

## FUNCTIONAL RANDOM CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREMS FOR RANDOM WALKS CONDITIONED TO STAY POSITIVE

BY

A. SZUBARGA AND D. SZYNAL (LUBLIN)

*Abstract.* The aim of this note is to investigate the limiting behaviour of the random function  $Y_{N_n}$  conditioned on  $[T > N_n]$ , where  $\{N_n, n \geq 0\}$  is a sequence of positive integer-valued random variables. The results obtained are extensions of results [7] under the additional assumption that  $E|X_1|^3 < +\infty$ , and  $X_1$  is non-lattice or integer-valued with span 1.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\{X_k, k \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent, identically distributed random variables (i. i. d. r. v.) with

$$EX_1 = 0, \quad EX_1^2 = \sigma^2, \quad 0 < \sigma^2 < \infty.$$

Define the random function  $Y_n$  by

$$Y_n(t) = S_{[nt]}/\sigma \sqrt{n}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

where  $S_0 = 0$ ,  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Next, let  $T$  be the hitting time of the set  $(-\infty, 0]$  by the random walk  $\{S_n, n \geq 1\}$ ,

$$T = \inf\{n > 0: S_n \leq 0\},$$

where the infimum of the empty set is taken to be  $+\infty$ .

We assume that  $\{X_k, k \geq 1\}$  are the coordinate functions defined on the product space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ . Let  $\Lambda_n$  stand for  $[T > n]$ ,  $D \equiv D[0, 1]$  stand for the space of real-valued right continuous functions on  $[0, 1]$  having left limits and  $\mathcal{D}$  stand for the  $\sigma$ -field of Borel sets generated by the open sets of the Skorokhod  $\mathcal{J}_1$ -topology. For  $g, f \in D$  let

$$\varrho(f, g) = \sup_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |f(x) - g(x)| \quad \text{and} \quad K(\theta, \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}) = \{f \in D: \varrho(\theta, f) < \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}\},$$

where  $\theta(x) \equiv 0$  for  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Put  $D_+ = \{f \in D: f \geq \theta\}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_+ = D_+ \cap \mathcal{D}$ . The measurable mapping  $Y_n^+ : (A_n, A_n \cap \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow (D_+, \mathcal{D}_+)$  is defined by

$$Y_n^+(\cdot, \omega) = S_{[n]}(\omega) / \sigma \sqrt{n}, \quad \omega \in A_n.$$

In [3] it is given a complete proof of the functional conditioned central limit theorem, i. e. it is shown that  $Y_n^+ \leftarrow W^+$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , if  $E|X_1|^3 < \infty$ , and  $X_1$  is nonlattice or integer-valued with span 1, where  $W^+$  is Brownian meander.

## 2. Results.

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $\{X_k, k \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of i.i.d.r.v. with  $EX_1 = 0$ ,  $EX_1^2 = \sigma^2 < +\infty$ ,  $E|X_1|^3 < +\infty$ ,  $X_1$  being nonlattice or integer-valued with span 1.

If  $\{N_n, n \geq 0\}$ ,  $N_0 = 0$  a.s., is a sequence of positive integer-valued random variables independent of  $\{X_k, k \geq 1\}$  and  $\{\alpha_n, n \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of positive real numbers such that, for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$(1) \quad P[|N_n/\alpha_n - \lambda| \geq \varepsilon] = o(E(1/\sqrt{N_n}))$$

with  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\lambda$  is a random variable such that

$$(2) \quad P[\lambda \geq a] = 1 \quad \text{for a constant } a > 0,$$

then

$$(3) \quad Y_{N_n}^+ \leftarrow W^+, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Remark.** Note that if  $\lambda$  is a degenerate random variable at  $a$ , then (2) is satisfied. In this case we can use instead of (1) the condition

$$(1') \quad P[|N_n/\alpha_n - a| \geq \varepsilon] = o(1/\sqrt{\alpha_n}).$$

In general, (1') cannot be replaced by the weaker condition

$$N_n/\alpha_n \xrightarrow{P} a, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

(P – in probability), which is shown by the following example.

