

DISCRETE APPROXIMATIONS
OF REFLECTED BACKWARD STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS
WITH RANDOM TERMINAL TIME

BY

KATARZYNA JAŃCZAK (BYDGOSZCZ)

Abstract. We study convergence of discrete approximations of reflected backward stochastic differential equations with random terminal time in a general convex domain. Applications to investigation of the viability property for backward stochastic differential equations and to obstacle problem for partial differential equations are given.

2000 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 60H10, 60Gxx; Secondary: 35J25, 35K20.

Key words and phrases: Reflected backward stochastic differential equations, random terminal time, discrete approximation methods.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider approximations of solutions (Y, Z, K) of the following reflected backward stochastic differential equation (RBSDE for short) with almost surely finite random terminal time τ in a given convex domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$(1.1) \quad Y_{t \wedge \tau} = g(X_\tau) + \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{\tau} f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{\tau} Z_s dW_s + K_\tau - K_{t \wedge \tau}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, where X is a given diffusion process, $g: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \bar{D} = D \cup \partial D$ is a continuous function and $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \bar{D} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is a continuous function satisfying the monotonicity condition with respect to y and is Lipschitz with respect to z (precise definitions are given in Section 3).

Existence and uniqueness of RBSDE (1.1) was shown by Pardoux and Răşcanu [16]. They proved that the solution of (1.1) may be approximated by a sequence of non-reflected BSDEs with a penalization term. Moreover, they pointed out connections between RBSDE (1.1) and variational inequalities.

There are many papers about approximations of BSDEs and RBSDEs (see e.g. [1]–[3], [13], [14], [21]). However, it is worth pointing out that, up to now, the discrete approximation of RBSDEs was investigated only in one-dimensional case (see [1] and [14]).

The aim of this paper is to prove convergence of discrete approximations of a solution of RBSDE (1.1) in a general convex d -dimensional domain D . We present both weak and strong convergence of the discrete scheme to the solution of (1.1). The important point to note here is that our approximating sequence can be computed by simple recurrent formulas, and therefore is easy to implement. Our approximation methods correspond to the so-called projection scheme, which is a well-known method of approximation of classical stochastic differential equations (see e.g. [18]). Additionally, we give applications of the numerical scheme in solving partial differential equations (PDEs) and the viability property of BSDE.

The paper is organized as follows. First, in Section 2 we give an approximation scheme for RBSDE with fixed terminal time and formulate its properties. This is used in Section 3, which contains an approximation scheme for RBSDE with random terminal time and in which we formulate the main theorem of this paper. Section 4 is devoted to applications of the numerical scheme in solving PDE and the viability property of BSDE. Finally, Section 5 contains proofs of theorems from Sections 2 and 3.

Throughout this paper we will use the following notation. $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ is the space of all mappings $x : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ which are right continuous and admit left-hand limits endowed with the Skorokhod topology J_1 (see [10]). By $|x|$ we mean the Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^d , $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\|x\|$ stands for $(\text{trace}(x^*x))^{1/2}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$. If $K = (K^1, \dots, K^d)$ is a process with locally finite variation, then $|K|_t = \sum_{i=1}^d |K^i|_t$, where $|K^i|_t$ is a total variation of K^i on $[0, t]$. If $Y = (Y^1, \dots, Y^d)$ is a semimartingale, then $[Y]_t = \sum_{i=1}^d [Y^i]_t$, where $[Y^i]$ is a quadratic variation process of Y^i . For a stopping time τ , by Y^τ we mean the process stopped at τ , i.e. $Y_t^\tau = Y_{t \wedge \tau}$. Finally, $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}$ denote convergence in probability and in law, respectively.

2. APPROXIMATIONS OF RBSDEs WITH FIXED TERMINAL TIME

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{P})$ be a complete probability space carrying a standard m -dimensional Wiener process $W = \{W_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$ and let $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$ be the usual augmentation of the filtration generated by W . In this section we assume that $\tau = T = \text{const}$ and RBSDE has the form

$$(2.1) \quad Y_t = g(X_T) + \int_t^T f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s + K_T - K_t$$

for $t \in [0, T]$, where X is the solution of the following stochastic differential equation (SDE for short)

$$(2.2) \quad X_t = x + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s) dW_s, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

where $b : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ are continuous functions such that

$$|b(t, x) - b(t, x')| + \|\sigma(t, x) - \sigma(t, x')\| \leq L|x - x'|, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+, x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^m,$$

for some $L > 0$.

By a *solution* of (2.1) we mean a triple (Y, Z, K) of \mathcal{F} progressively measurable processes in $\bar{D} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times m} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying (2.1) and such that

- (a) $E(\sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t|^2 + \int_0^T \|Z_t\|^2 dt) < \infty$;
- (b) K is a continuous process of bounded variation, such that $K_0 = 0$, and $\int_0^T (Y_t - A_t) dK_t \leq 0$ for every \mathcal{F} progressively measurable process A with values in \bar{D} .

Let $g : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \bar{D}$, $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \bar{D} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be continuous functions which satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) there exist $q, \kappa \geq 0$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$|g(x)| \leq \kappa(1 + |x|^q);$$

- (ii) there exists $L > 0$ such that for any $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $y, y' \in \bar{D}$ and $z, z' \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$

$$|f(t, x, y, z) - f(t, x, y', z')| \leq L(|y - y'| + \|z - z'\|);$$

- (iii) $f(\cdot, \cdot, 0, 0)$ is bounded.

It is known that under assumptions (i)–(iii) RBSDE (2.1) has a unique strong solution (see [8]).

The discrete scheme we propose in this paper is based on approximation of a Wiener process W by a scaled random walk. Set $W_t^n = (\sqrt{n})^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]} \varepsilon_j^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, where for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{\varepsilon_j^n\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of independent symmetric Bernoulli random variables, and by $\mathcal{F}^n = \{\mathcal{F}_t^n\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$ denote the natural filtration of W^n .

Let us first consider the approximation scheme for SDE (2.2). Set $x_0^n = x$ and for $j = 0, \dots, [nT] - 1$ define $x_{(j+1)/n}^n$ by

$$(2.3) \quad x_{(j+1)/n}^n = x_{j/n}^n + \frac{1}{n} b(j/n, x_{j/n}^n) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sigma(j/n, x_{j/n}^n) \varepsilon_{j+1}^n.$$

Notice that, for each j , $x_{j/n}^n$ is $\mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n$ -measurable. Moreover, if we put $\varrho_t^n = [nt]/n$ and define $X_t^n = x_{[nt]/n}^n$, $t \in [0, T]$, then X^n is a solution of the discrete SDE

$$X_t^n = x + \int_0^t b(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n) d\varrho_s^n + \int_0^t \sigma(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n) dW_s^n, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

By Donsker's theorem, we have $W^n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} W$ in $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^m)$. Consequently, since $\sup_{t \leq T} (t - \varrho_t^n) \rightarrow 0$, $T \in \mathbb{R}^+$, it follows that

$$(X^n, W^n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (X, W) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^{2m}).$$

Moreover, if the processes W^n, W are defined on the same probability space and $\sup_{t \leq T} |W_t^n - W_t| \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} 0$, then $\sup_{t \leq T} |X_t^n - X_t| \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} 0$, $T \in \mathbb{R}^+$. In fact, the convergence in probability can be strengthened to convergence in \mathbb{L}^p norm for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$ (see e.g. [17]).

Now, consider the discrete version of RBSDE (2.1). In our scheme we combine some ideas from [2], where BSDE without reflection is considered, and from [18], where SDE with reflection is considered. For $j = [nT]$ put $y_{j/n}^n = g(x_{j/n}^n)$, $z_{j/n}^n = 0$, $\Delta k_{(j+1)/n}^n = 0$ and solve the equation

$$(2.4) \quad y_{j/n}^n = y_{(j+1)/n}^n + \frac{1}{n} f(j/n, x_{j/n}^n, y_{j/n}^n, z_{j/n}^n) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} z_{j/n}^n \varepsilon_{j+1}^n + \Delta k_{(j+1)/n}^n$$

for $j = [nT] - 1, \dots, 0$.

By a *solution* of (2.4) we mean a triple $(Y^n, Z^n, K^n) = (Y_t^n, Z_t^n, K_t^n)_{t \in [0, T]}$ of \mathcal{F}^n adapted processes in $\bar{D} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times m} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $|K^n|_T < \infty$, $K_0^n = 0$, and $\int_0^T (Y_{t-}^n - A_{t-}^n) dK_t^n \leq 0$ for every \mathcal{F}^n adapted process A^n with values in \bar{D} , where $Y_t^n = y_{[nt]/n}^n$, $Z_t^n = z_{[nt]/n}^n$, $K_t^n = \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]} \Delta k_{j/n}^n$.

Since (2.4) can be written in the equivalent form

$$(2.5) \quad Y_t^n = g(X_T^n) + \int_t^T f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, Y_{s-}^n, Z_{s-}^n) d\varrho_s^n - \int_t^T Z_{s-}^n dW_s^n + K_T^n - K_t^n$$

for $t \in [0, T]$, one can deduce from Lemma 5.2 below that (2.4) has a unique solution. Therefore, solving (2.4) is equivalent to finding the solution to the following iteration problem. The first step is to choose

$$z_{j/n}^n = \sqrt{n} E(y_{(j+1)/n}^n \varepsilon_{j+1}^n | \mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n),$$

then to find

$$h_{j/n}^n = y_{(j+1)/n}^n + \frac{1}{n} f(j/n, x_{j/n}^n, \pi(h_{j/n}^n), z_{j/n}^n) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} z_{j/n}^n \varepsilon_{j+1}^n,$$

where $\pi(h) = \pi_D(h)$ means the projection of $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ on \bar{D} . Notice that for n large enough ($n > L$), $h_{j/n}^n$ is well defined since f and π are Lipschitz. Now we put $y_{j/n}^n = \pi(h_{j/n}^n)$. Observe that $h_{j/n}^n$ and $y_{j/n}^n$ are $\mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n$ -measurable. Indeed, by the representation theorem (see [22], the Lemma on page 154),

$$y_{(j+1)/n}^n - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} z_{j/n}^n \varepsilon_{j+1}^n = E(y_{(j+1)/n}^n | \mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n).$$

Finally, we take $\Delta k_{(j+1)/n}^n = y_{j/n}^n - h_{j/n}^n$, which is also $\mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n$ -measurable. Therefore, Y^n and Z^n are \mathcal{F}^n adapted, and K^n is an \mathcal{F}^n predictable process. Since D is a convex set,

$$(2.6) \quad \langle \pi(h) - x', \pi(h) - h \rangle \leq 0, \quad h \in \mathbb{R}^d, x' \in \bar{D}.$$

Hence, in particular,

$$(2.7) \quad \langle y_{(j-1)/n}^n - x', \Delta k_{j/n}^n \rangle \leq 0$$

for any $x' \in \bar{D}$ and $j = 1, \dots, [nT]$. Therefore, for any \mathcal{F}^n adapted process A^n with values in \bar{D} ,

$$(2.8) \quad \int_0^T (Y_{t-}^n - A_{t-}^n) dK_t^n = \sum_{j=1}^{[nT]} \langle y_{(j-1)/n}^n - A_{(j-1)/n}^n, \Delta k_{j/n}^n \rangle \leq 0.$$

THEOREM 2.1. *Assume that (i)–(iii) hold. Then:*

(a) *We have*

$$(X^n, Y^n, \int_0^\cdot Z_{s-}^n dW_s^n, K^n, W^n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (X, Y, \int_0^\cdot Z_s dW_s, K, W)$$

in $\mathbb{D}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^m \times \bar{D} \times \mathbb{R}^{2d} \times \mathbb{R}^m)$.

(b) *If $\sup_{t \leq T} |W_t^n - W_t| \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} 0$, then*

$$E\left(\sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t^n - Y_t|^2 + \int_0^T \|Z_{t-}^n - Z_t\|^2 dt + \sup_{t \leq T} |K_t^n - K_t|^2\right) \rightarrow 0.$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is deferred to Section 5.

Let us consider now another numerical scheme for RBSDE (2.1), which is simpler to simulate than the scheme described above. In this method in each step we take only once projection on the set \bar{D} and do not look for a fixed point of a function. For $j = [nT]$ put $\hat{y}_{j/n}^n = g(x_{j/n}^n)$, $\hat{z}_{j/n}^n = 0$, $\Delta \hat{k}_{(j+1)/n}^n = 0$. For $j = [nT] - 1, \dots, 0$ first choose $\hat{z}_{j/n}^n = \sqrt{n} E(\hat{y}_{(j+1)/n}^n \varepsilon_{j+1}^n | \mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n)$, then find

$$\hat{h}_{j/n}^n = \hat{y}_{(j+1)/n}^n + \frac{1}{n} f(j/n, x_{j/n}^n, \bar{y}_{j/n}^n, \hat{z}_{j/n}^n) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \hat{z}_{j/n}^n \varepsilon_{j+1}^n,$$

where $\bar{y}_{j/n}^n = E(\hat{y}_{(j+1)/n}^n | \mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n)$. Finally, take $\hat{y}_{j/n}^n = \pi(\hat{h}_{j/n}^n)$ and $\Delta \hat{k}_{(j+1)/n}^n = \hat{y}_{j/n}^n - \hat{h}_{j/n}^n$. In a similar manner as before define processes $\hat{Y}_t^n = \hat{y}_{[nt]/n}^n$, $\hat{Z}_t^n = \hat{z}_{[nt]/n}^n$, $\hat{K}_t^n = \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]} \Delta \hat{k}_{j/n}^n$, $\bar{Y}_t^n = \bar{y}_{[nt]/n}^n$, which satisfy

$$\hat{Y}_t^n = g(X_T^n) + \int_t^T f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, \bar{Y}_{s-}^n, \hat{Z}_{s-}^n) d\varrho_s^n - \int_t^T \hat{Z}_{s-}^n dW_s^n + \hat{K}_T^n - \hat{K}_t^n$$

for $t \in [0, T]$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Assume that (i)–(iii) hold. Then*

$$E\left(\sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t^n - \hat{Y}_t^n|^2 + \int_0^T \|Z_{t-}^n - \hat{Z}_{t-}^n\|^2 d\varrho_t^n + \sup_{t \leq T} |K_t^n - \hat{K}_t^n|^2\right) \rightarrow 0.$$

The proof of Proposition 2.1 is deferred to Section 5.

