

# LINEARLY ADDITIVE RANDOM FIELDS WITH INDEPENDENT INCREMENTS ON TIME-LIKE CURVES

RV

#### SHIGEO TAKENAKA (OKAYAMA)

Abstract. Let V be a convex cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A curve  $L = \{l(t); t \in \mathbb{R}_+\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is called a *time-like curve* if  $\{l(s); s \geq t\} \subset l(t) + V$  holds for any t. A random field  $\{X(t); t \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  whose restriction  $X|_L(t) = X(l(t))$  on time-like curve L becomes an additive process is considered and it is characterized as a set-indexed random field on the dual cone  $V^*$ .

#### 0. SOME FACTS FROM PROJECTIVE GEOMETRY

**0.1.** Local coordinate. Let  $P^n = (R^{n+1} \setminus \{0\})/(R \setminus \{0\})$  be the *n*-dimensional real projective space and  $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, x_0)$  be its homogeneous coordinate. That is, two vectors x and y are identified in  $P^n$  if there exists a non-zero real number c such that  $x = c \cdot y$ .

The subset  $H_{\infty} = \{(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, 0)\}$  is called the (hyper-)plane at infinity. We can introduce a local coordinate in the set  $P^n \setminus H_{\infty}$  as  $\pi(x) = (x_1/x_0, x_2/x_0, ..., x_n/x_0)$ , and identify  $P^n \setminus H_{\infty}$  with  $R^n$ . In this report, we will use these local coordinates and use x instead of  $\pi(x)$  to simplify the notation.

**0.2.** Hyperplanes. To any vector  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  (or  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n, 1)$  in homogeneous coordinates) there corresponds a hyperplane

$$H_a = \{x \in \mathbb{P}^n; a \cdot x = a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + ... + a_n x_n = -1\}$$

(in homogeneous coordinates the condition inside the brackets takes the form  $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} = a_1 x_1 + \ldots + a_n x_n + a_0 x_0 = 0$ ). Let us introduce a map \* from  $P^n$  onto the set of all hyperplanes as  $\mathbf{a}^* = H_a$ . Let us use the same notation to denote the inverse map of \*, that is,  $\mathbf{a}^{**} = (H_a)^* = \mathbf{a}$  (the map \* is called the *duality map* between points and hyperplanes in  $P^n$ ). Note that  $\mathbf{a}^*$  is the hyperplane which is perpendicular to the vector  $\mathbf{a}$  and the distance from the origin  $\mathbf{O}$  is  $-1/|\mathbf{a}|$  (i.e., located on the opposite side of the origin).

**0.3. Some properties.** Let us fix a point a. Take any point  $y \in a^*$  and consider the following set of hyperplanes:

$${y^*; y \in a^*} = {\{z; z \cdot y = -1\}; y \cdot a = -1\}.$$

It follows that  $\bigcup_{y \in a^*} y^* = \{a\}$ . This means that the dual hyperplane  $a^*$  of a point a is identified with the set of all hyperplanes  $H_y = y^*$  which contain the point a.

For any point a, define the set  $S(a) = \{x; a \cdot x \le -1\}$  which can mean the set of all hyperplanes crossing the line segment  $\overline{Oa}$  (see [8]).

#### 1. RANDOM MEASURES AND LINEARLY ADDITIVE RANDOM FIELDS

1.1. Random measure. Let  $(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. Fix an infinitely divisible law and write its characteristic function as  $e^{-c\varphi(z)}$ .

DEFINITION 1.1. A random field  $\mathcal{Y} = \{Y(B); B \in \mathcal{B}, \mu(B) < \infty\}$  is called a random measure with controlled measure  $(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1.  $E \lceil e^{izY(B)} \rceil = e^{-\mu(B)\varphi(z)}$ ;
- 2. for any A,  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , Y(A) and Y(B) are independent and  $Y(A \cup B) = Y(A) + Y(B)$  holds a.s.;
  - 3. for any disjoint family  $A_n \in \mathcal{B}$ , n = 1, 2, ...,

$$Y(\bigcup_{n} A_n) = \sum_{n} Y(A_n)$$
 a.s.

## 1.2. Linearly additive random fields.

DEFINITION 1.2 (linearly additive random fields). An  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -parameter random field  $\{X(t); t \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  is called *linearly additive* if it is additive on any line. That is, the process  $Z(s) \equiv X(sv+v_0)$  has independent increments on any line  $\{sv+v_0\}$ .