Let  $P[N_n = 1] = 1/\sqrt{n}$ ,  $P[N_n = [an]] = 1 - 1/\sqrt{n}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ),  $a > 0$ , where  $[x]$  denotes the integral part of  $x$ . Then, for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$P[|N_n/n - a| \geq \varepsilon] = 1/\sqrt{n} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let  $\{X_k, k \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of i.i.d.r.v. of Theorem 1, independent of  $N_n, n \geq 1$ . In this case we have

$$EN_n = 1/\sqrt{n} + [an](1 - 1/\sqrt{n}),$$

and, for sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0] \sim P[S_1 > 0] / \sqrt{n + c(1 - 1/\sqrt{n})} / \sqrt{[an]}$$

as (see [6])

$$(4) \quad P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_n > 0] \sim c/\sqrt{n}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, taking into account that in this case (3) reduces to

$$(3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[S_n/\sigma\sqrt{n} < x | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_n > 0] = 1 - \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2}\right), \quad x \geq 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P[S_{N_n}/\sigma\sqrt{N_n} < x | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0] \\ &= \frac{P[S_1 < \sigma x, S_1 > 0]/\sqrt{n}}{P[S_1 > 0]/\sqrt{n} + P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{[an]} > 0] \bar{T}_n} + \\ & \quad + \frac{P\left[\frac{S_{[an]}}{\sigma\sqrt{[an]}} < x | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{[an]} > 0\right] P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{[an]} > 0] \bar{T}_n}{P[S_1 > 0]/\sqrt{n} + P[S_1 \downarrow 0, \dots, S_{[an]} > 0] \bar{T}_n} \\ & \rightarrow \frac{P[X_1 < \sigma x, X_1 > 0] + \frac{c}{\sqrt{a}} \left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2}\right)\right)}{P[X_1 > 0] + c/\sqrt{a}} \neq 1 - \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{T}_n = 1 - 1/\sqrt{n}$ . Obviously, in this case (2) is trivially satisfied.

We now show that, in general, assumption (2) cannot be omitted in proving (3) when  $\lambda$  is a nondegenerate random variable. Assume that  $(\langle 0, 1 \rangle, \mathcal{B}(\langle 0, 1 \rangle), P)$  is a probability space, where  $P$  is the Lebesgue measure and  $\mathcal{B}(\langle 0, 1 \rangle)$  is the  $\sigma$ -field of Borel subsets of  $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ . Assume that  $\{X_k, k \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of random variables satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1, independent of  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$ , where  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$  is defined by

$$N_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \omega \in \langle 0, 1/\sqrt{n} \rangle, \\ n+1 & \text{if } \omega \in (1/\sqrt{n}, 1/n - (1/\sqrt{n} - [\sqrt{n}]/n)), \\ k & \text{if } \omega \in ((k-1)/n, k/n), k = [\sqrt{n}] + 2, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to see that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $n_0$  such that

$$P[|N_n/n - \lambda| \geq \varepsilon] = 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq n_0 > [1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}] + 1,$$

where  $\lambda$  is uniformly distributed on  $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ . Thus (1) is satisfied but (2) does not hold.

