

A CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM  
FOR MULTIVARIATE STRONGLY MIXING RANDOM FIELDS

BY

CRISTINA TONE (LOUISVILLE)

*Abstract.* In this paper we extend a theorem of Bradley under interlaced mixing and strong mixing conditions. More precisely, we study the asymptotic normality of the normalized partial sum of an  $\alpha$ -mixing strictly stationary random field of random vectors, in the presence of another dependence assumption.

**2000 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary: 60F05; Secondary: 60G60.

**Key words and phrases:** Central limit theorem,  $\alpha$ -mixing, random field of random vectors.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper presents a central limit theorem for strictly stationary random fields of random vectors satisfying a certain strong mixing condition, in the presence of another dependence assumption involving the maximal correlation coefficient. This result is actually an extension of the central limit theorem for real-valued random fields of Corollary 29.33 from Bradley [4].

For the clarity of the main result, relevant definitions and notation will be given in the following.

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space. For any two  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ , define the strong mixing coefficient

$$\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}} |P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)|,$$

and the maximal coefficient of correlation

$$\rho(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \sup |\text{Corr}(f, g)|, \quad f \in L^2_{\text{real}}(\mathcal{A}), g \in L^2_{\text{real}}(\mathcal{B}).$$

Suppose  $d$  and  $m$  are each a positive integer, and  $X := (X_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d)$  is a strictly stationary random field with the random variables  $X_{\mathbf{k}}$  being  $\mathbb{R}^m$ -valued. If all the coordinates of the  $m$ -dimensional random variable  $X_{\mathbf{k}}$  have finite second moments, then the  $m \times m$  covariance matrix of  $X_{\mathbf{k}}$  will be denoted by  $\Sigma_{X_{\mathbf{k}}}$ .

Throughout this paper, for given positive integers  $d$  and  $m$ , we will use the boldface notation  $\mathbf{0} := (0, 0, \dots, 0)$  to denote the origin in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ ;  $0_m$  to denote the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , and  $I_m$  to denote the  $m \times m$  identity matrix.

In this context, for each positive integer  $n$ , define the quantities:

$$\alpha(n) := \alpha(X, n) := \sup \alpha(\sigma(X_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{k} \in Q), \sigma(X_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{k} \in S)),$$

where the supremum is taken over all pairs of nonempty, disjoint sets  $Q, S \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  with the following property: There exist  $u \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $Q \subset \{\mathbf{k} := (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : k_u \leq j\}$  and  $S \subset \{\mathbf{k} := (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : k_u \geq j + n\}$ .

The random field  $X := (X_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d)$  is said to be *strongly mixing* (or  $\alpha$ -*mixing*) if  $\alpha(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Also, for each positive integer  $n$  define the quantity:

$$\rho'(n) := \rho'(X, n) := \sup \rho(\sigma(X_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{k} \in Q), \sigma(X_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{k} \in S)),$$

where the supremum is taken over all pairs of nonempty, finite disjoint sets  $Q, S \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  with the following property: There exist  $u \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$  and nonempty disjoint sets  $A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\text{dist}(A, B) := \min_{a \in A, b \in B} |a - b| \geq n$ , such that  $Q \subset \{\mathbf{k} := (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : k_u \in A\}$  and  $S \subset \{\mathbf{k} := (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : k_u \in B\}$ .

The random field  $X := (X_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d)$  is said to be  $\rho'$ -*mixing* if  $\rho'(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Again, suppose  $d$  and  $m$  are each a positive integer, and  $X := (X_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d)$  is a strictly stationary random field with the random variables  $X_{\mathbf{k}}$  being  $\mathbb{R}^m$ -valued. For any  $\mathbf{L} := (L_1, L_2, \dots, L_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ , define the “rectangular sum”:

$$(1.1) \quad S_{\mathbf{L}} = S(X, \mathbf{L}) := \sum_{\mathbf{k}} X_{\mathbf{k}},$$

where the sum is taken over all  $d$ -tuples  $\mathbf{k} := (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$  such that  $1 \leq k_u \leq L_u$  for all  $u \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ .

Also, for any given  $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ , let us denote the product of its components by

$$(1.2) \quad \prod(\mathbf{L}) := L_1 \cdot L_2 \cdot \dots \cdot L_d.$$

Therefore, by definition (1.1),  $S(X, \mathbf{L})$  is the sum of  $\prod(\mathbf{L})$   $m$ -dimensional random vectors  $X_{\mathbf{k}}$ .