3. APPROXIMATIONS OF RBSDEs WITH RANDOM TERMINAL TIME

In this section we will consider the case where the terminal value of RBSDE is given by an \mathcal{F} stopping time τ such that $P(\tau < \infty) = 1$. We will assume that (i)–(iii) hold and, additionally,

(iv) there exists a constant $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $y, y' \in \bar{D}$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$

$$\langle y - y', f(t, x, y, z) - f(t, x, y', z) \rangle \leq \mu |y - y'|^2;$$

(v) there exists $\lambda > 2\mu + L^2$ such that

$$Ee^{\lambda\tau} (1 + |X_\tau|^{2q}) < \infty.$$

By a *solution* of RBSDE with random terminal time we mean a triple (Y, Z, K) of \mathcal{F} progressively measurable processes in $\bar{D} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times m} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying (1.1) and such that

(a) $E\left(\sup_{t \leq \tau} \exp(\lambda t) |Y_t|^2 + \int_0^\tau \exp(\lambda t) \|Z_t\|^2 dt\right) < \infty$;

(b) K is a continuous process with locally bounded variation, such that $K_0 = 0$, and $\int_0^\tau (Y_t - A_t) dK_t \leq 0$ for every \mathcal{F} progressively measurable process A with values in \bar{D} . Moreover, $Y_t = \xi$, $Z_t = 0$, $K_t = K_\tau$ on the set $\{t \geq \tau\}$.

In [16] it is proved that under the assumptions (i)–(v) there exists a unique strong solution of (1.1).

As in the previous section we shall approximate a Wiener process W by a scaled random walk W^n . We follow [21] and start with approximation of the stopping time τ by a sequence of bounded stopping times $\{\tau^n\}_n$. Since, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, τ^n is bounded, we can find $T_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\tau^n \leq T_n$.

First let us introduce the approximation scheme for the forward equation. Write $\tau_j^n = (j/n) \wedge ([n\tau^n]/n)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and note that τ_j^n is an \mathcal{F}^n stopping time. Now put $x_0^n = x$ and for $t \in [0, T_n]$ set $X_t^n = x_{\tau_{[nt]}^n}^n$, where x^n is given by (2.3).

In order to define the discrete RBSDE with random terminal time we take $j = nT_n, \dots, 0$ and on the set $\{\tau^n \leq j/n\}$ we put $y_{\tau_j^n}^n = y_{\tau^n}^n = g(x_{\tau_j^n}^n)$, $z_{\tau_j^n}^n = z_{\tau^n}^n = 0$, $\Delta k_{\tau_{j+1}^n}^n = 0$. Next, on the set $\{[n\tau^n] > j\} = \{[n\tau^n]/n > j/n\} \in \mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n$ we consider

$$(3.1) \quad y_{\tau_j^n}^n = y_{\tau_{j+1}^n}^n + \frac{1}{n} f(j/n, x_{\tau_j^n}^n, y_{\tau_j^n}^n, z_{\tau_j^n}^n) \mathbf{1}_{\{[n\tau^n] > j\}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\tau_j^n}^n \varepsilon_{j+1}^n + \Delta k_{\tau_{j+1}^n}^n.$$

By a *solution* of (3.1) we mean a triple $(Y^n, Z^n, K^n) = (Y_t^n, Z_t^n, K_t^n)_{t \in [0, T_n]}$ of \mathcal{F}^n adapted processes in $\bar{D} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times m} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $|K^n|_{\tau^n} < \infty$, $K_0^n = 0$, and $\int_0^{\tau^n} (Y_{t-}^n - A_{t-}^n) dK_t^n \leq 0$ for every \mathcal{F}^n adapted process A^n with values in \bar{D} , where $Y_t^n = y_{\tau_{[nt]}^n}^n$, $Z_t^n = z_{\tau_{[nt]}^n}^n$, $K_t^n = \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]} \Delta k_{\tau_j^n}^n$. Moreover, on the set $\{t \geq \tau^n\}$, we have $Y_t^n = Y_{\tau^n}^n$, $Z_t^n = 0$, $K_t^n = K_{\tau^n}^n$.

Observe that (3.1) can be written in the equivalent form

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} Y_{t \wedge \tau^n}^n &= g(X_{\tau^n}^n) + \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{\tau^n} f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, Y_{s-}^n, Z_{s-}^n) d\varrho_s^n \\ &\quad - \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{\tau^n} Z_{s-}^n dW_s^n + K_{\tau^n}^n - K_{t \wedge \tau^n}^n, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \end{aligned}$$

and that (Y^n, Z^n, K^n) is a unique solution of equation (3.2) (see Lemma 5.4 (b)).

To solve (3.1) we first set

$$z_{\tau_j^n}^n = z_{\tau_j^n}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{[n\tau^n] > j\}} = \sqrt{n} E(y_{\tau_{j+1}^n}^n \varepsilon_{j+1}^n | \mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n) \mathbf{1}_{\{[n\tau^n] > j\}},$$

and next we find a solution $h_{\tau_j^n}^n$ of the equation

$$h_{\tau_j^n}^n = y_{\tau_{j+1}^n}^n + \frac{1}{n} f(j/n, x_{\tau_j^n}^n, \pi(h_{\tau_j^n}^n), z_{\tau_j^n}^n) \mathbf{1}_{\{[n\tau^n] > j\}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\tau_j^n}^n \varepsilon_{j+1}^n.$$

Note that since f and π are Lipschitz, $h_{\tau_j^n}^n$ is well defined for $n > L$. Now put $y_{\tau_j^n}^n = \pi(h_{\tau_j^n}^n)$ and $\Delta k_{\tau_{j+1}^n}^n = y_{\tau_j^n}^n - h_{\tau_j^n}^n$. Observe that $h_{\tau_j^n}^n, y_{\tau_j^n}^n$ and $\Delta k_{\tau_{j+1}^n}^n$ are $\mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n$ -measurable. Similarly to Section 2 it can be shown that, for any \mathcal{F}^n adapted process A^n with values in \bar{D} ,

$$\int_0^{\tau^n} (Y_{t-}^n - A_{t-}^n) dK_t^n = \sum_{j=1}^{[n\tau^n]} \langle y_{\tau_{j-1}^n}^n - A_{\tau_{j-1}^n}^n, \Delta k_{\tau_j^n}^n \rangle \leq 0.$$

THEOREM 3.1. *Assume that (i)–(v) hold. Let $\{\tau^n\}$ be a sequence of \mathcal{F}^n stopping times such that $\sup_n E \exp(\lambda \tau^n) (1 + |X_{\tau^n}^n|^{2q}) < \infty$.*

(a) *If $(W^n, \tau^n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (W, \tau)$, then*

$$(X^n, Y^n, \int_0^\cdot Z_{s-}^n dW_s^n, K^n, W^n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (X, Y, \int_0^\cdot Z_s dW_s, K, W)$$

in $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^m \times \bar{D} \times \mathbb{R}^{2d} \times \mathbb{R}^m)$.

(b) *If $\sup_{t \leq T} |W_t^n - W_t| \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} 0$, $T \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\tau^n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} \tau$, then*

$$\left(\sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t^{n, \tau^n} - Y_t^\tau| + \int_0^T \|Z_{t-}^{n, \tau^n} - Z_t^\tau\|^2 dt + \sup_{t \leq T} |K_t^{n, \tau^n} - K_t^\tau| \right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} 0.$$

The proof will be given in Section 5.

Similarly to Section 2, we may consider another numerical scheme for RBSDE (1.1), which is easier to simulate. For $j = nT_n, \dots, 0$, on the set $\{\tau^n \leq j/n\}$ we put $\hat{y}_{\tau_j^n}^n = g(x_{\tau_j^n}^n)$, $\hat{z}_{\tau_j^n}^n = 0$, $\Delta \hat{k}_{\tau_{j+1}^n}^n = 0$. On the set $\{[n\tau^n] > j\}$ we first take $\hat{z}_{\tau_j^n}^n = \sqrt{n}E(\hat{y}_{\tau_{j+1}^n}^n \varepsilon_{j+1}^n | \mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n)$, and then find a solution $\hat{h}_{\tau_j^n}^n$ of the equation

$$\hat{h}_{\tau_j^n}^n = \hat{y}_{\tau_{j+1}^n}^n + \frac{1}{n}f(j/n, x_{\tau_j^n}^n, \bar{y}_{\tau_j^n}^n, \hat{z}_{\tau_j^n}^n)\mathbf{1}_{\{[n\tau^n] > j\}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\hat{z}_{\tau_j^n}^n \varepsilon_{j+1}^n,$$

where $\bar{y}_{\tau_j^n}^n = E(\hat{y}_{\tau_{j+1}^n}^n | \mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n)$. Next, put $\hat{y}_{\tau_j^n}^n = \pi(\hat{h}_{\tau_j^n}^n)$ and $\Delta \hat{k}_{\tau_{j+1}^n}^n = \hat{y}_{\tau_j^n}^n - \hat{h}_{\tau_j^n}^n$. Finally, let us define processes on \mathbb{R}^+ by setting $\hat{Y}_t^n = \hat{y}_{\tau_{[nt]}^n}^n$, $\hat{Z}_t^n = \hat{z}_{\tau_{[nt]}^n}^n$, $\hat{K}_t^n = \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]} \Delta \hat{k}_{\tau_j^n}^n$, $\bar{Y}_t^n = \bar{y}_{\tau_{[nt]}^n}^n$, so that

$$\hat{Y}_{t \wedge \tau^n}^n = g(X_{\tau^n}^n) + \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{\tau^n} f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, \bar{Y}_{s-}^n, \hat{Z}_{s-}^n) d\varrho_s^n - \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{\tau^n} \hat{Z}_{s-}^n dW_s^n + \hat{K}_{\tau^n}^n - \hat{K}_{t \wedge \tau^n}^n$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Assume that (i)–(v) hold. Let $\{\tau^n\}$ be a sequence of \mathcal{F}^n stopping times such that $\sup_n E \exp(\lambda \tau^n)(1 + |X_{\tau^n}^n|^{2q}) < \infty$ and assume that (Y^n, Z^n, K^n) , $(\hat{Y}^n, \hat{Z}^n, \hat{K}^n)$ are given as above. Then, for every $T \in \mathbb{R}^+$,*

$$E\left(\sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t^{n, \tau^n} - \hat{Y}_t^{n, \tau^n}|^2 + \int_0^T \|Z_t^{n, \tau^n} - \hat{Z}_t^{n, \tau^n}\|^2 d\varrho_t^n + \sup_{t \leq T} |K_t^{n, \tau^n} - \hat{K}_t^{n, \tau^n}|^2\right) \rightarrow 0.$$

The proof of Proposition 3.1 is deferred to Section 5.

We end this section with a simple example of a sequence of stopping times $\{\tau^n\}$ satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 3.1.

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Define

$$\tau = \inf\{t \geq 0; |W_t| > a\}, \quad \tau^n = \inf\{t \geq 0; |W_t^n| > a\} \wedge n.$$

By [19] it is known that $(W^n, \tau^n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (W, \tau)$. One can show that there exists a constant $C(a)$ such that, for every $\lambda < C(a)$, $\sup_n E \exp(\lambda \tau^n) < \infty$ (see Section 5 for details). On the other hand, it is not true that $\sup_n E \exp(\lambda \tau^n) < \infty$ for every $\lambda > 0$. It is a consequence of the fact that $E \exp(\lambda \tau) < \infty$ only for $\lambda < C(a)$ (see e.g. [12], Lemma 1.3).