Next we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0] &= P[S_1 > 0]/\sqrt{n} + \sum_{k=[\sqrt{n}]+2}^n P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_k > 0]/n + \\
 &\quad + P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{[\sqrt{n}]+1} > 0] \left( \frac{1}{n} - \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{[\sqrt{n}]}{n} \right) \right) \\
 &\approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} P[S_1 > 0] + \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_{([\sqrt{n}]+2)/n}^1 \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} dx + c(\sqrt{[\sqrt{n}]+1}) \left( \frac{1}{n} - \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{[\sqrt{n}]}{n} \right) \right) \\
 &= T_n = O(1/\sqrt{n}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using (3'), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 P[Y_{N_n}(1) < x | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0] &\approx \frac{P[X_1 < \sigma x, X_1 > 0]}{nT_n} + \\
 + \sum_{k=[\sqrt{n}]+1}^n \frac{P[Y_k(1) < x | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_k > 0] P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_k > 0]}{nT_n} + \\
 + P[Y_{[\sqrt{n}]+1}(1) < x | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{[\sqrt{n}]+1} > 0] \times \\
 \times P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{[\sqrt{n}]+1} > 0] \frac{1}{T_n} \left( \frac{1}{n} - \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{[\sqrt{n}]}{n} \right) \right) \\
 \rightarrow \frac{P[X_1 < \sigma x, X_1 > 0] + \left( 1 - \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2}\right) \right) 2c}{P[X_1 > 0] + 2c} \neq 1 - \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

We have seen that, in general, (2) cannot be omitted in proving (3). However, we are able to give more general conditions than (1) and (2), under which (3) holds.

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of i.i.d.r.v. of Theorem 1. Suppose that  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of positive integer-valued random variables independent of  $\{X_k, k \geq 1\}$  and  $\{\alpha_n, n \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of positive real numbers such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ .

If  $\lambda$  is a positive random variable such that

$$(5) \quad P[|N_n/\alpha_n - \lambda| > \varepsilon_n] = o(E(1/\sqrt{N_n})),$$

$$(6) \quad P[\lambda - 2\alpha_n] = o(E(1/\sqrt{N_n})),$$

where  $\{\varepsilon_n, n \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of positive real numbers such that  $0 < \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\alpha_n \varepsilon_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then (3) holds.

Note that assumptions similar to (5) and (6) were used in [4] to give the rate of convergence in the functional central limit theorem.

A functional random central limit theorem for random walks conditioned to stay positive without the assumption of independence  $\{X_k, k \geq 1\}$  and  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$  is given in the following

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $\{X_k, k \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of i.i.d.r.v. of Theorem 1.

If  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of positive integer-valued random variables and  $\{\alpha_n, n \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of positive real numbers such that, for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$(7) \quad P[|N_n/\alpha_n - a| \geq \varepsilon] = o(1/\sqrt{\alpha_n})$$

with  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $a$  is a positive constant, then (3) holds.

### 3. Proofs of the results.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $\varepsilon$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , be fixed, and  $a_n = [(a - \varepsilon)\alpha_n]$ . By (1), (2) and the assumption  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \infty$  we can choose an  $n$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{a_n} P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_k > 0] P[N_n = k] \leq \sum_{k=1}^{a_n} P[N_n = k] \\ &\leq P[|N_n/\alpha_n - \lambda| \geq \varepsilon] = o(E(1/\sqrt{N_n})) \end{aligned}$$

and, at the same time, by (4),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=a_n+1}^{\infty} P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_k > 0] P[N_n = k] &\leq \sum_{k=a_n+1}^{\infty} (c/\sqrt{k}) P[N_n = k] \\ &= c(E(1/\sqrt{N_n})) - \sum_{k=1}^{a_n} (c/\sqrt{k}) P[N_n = k]. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq c \sum_{k=1}^{a_n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} P[N_n = k] \leq c \sum_{k=1}^{a_n} P[N_n = k] \leq c P\left[\left|\frac{N_n}{\alpha_n} - \lambda\right| \geq \varepsilon\right] \\ &= o(E(1/\sqrt{N_n})). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(8) \quad P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0] \approx cE(1/\sqrt{N_n})$$

Put now

$$(9) \quad C_{n,k} = \frac{P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_k > 0] P[N_n = k]}{P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0]} \quad (k \geq 1, n \geq 1).$$

We see that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{n,k} = 1$  and, for fixed  $k$ , by (1) and (8),

$$0 \leq C_{n,k} \leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{a_n} P[N_n = k]}{P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0]} \approx \frac{o(E(1/\sqrt{N_n}))}{cE(1/\sqrt{N_n})} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which proves that  $[C_{n,k}]$  is a Toeplitz matrix. Therefore, by [5], p. 472, and (3'), we have

