t} = A_{r}\sigma(Y_{r})M_{r} + \int_{r}^{t}A_{u}M_{u}d\sigma(Y_{u}) + \int_{r}^{t}\sigma(Y_{u})M_{u}dA_{u}$$
$$+ \int_{r}^{t}A_{u}\sigma(Y_{u})dM_{u} + \int_{r}^{t}A_{u}d\langle\sigma(Y_{u}),M_{u}\rangle_{u}.$$

Using the Meyer-Tanaka formula we obtain

$$d\sigma(Y_{u}) = (\sigma'\sigma)(Y_{u})dW_{u} + (\sigma'b)(Y_{u})du + \frac{1}{2}d_{u}\int_{\mathbf{R}}L_{u}^{x}\sigma''(dx) - \sigma'(Y_{u})dK_{u},$$

$$dM_{u} = -M_{u}\sigma'(Y_{u})dW_{u} + M_{u}([\sigma']^{2} - b')(Y_{u})du,$$

$$d\langle\sigma(Y_{u}), M_{u}\rangle_{u} = -M_{u}([\sigma']^{2}\sigma)(Y_{u})du.$$

Substituting in the above formula we obtain

$$A_{t} \sigma(Y_{t}) M_{t} = A_{r} \sigma(Y_{r}) M_{r} + \int_{r}^{t} A_{u} M_{u}(\sigma' \sigma)(Y_{u}) dW_{u}$$

$$+ \int_{r}^{t} A_{u} M_{u}(\sigma' b)(Y_{u}) du + \frac{1}{2} \int_{r}^{t} A_{u} M_{u} \int_{R}^{t} d_{u} L_{u}^{x} \sigma''(dx)$$

$$- \int_{r}^{t} A_{u} M_{u} \sigma'(Y_{u}) dK_{u} - \int_{r}^{t} A_{u} \sigma(Y_{u}) M_{u} \int_{R}^{t} \frac{d_{u} L_{u}^{x}}{\sigma(x)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma' - \frac{b}{\sigma}\right)'(dx)$$

$$- \int_{r}^{t} A_{u} M_{u}(\sigma' \sigma)(Y_{u}) dW_{u} + \int_{r}^{t} A_{u} M_{u} \left(\sigma([\sigma']^{2} - b')\right)(Y_{u}) du$$

$$- \int_{r}^{t} A_{u} M_{u}(\sigma[\sigma']^{2})(Y_{u}) du.$$

Therefore,

$$A_{t}\sigma(Y_{t})M_{t} = A_{r}\sigma(Y_{r})M_{r} + \frac{1}{2}\int_{r}^{t}A_{u}M_{u}\int_{R}d_{u}L_{u}^{x}\sigma''(dx)$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\int_{u}^{t}A_{u}\sigma(Y_{u})M_{u}\int_{R}\frac{d_{u}L_{u}^{x}}{\sigma(x)}\sigma''(dx) - \int_{r}^{t}A_{u}M_{u}\sigma'(Y_{u})dK_{u}.$$

Consequently, since for a.a.  $\omega$  the measure in s,  $d_s L_s^x$ , is carried by the set  $\{u: Y_u(\omega) = x\}$ , it follows that

$$A_t \sigma(Y_t) M_t = A_r \sigma(Y_r) M_r - \int_u^t A_u M_u \sigma'(Y_u) dK_u$$

Now, since by the condition (2) the integral  $-\int_{r}^{t} A_{u} M_{u} \sigma'(Y_{u}) dK_{u}$  is non-negative, it follows that

$$U_t(r) = \sigma(Y_r) \frac{M_r}{M_t} \leqslant \sigma(Y_t) \frac{A_t}{A_r}$$
.

Proof of Proposition 1.1. Applying Lemma 2.1 we get

$$E[f^{2}(Y_{t})\log f^{2}(Y_{t})] - E[f^{2}(Y_{t})]\log E[f^{2}(Y_{t})]$$

$$\leq E \left[ \left( f'(Y_t) \sigma(Y_t) \right)^2 \int_0^t \exp \left( -2 \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{L_t^x - L_r^x}{\sigma^2(x)} \left( \sigma \left( \frac{1}{2} \sigma' - \frac{b}{\sigma} \right)'(dx) + \mu(dx) \right) \right) dr \right].$$

But, the condition (1.1) yields

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{L_{t}^{x} - L_{r}^{x}}{\sigma^{2}(x)} \left( \sigma \left( \frac{1}{2} \sigma' - \frac{b}{\sigma} \right)' (dx) + \mu(dx) \right) \geqslant c \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{L_{t}^{x} - L_{r}^{x}}{\sigma^{2}(x)} dx = c(t - r),$$

by the occupation density formula. Therefore,

$$\int_{0}^{t} \exp\left(-2\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{L_{t}^{x} - L_{r}^{x}}{\sigma^{2}(x)} \left(\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma' - \frac{b}{\sigma}\right)'(dx) + \mu(dx)\right)\right) dr$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{t} \exp\left(2c(r-t)\right) dr = \frac{1 - \exp\left(-2ct\right)}{2c}. \quad \blacksquare$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Capitaine, Sur une inégalité de Sobolev logarithmique pour une diffusion unidimensionnelle, Séminaires de Probabilités XXXII, Lecture Notes in Math. 1686 (1998), pp. 6-13.
- [2] D. Lépingle et C. Marois, Equations différentielles stochastiques multivoques unidimensionnelle, Séminaires de Probabilités XXI, Lecture Notes in Math. 1247 (1987), pp. 520-533.
- [3] D. Lépingle, D. Nualart et M. Sanz-Solé, Dérivation stochastique des diffusions réfléchies, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré 25 (1989), pp. 283-300.

B. Djehiche
The Royal Institute of Technology
Department of Mathematics
S-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden
E-mail: boualem@math.kth.se

Y. Ouknine
Université Cadi Ayyad
Faculté des Sciences Semlalia
Département de Mathématiques
B.P. 2390, Marrakech, Maroc
E-mail: ouknine@ucam.ac.ma

M. Eddahbi
Université Cadi Ayyad
Faculté des Sciences et Techniques
Département de Math. & Info.
B.P. 549, Marrakech, Maroc
E-mail: eddahbi@fstg-marrakech.ac.ma

Received on 16.1.2001