4. APPLICATIONS

4.1. Discrete RBSDE and the obstacle problem for parabolic PDE. In this section we will consider the case where $D = [a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2] \times \dots \times [a_d, b_d]$ and

$\tau = T \in \mathbb{R}^+$. For each $(s, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^m$ let $X^{s,x}$ denote the solution of the SDE

$$(4.1) \quad X_t^{s,x} = x + \int_s^t b(\theta, X_\theta^{s,x}) d\theta + \int_s^t \sigma(\theta, X_\theta^{s,x}) dW_\theta, \quad t \in [s, T],$$

and let $(Y^{s,x}, Z^{s,x}, K^{s,x})$ denote the solution of the equation

$$(4.2) \quad Y_t^{s,x} = (X_T^{s,x}) + \int_t^T f(\theta, X_\theta^{s,x}, Y_\theta^{s,x}, Z_\theta^{s,x}) d\theta - \int_t^T Z_\theta^{s,x} dW_\theta + K_T^{s,x} - K_t^{s,x}$$

for $t \in [s, T]$. Under the assumptions (i)–(iii), $u(s, x) := Y_s^{s,x}$ is a continuous function of (s, x) , which is a viscosity solution (see [7], p. 35, for a definition) of the following obstacle problem:

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{cases} \min \left(u_i(t, x) - a_i, \max \left(u_i(t, x) - b_i, -F_u^i(t, x) \right) \right) = 0, \\ u(T, x) = g(x), \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{l} t \in [s, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^m, \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^m, \end{array}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, d$, where

$$\begin{aligned} F_u^i(t, x) &= \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t}(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq d} \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}(t, x) (\sigma \sigma^T)_{jk}(t, x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}(t, x) b_j(t, x) + f_i(t, x, u(t, x), (\nabla u \sigma)(t, x)) \end{aligned}$$

(see e.g. [23]). The approximation of the solution of parabolic PDE using the discrete scheme for BSDE (without reflection) was considered in [2]. Here, we propose a numerical scheme for the obstacle problem (4.3) which uses the discrete approximation of RBSDE (4.2). Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and set $x_{j/n}^n = x$, $j = 0, \dots, [ns]$. Next, define $X_t^{s,x,n} = x_{[nt]/n}^n$, where $x_{(j+1)/n}^n$ is given by (2.3), $j = [ns], \dots, [nT] - 1$. Observe that $X^{s,x,n}$ is a strong solution of the SDE

$$X_t^{s,x,n} = x + \int_s^t b(\varrho_\theta^n, X_{\theta-}^{s,x,n}) d\varrho_\theta^n + \int_s^t \sigma(\varrho_\theta^n, X_{\theta-}^{s,x,n}) dW_\theta^n, \quad t \in [s, T].$$

Furthermore, $(X^{s,x,n}, W^n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (X^{s,x}, W)$, where $X^{s,x}$ is given by (4.1). The next step is to solve the discrete RBSDE. To do this we put $y_{[nT]/n}^n = g(x_{[nT]/n}^n)$ and

solve (2.4) for $j = [nT] - 1, \dots, [ns]$. Let us put

$$\begin{aligned} D_+^n u(j, x) &= \frac{1}{2} u \left(j, x + \frac{1}{n} b(j/n, x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sigma(j/n, x) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} u \left(j, x + \frac{1}{n} b(j/n, x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sigma(j/n, x) \right), \\ D_-^n u(j, x) &= \frac{1}{2} u \left(j, x + \frac{1}{n} b(j/n, x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sigma(j/n, x) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} u \left(j, x + \frac{1}{n} b(j/n, x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sigma(j/n, x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4.1. *Assume that $v^n: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow D$, $n > L$, is a function such that $v^n([nT], x) = g(x)$ and, for $j = [ns], \dots, [nT] - 1$, $v^n(j, x)$ is defined as a unique solution of the equation*

$$(4.4) \quad v^n(j, x) = \pi \left(D_+^n v^n(j+1, x) + \frac{1}{n} f(j/n, x, v^n(j, x), \sqrt{n} D_-^n v^n(j+1, x)) \right).$$

Then $y_{j/n}^n = v^n(j, x_{j/n}^n)$, $z_{j/n}^n = \sqrt{n} D_-^n v^n(j+1, x_{j/n}^n)$.

PROOF. We proceed by induction. First note that since f and π are Lipschitz functions, the solution of (4.4) is unique for $n > L$. By definition we have $v^n([nT], x_{[nT]/n}^n) = g(x_{[nT]/n}^n) = y_{[nT]/n}^n$. Suppose that

$$y_{(j+1)/n}^n = v^n(j+1, x_{(j+1)/n}^n).$$

As

$$D_+^n v^n(j+1, x_{j/n}^n) = E(v^n(j+1, x_{(j+1)/n}^n) | \mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n)$$

and

$$D_-^n v^n(j+1, x_{j/n}^n) = E(v^n(j+1, x_{(j+1)/n}^n) \varepsilon_{j+1}^n | \mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n)$$

we have $z_{j/n}^n = \sqrt{n} D_-^n v^n(j+1, x_{j/n}^n)$ and

$$h_{j/n}^n = D_+^n v^n(j+1, x_{j/n}^n) + \frac{1}{n} f(j/n, x_{j/n}^n, \pi(h_{j/n}^n), \sqrt{n} D_-^n v^n(j+1, x_{j/n}^n)).$$

Since $y_{j/n}^n = \pi(h_{j/n}^n)$ and the solution of (4.4) is unique, the lemma follows. ■

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let $u^n(t, x) = v^n(j, x)$, $t \in [j/n, (j+1)/n)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $n > L$. For each fixed $s \in [0, T]$, the sequence $u^n(s, \cdot)$ converges uniformly on compact sets in \mathbb{R}^m to $u(s, \cdot)$, where u is a solution of the system (4.3).*

The proof of this proposition runs analogously to the proof of Theorem 5.2 in [2], so we omit it.

REMARK 4.1. Suppose that \hat{v}^n satisfies $\hat{v}^n([nT], x) = g(x)$,

$$\hat{v}^n(j, x) = \pi \left(D_+^n \hat{v}^n(j+1, x) + \frac{1}{n} f(j/n, x, D_+^n \hat{v}^n(j+1, x), \sqrt{n} D_-^n \hat{v}^n(j+1, x)) \right)$$

for $j = [ns], \dots, [nT] - 1$. Then we have

$$\hat{y}_{j/n}^n = \hat{v}^n(j, x_{j/n}^n)$$

and

$$\hat{z}_{j/n}^n = \sqrt{n} D_-^n \hat{v}^n(j+1, x_{j/n}^n),$$

where $\hat{y}_{j/n}^n$ satisfies

$$\hat{y}_{j/n}^n = \hat{y}_{(j+1)/n}^n + \frac{1}{n} f(j/n, x_{j/n}^n, \bar{y}_{j/n}^n, \hat{z}_{j/n}^n) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \hat{z}_{j/n}^n \varepsilon_{j+1}^n + \Delta \hat{k}_{(j+1)/n}^n$$

for $j = [nT] - 1, \dots, [ns]$. Moreover, if we define $\hat{u}^n(t, x) = \hat{v}^n(j, x)$, $t \in [j/n, (j+1)/n)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, then $\hat{u}^n(s, \cdot) \rightarrow u(s, \cdot)$, where u is a solution of the system (4.3).

Observe that the equality $\hat{y}_{(j+1)/n}^n = \hat{v}^n(j+1, x_{(j+1)/n}^n)$ implies that $\bar{y}_{j/n}^n = (\hat{y}_{(j+1)/n}^n | \mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n) = D_+^n \hat{v}^n(j+1, x_{j/n}^n)$. Therefore the result follows by Propositions 2.1 and 4.1.

4.2. Discrete RBSDE and the obstacle problem for elliptic PDE. As in the previous section let $D = [a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2] \times \dots \times [a_d, b_d]$ and let, moreover, assume that the functions b, σ, f do not depend on time. For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ let X^x denote the solution of the SDE

$$(4.5) \quad X_t^x = x + \int_0^t b(X_s^x) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s^x) dW_s, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Let G be an open bounded set in \mathbb{R}^m . Define $\tau^x = \inf\{t \geq 0; X_t^x \notin G\}$ and assume that $\sup_{x \in \bar{G}} E \exp(\lambda \tau^x) (1 + |X_{\tau^x}|^{2q}) < \infty$. It can be shown that the mapping $x \mapsto \tau^x$ is a.s. continuous ([15], Proposition 4.1). Consider now for each $x \in \bar{G}$ the following RBSDE:

$$(4.6) \quad Y_{t \wedge \tau^x}^x = g(X_{\tau^x}^x) + \int_{t \wedge \tau^x}^{\tau^x} f(X_s^x, Y_s^x, Z_s^x) ds - \int_{t \wedge \tau^x}^{\tau^x} Z_s^x dW_s + K_{\tau^x}^x - K_{t \wedge \tau^x}^x$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Under the assumptions (i)–(iii), $u(x) = Y_0^x$, $x \in \bar{G}$, is a continuous function, which is a viscosity solution of the following obstacle problem:

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{cases} \min(u_i(x) - a_i, \max(u_i(x) - b_i, -F_u^i(x))) = 0, & x \in G, \\ u(x) = g(x), & x \in \partial G, \end{cases}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, d$, where

$$\begin{aligned} F_u^i(x) &= f_i(x, u(x), \nabla u \sigma(x)) + Lu_i(x) = f_i(x, u(x), \nabla u \sigma(x)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq j, l \leq m} \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_l}(x) (\sigma \sigma^T)_{jl}(x) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}(x) b_j(x) \end{aligned}$$

(see [16]). In what follows we propose a numerical scheme for (4.7) which uses the discrete approximation of RBSDE (4.6).

Let us fix $x \in \bar{G}$. As in Theorem 3.1, we need to approximate the stopping time τ^x by a sequence of bounded stopping times $\{\tau^{x,n}\}$. We also assume that $(W^n, \tau^{x,n}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (W, \tau^x)$. Let $T_{x,n} \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\tau^{x,n} \leq T_{x,n}$ and let $\tau_j^{x,n} = (j/n) \wedge ([n\tau^{x,n}]/n)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. We set $x_0^n = x$ and

$$x_{\tau_{j+1}^{x,n}}^n = x_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n + \frac{1}{n} b(x_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sigma(x_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n) \varepsilon_{j+1}^n$$

for $j = 0, 1, \dots, nT_{x,n} - 1$. Observe that $X^{x,n}$ defined as $X_t^{x,n} = x_{\tau_{[nt]}^{x,n}}^n$ satisfies

$$X_t^{x,n} = x + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau^{x,n}} b(X_{s-}^{x,n}) d\varrho_s^n + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau^{x,n}} \sigma(X_{s-}^{x,n}) dW_s^n, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Furthermore, $(X^{x,n}, W^n, \tau^{x,n}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (X^x, W, \tau^x)$, where X^x is given by (4.5).

The next step is to solve the discrete RBSDE. Assume that

$$\sup_n E \exp(\lambda \tau^{x,n}) (1 + |X_{\tau^{x,n}}^n|^{2q}) < \infty.$$

Put $y_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n = g(x_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n)$, $z_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n = 0$, $\Delta k_{\tau_{j+1}^{x,n}}^n = 0$ on the set $\{\tau^{x,n} \leq j/n\}$ and as in Section 3 solve the equation

$$y_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n = y_{\tau_{j+1}^{x,n}}^n + \frac{1}{n} f(x_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n, y_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n, z_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n) \mathbf{1}_{\{[n\tau^{x,n}] > j\}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n \varepsilon_{j+1}^n + \Delta k_{\tau_{j+1}^{x,n}}^n$$

on the set $\{[n\tau^{x,n}] > j\}$ for $j = nT_{x,n}, \dots, 0$. Let us put

$$\begin{aligned} D_+^n u(x) &= \frac{1}{2} u \left(x + \frac{1}{n} b(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sigma(x) \right) + \frac{1}{2} u \left(x + \frac{1}{n} b(x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sigma(x) \right), \\ D_-^n u(x) &= \frac{1}{2} u \left(x + \frac{1}{n} b(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sigma(x) \right) - \frac{1}{2} u \left(x + \frac{1}{n} b(x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sigma(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4.2. *Assume that $u^n: \bar{G} \rightarrow D$, $n > L$, satisfies $u^n(x) = g(x)$ for $x \in \partial G$ and, for $x \in G$, $u^n(x)$ is defined as a unique solution of the equation*

$$(4.8) \quad u^n(x) = \pi \left(D_+^n u^n(x) + \frac{1}{n} f(x, u^n(x), \sqrt{n} D_-^n u^n(x)) \right).$$

Then $y_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n = u^n(x_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n)$, $z_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n = \sqrt{n} D_-^n u^n(x_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n) \mathbf{1}_{\{[n\tau^{x,n}] > j\}}$, $j = 0, \dots, nT_{x,n}$.