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Suppose  $d$  and  $m$  are each a positive integer. Suppose  $X := (X_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d)$  is a strictly stationary random field where for a given  $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , the  $\mathbb{R}^m$ -valued random variable,  $X_{\mathbf{k}}$ , satisfies the following properties:*

$$(1.3) \quad EX_{\mathbf{0}} = 0_m$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad E \|X_0\|_2^2 < \infty.$$

Suppose that

$$(1.5) \quad \rho'(1) < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha(n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Assume also that the covariance matrix of the  $\mathbb{R}^m$ -valued random variable  $X_0$  is nonsingular. Then we have the following two properties:

- (I) For each  $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ , the covariance matrix  $\Sigma_{S(X, \mathbf{L})}$  is nonsingular.
- (II) As  $\|\mathbf{L}\|_2 \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\Sigma_{S(X, \mathbf{L})}^{-1/2} S(X, \mathbf{L}) \Rightarrow N(0_m, I_m).$$

Theorem 1.1 extends a result of Bradley, specified as Corollary 29.33 in [4], which deals with the special case of strictly stationary random fields of real-valued random variables.

For the special case of strictly stationary random sequences of real-valued random variables, Theorem 1.1 was already proved by Peligrad in [6]. This result was later generalized by Utev and Peligrad in [7] to a weak invariance principle for (not necessarily stationary) triangular arrays of sequences of real-valued random variables under a Lindeberg condition and analogs of the mixing assumptions in Theorem 1.1.

For strictly stationary random fields of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ -valued random variables under quite different dependence assumptions, a central limit theorem somewhat like Theorem 1.1 was proved by Bulinski and Kryzhanovskaya in [5].

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In the following, we collect the background results we would need for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

First, let us mention that for  $m \times 1$  vectors  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , the “dot product” notation will be used:  $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a}^t \mathbf{b}$ .

For real numbers  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m$ , let  $[\text{diag}(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m)]$  denote the  $m \times m$  diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m$ .

REMARK 2.1. Let  $G := (g_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq m)$  be a symmetric, nonnegative definite  $m \times m$  matrix. Then:

(I)  $G = PDP^t$ , where  $P$  is an orthogonal matrix,  $D = [\text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m)]$ , and the eigenvalues of  $G$  are  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m$  with  $0 \leq d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_m$ .

(II) Representing the elements of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  as  $m \times 1$  column vectors, we have the following properties:

$$(i) \quad d_1 = \inf_{\{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^m: \|\mathbf{a}\|_2=1\}} \mathbf{a}^t G \mathbf{a},$$

$$(ii) \quad d_m = \sup_{\{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^m : \|\mathbf{a}\|_2 = 1\}} \mathbf{a}^t G \mathbf{a},$$

$$(iii) \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, \quad |g_{ij}| \leq d_m.$$

(III) *There exists a unique symmetric, nonnegative definite  $m \times m$  matrix  $B$  such that  $B^2 = G$ . Note that  $B := G^{1/2} = PD^{1/2}P^t$ , where*

$$D^{1/2} := \text{diag}(\sqrt{d_1}, \sqrt{d_2}, \dots, \sqrt{d_m}).$$

(IV) *In addition, if  $G$  (and hence  $G^{1/2}$ ) is nonsingular, then  $(G^{1/2})^{-1} := G^{-1/2} = PD^{-1/2}P^t$ , where*

$$D^{-1/2} := \text{diag}(d_1^{-1/2}, d_2^{-1/2}, \dots, d_m^{-1/2}).$$

*Of course,  $G^{-1/2}$  is symmetric and positive definite.*

REMARK 2.2. *Assume that  $W$  is an  $m \times 1$  random vector with  $EW_i = 0$  and  $EW_i^2 < \infty$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Then we have the following properties:*

(I) *The covariance matrix  $\Sigma_W$  is symmetric and nonnegative definite.*

(II) *Letting  $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_m$  denote the eigenvalues of the covariance matrix  $\Sigma_W$ , the items (i) and (ii) of Remark 2.1 take the following form:*

$$(i') \quad d_1 = \inf_{\{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^m : \|\mathbf{a}\|_2 = 1\}} E(\mathbf{a} \cdot W)^2$$

and

$$(ii') \quad d_m = \sup_{\{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^m : \|\mathbf{a}\|_2 = 1\}} E(\mathbf{a} \cdot W)^2.$$