Mori [2] obtained the following theorem:

THEOREM 1.1 (Mori [2]). Let  $\{X(t)\}$  be an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -parameter linearly additive random field. Then there exists uniquely a measure  $\mu$  on the set of all hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the field has the representation

$$X(t) = Y(S_t),$$

where the set  $S_t$  is defined in Section 0.3, and  $\{Y(B); B \text{ a measurable set in } \mathbb{R}^n\}$  is the random measure which corresponds to the infinite divisible law of  $\{X(t)\}$  controlled by  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu)$ .

Let us call this measure the Chentsov-Mori measure of  $\{X\}$ .

#### 2. MULTIPARAMETER ADDITIVE RANDOM FIELDS

## 2.1. $R_{+}^{n}$ -parameter case.

DEFINITION 2.1 (Rocha-Arteaga and Sato [3]). An  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -parameter random field  $\{X(t); t \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  is called a multiparameter additive random field if the following conditions hold:

- 1. For any points  $s_1 \leq s_2 \leq ... \leq s_m$ , the differences  $X(s_n) X(s_{n-1})$ , n = 2, 3, ..., m, make an independent system, where  $u \leq t$  means that  $u_k \leq t_k$  hold for any k-th coordinate.
- 2. If  $s_1 \leq s_2$ ,  $s_3 \leq s_4$  and  $s_2 s_1 = s_4 s_3$ , then  $X(s_2) X(s_1)$  and  $X(s_4) X(s_3)$  are subject to the same law (i.e.  $\{X\}$  is invariant under the parallel transforms).
  - 3. X(0) = 0 a.s.
  - 4. X(s) is right-continuous and has left limits with respect to the order  $\leq$ .

THEOREM 2.1 (Takenaka [11]). Let  $\{X(t); t \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  be a linearly additive multiparameter random field. Then there exists uniquely a measure  $\mu$  which concentrates on  $(\mathbb{R}_-)^n$ , the field  $\{X\}$  has the following Chentsov type representation:

$$X(t) = Y(S(t)),$$

where  $Y = \{Y\}$  is the random measure controlled by the measure  $\mu(x) = ((dr)/r^{n+1}) dv(u)$ ,  $x = r \cdot u$ ,  $u \in S^{n-1}$ , which is invariant under the dual actions of the parallel transforms.

# 2.2. The case of convex cone parameter.

### 2.2.1. Convex cone.

DEFINITION 2.2. A closed subset  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is called a *convex cone* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1. There exists  $v_0$  such that  $v_0 \cdot v \ge 0$  for all  $v \in V$ .
- 2. V is a convex set, that is for any  $v_1, v_2 \in V$  and for all  $c, 0 \le c \le 1$ , it follows that  $cv_1 + (1-c)v_2 \in V$ .
  - 3. For any  $v \in V$  and for all  $c \ge 0$ ,  $cv \in V$ .

DEFINITION 2.3. Let V be a convex cone. The dual cone  $V^*$  is defined as:

$$V^* = \{ u \in \mathbb{R}^n; \ u \cdot v \leq 0, \ \forall v \in V \}.$$

Note that the dual cone  $V^*$  is also a convex cone, and we have  $(V^*)^* = V$ .

#### 2.2.2. Examples.

- Let us set  $V = \mathbb{R}^n_+$ . Then  $V^* = \mathbb{R}^n_-$ .
- Let  $V_f = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n); \sqrt{|x_2^2 + \ldots + x_n^2|} \le x_1\}$  be the future cone. Then the dual cone  $V_f^* = \{\sqrt{x_2^2 + \ldots + x_n^2} \le -x_1\}$  is the past cone in physics where we take the speed of light equal to 1.
- **2.3.** V-parameter additive random fields. Let  $V \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n$  be a convex cone. A curve  $L = \{l(t); t \in \mathbb{R}_+\} \subset V$  is called a *time-like curve* if  $\{l(s); s \ge t\} \subset l(t) + V$  holds for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

DEFINITION 2.4. A random field  $\{X(t); t \in V\}$  is called an additive random field with respect to V if the parameter restriction  $\{X_L(t) = X(l(t)); t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$  becomes an additive process for any time-like curve L.

Note that  $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$  is a convex cone. Thus the multiparameter additive field considered in Section 2.1 is an example of an additive field with respect to the cone  $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ .

THEOREM 2.2 (Takenaka [12]). Let  $\{X(t); t \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  be a linearly additive random field and V be a convex cone. If  $\{X(t); t \in V\}$  is an additive random field with respect to V, then there exists uniquely a measure  $\mu$  which concentrates on the dual cone  $V^*$  and the process  $\{X\}$  has the following Chentsov type representation:

$$X(t) = Y(S(t)),$$

where  $\{Y(\cdot)\}$  is the random measure controlled by  $\mu$ .