Proof. We proceed by induction. Since $x_{\tau^{x,n}}^n \in \partial G$, we have $y_{\tau^{x,n}}^n = g(x_{\tau^{x,n}}^n) = u^n(x_{\tau^{x,n}}^n)$. Note that it is enough to consider the case $\{[n\tau^{x,n}] > j\}$. Suppose that $y_{\tau_{j+1}^{x,n}}^n = u^n(x_{\tau_{j+1}^{x,n}}^n)$. Then

$$z_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n = \sqrt{n}E(u^n(x_{\tau_{j+1}^{x,n}}^n) \varepsilon_{j+1}^n | \mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n) \mathbf{1}_{\{[n\tau^{x,n}] > j\}} = \sqrt{n}D_-^n u^n(x_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n) \mathbf{1}_{\{[n\tau^{x,n}] > j\}}.$$

On the set $\{[n\tau^{x,n}] > j\}$ we have $E(u^n(x_{\tau_{j+1}^{x,n}}^n) | \mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n) = D_+^n u^n(x_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n &= E(u^n(x_{\tau_{j+1}^{x,n}}^n) | \mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n) + \frac{1}{n} f(x_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n, \pi(h_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n), z_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n) \\ &= D_+^n u^n(x_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n) + \frac{1}{n} f(x_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n, \pi(h_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n), \sqrt{n}D_-^n u^n(x_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $y_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n = \pi(h_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n)$ and the solution of (4.8) is unique (functions f and π are Lipschitz), the proof is complete. ■

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let $u^n(x)$, $x \in \bar{G}$, $n > L$, be as defined above. Then $u^n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$, where u is a solution of (4.7).*

Proof. Observe that if $x \in \partial G$, then $u^n(x) = g(x) = u(x)$. Take $x \in G$. We have $u^n(x) = u^n(x_0^n) = y_0^n = Y_0^{x,n}$ and $u(x) = Y_0^x$. Since $Y_0^{x,n}, Y_0^x$ are constant, by Theorem 3.1 we get the result. ■

REMARK 4.2. Assume that $\hat{u}^n(x) = g(x)$, $x \in \partial G$, and

$$\hat{u}^n(x) = \pi\left(D_+^n \hat{u}^n(x) + \frac{1}{n} f(x, D_+^n \hat{u}^n(x), \sqrt{n}D_-^n \hat{u}^n(x))\right),$$

$x \in G$. Then we have $\hat{y}_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n = \hat{u}^n(x_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n)$, $\hat{z}_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n = \sqrt{n}D_-^n \hat{u}^n(x_{\tau_j^{x,n}}^n) \mathbf{1}_{\{[\tau^{x,n}] > j\}}$, $j = 0, \dots, T_{x,n}$, where \hat{y}^n, \hat{z}^n are as in Section 3.

Moreover, for every $x \in \bar{G}$, $\hat{u}^n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$, where u is a solution of (4.7).

4.3. Backward stochastic viability property. In this section we investigate a viability property in a general convex domain $\bar{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ for solutions of non-reflected BSDEs with random terminal time

$$(4.9) \quad Y_{t \wedge \tau} = g(X_\tau) + \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{\tau} f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{\tau} Z_s dW_s, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

We recall that a stochastic process $\{Y_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$ is *viable* in \bar{D} if and only if for each $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$

$$Y_t(\omega) \in \bar{D} \quad \mathcal{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

Following [4], we assume that the generator f satisfies

$$(4.10) \quad \langle h - \pi(h), f(t, x, \pi(h), z) \rangle \leq Cd^2(h) \quad \mathcal{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

for every $(t, x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$ and for all $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $d^2(\cdot)$ is twice differentiable at h , where $d(\cdot)$ denotes the distance function from the set \bar{D} .

COROLLARY 4.1. *Assume that (i)–(v) and (4.10) hold and that τ is an \mathcal{F} stopping time of the form $\tau = \inf\{t \geq 0; |W_t| > a\}$. Then the process $\{Y_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$ being the first component of the solution (4.9) is viable in \bar{D} .*

PROOF. Consider the sequence of stopping times τ^n as in Example 3.1, i.e. $\tau^n = \inf\{t \geq 0; |W_t^n| > a\} \wedge n$. Put $\tau^{(M)} = \tau \wedge M$ and $\tau^{n,(M)} = \tau^n \wedge M$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Define X^n, Y^n, Z^n, K^n as in Section 3 but with τ^n replaced by $\tau^{n,(M)}$. By (2.6) and (2.7), for every $j = 0, \dots, [nT_n] - 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta k_{\tau_{j+1}^n}^n|^2 &= \langle h_{\tau_j^n}^n - \pi(h_{\tau_j^n}^n), h_{\tau_j^n}^n - \pi(h_{\tau_j^n}^n) \rangle \\ &= \left\langle y_{\tau_{j+1}^n}^n + \frac{1}{n} f(j/n, \pi(h_{\tau_j^n}^n), z_{\tau_j^n}^n) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\tau_j^n}^n \varepsilon_{j+1}^n - y_{\tau_j^n}^n, h_{\tau_j^n}^n - \pi(h_{\tau_j^n}^n) \right\rangle \\ &\leq \left\langle y_{\tau_{j+1}^n}^n - y_{\tau_j^n}^n - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\tau_j^n}^n \varepsilon_{j+1}^n, h_{\tau_j^n}^n - \pi(h_{\tau_j^n}^n) \right\rangle + \frac{C}{n} |h_{\tau_j^n}^n - \pi(h_{\tau_j^n}^n)|^2 \\ &\leq \left\langle -\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\tau_j^n}^n \varepsilon_{j+1}^n, h_{\tau_j^n}^n - \pi(h_{\tau_j^n}^n) \right\rangle + \frac{C}{n} |\Delta k_{\tau_{j+1}^n}^n|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows by (4.10) and the second one by (2.7). Thus, for sufficiently large n , $E|\Delta k_{\tau_{j+1}^n}^n|^2 = 0$, and hence $K^n = 0$. Since $\tau^{n,(M)}$ is bounded uniformly in n , $\sup_n E \exp(\lambda \tau^{n,(M)}) (1 + |X_{\tau^{n,(M)}}^n|^{2q}) < \infty$. Moreover, $(W^n, \tau^{n,(M)}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (W, \tau^{(M)})$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.1,

$$(X^n, Y^n, \int_0^\cdot Z_{s-}^n dW_s^n, K^n, W^n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (X^{(M)}, Y^{(M)}, \int_0^\cdot Z_s^{(M)} dW_s, 0, W)$$

in $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^m \times \bar{D} \times \mathbb{R}^{2d} \times \mathbb{R}^m)$, where $(Y^{(M)}, Z^{(M)})$ is a solution of the equation

$$Y_{t \wedge \tau^{(M)}}^{(M)} = g(X_{\tau^{(M)}}) + \int_{t \wedge \tau^{(M)}}^{\tau^{(M)}} f(s, X_s, Y_s^{(M)}, Z_s^{(M)}) ds - \int_{t \wedge \tau^{(M)}}^{\tau^{(M)}} Z_s^{(M)} dW_s, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

such that, for every $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $Y_t^{(M)} \in \bar{D}$ \mathcal{P} -a.s. Since $\tau^{(M)} \rightarrow \tau$ a.s. and $g(X_{\tau^{(M)}}) \rightarrow g(X_\tau)$ a.s., $Y_t^{\tau^{(M)}} \rightarrow Y_t^\tau$ a.s., where Y_t is the first component of the solution (4.9). Hence $Y_t \in \bar{D}$ \mathcal{P} -a.s., i.e., Y is viable in \bar{D} . ■

5. PROOFS

Before proving main results from Sections 2 and 3 we will give four technical lemmas.

LEMMA 5.1. Assume that (i)–(iii) hold. For every $T > 0$ and $p \geq 1$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in D$

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t^n - a|^{2p} + \left(\int_0^T \|Z_{t-}^n\|^2 d\varrho_t^n\right)^p + |K_T^n|^{2p}\right) \\ \leq CE(|g(X_T^n) - a|^{2p} + \int_0^T |f(\varrho_{t-}^n, X_{t-}^n, a, 0)|^{2p} d\varrho_t^n). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us first show that

$$(5.1) \quad E(\sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t^n|^{2p} + \|Z_t^n\|^{2p}) < \infty.$$

To prove it, we proceed by induction. For $j = [nT] - 1$,

$$E|y_{(j+1)/n}^n|^{2p} = E|g(x_{(j+1)/n}^n)|^{2p} \leq \kappa^{2p} E(1 + |X_T^n|^q)^{2p} < \infty.$$

Therefore, $\|z_{j/n}^n\|^{2p} \leq n^p \|E(\|y_{(j+1)/n}^n \varepsilon_{j+1}\|^{2p} | \mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n)\|$ is integrable. Since

$$\begin{aligned} |y_{j/n}^n| &= |\pi(h_{j/n}^n)| \leq |h_{j/n}^n| = \left| E(y_{(j+1)/n}^n | \mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n) + \frac{1}{n} f(j/n, x_{j/n}^n, y_{j/n}^n, z_{j/n}^n) \right| \\ &\leq E(|y_{(j+1)/n}^n| | \mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n) + \frac{1}{n} |f(j/n, x_{j/n}^n, y_{j/n}^n, z_{j/n}^n)| \\ &\leq E(|y_{(j+1)/n}^n| | \mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n) + \frac{L}{n} (|y_{j/n}^n| + \|z_{j/n}^n\|) + \frac{1}{n} |f(j/n, x_{j/n}^n, 0, 0)|, \end{aligned}$$

$E|y_{j/n}^n|^{2p} < \infty$ for n such that $n > L$. It implies also that $E|\Delta k_{(j+1)/n}^n|^{2p} < \infty$. Inductively, $E(|Y_t^n|^{2p} + |K_t^n|^{2p}) < \infty$, $t \in [0, T]$, and hence

$$E \sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t^n|^{2p} \leq E \sum_{j=1}^{[nT]} |y_{(j-1)/n}^n|^{2p} < \infty.$$

Moreover, observe that $z_{j/n}^n = \sqrt{n} E((y_{(j+1)/n}^n - y_{j/n}^n) \varepsilon_{j+1}^n | \mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n)$ implies that for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(5.2) \quad E\left(\int_t^T \|Z_{s-}^n\|^2 d\varrho_s^n\right)^p = E\left(\sum_{j=[nt]}^{[nT]-1} \|z_{j/n}^n\|^2 \frac{1}{n}\right)^p \leq p^p E(|Y_t^n|^T)^p.$$

Fix $a \in D$. By Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.3) \quad & |Y_t^n - a|^{2p} + p(2p-1) \int_t^T |Y_{s-}^n - a|^{2p-2} d[Y^n]_s \\
& \leq |g(X_T^n) - a|^{2p} \\
& \quad + 2p \int_t^T |Y_{s-}^n - a|^{2p-2} (Y_{s-}^n - a) f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, Y_{s-}^n, Z_{s-}^n) d\varrho_s^n \\
& \quad + 2p \int_t^T |Y_{s-}^n - a|^{2p-2} (Y_{s-}^n - a) dK_s^n \\
& \quad - 2p \int_t^T |Y_{s-}^n - a|^{2p-2} (Y_{s-}^n - a) Z_{s-}^n dW_s^n.
\end{aligned}$$

In the rest of the proof C will denote a constant the values of which may change from line to line but do not depend on n . By (2.7) and the fact that $|Y_{s-}^n - a|^{2p-2}$ is nonnegative, the third component on the right-hand side of the above inequality is less than or equal to zero. By the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality and by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.4) \quad & E \sup_{t \leq T} \left| \int_t^T |Y_{s-}^n - a|^{2p-2} (Y_{s-}^n - a) Z_{s-}^n dW_s^n \right| \\
& \leq C (E \sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t^n - a|^{2p})^{1/2} (E \int_0^T |Y_{s-}^n - a|^{2p-2} \|Z_{s-}^n\|^2 d\varrho_s^n)^{1/2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4p} E \sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t^n - a|^{2p} + C^2 p E \int_0^T |Y_{s-}^n - a|^{2p-2} \|Z_{s-}^n\|^2 d\varrho_s^n < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

(It follows by (5.1) and the fact that the last component of (5.4) is bounded by $C(E \int_0^T |Y_{s-}^n - a|^{2p} d\varrho_s^n)^{(2p-2)/2p} (E \int_0^T \|Z_{s-}^n\|^2 d\varrho_s^n)^{1/p} < \infty$.) Therefore, taking the expectation and using Young's inequality from (5.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& E(|Y_t^n - a|^{2p} + p(2p-1) \int_t^T |Y_{s-}^n - a|^{2p-2} \|Z_{s-}^n\|^2 d\varrho_s^n) \\
& \leq E|g(X_T^n) - a|^{2p} \\
& \quad + CE \int_t^T |f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, a, 0)|^{2p} d\varrho_s^n + CE \int_t^T |Y_{s-}^n - a|^{2p} d\varrho_s^n \\
& \quad + \frac{p(2p-1)}{2} E \int_t^T |Y_{s-}^n - a|^{2p-2} \|Z_{s-}^n\|^2 d\varrho_s^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Gronwall's lemma,

$$(5.5) \quad E(|Y_t^n - a|^{2p} + \int_t^T |Y_{s-}^n - a|^{2p-2} \|Z_{s-}^n\|^2 d\varrho_s^n) \\ \leq CE(|g(X_T^n) - a|^{2p} + \int_t^T |f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, a, 0)|^{2p} d\varrho_s^n).$$

Combining (5.3) with (5.4) and (5.5) we get

$$E \sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t^n - a|^{2p} \leq CE(|g(X_T^n) - a|^{2p} + \int_0^T |f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, a, 0)|^{2p} d\varrho_s^n).$$

Now, observe that by (5.3)

$$[Y^n]_T \leq |g(X_T^n) - a|^2 + 2 \int_0^T (Y_{s-}^n - a) f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, Y_{s-}^n, Z_{s-}^n) d\varrho_s^n \\ - 2 \int_0^T (Y_{s-}^n - a) Z_{s-}^n dW_s^n.$$