CLAIM 2.1. *Let  $W$  be the  $m \times 1$  random vector defined in Remark 2.2. Let its covariance matrix  $\Sigma_W$  be symmetric and positive definite. Then for all  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^m - \{0_m\}$ ,  $\mathbf{a} \cdot W$  is a nondegenerate random variable.*

REMARK 2.3. *Suppose  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are positive numbers;  $A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots$  is a sequence of symmetric, positive definite  $m \times m$  matrices whose eigenvalues are all bounded within the interval  $[c_1, c_2]$ ;  $A$  is an  $m \times m$  matrix; and  $A_n \rightarrow A$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $A$  is a symmetric, positive definite matrix whose eigenvalues are bounded within the interval  $[c_1, c_2]$ , and as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have  $A_n^r \rightarrow A^r$  for each  $r \in \{1/2, -1, -1/2\}$ .*

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let  $\Sigma_{X_0}$  denote the  $m \times m$  covariance matrix of the random vector  $X_0$ . Let  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m$  be the eigenvalues of the covariance matrix  $\Sigma_{X_0}$  with the property

that  $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_m$ .  $\Sigma_{X_0}$  is symmetric and nonnegative definite and, by hypothesis, it is also nonsingular. It follows that

$$(3.1) \quad 0 < d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_m < \infty,$$

and hence  $\Sigma_{X_0}$  is symmetric and positive definite.

Let us now represent  $\Sigma_{X_0} = PDP^t$ , where  $P$  is an orthogonal matrix and  $D = [\text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m)]$ . Note that, by (1.3), (1.4), and Claim 2.1, for all  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^m - \{0_m\}$ ,  $\mathbf{a} \cdot X_0$  is a nondegenerate random variable.

**Proof of (I).** Suppose  $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ . Let  $\Sigma_{S(X, \mathbf{L})/\sqrt{\prod(\mathbf{L})}}$  denote the  $m \times m$  covariance matrix of the  $\mathbb{R}^m$ -valued random vector  $S(X, \mathbf{L})/\sqrt{\prod(\mathbf{L})}$ . Let us notice that  $\Sigma_{S(X, \mathbf{L})} = \prod(\mathbf{L})\Sigma_{S(X, \mathbf{L})/\sqrt{\prod(\mathbf{L})}}$ .

Let us now define the positive constant

$$(3.2) \quad C := (1 + \rho'(1))^d / (1 - \rho'(1))^d.$$

**CLAIM 3.1.** For each  $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ , the  $m \times m$  covariance matrix  $\Sigma_{S(X, \mathbf{L})/\sqrt{\prod(\mathbf{L})}}$  is nonsingular and its eigenvalues are bounded below by  $C^{-1}d_1 > 0$  and bounded above by  $Cd_m < \infty$ , where  $C$  is the positive constant defined in (3.2). In addition, every entry of the covariance matrix  $\Sigma_{S(X, \mathbf{L})/\sqrt{\prod(\mathbf{L})}}$  is bounded in absolute value by  $Cd_m$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $\|\mathbf{a}\|_2 = 1$ . By Remark 2.2, part (II), followed by (3.1), we obtain  $0 < d_1 \leq E(\mathbf{a} \cdot X_0)^2 \leq d_m < \infty$ .

Referring to (1.3)–(1.5) and (3.2), by Theorem 28.9 in [4], we have the following properties:

$$(3.3) \quad 0 < C^{-1} < C < \infty$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad C^{-1} \cdot E(\mathbf{a} \cdot X_0)^2 \leq E\left(\mathbf{a} \cdot \frac{S(X, \mathbf{L})}{\sqrt{\prod(\mathbf{L})}}\right)^2 \leq C \cdot E(\mathbf{a} \cdot X_0)^2.$$

By (3.3), (1.4) and Claim 2.1, we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad 0 < C^{-1} \cdot E(\mathbf{a} \cdot X_0)^2 \leq E\left(\mathbf{a} \cdot \frac{S(X, \mathbf{L})}{\sqrt{\prod(\mathbf{L})}}\right)^2 \leq C \cdot E(\mathbf{a} \cdot X_0)^2 < \infty.$$

By Remark 2.2, part (II), the inequalities (3.5) imply

$$(3.6) \quad 0 < C^{-1}d_1 \leq E\left(\mathbf{a} \cdot \frac{S(X, \mathbf{L})}{\sqrt{\prod(\mathbf{L})}}\right)^2 \leq Cd_m < \infty.$$