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} & P[Y_{N_n}(1) < x | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{n,k} P[Y_k(1) < x | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_k > 0] \rightarrow 1 - \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2}\right), \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad n \rightarrow \infty, x \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we need the notations

$$\begin{aligned} g(t, x_1, x_2) &= (2\pi t)^{-1/2} [\exp(-(x_2 - x_1)^2/2t) - \exp(-(x_1 + x_2)^2/2t)], \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad x_1, x_2 > 0, \quad 0 < t \leq 1, \\ p(0, 0, t, x) &= t^{-3/2} x \exp(-x^2/2t) |N|(x/(1-t)^{1/2}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$|N|(x) = (2/\pi)^{1/2} \int_0^x \exp\left(\frac{-u^2}{2}\right) du,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p(t_1, x_1, t_2, x_2) &= g(t_2 - t_1, x_1, x_2) |N|(x_2/(1-t_2)^{1/2}) |N|(x_1/(1-t_1)^{1/2}), \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad x_1, x_2 > 0, \quad 0 < t_1 < t_2 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

It is known [3] that for  $x \geq 0$

$$(11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[Y_n(t) < x | T > n] = \int_0^x p(0, 0, t, y) dy,$$

whence

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} & P[Y_{N_n}(t) < x | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^x C_{n,k} P[Y_k(t) < x | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_k > 0] \rightarrow \int_0^x p(0, 0, t, y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

$n \rightarrow \infty$  as (11) holds and  $[C_{n,k}]$  is a Toeplitz matrix. Moreover, since

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[Y_n(t_1) < x_1, Y_n(t_2) < x_2, \dots, Y_n(t_k) < x_k | T > n] \\ &= \int_0^{x_1} \dots \int_0^{x_k} p(0, 0, t_1, y_1) p(t_1, y_1, t_2, y_2) \dots p(t_{k-1}, y_{k-1}, t_k, y_k) dy_1 \dots dy_k \end{aligned}$$

for all  $k \geq 1$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_k > 0$  and  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k \leq 1$ , we have

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} & P[Y_{N_n}(t_1) < x_1, \dots, Y_{N_n}(t_k) < x_k | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} C_{n,j} P[Y_j(t_1) < x_1, \dots, Y_j(t_k) < x_k | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_j > 0] \\ &\rightarrow \int_0^{x_1} \dots \int_0^{x_k} p(0, 0, t_1, y_1) p(t_1, y_1, t_2, y_2) \dots p(t_{k-1}, y_{k-1}, t_k, y_k) dy_1 \dots dy_k \end{aligned}$$

for all  $k \geq 1$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_k > 0$  and  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k \leq 1$ .

We now prove that  $\{Y_{N_n}^+\}$  is tight.

Taking into account that for  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(15) \quad \lim_{\delta \searrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[\omega_{y_n}(\delta, 0, 1) \geq \varepsilon | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_n > 0] = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_x(\delta, a, b) \\ &= \sup_{s,t} \{|x(s) - x(t)| : 0 \leq a \leq b \leq 1, 0 < \delta < 1, a \leq s \leq t \leq b, |t-s| < \delta\}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain, by the above arguments,

$$\lim_{\delta \searrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[\omega_{Y_{N_n}}(\delta, 0, 1) \geq \varepsilon | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0] = 0,$$

which, by theorems 15.1 and 15.5 of [1], proves that  $\{Y_{N_n}^+\}$  is tight. Therefore, by (14) and (15), we have proved (3).