Therefore, by (5.2) and arguments used previously, we obtain

$$E \left(\int_0^T \|Z_{s-}^n\|^2 d\varrho_s^n \right)^p \leq CE(|g(X_T^n) - a|^{2p} + \int_0^T |f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, a, 0)|^{2p} d\varrho_s^n).$$

To complete the proof note that since $E(y_{(j+1)/n}^n | \mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n) \in \bar{D}$ and

$$h_{j/n}^n = E(y_{(j+1)/n}^n | \mathcal{F}_{j/n}^n) + \frac{1}{n} f(j/n, x_{j/n}^n, y_{j/n}^n, z_{j/n}^n),$$

we have

$$|K^n|_T = \sum_{j=1}^{[nT]} |\Delta k_{j/n}^n| = \sum_{j=1}^{[nT]} |\text{dist}(h_{(j-1)/n}^n, \bar{D})| \\ \leq \sum_{j=1}^{[nT]} \frac{1}{n} |f((j-1)/n, x_{(j-1)/n}^n, y_{(j-1)/n}^n, z_{(j-1)/n}^n)| \\ = \int_0^T |f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, Y_{s-}^n, Z_{s-}^n)| d\varrho_s^n \\ \leq \int_0^T (L(|Y_{s-}^n - a| + \|Z_{s-}^n\|) + |f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, a, 0)|) d\varrho_s^n.$$

Therefore, by previous estimates we obtain

$$E|K^n|_T^{2p} \leq CE(|g(X_T^n) - a|^{2p} + \int_0^T |f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, a, 0)|^{2p} d\varrho_s^n). \quad \blacksquare$$

Before proving the second lemma we introduce some notation. We denote by $\mathcal{M}_d^2(0, T; \gamma)$ the set of \mathcal{F} progressively measurable d -dimensional processes X such that $E \int_0^T e^{\gamma t} |X_t|^2 dt < \infty$, and by $\mathcal{M}_d^{n,2}(0, T; \gamma)$ the set of \mathcal{F}^n adapted d -dimensional processes X^n such that $E \int_0^T \exp(\gamma \varrho_t^n) |X_{t-}^n|^2 d\varrho_t^n < \infty$. Moreover, we set

$$\mathcal{B}^2 = \mathcal{M}_d^2(0, T; \gamma) \times \mathcal{M}_{d \times m}^2(0, T; \gamma)$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_n^2 = \mathcal{M}_d^{n,2}(0, T; \gamma) \times \mathcal{M}_{d \times m}^{n,2}(0, T; \gamma).$$

Clearly, if we set

$$\|(Y, Z)\|_\gamma^2 = E \int_0^T \exp(\gamma t) (|Y_t|^2 + \|Z_t\|^2) dt$$

and

$$\|(Y^n, Z^n)\|_{n,\gamma}^2 = E \int_0^T \exp(\gamma \varrho_t^n) (|Y_{t-}^n|^2 + \|Z_{t-}^n\|^2) d\varrho_t^n,$$

then $(\mathcal{B}^2, \|\cdot\|_\gamma)$ and $(\mathcal{B}_n^2, \|\cdot\|_{n,\gamma})$ are Banach spaces.

LEMMA 5.2. (a) Define $\Phi: \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2$ by putting $\Phi(U, V) = (Y, Z)$, where Y and Z are the first two components of the solution (Y, Z, K) of the following RBSDE:

$$Y_t = g(X_T) + \int_t^T f(s, X_s, U_s, V_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s + K_T - K_t.$$

Then, for $\gamma \geq 4L^2 + 1$, Φ is a contraction in $(\mathcal{B}^2, \|\cdot\|_\gamma)$.

(b) Define $\Phi_n: \mathcal{B}_n^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_n^2$ by putting $\Phi(U^n, V^n) = (Y^n, Z^n)$, where Y^n and Z^n are the first two components of the solution (Y^n, Z^n, K^n) of the following RBSDE:

$$Y_t^n = g(X_T^n) + \int_t^T f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, U_{s-}^n, V_{s-}^n) d\varrho_s^n - \int_t^T Z_{s-}^n dW_s^n + K_T^n - K_t^n.$$

Then, for $\gamma \geq 4L^2 + 1$, Φ_n is a contraction in $(\mathcal{B}_n^2, \|\cdot\|_{n,\gamma})$.

PROOF. We will only give the proof of (b). The proof of (a) follows by the same method. Let $(U^n, V^n), (\bar{U}^n, \bar{V}^n) \in \mathcal{B}_n^2$ and set $(Y^n, Z^n) = \Phi_n(U^n, V^n)$, $(\bar{Y}^n, \bar{Z}^n) = \Phi_n(\bar{U}^n, \bar{V}^n)$. Using Itô's formula for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & E \exp(\gamma \varrho_t^n) |Y_t^n - \bar{Y}_t^n|^2 \\ & + \gamma E \int_t^T \exp(\gamma \varrho_s^n) |Y_{s-}^n - \bar{Y}_{s-}^n|^2 ds + E \int_t^T \exp(\gamma \varrho_s^n) d[Y^n - \bar{Y}^n]_s \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2E \int_t^T \exp(\gamma \varrho_s^n) (Y_{s-}^n - \bar{Y}_{s-}^n) (f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, U_{s-}^n, V_{s-}^n) \\
&\quad - f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, \bar{U}_{s-}^n, \bar{V}_{s-}^n)) d\varrho_s^n \\
&\quad + 2E \int_t^T \exp(\gamma \varrho_s^n) (Y_{s-}^n - \bar{Y}_{s-}^n) d(K_s^n - \bar{K}_s^n) \\
&\leq 4L^2 E \int_t^T \exp(\gamma \varrho_s^n) |Y_{s-}^n - \bar{Y}_{s-}^n|^2 d\varrho_s^n \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} E \int_t^T \exp(\gamma \varrho_s^n) (|U_{s-}^n - \bar{U}_{s-}^n|^2 + \|V_{s-}^n - \bar{V}_{s-}^n\|^2) d\varrho_s^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\exp(\gamma \varrho_s^n) \leq \exp(\gamma s)$ for $s \in [(j-1)/n, j/n]$. Since

$$E \int_0^T \|Z_{s-}^n - \bar{Z}_{s-}^n\|^2 d\varrho_s^n \leq E[Y^n - \bar{Y}^n]_T,$$

putting $\gamma = 4L^2 + 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&E \int_0^T \exp(\gamma \varrho_s^n) (|Y_{s-}^n - \bar{Y}_{s-}^n|^2 + \|Z_{s-}^n - \bar{Z}_{s-}^n\|^2) d\varrho_s^n \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} E \int_0^T \exp(\gamma \varrho_s^n) (|U_{s-}^n - \bar{U}_{s-}^n|^2 + \|V_{s-}^n - \bar{V}_{s-}^n\|^2) d\varrho_s^n,
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. ■

LEMMA 5.3. Assume (Y, H, K) are continuous and (Y^n, H^n, K^n) , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are càdlàg processes satisfying $Y_t = H_t + K_T - K_t$ and $Y_t^n = H_t^n + K_T^n - K_t^n$, where Y, \bar{Y} take values in \bar{D} , K, K^n are processes of bounded variation such that $K_0 = K_0^n = 0$ and $\int_0^T (Y_t - A_t) dK_t \leq 0$, $\int_0^T (Y_t^n - A_t) dK_t^n \leq 0$ for every process A with values in \bar{D} . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&|Y_t^n - Y_t|^2 + \sum_{t < s \leq T} |\Delta K_s^n|^2 \\
&\leq |H_t^n - H_t|^2 + 2 \int_t^T (H_t^n - H_t - (H_{s-}^n - H_s)) d(K_s^n - K_s).
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. By Itô's formula and assumptions,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|K_T^n - K_t^n - (K_T - K_t)|^2 \\
&= 2 \int_t^T (K_T^n - K_{s-}^n - (K_T - K_s)) d(K_s^n - K_s) - \sum_{t < s \leq T} |\Delta K_s^n - \Delta K_s|^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2 \int_t^T (Y_{s-}^n - H_{s-}^n - (Y_s - H_s)) d(K_s^n - K_s) - \sum_{t < s \leq T} |\Delta K_s^n|^2 \\
&\leq -2 \int_t^T (H_{s-}^n - H_s) d(K_s^n - K_s) - \sum_{t < s \leq T} |\Delta K_s^n|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
|Y_t^n - Y_t|^2 &= |H_t^n - H_t|^2 + |K_T^n - K_t^n - (K_T - K_t)|^2 \\
&\quad + 2 \langle H_t^n - H_t, K_T^n - K_t^n - (K_T - K_t) \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

by the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|Y_t^n - Y_t|^2 + \sum_{t < s \leq T} |\Delta K_s^n|^2 \\
&\leq |H_t^n - H_t|^2 - 2 \int_t^T (H_{s-}^n - H_s) d(K_s^n - K_s) + 2 \int_t^T (H_t^n - H_t) d(K_s^n - K_s),
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. ■

LEMMA 5.4. *Assume that (i)–(v) hold and*

$$\sup_n E \exp(\lambda \tau^n) (1 + |X_{\tau^n}^n|^{2q}) < \infty.$$

(a) *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in D$*

$$\begin{aligned}
&E \left(\sup_{t \leq \tau^n} \exp(\lambda t) |Y_t^n - a|^2 + \int_0^{\tau^n} \exp(\lambda \varrho_t^n) \|Z_{t-}^n\|^2 d\varrho_t^n + |K_{\tau^n}^n|^2 \right) \\
&\leq CE \left(\exp(\lambda \tau^n) |g(X_{\tau^n}^n) - a|^2 + \int_0^{\tau^n} \exp(\lambda \varrho_t^n) |f(\varrho_{t-}^n, X_{t-}^n, a, 0)|^2 d\varrho_t^n \right).
\end{aligned}$$

(b) *The solution of (3.2) is unique.*

PROOF. (a) Similarly to the proof of Lemma 5.1 one can show that

$$E \left(\sup_{t \leq \tau^n} \exp(\lambda t) |Y_t^n|^2 + \|Z_t^n\|^2 + |K_t^n|^2 \right) < \infty.$$

By Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\exp(\lambda(t \wedge \tau^n)) |Y_{t \wedge \tau^n}^n - a|^2 + \lambda \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{\tau^n} \exp(\lambda s) |Y_{s-}^n - a|^2 d\varrho_s^n + \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{\tau^n} \exp(\lambda \varrho_s^n) d[Y^n]_s \\
&\leq \exp(\lambda \tau^n) |g(X_{\tau^n}^n) - a|^2 + 2 \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{\tau^n} \exp(\lambda \varrho_s^n) (Y_{s-}^n - a) f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, Y_{s-}^n, Z_{s-}^n) d\varrho_s^n \\
&\quad - 2 \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{\tau^n} \exp(\lambda \varrho_s^n) (Y_{s-}^n - a) Z_{s-}^n dW_s^n
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \exp(\lambda\tau^n)|g(X_{\tau^n}^n) - a|^2 + (2\mu + L^2/\varepsilon + \eta) \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{\tau^n} \exp(\lambda\varrho_s^n) |Y_{s-}^n - a|^2 d\varrho_s^n \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{\tau^n} \exp(\lambda\varrho_s^n) \|Z_{s-}^n\|^2 d\varrho_s^n + \frac{1}{\eta} \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{\tau^n} \exp(\lambda\varrho_s^n) |f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, a, 0)|^2 d\varrho_s^n \\
&\quad - 2 \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{\tau^n} \exp(\lambda\varrho_s^n) (Y_{s-}^n - a) Z_{s-}^n dW_s^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\varepsilon < 1$ and $\eta > 0$ such that $2\mu + L^2/\varepsilon + \eta < \lambda$, by (5.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\left(\exp(\lambda(t \wedge \tau^n)) |Y_{t \wedge \tau^n}^n - a|^2 + \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{\tau^n} \exp(\lambda\varrho_s^n) \|Z_{s-}^n\|^2 d\varrho_s^n\right) \\
&\leq CE\left(\exp(\lambda\tau^n) |g(X_{\tau^n}^n) - a|^2 + \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{\tau^n} \exp(\lambda\varrho_s^n) |f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, a, 0)|^2 d\varrho_s^n\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using similar arguments to those in the proof of Lemma 5.1 we complete the proof of part (a).