Since  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  was arbitrary such that  $\|\mathbf{a}\|_2 = 1$ , we infer by Remark 2.2, part (II), that the eigenvalues of the covariance matrix  $\Sigma_{S(X,\mathbf{L})/\sqrt{\Pi(\mathbf{L})}}$  are bounded below by  $C^{-1}d_1 > 0$  and bounded above by  $Cd_m < \infty$ . Therefore,  $\Sigma_{S(X,\mathbf{L})/\sqrt{\Pi(\mathbf{L})}}$  is a nonsingular matrix with every entry being bounded in absolute value by  $Cd_m$ . Therefore, the proof of Claim 3.1 is complete. ■

For a given  $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ , since  $\Sigma_{S(X,\mathbf{L})/\sqrt{\Pi(\mathbf{L})}}$  is nonsingular by Claim 3.1,  $\Sigma_{S(X,\mathbf{L})}$  is also nonsingular, and hence the proof of part (I) is complete.

**Proof of (II).** Let us now show the following:

**CLAIM 3.2.** For each  $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ ,  $\Sigma_{S(X,\mathbf{L})}^{-1/2} = (\Pi(\mathbf{L}))^{-1/2} \Sigma_{S(X,\mathbf{L})/\sqrt{\Pi(\mathbf{L})}}^{-1/2}$ .

**Proof.** Claim 3.2 follows simply from basic linear algebra properties and the trivial fact that  $\Sigma_{S(X,\mathbf{L})} = \Pi(\mathbf{L}) \Sigma_{S(X,\mathbf{L})/\sqrt{\Pi(\mathbf{L})}}$ . ■

By Claim 3.2, for  $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbb{N}^d$  we obviously have:

$$(3.7) \quad \Sigma_{S(X,\mathbf{L})}^{-1/2} S(X, \mathbf{L}) = (\Pi(\mathbf{L}))^{-1/2} \Sigma_{S(X,\mathbf{L})/\sqrt{\Pi(\mathbf{L})}}^{-1/2} (\Pi(\mathbf{L}))^{1/2} \frac{S(X, \mathbf{L})}{(\Pi(\mathbf{L}))^{1/2}}$$

$$= \Sigma_{S(X,\mathbf{L})/\sqrt{\Pi(\mathbf{L})}}^{-1/2} \frac{S(X, \mathbf{L})}{\sqrt{\Pi(\mathbf{L})}}.$$

Refer now to [4], Proposition A2906, part (III). Let  $u \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$  be arbitrary but fixed. Let  $\mathbf{L}^{(1)}, \mathbf{L}^{(2)}, \mathbf{L}^{(3)}, \dots$  be an arbitrary fixed sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}^d$  such that for each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $L_u^{(n)} = n$  and  $L_v^{(n)} \geq 1$  for all  $v \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\} - \{u\}$ .

With no loss of generality, we can permute the indices in the coordinate system of  $Z^d$ , in order to have  $u = 1$ , and therefore  $L_1^{(n)} = n$  for  $n \geq 1$  and  $L_v^{(n)} \geq 1$  for all  $v \in \{2, \dots, d\}$ . For each  $n \geq 1$ , let us represent

$$(3.8) \quad \mathbf{L}^{(n)} := (n, L_2^{(n)}, L_3^{(n)}, \dots, L_d^{(n)}).$$

Obviously,  $\|\mathbf{L}^{(n)}\|_2 \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

To complete the proof of part (II), and hence the proof of the theorem, by (3.7), it suffices to show that

$$(3.9) \quad \Sigma_{S(X,\mathbf{L}^{(n)})/\sqrt{\Pi(\mathbf{L}^{(n)})}}^{-1/2} \frac{S(X, \mathbf{L}^{(n)})}{\sqrt{\Pi(\mathbf{L}^{(n)})}} \Rightarrow N(0_m, I_m) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Refer to [2], Theorem 2.6. Let  $Q$  be an arbitrary infinite set,  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . It suffices to show that there exists an infinite set  $T \subseteq Q$  such that

$$(3.10) \quad \Sigma_{S(X,\mathbf{L}^{(n)})/\sqrt{\Pi(\mathbf{L}^{(n)})}}^{-1/2} \frac{S(X, \mathbf{L}^{(n)})}{\sqrt{\Pi(\mathbf{L}^{(n)})}} \Rightarrow N(0_m, I_m) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, n \in T.$$

By Claim 3.1, followed by the compactness argument, for the infinite set  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , there exist an infinite subset  $T \subseteq Q$  and an  $m \times m$  matrix  $\Sigma$  such that

$$(3.11) \quad \Sigma_{S(X, \mathbf{L}^{(n)})/\sqrt{\prod(\mathbf{L}^{(n)})}} \rightarrow \Sigma \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, n \in T.$$