Proof of Theorem 2. By (4) and (5) we have, for sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0] &= \sum_{k=1}^{[\alpha_n \varepsilon_n]} P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_k > 0] P[N_n = k] + \\ &+ \sum_{k=[\alpha_n \varepsilon_n] + 1}^{\infty} P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_k > 0] P[N_n = k] \approx c\varepsilon(1/\sqrt{N_n}). \end{aligned}$$

Now we note that, for  $n \geq 1$  and  $j \geq 1$ ,

$$C_{n,j} = \frac{P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_j > 0] P[N_n = j]}{P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0]}$$

is a Toeplitz matrix. Indeed, we have

$$C_{n,j} \geq 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} C_{n,j} = 1,$$

$$C_{n,j} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{[\alpha_n \varepsilon_n]} P[N_n = k]}{cE(1/\sqrt{N_n})} \leq \frac{P\left[\left|\frac{N_n}{\alpha_n} - \lambda\right| \geq \varepsilon_n\right] + P[\lambda < 2\varepsilon_n]}{cE(1/\sqrt{N_n})} \rightarrow 0,$$

$n \rightarrow \infty$ , by (5), (6) and (16), since  $j < \alpha_n \varepsilon_n$  for sufficiently large  $n$ .

Following the considerations of the proof of Theorem 1 we get (3).

**Proof of Theorem 3.** Let  $\varepsilon$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < a$ , be fixed and put  $a_n = [(a - \varepsilon)\alpha_n]$ ,  $b_n = [(a + \varepsilon)\alpha_n]$ ,  $c_n = b_n - a_n$ ,  $u_n = (a_n/b_n)^{1/2}$ ,  $A_n = \{k: a_n \leq k \leq b_n\}$  and  $A_n^c$  is the complement of  $A_n$ .

Set

$$r_k = P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_k > 0], \quad \hat{r}_n = P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0].$$

From (4) and (7) we get

$$(17) \quad \frac{c}{\sqrt{b_n}} - o(1/\sqrt{b_n}) \leq \hat{r}_n \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{a_n}} + o(1/\sqrt{a_n}).$$

We see that

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} P[Y_{N_n}(1) < x | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0] \\ &= P[Y_{N_n}(1) < x, S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0] / \hat{r}_n \\ &\sim \sum_{k \in A_n} P[Y_k(1) < x, S_1 > 0, \dots, S_k > 0, N_n = k] / \hat{r}_n + \\ &\quad + P[Y_{N_n}(1) < x, S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0, N_n \in A_n^c] / \hat{r}_n. \end{aligned}$$

But, by (7) and (17) we have

$$P[Y_{N_n}(1) < x, S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0, N_n \in A_n^c] / \hat{r}_n \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, to prove that for  $x \geq 0$

$$(19) \quad P[Y_{N_n}(1) < x | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0] \rightarrow 1 - \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2}\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

it is enough to consider

$$P[Y_{N_n}(1) < x, S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0, N_n \in A_n] / \hat{r}_n.$$



Put now, for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,

$$Z_n(t) = \max_{k \in A_n} \frac{S_{[kt]} - S_{[(a+\varepsilon)\alpha_n t]}}{\sigma \sqrt{b_n}}, \quad Z_n^*(t) = \max_{k \in A_n} \frac{S_{[kt]} - S_{[(a-\varepsilon)\alpha_n t]}}{\sigma \sqrt{a_n}}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (20) \quad & P[Y_{N_n}(1) < x, S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0, N_n \in A_n] \\ & \geq P[Y_{N_n}(1) < x, S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{b_n} > 0, N_n \in A_n] \\ & \geq P[Y_{b_n}(1) + Z_n(1) < xu_n, S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{b_n} > 0, N_n \in A_n] \\ & \geq P[Y_{b_n}(1) + Z_n(1) < xu_n, S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{b_n} > 0, Z_n(1) < \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}] - P[N_n \in A_n^c] \\ & \geq P[Y_{b_n}(1) < xu_n - \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon} \mid S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{b_n} > 0] r_{b_n} - P[Z_n(1) \geq \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}] r_{a_n} - \\ & \quad - P[N_n \in A_n^c], \end{aligned}$$

as  $Z_n(1)$  does not depend on  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{a_n}$ .