(b) Suppose that (Y^n, Z^n, K^n) and $(\tilde{Y}^n, \tilde{Z}^n, \tilde{K}^n)$ are two solutions of (3.2). By Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\exp(\lambda(t \wedge \tau^n)) |Y_{t \wedge \tau^n}^n - \tilde{Y}_{t \wedge \tau^n}^n|^2 + \lambda \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{\tau^n} \exp(\lambda s) |Y_{s-}^n - \tilde{Y}_{s-}^n|^2 d\varrho_s^n \\
&\quad + \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{\tau^n} \exp(\lambda\varrho_s^n) d[Y^n - \tilde{Y}^n]_s \\
&\leq (2\mu + L^2/\varepsilon) \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{\tau^n} \exp(\lambda\varrho_s^n) |Y_{s-}^n - \tilde{Y}_{s-}^n|^2 d\varrho_s^n + \varepsilon \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{\tau^n} \exp(\lambda\varrho_s^n) \|Z_{s-}^n - \tilde{Z}_{s-}^n\|^2 d\varrho_s^n \\
&\quad - 2 \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{\tau^n} \exp(\lambda\varrho_s^n) (Y_{s-}^n - \tilde{Y}_{s-}^n) (Z_{s-}^n - \tilde{Z}_{s-}^n) dW_s^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we choose $\varepsilon < 1$ such that $2\mu + L^2/\varepsilon < \lambda$. Integrating the above inequality, by (5.2) we prove the lemma. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.1. (a) Note that (b) implies (a) easily. By the Skorokhod representation theorem there exists a probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}, \tilde{\mathcal{P}})$ with a Wiener process \tilde{W} and Bernoulli symmetric sequences $\{\tilde{\varepsilon}_j^n\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\sup_{t \leq T} |\tilde{W}_t^n - \tilde{W}_t| \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} 0,$$

where $\tilde{W}_t^n = n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]} \tilde{\varepsilon}_j^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then, by part (b), as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\tilde{E}\left(\sup_{t \leq T} |\tilde{Y}_t^n - \tilde{Y}_t|^2 + \int_0^T \|\tilde{Z}_{t-}^n - \tilde{Z}_t\|^2 dt + \sup_{t \leq T} |\tilde{K}_t^n - \tilde{K}_t|^2\right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{X}^n, \tilde{Y}^n, \int_0^\cdot \tilde{Z}_{s-}^n d\tilde{W}_s^n, \tilde{K}^n, \tilde{W}^n) = \mathcal{L}(X^n, Y^n, \int_0^\cdot Z_{s-}^n dW_s^n, K^n, W^n)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \int_0^\cdot \tilde{Z}_s d\tilde{W}_s, \tilde{K}, \tilde{W}) = \mathcal{L}(X, Y, \int_0^\cdot Z_s dW_s, K, W)$, part (a) easily follows.

(b) We will follow the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [2]. Consider the decompositions:

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} Y^n - Y &= (Y^n - Y^{n,(q)}) + (Y^{n,(q)} - Y^{(q)}) + (Y^{(q)} - Y), \\ Z^n - Z &= (Z^n - Z^{n,(q)}) + (Z^{n,(q)} - Z^{(q)}) + (Z^{(q)} - Z), \\ K^n - K &= (K^n - K^{n,(q)}) + (K^{n,(q)} - K^{(q)}) + (K^{(q)} - K), \end{aligned}$$

where the superscript (q) stands for the approximation of the solution to the RBSDE by the Picard method. More precisely, set $Y_t^{(0)} = E(g(X_T)|\mathcal{F}_t)$, $Y_t^{n,(0)} = E(g(X_T^n)|\mathcal{F}_t^n)$, $K_t^{(0)} = K_t^{n,(0)} = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^T Z_s^{(0)} dW_s &= g(X_T) - E(g(X_T)|\mathcal{F}_t), \\ \int_t^T Z_{s-}^{n,(0)} dW_s^n &= g(X_T^n) - E(g(X_T^n)|\mathcal{F}_t^n), \end{aligned}$$

and then define $(Y^{(q+1)}, Z^{(q+1)}, K^{(q+1)})$ and $(Y^{n,(q+1)}, Z^{n,(q+1)}, K^{n,(q+1)})$ as solutions of the equations

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} Y_t^{(q+1)} &= g(X_T) + \int_t^T f(s, X_s, Y_s^{(q)}, Z_s^{(q)}) ds \\ &\quad - \int_t^T Z_s^{(q+1)} dW_s + K_T^{(q+1)} - K_t^{(q+1)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} Y_t^{n,(q+1)} &= g(X_T^n) + \int_t^T f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, Y_{s-}^{n,(q)}, Z_{s-}^{n,(q)}) d\varrho_s^n \\ &\quad - \int_t^T Z_{s-}^{n,(q+1)} dW_s^n + K_T^{n,(q+1)} - K_t^{n,(q+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Norms $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$ and $\|\cdot\|_0$ are equivalent, so by Lemma 5.2 it follows that

$$E \int_0^T (|Y_t^{(q)} - Y_t|^2 + \|Z_t^{(q)} - Z_t\|^2) dt \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E \int_0^T (|Y_{t-}^{n,(q)} - Y_{t-}^n|^2 + \|Z_{t-}^{n,(q)} - Z_{t-}^n\|^2) d\varrho_t^n \rightarrow 0$$

as $q \rightarrow \infty$. Since, by the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} E \sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t^{(q)} - Y_t|^2 &\leq CE \int_0^T (|Y_t^{(q)} - Y_t|^2 + \|Z_t^{(q)} - Z_t\|^2) dt \\ &\quad + E \int_0^T (|Y_t^{(q-1)} - Y_t|^2 + \|Z_t^{(q-1)} - Z_t\|^2) dt \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E \sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t^{n,(q)} - Y_t^n|^2 &\leq CE \int_0^T (|Y_{t-}^{n,(q)} - Y_{t-}^n|^2 + \|Z_{t-}^{n,(q)} - Z_{t-}^n\|^2) d\varrho_t^n \\ &\quad + E \int_0^T (|Y_{t-}^{n,(q-1)} - Y_{t-}^n|^2 + \|Z_{t-}^{n,(q-1)} - Z_{t-}^n\|^2) d\varrho_t^n, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$E \sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t^{(q)} - Y_t|^2 + \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E \sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t^{n,(q)} - Y_t^n|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow \infty,$$

which implies also that

$$E \sup_{t \leq T} |K_t^{(q)} - K_t|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E \sup_{t \leq T} |K_t^{n,(q)} - K_t^n|^2 \rightarrow 0$$

as $q \rightarrow \infty$. Hence the first and the third components of the decompositions (5.6) converge to zero. The convergence of the second term will be shown by induction on q . Let $q=0$. Recall that $K^{n,(0)} = K^{(0)} = 0$. Since $\{W^n\}$ is a sequence of processes with independent increments such that $\sup_{t \leq T} |W_t^n - W_t| \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} 0$, we obtain

$$(5.9) \quad \sup_{t \leq T} |E(H|\mathcal{F}_t^n) - E(H|\mathcal{F}_t)| \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} 0$$

for every \mathcal{F}_T -measurable integrable random variable H (see, e.g., [6], Proposition 2 and Remark 1). From (5.9) and the maximal Doob inequality it follows that if $E|H|^p < \infty$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$(5.10) \quad E \sup_{t \leq T} |E(H|\mathcal{F}_t^n) - E(H|\mathcal{F}_t)|^p \rightarrow 0, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence, in particular, $E \sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t^{n,(0)} - Y_t^{(0)}|^2$ tends to zero and

$$E \int_0^T \|Z_{s-}^{n,(0)} - Z_s^{(0)}\|^2 ds \rightarrow 0.$$

Now, assume that the convergence holds for fixed q . We will prove it for $q + 1$. To simplify the notation we drop the superscript (q) , so that equations (5.7) and (5.8) become

$$Y_t = g(X_T) + \int_t^T f(s, X_s, U_s, V_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s + K_T - K_t, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

and

$$Y_t^n = g(X_T^n) + \int_t^T f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, U_{s-}^n, V_{s-}^n) d\varrho_s^n - \int_t^T Z_{s-}^n dW_s^n + K_T^n - K_t^n,$$

respectively, where

$$(U, V) = (Y^{(q)}, Z^{(q)}), \quad (Y, Z) = (Y^{(q+1)}, Z^{(q+1)}), \\ (U^n, V^n) = (Y^{n,(q)}, Z^{n,(q)}), \quad (Y^n, Z^n) = (Y^{n,(q+1)}, Z^{n,(q+1)}).$$

By assumption, (U^n, V^n) converges to (U, V) . We have to prove that (Y^n, Z^n, K^n) converges to (Y, Z, K) . We begin by studying the convergence of K_T^n to K_T . By Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} |K_T - K_T^n|^2 + \sum_{t \leq T} |\Delta K_t^n|^2 &= 2 \int_0^T (K_T - K_t - (K_T^n - K_{t-}^n)) d(K_t - K_t^n) \\ &= 2 \int_0^T (Y_t - Y_{t-}^n) d(K_t - K_t^n) - 2 \int_0^T (g(X_T) - g(X_T^n)) d(K_t - K_t^n) \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^T \left(\int_t^T f(s, X_s, U_s, V_s) ds - \int_{t-}^T f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, U_{s-}^n, V_{s-}^n) d\varrho_s^n \right) d(K_t - K_t^n) \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^T \left(\int_t^T Z_s dW_s - \int_{t-}^T Z_{s-}^n dW_s^n \right) d(K_t - K_t^n). \end{aligned}$$

Due to (2.7) the first term on the right-hand side of the above equality is less than or equal to zero. On the other hand, by Lemma 5.1, $\sup_n E|K_T^n|^p < \infty$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, and by the arguments from the proof of Lemma 5.2 in [8] (pp. 122 and 126) $E|K_T|^p < \infty$. Therefore, the convergence of (X^n, U^n, V^n) to (X, U, V) implies that the second and the third terms converge to zero in probability. What is left is to show that the fourth component of the above equality converges to zero. To simplify the notation let us put $N_t = \int_0^t Z_s dW_s$ and $N_t^n = \int_0^t Z_{s-}^n dW_s^n$. Now the fourth component is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T (N_T - N_t) dK_t + \int_0^T (N_T^n - N_{t-}^n) dK_t^n - \int_0^T (N_T - N_t) dK_t^n \\ - \int_0^T (N_T^n - N_{t-}^n) dK_t = I_1 + I_2 - I_3 - I_4. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $E|N_T|^p + \sup_n E|N_T^n|^p < \infty$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Since N is a continuous martingale with respect to \mathcal{F} and K is a continuous process of finite variation,

$$EI_1 = EN_T K_T - E \int_0^T N_t dK_t = EN_T K_T - E(N_T K_T - \int_0^T K_t dN_t) = 0.$$

Similarly, since N^n is a martingale with respect to \mathcal{F}^n and K^n is a process of finite variation,

$$EI_2 = EN_T^n K_T^n - E(N_T^n K_T^n - \int_0^T K_{t-}^n dN_t^n - \sum_{t \leq T} \Delta N_t^n \Delta K_t^n) = 0.$$

To estimate EI_3 set $B_t^n = E(N_T | \mathcal{F}_t^n)$. Since B^n is an \mathcal{F}^n martingale,

$$\begin{aligned} E \int_0^T E(N_T | \mathcal{F}_t^n) dK_t^n &= E \int_0^T B_t^n dK_t^n = E \sum_{t \leq T} \Delta B_t^n \Delta K_t^n + E \int_0^T B_{t-}^n dK_t^n \\ &= E \sum_{t \leq T} \Delta B_t^n \Delta K_t^n + E(B_T^n K_T^n - \int_0^T K_{t-}^n dB_t^n - \sum_{t \leq T} \Delta B_t^n \Delta K_t^n) \\ &= EB_T^n K_T^n = EN_T K_T^n = E \int_0^T N_T dK_t^n. \end{aligned}$$

By the above and (5.10),

$$\begin{aligned} EI_3 &= E \int_0^T (N_T - N_t) dK_t^n = E \int_0^T (E(N_T | \mathcal{F}_t^n) - N_t) dK_t^n \\ &= E \int_0^T (E(N_T | \mathcal{F}_t^n) - E(N_T | \mathcal{F}_t)) dK_t^n \\ &\leq (E \sup_{t \leq T} |E(N_T | \mathcal{F}_t^n) - E(N_T | \mathcal{F}_t)|^2)^{1/2} (E|K^n|_T^2)^{1/2} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It remains to prove that $EI_4 \rightarrow 0$. Let us write $K_t^{\varrho^n} = K_{j/n}$ for $t \in [j/n, (j+1)/n)$ and $G_t^n = E(K_t^{\varrho^n} | \mathcal{F}_t^n)$. Since N_t^n is \mathcal{F}_t^n -measurable,

$$\begin{aligned} EN_{(j-1)/n}^n K_{j/n} &= EN_{(j-1)/n}^n G_{j/n}^n, \\ EN_{(j-1)/n}^n K_{(j-1)/n} &= EN_{(j-1)/n}^n G_{(j-1)/n}^n, \end{aligned}$$

and, as a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned}
E \int_0^T N_{t-}^n dK_t &= E \sum_{j=1}^{[nT]} N_{(j-1)/n}^n (G_{j/n}^n - G_{(j-1)/n}^n) = E \int_0^T N_{t-}^n dG_t^n \\
&= E(N_T^n G_T^n - \int_0^T G_{t-}^n dN_t^n - \sum_{j=1}^{[nT]} \Delta G_{j/n}^n \Delta N_{j/n}^n) \\
&= EN_T^n G_T^n - E \sum_{j=1}^{[nT]} \Delta G_{j/n}^n \Delta N_{j/n}^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $EN_T^n G_T^n = EN_T^n E(K_T | \mathcal{F}_T^n) = EN_T^n K_T$,

$$\begin{aligned}
EI_4 &= E \sum_{j=1}^{[nT]} \Delta G_{j/n}^n \Delta N_{j/n}^n \\
&\leq (E \sum_{j=1}^{[nT]} |N_{j/n}^n - N_{(j-1)/n}^n|^2)^{1/2} (E \sum_{j=1}^{[nT]} |G_{j/n}^n - G_{(j-1)/n}^n|^2)^{1/2} \\
&\leq (\sup_n E[N^n]_T)^{1/2} (E[G^n]_T)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right-hand side of the above inequality is bounded, so we need to prove that the second one converges to zero. Since K^{ϱ^n} is a process of finite variation, it can be decomposed into a difference of two increasing processes $K^{\varrho^n} = K^{\varrho^{n+}} - K^{\varrho^{n-}}$ such that $K_T^{\varrho^{n+}} \leq |K^{\varrho^n}|_T$ and $K_T^{\varrho^{n-}} \leq |K^{\varrho^n}|_T$. Moreover, the processes $G_t^{n+} = E(K_t^{\varrho^{n+}} | \mathcal{F}_t^n)$, $G_t^{n-} = E(K_t^{\varrho^{n-}} | \mathcal{F}_t^n)$, $t \in [0, T]$, are submartingales, so from [6], p. 317, and by Lemma 5.1 in Section 5 it follows that for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_n \|G^n\|_{H^p(S)} &\leq \sup_n \|G^{n+}\|_{H^p(S)} + \sup_n \|G^{n-}\|_{H^p(S)} \\
&\leq C_p \sup_n (E \sup_{t \leq T} |G_t^{n+}|^p)^{1/p} + C_p \sup_n (E \sup_{t \leq T} |G_t^{n-}|^p)^{1/p} \\
&\leq 2C_p \sup_n (E|K^{\varrho^n}|_T^p)^{1/p} \leq 2C_p (E|K|_T^p)^{1/p} < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{H^p(S)}$ means the norm of a special semimartingale X with a canonical decomposition $X = M + A$ which is defined by $\|X\|_{H^p(S)} = \|[M]_T^{1/2}\|_{\mathbb{L}^p} + \|A\|_T$. Therefore, G^n satisfies the (UT) condition considered in [11]. Moreover $\sup_{t \leq T} |G_t^n - K_t| \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} 0$. To see this we first note that from Theorem 1 and the Comment on p. 319 in [6] we have

$$\sup_{t \leq T} |E(K_t | \mathcal{F}_t^n) - K_t| \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} 0.$$

