

WEYL MULTIFRACTIONAL ORNSTEIN–UHLENBECK PROCESSES MIXED WITH A GAMMA DISTRIBUTION

BY

KHALIFA ES-SEBAIY (KUWAIT CITY),
FATIMA-EZZAHRA FARAH (MARRAKESH), AND ASTRID HILBERT (VÄXJÖ)

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to study the asymptotic behavior of aggregated Weyl multifractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes mixed with Gamma random variables. This allows us to introduce a new class of processes, Gamma-mixed Weyl multifractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes (GWmOU), and study their elementary properties such as Hausdorff dimension, local self-similarity and short-range dependence. We also prove that these processes approach the multifractional Brownian motion.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60G22; Secondary 60G17.

Key words and phrases: Weyl multifractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process, Gamma distribution, aggregated process, multifractional Brownian motion.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck (fOU) processes are one of the most well studied and widely applied classes of stochastic processes [8]. Recently, in [10], an interesting class of processes, of interest for various applications, has been introduced employing sequences of fOU processes with random coefficients.

Let us first present a brief summary of their construction. Let $B^H = \{B^H(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a fractional Brownian motion (fBm) with Hurst index $H > 1/2$, defined on a probability space $(\Omega_{B^H}, \mathcal{F}_{B^H}, \mathcal{P}_{B^H})$. Consider a sequence of stationary fOU processes $X_k, k \geq 1$, with random coefficients defined by the stochastic integral

$$(1.1) \quad X_t^k = \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\gamma_k(t-s)} dB_s^H, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

with initial condition $X_0^k = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\gamma_k(t-s)} dB_s^H$. The random coefficients

$\gamma_k, k \geq 1$, are independent random variables on a probability space $(\Omega_\gamma, \mathcal{F}_\gamma, \mathcal{P}_\gamma)$ and for any $k \geq 1, -\gamma_k \sim \Gamma(1 - h, \lambda)$ with $0 < h < 1 - H$ and $\lambda > 0$.

Assume that the family $\{\gamma_k, k \geq 1\}$ is independent of B^H . The processes $X_k, k \geq 1$, defined above are P_γ -almost surely fOU processes (see [8]). Let

$$(1.2) \quad Y_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

denote the so-called aggregated process. It has been proven that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $(Y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges weakly and in $L^2(\Omega_{B^H})$ for fixed time, P_γ -almost surely to a stochastic process denoted by $Y^\lambda := \{Y^\lambda(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$, given by the stochastic integral

$$(1.3) \quad Y^\lambda(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + t - s} \right)^{1-h} dB_s^H, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The limiting process Y^λ is stationary, almost self-similar and exhibits long-range dependence (see [13] or [10]). The asymptotic behavior of Y^λ with respect to λ has also been studied, as λ varies between ∞ and 0. The process Y^λ ranges from a fBm with index H to a fBm with index $h + H$.

When B^H is a standard Brownian motion (i.e. $H = 1/2$), Gamma-mixed Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes have been studied in [13].

Our goal is to construct a new kind of processes, called Gamma-mixed Weyl multifractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck (GWmOU) processes, in analogy to the limiting procedure that leads to the process defined in (1.3). In our construction we replace the processes $X_k, 1 \leq k \leq n$, in the aggregated process (1.2) by Weyl multifractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck (WmOU) processes mixed with Gamma random variables defined by the Wiener integral

$$X_{\alpha(t)}^k(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))} \int_{-\infty}^t (t - s)^{\alpha(t)-1} e^{\gamma_k(t-s)} dB_s,$$

where $B = \{B(s), s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a Brownian motion on $(\Omega_B, \mathcal{F}_B, P_B)$, and $\gamma_k, k \geq 1$, are independent random variables on $(\Omega_\gamma, \mathcal{F}_\gamma, P_\gamma)$, also independent of B , and for any $k \geq 1, -\gamma_k \sim \Gamma(1 - h, \lambda)$ with $0 < h < 1$ and $\lambda > 0$. Moreover, α is a Hölder continuous function with exponent $0 < \beta \leq 1$. The processes $X_k, k \geq 1$, are P_γ -almost surely WmOU processes (see Section 2).