The similar evaluations lead us to

$$\begin{aligned} (21) \quad & P[Y_{N_n}(1) < x, S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0, N_n \in A_n] \\ & \leq P[Y_{a_n}(1) < x/u_n - \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon} \mid S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{a_n} > 0] r_{a_n} + P[Z_n(1) \geq \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}] r_{a_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Note now that, by Kolmogorov's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} (22) \quad & P[Z_n(t) \geq \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}] \leq P \left[ \max_{1 \leq k \leq [(a+\varepsilon)\alpha_n t] - [(a-\varepsilon)\alpha_n t]} \left| \frac{S_k}{\sigma \sqrt{b_n}} \right| \geq \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{[(a+\varepsilon)\alpha_n t] - [(a-\varepsilon)\alpha_n t]}{\sqrt{\varepsilon} b_n} \rightarrow \frac{2t \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{a+\varepsilon} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(23) \quad P[Z_n^*(t) \geq \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}] \leq \frac{[(a+\varepsilon)\alpha_n t] - [(a-\varepsilon)\alpha_n t]}{\sqrt{\varepsilon} a_n} \rightarrow \frac{2t \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{a-\varepsilon} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, by (18), (20)-(23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (24) \quad & P[Y_{b_n}(1) < xu_n - \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon} \mid S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{b_n} > 0] (r_{b_n}/\hat{r}_n) - \\ & - \frac{c_n}{\sqrt{\varepsilon} b_n} (r_{a_n}/\hat{r}_n) - P[N_n \in A_n^c]/\hat{r}_n \leq P[Y_{N_n}(1) < x \mid S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0] \\ & \leq P[Y_{a_n}(1) < x/u_n + \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon} \mid S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{a_n} > 0] (r_{a_n}/\hat{r}_n) + \frac{c_n}{\sqrt{\varepsilon} b_n} (r_{a_n}/\hat{r}_n) + \\ & \quad + P[N_n \in A_n^c]/\hat{r}_n. \end{aligned}$$

But by (3') we have

$$(25) \quad P[Y_{b_n}(1) < xu_n - \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon} | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{b_n} > 0]$$

$$\rightarrow 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\left(x\sqrt{\frac{a+\varepsilon}{a-\varepsilon}} - \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}\right)^2}{2}\right)$$

and

$$(26) \quad P[Y_{a_n}(1) < x/u_n - \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon} | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{a_n} > 0]$$

$$\rightarrow 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\left(x\sqrt{\frac{a-\varepsilon}{a+\varepsilon}} + \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}\right)^2}{2}\right)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Moreover, by (4) and (17) we get

$$(27) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (r_{b_n}/\hat{r}_n) = \sqrt{\frac{a-\varepsilon}{a+\varepsilon}}$$

and

$$(28) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (r_{a_n}/\hat{r}_n) = \sqrt{\frac{a+\varepsilon}{a-\varepsilon}}$$

Therefore, for any given  $\varepsilon$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < a$ , by (20)-(28) we get, for  $x \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{-2\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{a+\varepsilon} \sqrt{\frac{a+\varepsilon}{a-\varepsilon}} + \sqrt{\frac{a-\varepsilon}{a+\varepsilon}} \left( -\exp\left(-\frac{\left(x\sqrt{\frac{a-\varepsilon}{a+\varepsilon}} - \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}\right)^2}{2}\right) \right) \\ & \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[Y_{N_n}(1) < x | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0] \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[Y_{N_n}(1) < x | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0] \\ & \leq \frac{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{a-\varepsilon} \sqrt{\frac{a+\varepsilon}{a-\varepsilon}} + \sqrt{\frac{a+\varepsilon}{a-\varepsilon}} \left( 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\left(x\sqrt{\frac{a+\varepsilon}{a-\varepsilon}} + \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}\right)^2}{2}\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Letting now  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  for  $x \geq 0$ , we obtain (19).