Next, by Doob's inequality,

$$E \sup_{t \leq T} |G_t^n - E(K_t | \mathcal{F}_t^n)|^2 \leq E \sup_{t \leq T} |E(\sup_{t \leq T} |K_t^{\varrho^n} - K_t| | \mathcal{F}_t^n)|^2 \leq 4E \sup_{t \leq T} |K_t^{\varrho^n} - K_t|^2.$$

Since K is a continuous process, $G^n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} K$. Hence, by Theorem 1.4 in [9] (see also [11]) we deduce that also $\sum_{j=1}^{[nT]} |\Delta G_{j/n}^n|^2 = [G^n]_T \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} [K]_T = 0$. Moreover, since $E[G^n]_T^2 \leq C \|G^n\|_{H^4(S)} \leq C(E|K_T^{\varrho^n}|_T^4)^{1/4} < \infty$, we obtain $E[G^n]_T \rightarrow 0$. This implies that $E|K_T^n - K_T|^2 \rightarrow 0$.

In order to complete the proof notice that the process

$$M_t^n = Y_t^n + \int_0^t f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, U_{s-}^n, V_{s-}^n) d\varrho_s^n + K_t^n$$

is an \mathcal{F}^n martingale, which satisfies

$$(5.11) \quad M_t^n = M_0^n + \int_0^t Z_{s-}^n dW_s^n.$$

Set $M_t = Y_t + \int_0^t f(s, X_s, U_s, V_s) ds + K_t$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |M_T^n - M_T| &= |M_T^n - Y_T - \int_0^T f(s, X_s, U_s, V_s) ds - K_T| \\ &\leq |g(X_T^n) - g(X_T)| + |K_T^n - K_T| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_0^T f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, U_{s-}^n, V_{s-}^n) d\varrho_s^n - \int_0^T f(s, X_s, U_s, V_s) ds \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since we have already known that $K_T^n \rightarrow K_T$ in \mathbb{L}^2 , from our assumptions on X^n, U^n, V^n it follows that $M_T^n \rightarrow M_T$ in \mathbb{L}^2 . Hence, by (5.10) we deduce that $E \sup_{t \leq T} |M_t^n - M_t|^2 \rightarrow 0$ and, in particular, $Y_0^n \rightarrow Y_0$. By (5.11) and by Theorem 3.1 in [2],

$$E \int_0^T \|Z_{t-}^n - Z_t\|^2 dt \rightarrow 0,$$

where $M_t = M_0 + \int_0^t Z_s dW_s$. Thus, $E \sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t^n - Y_t + K_t^n - K_t|^2 \rightarrow 0$. Now, set $H_t^n = g(X_T^n) + \int_t^T f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, U_{s-}^n, V_{s-}^n) d\varrho_s^n - \int_t^T Z_{s-}^n dW_s^n$ and $H_t = g(X_T) + \int_t^T f(s, X_s, U_s, V_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s$. Since we have shown that

$$\sup_{t \leq T} |H_t^n - H_t| \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E|K|_T + \sup_n E|K^n|_T < \infty,$$

by Lemma 5.3 it follows that $\sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t^n - Y_t| \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} 0$. Hence $\sup_{t \leq T} |K_t^n - K_t| \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} 0$.

Moreover, by Lemma 5.1, $E \sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t|^4 + \sup_n E \sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t^n|^4 < \infty$, which implies that

$$E \sup_{t \leq T} (|Y_t^n - Y_t|^2 + |K_t^n - K_t|^2) \rightarrow 0$$

and the proof is complete. ■

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Similarly to the proof of Lemma 5.1 one can show that

$$(5.12) \quad \sup_n E \left(\sup_{t \leq T} |\hat{Y}_t^n - a|^2 + \int_0^T \|\hat{Z}_{t-}^n\|^2 d\varrho_t^n + \sup_{t \leq T} |\hat{K}_t^n|^2 \right) < \infty.$$

By Itô's formula and (2.8),

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \exp(\gamma \varrho_t^n) |Y_t^n - \hat{Y}_t^n|^2 + \gamma \int_t^T \exp(\gamma s) |Y_{s-}^n - \hat{Y}_{s-}^n|^2 ds \\ & + \int_t^T \exp(\gamma \varrho_s^n) d[Y^n - \hat{Y}^n]_s \\ & \leq 2 \int_t^T \exp(\gamma \varrho_s^n) (Y_{s-}^n - \hat{Y}_{s-}^n) (f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, Y_{s-}^n, Z_{s-}^n) \\ & \quad - f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, \bar{Y}_{s-}^n, \hat{Z}_{s-}^n)) d\varrho_s^n \\ & + 2 \int_t^T \exp(\gamma \varrho_s^n) (Y_{s-}^n - \hat{Y}_{s-}^n) d(K_s^n - \hat{K}_s^n) \\ & - 2 \int_t^T \exp(\gamma \varrho_s^n) (Y_{s-}^n - \hat{Y}_{s-}^n) (Z_{s-}^n - \hat{Z}_{s-}^n) dW_s^n \\ & \leq 4L^2 \int_t^T \exp(\gamma \varrho_s^n) |Y_{s-}^n - \hat{Y}_{s-}^n|^2 d\varrho_s^n \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \exp(\gamma \varrho_s^n) (|Y_{s-}^n - \bar{Y}_{s-}^n|^2 + \|Z_{s-}^n - \hat{Z}_{s-}^n\|^2) d\varrho_s^n \\ & - 2 \int_t^T \exp(\gamma \varrho_s^n) (Y_{s-}^n - \hat{Y}_{s-}^n) (Z_{s-}^n - \hat{Z}_{s-}^n) dW_s^n. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $s = j/n$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} E|Y_{s-}^n - \bar{Y}_{s-}^n|^2 & \leq 2E|Y_{s-}^n - \hat{Y}_{s-}^n|^2 + 2E|\hat{Y}_{s-}^n - \bar{Y}_{s-}^n|^2 \\ & \leq 2E|Y_{s-}^n - \hat{Y}_{s-}^n|^2 + \frac{2}{n^2} E|f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, \bar{Y}_{s-}^n, \hat{Z}_{s-}^n)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that

$$\hat{Y}_{s-}^n = \pi \left(\bar{Y}_{s-}^n + \frac{1}{n} f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, \bar{Y}_{s-}^n, \hat{Z}_{s-}^n) \right).$$

Moreover, $E|\bar{Y}_{s-}^n - a|^2 = E|E(\hat{Y}_s^n - a|\mathcal{F}_{s-}^n)|^2 \leq E|\hat{Y}_s^n - a|^2$. Therefore, setting $\gamma = 4L^2 + 1$ and integrating (5.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E \exp(\gamma \varrho_t^n) |Y_t^n - \hat{Y}_t^n|^2 + \frac{1}{2} E \int_t^T \exp(\gamma \varrho_s^n) \|Z_{s-}^n - \hat{Z}_{s-}^n\|^2 d\varrho_s^n \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n^2} E \int_t^T \exp(\gamma \varrho_s^n) |f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, \bar{Y}_{s-}^n, \hat{Z}_{s-}^n)|^2 d\varrho_s^n \\ & \leq \frac{C}{n^2} E \int_t^T \exp(\gamma \varrho_s^n) (|\bar{Y}_{s-}^n - a|^2 + \|\hat{Z}_{s-}^n\|^2 + |f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, a, 0)|^2) d\varrho_s^n \\ & \leq \frac{C}{n^2} E \int_t^T \exp(\gamma \varrho_s^n) (|\hat{Y}_s^n - a|^2 + \|\hat{Z}_{s-}^n\|^2 + |f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, a, 0)|^2) d\varrho_s^n. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.12) it is obvious that the right-hand side of the above inequality tends to zero. Moreover, since

$$\begin{aligned} & 2E \sup_{t \leq T} \left| \int_t^T \exp(\gamma \varrho_s^n) (Y_{s-}^n - \hat{Y}_{s-}^n) (Z_{s-}^n - \hat{Z}_{s-}^n) dW_s^n \right| \\ & \leq CE \left(\int_0^T \exp(2\gamma \varrho_s^n) |Y_{s-}^n - \hat{Y}_{s-}^n|^2 \|Z_{s-}^n - \hat{Z}_{s-}^n\|^2 d\varrho_s^n \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} E \sup_{t \leq T} \exp(\gamma t) |Y_t^n - \hat{Y}_t^n|^2 + CE \int_0^T \exp(\gamma \varrho_t^n) \|Z_{t-}^n - \hat{Z}_{t-}^n\|^2 d\varrho_t^n, \end{aligned}$$

in the same manner as before one can prove that

$$E \sup_{t \leq T} \exp(\gamma \varrho_t^n) |Y_t^n - \hat{Y}_t^n|^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

It implies also that $E \sup_{t \leq T} |K_t^n - \hat{K}_t^n|^2 \rightarrow 0$. ■

Proof of Theorem 3.1. (a) Note that (b) implies (a) similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.1. To see this it is sufficient to use the arguments from the proof of Theorem 2.1 and to observe that if $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{W}) = \mathcal{L}(\tau, W)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{\tau}^n, \tilde{W}^n) = \mathcal{L}(\tau^n, W^n)$), then $\tilde{\tau}$ is a stopping time with respect to the natural filtration generated by a Wiener process \tilde{W} (respectively, $\tilde{\tau}^n$ is a stopping time with respect to the natural filtration generated by a Wiener process \tilde{W}^n) (see e.g. [20], lemme 1.1.21).