**2.4. Proof.** Let us take  $v_1, v_2, v_3, ... \in V$ . Consider the differences

$$X(v_1) = Y(S(v_1)),$$

$$X(v_2+v_1)-X(v_1) = Y(S(v_2+v_1))-Y(S(v_1)),$$

$$X(v_3+v_2+v_1)-X(v_2+v_1) = Y(S(v_3+v_2+v_1))-Y(S(v_2+v_1)),$$

If the corresponding set  $S(\cdot) \cap V^*$  with respect to the increasing sequence of points  $v_1, v_1 + v_2, v_1 + v_2 + v_3, \dots$  is an increasing sequence of subsets, the above differences are of the form

$$\begin{split} X(v_1) &= Y\big(S(v_1)\big), \\ X(v_2+v_1)-X(v_1) &= Y\big(S(v_2+v_1)\backslash S(v_1)\big), \\ X(v_3+v_2+v_1)-X(v_2+v_1) &= Y\big(S(v_3+v_2+v_1)\backslash S(v_2+v_1)\big), \end{split}$$

and are independent of each other.

Let us show the above. To make it simple consider the following two sets:  $S(v_1) = \{v_1 \cdot x \le -1\}$  and  $S(v_1 + v_2) = \{(v_1 + v_2) \cdot x \le -1\}$ . We are now concerned with the intersection of these two sets. Let us consider the intersection of the boundary of two sets:

$$B = \{x; v_1 \cdot x = -1, (v_1 + v_2) \cdot x = -1\}.$$

It follows that for any  $z \in B$ ,  $z \cdot v_2 = 0$ . Recall the definition:  $V^* = \{u; u \cdot v \leq 0, \forall v \in V\}$ ; the equation  $z \cdot v_2 = 0$  means that the set B is located outside of the dual cone  $V^*$ . The distances of two boundary hyperplanes from the origin are  $1/||v_1||$ ,  $1/||v_1+v_2||$ . Consequently, we have  $||v_1|| < ||v_1+v_2||$ . These facts prove that the following relation of the sets holds:

$$(S(v_1+v_2)\cap V^*)\supset (S(v_1)\cap V^*).$$

Since the support of the Chentsov-Mori measure related to this field X is  $V^*$ ,  $X(v_1+v_2)-X(v_1)$  is independent of  $X(v_1)$ .

In the same manner we can prove the main result.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. Kojo and S. Takenaka, On canonical representations of stable M<sub>1</sub>-processes, Probab. Math. Statist. 13 (1992), pp. 229-238.
- [2] T. Mori, Representation of linearly additive random fields, Probab. Theory Related Fields 92 (1992), pp. 91-115.
- [3] A. Rocha-Arteaga and K. Sato, Topics in Infinitely Divisible Distributions and Lévy Processes, Communicación Técnica No 1-01-15/15-08-2001 (2001).
- [4] Y. Sato, Distributions of stable random fields of Chentsov type, Nagoya Math. J. 123 (1991), pp. 119-139.
- [5] Y. Sato, Structure of Lévy measures of stable random fields of Chentsov type, Probab. Math. Statist. 13 (1992), pp. 165-176.
- [6] Y. Sato and S. Takenaka, On determinism of symmetric α-stable processes of generalized Chentsov type, in: Gaussian Random Fields, World Scientific, 1991, pp. 332-345.
- [7] S. Takenaka, Representations of Euclidean random field, Nagoya Math. J. 105 (1987), pp. 19-31.
- [8] S. Takenaka, Integral-geometric constructions of self-similar stable processes, Nagoya Math. J. 123 (1991), pp. 1-12.
- [9] S. Takenaka, Examples of self-similar stable processes, in: Stochastic Processes, Springer, 1993, pp. 303-311.
- [10] S. Takenaka, On determinism of set-indexed SαS-processes, in: Trends in Probability and Related Analysis 1999, World Scientific, 1999, pp. 285-290.
- [11] S. Takenaka, On Set-Indexed Processes (in Japanese), Bulletin of Okayama Univ. of Science 37A (2002), pp. 15-21.
- [12] S. Takenaka, Linearly additive random fields with independent increments on time-like curves, in: Problems on Infinitely Divisible Processes (6), Cooperative research report, Institute of Statistical Mathematics 146 (2002), pp. 13-19.

Department of Applied Mathematics Okayama University of Science 700-0005, Okayama, Japan

Received on 7.6.2002