The  $m \times m$  matrix  $\Sigma$  is nonsingular by Remark 2.3, and its eigenvalues are bounded below by  $C^{-1}d_1 > 0$ . Obviously, we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad \Sigma^{-1/2} \Sigma_{S(X, \mathbf{L}^{(n)})/\sqrt{\prod(\mathbf{L}^{(n)})}} \Sigma^{-1/2} \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1/2} \Sigma \Sigma^{-1/2} = I_m \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, n \in T.$$

As a consequence, for every  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\mathbf{a} \neq 0_m$ , we obtain the equivalence of the variance terms:

$$(3.13) \quad E \left( \mathbf{a} \cdot \Sigma^{-1/2} \frac{S(X, \mathbf{L}^{(n)})}{\sqrt{\prod(\mathbf{L}^{(n)})}} \right)^2 \rightarrow \|\mathbf{a}\|_2^2 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, n \in T.$$

Now,  $\mathbf{a} \cdot \Sigma^{-1/2} S(X, \mathbf{L}^{(n)})/\sqrt{\prod(\mathbf{L}^{(n)})}$  is a real-valued random variable, and therefore, by [4], Corollary 29.33, it follows that

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{\mathbf{a} \cdot \Sigma^{-1/2} S(X, \mathbf{L}^{(n)}) (\sqrt{\prod(\mathbf{L}^{(n)})})^{-1}}{\|\mathbf{a} \cdot \Sigma^{-1/2} S(X, \mathbf{L}^{(n)}) (\sqrt{\prod(\mathbf{L}^{(n)})})^{-1}\|_2} \Rightarrow N(0, 1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By (3.13) and (3.14), followed by Slutski's theorem we obtain the following:

$$(3.15) \quad \mathbf{a} \cdot \Sigma^{-1/2} \frac{S(X, \mathbf{L}^{(n)})}{\sqrt{\prod(\mathbf{L}^{(n)})}} \Rightarrow N(0, \|\mathbf{a}\|_2^2) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, n \in T.$$

Since  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  was arbitrary, as a consequence, (3.15) is equivalent to

$$(3.16) \quad \Sigma^{-1/2} \frac{S(X, \mathbf{L}^{(n)})}{\sqrt{\prod(\mathbf{L}^{(n)})}} \Rightarrow N(0_m, I_m) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, n \in T.$$

By (3.11), (3.16) and the multivariate Slutski theorem, we derive that

$$\Sigma_{S(X, \mathbf{L}^{(n)})/\sqrt{\prod(\mathbf{L}^{(n)})}}^{-1/2} \frac{S(X, \mathbf{L}^{(n)})}{\sqrt{\prod(\mathbf{L}^{(n)})}} \Rightarrow N(0_m, I_m) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, n \in T.$$

Therefore, (3.10) holds, and as a consequence, (3.9) holds too. Hence, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

**Acknowledgments.** The author is very grateful to Professor Richard Bradley for his invaluable support, and to the referee for the very helpful comments significantly improving the presentation of the result.

**REFERENCES**

- [1] P. Billingsley, *Probability and Measure*, 3rd edition, Wiley, New York 1995.
- [2] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of Probability Measures*, 2nd edition, Wiley, New York 1999.
- [3] R. C. Bradley, *Introduction to Strong Mixing Conditions*, Vol. 1, Kendrick Press, 2007.
- [4] R. C. Bradley, *Introduction to Strong Mixing Conditions*, Vol. 3, Kendrick Press, 2007.
- [5] A. Bulinski and N. Kryzhanovskaya, *Convergence rate in CLT for vector-valued random fields with self-normalization*, *Probab. Math. Statist.* 26 (2006), pp. 261–281.
- [6] M. Peligrad, *On the asymptotic normality of sequences of weak dependent random variables*, *J. Theoret. Probab.* 9 (1996), pp. 703–715.
- [7] S. Utev and M. Peligrad, *Maximal inequalities and an invariance principle for a class of weakly dependent random variables*, *J. Theoret. Probab.* 16 (2003), pp. 101–115.

Department of Mathematics  
University of Louisville  
328 Natural Sciences Building  
Louisville, KY 40292  
*E-mail*: cristina.tone@louisville.edu

*Received on 22.1.2009;*  
*revised version on 2.12.2009*

---