(b) **Step 1.** Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\xi_M = E(g(X_\tau) | \mathcal{F}_M)$. Since ξ_M is \mathcal{F}_M -measurable and f satisfies (i) and (ii) it follows by results of [8] that there exists a unique solution $(Y_t^M, Z_t^M, K_t^M)_{t \in [0, M]}$ of the following RBSDE:

$$(5.14) \quad Y_t^M = \xi_M + \int_t^M \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau]}(s) f(s, X_s, Y_s^M, Z_s^M) ds - \int_t^M Z_s^M dW_s + K_M^M - K_t^M$$

for $t \in [0, M]$. Notice that on the set $\{t \geq \tau\}$ we have $\xi_M = g(X_\tau) = Y_t^M$ and $Z_t^M = 0$, so (5.14) can be rewritten as

$$Y_t^M = \xi_M + \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{M \wedge \tau} f(s, X_s, Y_s^M, Z_s^M) ds - \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{M \wedge \tau} Z_s^M dW_s + K_{M \wedge \tau}^M - K_{t \wedge \tau}^M.$$

Moreover, note that

$$Y_t = Y_{M \wedge \tau} + \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{M \wedge \tau} f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{M \wedge \tau} Z_s dW_s + K_{M \wedge \tau} - K_{t \wedge \tau}, \quad t \in [0, M].$$

Now, similarly to the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [15], using Itô's formula we have for $t \in [0, M]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(\lambda(t \wedge \tau)) |Y_{t \wedge \tau} - Y_{t \wedge \tau}^M|^2 + \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{M \wedge \tau} \exp(\lambda s) (\lambda |Y_s - Y_s^M|^2 + \|Z_s - Z_s^M\|^2) ds \\ &= \exp(\lambda(M \wedge \tau)) |Y_{M \wedge \tau} - Y_{M \wedge \tau}^M|^2 \\ & \quad + 2 \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{M \wedge \tau} \exp(\lambda s) (Y_s - Y_s^M) (f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) - f(s, X_s, Y_s^M, Z_s^M)) ds \\ & \quad - 2 \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{M \wedge \tau} \exp(\lambda s) (Y_s - Y_s^M) (Z_s - Z_s^M) dW_s \\ & \quad + 2 \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{M \wedge \tau} \exp(\lambda s) (Y_s - Y_s^M) d(K_s - K_s^M) \\ & \leq \exp(\lambda(M \wedge \tau)) |Y_{M \wedge \tau} - \xi_M|^2 + (2\mu + L^2/\varepsilon) \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{M \wedge \tau} \exp(\lambda s) |Y_s - Y_s^M|^2 ds \\ & \quad + \varepsilon \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{M \wedge \tau} \exp(\lambda s) \|Z_s - Z_s^M\|^2 ds - 2 \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{M \wedge \tau} \exp(\lambda s) (Y_s - Y_s^M) (Z_s - Z_s^M) dW_s. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing ε such that $\varepsilon < 1$ and $2\mu + L^2/\varepsilon < \lambda$ we get

$$(5.15) \quad E \exp(\lambda(t \wedge \tau)) |Y_{t \wedge \tau} - Y_{t \wedge \tau}^M|^2 + E \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{M \wedge \tau} \exp(\lambda s) \|Z_s - Z_s^M\|^2 ds \\ \leq CE \exp(\lambda(M \wedge \tau)) |Y_{M \wedge \tau} - \xi_M|^2.$$

Analogously, choosing $\varepsilon < 1$ such that $2\mu + L^2/\varepsilon = \lambda' < \lambda$ we get (5.15) with λ' in place of λ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} & E \exp(\lambda(M \wedge \tau)) |Y_{M \wedge \tau} - \xi_M|^2 \\ & \leq 2E \sup_{t \leq \tau} \exp(\lambda t) |Y_t|^2 + 2E \exp(\lambda \tau) |g(X_\tau)|^2 < \infty \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E \exp(\lambda'(M \wedge \tau)) |Y_{M \wedge \tau} - \xi_M|^2 \\ \leq \exp((\lambda' - \lambda)M) E \exp(\lambda(M \wedge \tau)) |Y_{M \wedge \tau} - \xi_M|^2, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$E \exp(\lambda'(t \wedge \tau)) |Y_{t \wedge \tau} - Y_{t \wedge \tau}^M|^2 + E \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{M \wedge \tau} \exp(\lambda's) \|Z_s - Z_s^M\|^2 ds \rightarrow 0$$

as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, by the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality,

$$E \sup_{t \leq M} \exp(\lambda'(t \wedge \tau)) |Y_{t \wedge \tau} - Y_{t \wedge \tau}^M|^2 \rightarrow 0$$

and, as a consequence,

$$E \sup_{t \leq M} |K_{t \wedge \tau} - K_{t \wedge \tau}^M|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty.$$

Step 2. Let $\xi_M^n = E(g(X_{\tau^n}^n) | \mathcal{F}_M^n)$. For $j = nM$ let us put $y_{\tau_j^n}^{n,M} = \xi_M^n = E(g(X_{\tau^n}^n) | \mathcal{F}_M^n)$, $z_{\tau_j^n}^{n,M} = 0$, $\Delta k_{\tau_{j+1}^n}^{n,M} = 0$ and, as in Section 3, solve

$$y_{\tau_j^n}^{n,M} = y_{\tau_{j+1}^n}^{n,M} + \frac{1}{n} f(j/n, x_{\tau_j^n}^n, y_{\tau_j^n}^{n,M}, z_{\tau_j^n}^{n,M}) \mathbf{1}_{(\tau^n > j/n)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\tau_j^n}^{n,M} \varepsilon_{j+1}^n + \Delta k_{\tau_{j+1}^n}^{n,M}$$

for $j = nM-1, \dots, 0$. Defining $Y_t^{n,M} = y_{\tau_{[nt]}^n}^{n,M}$, $Z_t^{n,M} = z_{\tau_{[nt]}^n}^{n,M}$, and $K_t^{n,M} = \sum_{j \leq [nt]} \Delta k_{\tau_j^n}^{n,M}$ we see that

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{aligned} Y_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{n,M} &= \xi_M^n + \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{M \wedge \tau^n} f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, Y_{s-}^{n,M}, Z_{s-}^{n,M}) d\varrho_s^n \\ &\quad - \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^M Z_{s-}^{n,M} dW_s^n + K_{M \wedge \tau^n}^{n,M} - K_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{n,M}, \quad t \in [0, M]. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{t \wedge \tau^n}^n &= Y_{M \wedge \tau^n}^n + \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{M \wedge \tau^n} f(\varrho_{s-}^n, X_{s-}^n, Y_{s-}^n, Z_{s-}^n) d\varrho_s^n \\ &\quad - \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{M \wedge \tau^n} Z_{s-}^n dW_s^n + K_{M \wedge \tau^n}^n - K_{t \wedge \tau^n}^n, \quad t \in [0, M]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the fact that $E \int_0^{t \wedge \tau^n} \|Z_{s-}^n - Z_{s-}^{n,M}\|^2 d\varrho_s^n \leq E[Y^n - Y^{n,M}]_{t \wedge \tau^n}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_n E \exp(\lambda(M \wedge \tau^n)) |Y_{M \wedge \tau^n}^n - \xi^{n,M}|^2 \\ & \leq 2 \sup_n E \left(\exp(\lambda(M \wedge \tau^n)) |Y_{M \wedge \tau^n}^n|^2 + \exp(\lambda(M \wedge \tau^n)) |E(g(X_{\tau^n}^n) | \mathcal{F}_M^n)|^2 \right) \\ & \leq 2 \sup_n E \sup_{t \leq \tau^n} \exp(\lambda t) |Y_t^n|^2 + 2 \sup_n E \exp(\lambda \tau^n) |g(X_{\tau^n}^n)|^2 < \infty \end{aligned}$$

(see Lemma 5.4 (a)) and arguing as in Step 1 we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_n E \left(\sup_{t \leq M} \exp(\lambda' t \wedge \tau^n) |Y_{t \wedge \tau^n}^n - Y_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{n,M}|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{M \wedge \tau^n} \exp(\lambda' s) \|Z_{s-}^n - Z_{s-}^{n,M}\|^2 d\varrho_s^n + \sup_{t \leq M} |K_{t \wedge \tau^n}^n - K_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{n,M}|^2 \right) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $M \rightarrow \infty$.

Step 3. By the same method as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 (b) we show that for any $M \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\left(\sup_{t \leq M} |Y_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{n,M} - Y_{t \wedge \tau}^M| + \int_0^M \|Z_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{n,M} - Z_{t \wedge \tau}^M\|^2 dt + \sup_{t \leq M} |K_{t \wedge \tau^n}^{n,M} - K_{t \wedge \tau}^M| \right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} 0.$$

Combing this with results from Steps 1 and 2 completes the proof. ■

Proof of Proposition 3.1. The proof runs similarly to the proof of Proposition 2.1, so we omit it. ■

Proof of Example 3.1. Obviously, it suffices to consider the case where $\lambda > 0$. It is known (see [5], [20]) that $P(W_t \in [-A, A]) \leq 2A/\sqrt{2\pi t}$ for any $A > 0$ and $P^x(\tau^n > t) \leq P(|W_t^n + x| \leq a) = P(W_t^n \in [-a-x, a-x])$, where P^x is such that $P^x(W_0^n = x) = 1$. Since

$$\sup_{x \in [-a, a]} |P(W_t^n \in [-a-x, a-x]) - P(W_t \in [-a-x, a-x])| \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geq n_0$

$$\sup_{x \in [-a, a]} P^x(\tau^n > t) \leq \frac{2a}{\sqrt{\pi t}}.$$

Moreover, for $n \geq n_0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} P(\tau^n > (k+1)t) &= P(\tau^n > kt, \sup_{s \in (kt, (k+1)t]} |W_s^n| \leq a) \\ &\leq E \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau^n > kt\}} P^{W_{kt}^n} \left(\sup_{s \in (0, t]} |W_s^n| \leq a \right) \\ &\leq E \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau^n > kt\}} P^{W_{kt}^n}(\tau^n > t) \leq P(\tau^n > kt) \frac{2a}{\sqrt{\pi t}}, \end{aligned}$$

so iterating the above inequality yields $P(\tau^n > kt) \leq (2a/\sqrt{\pi t})^k$ for $n \geq n_0$. Therefore, for $u > 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} E \exp(\lambda \tau^n) &= \int_0^\infty P(\alpha \leq \exp(\lambda \tau^n)) d\alpha \\ &= \int_0^1 P(\alpha \leq \exp(\lambda \tau^n)) d\alpha + \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_{u^k}^{u^{k+1}} P(\alpha \leq \exp(\lambda \tau^n)) d\alpha \\ &\leq 1 + \sum_{k=0}^\infty u^k (u-1) P(u^k \leq \exp(\lambda \tau^n)) \\ &\leq 1 + \sum_{k=0}^\infty u^k (u-1) P(\tau^n \geq k \ln u / \lambda) \\ &\leq 1 + (u-1) \sum_{k=0}^\infty (u 2a\sqrt{\lambda}/\sqrt{\pi \ln u})^k, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the previous calculations for $t = \ln u / \lambda$. Hence $\sup_n E \exp(\lambda \tau^n) < \infty$ if $2ua\sqrt{\lambda}/\sqrt{\pi \ln u} < 1$. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Bally and G. Pages, *Error analysis of the optimal quantization algorithm for obstacle problems*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 106 (2003), pp. 1–40.
- [2] P. Briand, B. Delyon and J. Mémin, *Donsker-type theorem for BSDEs*, Electron. Comm. Probab. 6 (2001), pp. 1–14.
- [3] P. Briand, B. Delyon and J. Mémin, *On the robustness of backward stochastic differential equations*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 97 (2002), pp. 229–253.
- [4] R. Buckdahn, M. Quincampoix and A. Rascanu, *Viability property for a backward stochastic differential equations and applications to partial differential equations*, Probab. Theory Related Fields 116 (2000), pp. 485–504.
- [5] K.L. Chung and Z.X. Zhao, *From Brownian motion to Schrödinger's equation*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 312 (1995).
- [6] F. Coquet, J. Mémin and L. Słomiński, *On weak convergence of filtrations*, Lecture Notes in Math. No 1755, Springer, 2001, pp. 306–328.
- [7] M. G. Crandall, H. Ishii and P. L. Lions, *User's guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (1992), pp. 1–67.
- [8] A. Gegout-Petit and É. Pardoux, *Equations différentielles stochastiques rétrogrades réfléchies dans un convexe*, Stoch. Stoch. Rep. 57 (1996), pp. 111–128.
- [9] J. Jacod, *Convergence en loi de semimartingales et variation quadratique*, Lecture Notes in Math. No 850, Springer, 1981, pp. 547–560.
- [10] J. Jacod and A.N. Shiryaev, *Limit Theorems for Stochastic Processes*, Springer, Berlin 1987.
- [11] A. Jakubowski, J. Mémin and G. Pagès, *Convergence en loi des suites d'intégrales stochastiques sur l'espace \mathbb{D}^1 de Skorokhod*, Probab. Theory Related Fields 81 (1989), pp. 111–137.
- [12] N. Kazamaki, *Continuous exponential martingales and BMO*, Lecture Notes in Math. No 1579, Springer, 1994.
- [13] J. Ma, P. Protter, J. San Martin and S. Torres, *Numerical method for backward stochastic differential equations*, Ann. Appl. Probab. 12 (2002), pp. 302–316.

- [14] J. Mémin, S. Peng and M. Xu, *Convergence of solutions of discrete reflected backward SDE's with numerical simulations*, preprint.
- [15] É. Pardoux, *Backward stochastic differential equations and viscosity solutions of systems of semilinear parabolic and elliptic PDEs of second order*, in: *Stochastic Analysis and Related Topics, VI* (Geilo, 1996), pp. 79–127.
- [16] É. Pardoux and A. Răşcanu, *Backward stochastic differential equations with subdifferential operator and related variational inequalities*, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 76 (1998), pp. 191–215.
- [17] L. Słomiński, *Stability of strong solutions of stochastic differential equations*, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 31 (1989), pp. 173–202.
- [18] L. Słomiński, *Euler's approximations of solutions of SDE's with reflecting boundary*, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 94 (2001), pp. 317–337.
- [19] D. Stroock and S. Varadhan, *Multidimensional Diffusion Processes*, Springer, Berlin 1979.
- [20] S. Toldo, *Convergence de filtrations; application à la discrétisation de processus et à la stabilité de temps d'arrêt*, PhD Thesis, University of Rennes I, 2005.
- [21] S. Toldo, *Stability of solutions of BSDEs with random terminal time*, *ESAIM Probab. Stat.* 10 (2006), pp. 141–163.
- [22] D. Williams, *Probability with Martingales*, Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [23] Z. Zheng, *Reflected BSDEs with random terminal time and applications. Part II: Variational inequalities*, preprint.

Institute of Mathematics and Physics
University of Technology and Life Sciences
ul. Kaliskiego 7, 85-225 Bydgoszcz, Poland
E-mail: kaja@utp.edu.pl

*Received on 1.9.2006;
revised version on 15.3.2007*