Note that  $Z_n(t)$  does not depend on  $S_1, \dots, S_{\lfloor(a-\varepsilon)n\rfloor}$ . This fact and the same arguments as above show that, for  $x \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (29) \quad & P[Y_{b_n}(t) < xu_n - \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon} | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{b_n} > 0] r_{b_n} / \hat{r}_n - \\
 & \frac{[(a+\varepsilon)\alpha_n t] - [(a-\varepsilon)\alpha_n t]}{\sqrt{\varepsilon} b_n} (r_{[(a-\varepsilon)\alpha_n t]} / \hat{r}_n) - P[N_n \in A_n^c] / \hat{r}_n \\
 & \leq P[Y_{N_n}(t) < x | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0] \\
 & \leq P[Y_{a_n}(t) < x/u_n + \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon} | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{a_n} > 0] (r_{a_n} / \hat{r}_n) + \\
 & \quad + \frac{[(a+\varepsilon)\alpha_n t] - [(a-\varepsilon)\alpha_n t]}{\sqrt{\varepsilon} b_n} (r_{[(a-\varepsilon)\alpha_n t]} / \hat{r}_n) + P[N_n \in A_n^c] / \hat{r}_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting now  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , next  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  for  $x \geq 0$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  we obtain

$$(30) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[Y_{N_n}(t) < x | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0] = \int_0^x p(0, 0, t, y) dy.$$

In the same way for all  $k \geq 1$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_k > 0$  and  $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k \leq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (31) \quad & P[Y_{b_n}(t_1) < x_1 u_n - \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}, \dots, Y_{b_n}(t_k) \\
 & < x_k u_n - \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon} | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{b_n} > 0] (r_{b_n} / \hat{r}_n) - P[Z_n(t_1) \geq \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}] \times \\
 & \quad \times P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{[(a-\varepsilon)\alpha_n t]} > 0] / \hat{r}_n - \dots - P[Z_n(t_k) \geq \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}] \times \\
 & \quad \times P[S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{[(a-\varepsilon)\alpha_n t]} > 0] / \hat{r}_n - P[N_n \in A_n^c] / \hat{r}_n \\
 & \leq P[Y_{N_n}(t_1) < x_1, \dots, Y_{N_n}(t_k) < x_k | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0] \\
 & \leq P[Y_{a_n}(t_1) < x_1/u_n + \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}, \dots, Y_{a_n}(t_k) < x_k/u_n + \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon} | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{a_n} > 0] \times \\
 & \quad \times (r_{a_n} / \hat{r}_n) + P[Z_n^*(t_1) \geq \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}] (r_{[(a-\varepsilon)t_1 \alpha_n]} / \hat{r}_n) + \dots + \\
 & \quad + P[Z_n^*(t_k) \geq \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}] (r_{[(a-\varepsilon)t_k \alpha_n]} / \hat{r}_n) + P[N_n \in A_n^c] / \hat{r}_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, putting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using (13), (4), (17), (22), (23), and next letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (32) \quad & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[Y_{N_n}(t_1) < x_1, \dots, Y_{N_n}(t_k) < x_k | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0] \\
 & = \int_0^{x_1} \dots \int_0^{x_k} p(0, 0, t_1, y_1) p(t_1, y_1, t_2, y_2) \dots p(t_{k-1}, y_{k-1}, t_k, y_k) dy_1 \dots dy_k
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $k \geq 1$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_k > 0$  and  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k < 1$ .

To complete the proof of the weaker convergence of  $\{Y_{N_n}^+\}$  to  $W^+$  it suffices (cf. Theorems 15.1 and 15.3 of [1]) to show that for every  $v > 0$

$$(33) \quad \lim_{\delta \searrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[\omega_{Y_{N_n}}(\delta, 0, 1) > v | S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0] = 0.$$

We can see that  $\omega_f(\delta, 0, 1) < 2\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}$  whenever  $f \in K(\theta, \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon})$ . Hence, for a fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $2\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon} < v$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (34) \quad & \mathbb{P}[\omega_{Y_{N_n}}(\delta, 0, 1) \geq v, S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0] / \hat{r}_n \\
 & \leq \mathbb{P}[\omega_{Y_{a_n}}(\delta, 0, 1) + \omega_{(Y_{N_n} - Y_{a_n})}(\delta, 0, 1) \\
 & \quad \geq v, S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{N_n} > 0, N_n \in A_n, (Y_{N_n} - Y_{a_n}) \in K(\theta, \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon})] / \hat{r}_n + \\
 & \quad + \mathbb{P}[(Y_{N_n} - Y_{a_n}) \in K(\theta, \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}), S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{a_n} > 0, N_n \in A_n] / \hat{r}_n + \mathbb{P}[N_n \in A_n^c] / \hat{r}_n \\
 & \leq \mathbb{P}[\omega_{Y_{a_n}}(\delta, 0, 1) \geq v - \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon} \mid S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{a_n} > 0] (r_{a_n} / \hat{r}_n) + \\
 & \quad + \mathbb{P}[\max_{k \in A_n} |Y_k - Y_n| \notin K(\theta, \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}), S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{a_n} > 0] / \hat{r}_n + \mathbb{P}[N_n \in A_n^c] / \hat{r}_n \\
 & \leq \mathbb{P}[\omega_{Y_{a_n}}(\delta, 0, 1) \geq v - 2\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon} \mid S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{a_n} > 0] (r_{a_n} / \hat{r}_n) + \\
 & \quad + \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{k \in A_n} \left| \frac{S_{[k-1]}}{\sigma \sqrt{a_n}} - \frac{S_{[a_n]}}{\sigma \sqrt{a_n}} \right| \notin K(\theta, \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}), S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{a_n} > 0\right] / \hat{r}_n + \mathbb{P}[N_n \in A_n^c] / \hat{r}_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Knowing that

$$(35) \quad \lim_{\delta \searrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[\omega_{Y_{a_n}}(\delta, 0, 1) \geq v - 2\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon} \mid S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{a_n} > 0] (r_{a_n} / \hat{r}_n) = 0$$

and

$$(36) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[N_n \in A_n^c] / \hat{r}_n = 0$$

and taking into account that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (37) \quad & \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{k \in A_n} \left| \frac{S_{[k-1]}}{\sigma \sqrt{a_n}} - \frac{S_{[a_n]}}{\sigma \sqrt{a_n}} \right| \notin K(\theta, \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}), S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{a_n} > 0\right] / \hat{r}_n \\
 & \leq \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{k \in A_n} \left| \frac{S_k - S_{a_n}}{\sigma \sqrt{a_n}} \right| > \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}, S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{a_n} > 0\right] / \hat{r}_n \\
 & = \mathbb{P}[Z_n(1) > \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}, S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{a_n} > 0] / \hat{r}_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

we conclude, by (37), (22), (35) and (36), that (33) holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of Probability Measures*, Wiley, New York 1968.
- [2] E. Bolthausen, *On a functional central limit theorem for random walks conditioned to stay positive*, *Ann. Probability*, 4 (1976), p. 480-485.
- [3] D. L. Iglehart, *Functional central limit theorem for random walks conditioned to stay positive*, *ibidem* 2 (1974), p. 608-619.
- [4] D. Landers and L. Rogge, *The exact approximation order in the central limit theorem for random summation*, *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* 36 (1976), p. 269-283.
- [5] A. Renyi, *Probability Theory*, North Holland, Amsterdam-London 1970.
- [6] F. Spitzer, *A Tauberian theorem and its probability interpretation*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 94 (1960), p. 150-169.
- [7] A. Szubarga and D. Szynal, *Random limit theorems for random walks conditioned to stay positive*, *Probability and Math. Stat.* 5.1 (1985), p. 83-89.

Mathematical Institute  
Maria Curie-Skłodowska University  
ul. Nowotki 10  
20-031 Lublin, Poland

Received on 17. 2. 1984

---