We define a GWmOU, denoted Y_α^λ , by

$$Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))} \int_{-\infty}^t \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + t - s} \right)^{1-h} (t - s)^{\alpha(t)-1} dB_s,$$

It is non-stationary, locally asymptotically self-similar and exhibits short-range dependence. We will also study the Hölder exponent and the box and Hausdorff dimension of the process Y_α^λ . In addition, we will investigate the asymptotic behavior of Y_α^λ with respect to λ ; we will prove that Y_α^λ approaches the multifractional

Brownian motion (see [17]) as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, while its integrated renormalized process

$$\hat{Y}_\alpha^\lambda(t) = \lambda^{h-1} \int_0^t Y_\alpha^\lambda(s) ds, \quad t \geq 0,$$

(here we suppose that the function α is constant) converges to a fractional Brownian motion modulo a constant as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

The motivation of this work comes from two facts. On the one hand, Gamma-mixed processes are good models for various applications; for example, the limiting process Y^λ defined by (1.3) is a successful model of heart rate variability and could also be a good model of a lot of Gaussian stationary data with long-range dependence (see [10], [13] for more details). Moreover, the so-called Gamma-mixed Poisson processes (also named Pólya processes) have many practical applications, one of them being the study of reliability of engineering systems [9]. On the other hand, multifractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes are omnipresent in physics. For further details and references, we refer the reader to [15]. Also, for more details about the construction and study of several classes of multifractional processes, see e.g. [3], [2], [5], [4], [17], [19]. The above motivate mixing multifractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes (Weyl version) with Gamma random variables, in order to introduce GWmOU processes, as a counterpart of the limiting process Y^λ , a new candidate to model several short range, variable fractal dimension and non-stationary physical phenomena.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 presents a short summary of results on WmOU processes. In Section 3 we introduce GWmOU processes as limits of aggregated Weyl multifractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes mixed with Gamma-distributed random variables. Finally, Section 4 contains some interesting properties of GWmOU processes including their asymptotic behavior.

2. PRELIMINARIES

WmOU processes have been introduced as a multifractional generalization of Weyl fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes (WfOU).

Let us begin with a brief review of WfOU processes (see [14]). First, we recall some elementary definitions of fractional calculus (see [16], [18]). The Weyl fractional derivative of order $\alpha > 0$, denoted by ${}_a D_t^\alpha$, for $a = -\infty$, can be defined by its inverse using the Weyl fractional integral,

$${}_a D_t^{-\alpha} f(t) = {}_a I_t^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds, \quad t \geq a.$$

For $n-1 \leq \alpha < n$, ${}_a D_t^\alpha$ is defined as the ordinary derivative of order n of the Weyl fractional integral of order $n-\alpha$,

$${}_a D_t^\alpha = (d/dt)^n {}_a D_t^{\alpha-n}.$$

WfOUs are stochastic processes obtained as solutions of the fractional Langevin equation

$$({}_aD_t + w)^\alpha X(t) = W(t), \quad \alpha > 0, w > 0,$$

where $W(t)$ is a Gaussian white noise. They are defined explicitly by the stochastic integral

$$X_\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{-\infty}^t (t - s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-w(t-s)} dB_s, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $B = \{B(s), s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the standard Brownian motion and $\alpha > 1/2$ to ensure that $X_\alpha(t)$ has finite variance.

Similarly to the generalization of fractional Brownian motion to multifractional Brownian motion (see [17]), an extension of WfOU processes is obtained by replacing the parameter α by a Hölder continuous function with exponent $0 < \beta \leq 1$, i.e. there exists a constant K such that

$$|\alpha(t) - \alpha(s)| \leq K|t - s|^\beta \quad \forall s, t,$$

and $\alpha(t) > 1/2$ for all t .

Let us recall WmOU processes and their properties needed in what follows. For more details we refer the reader to [15].

A WmOU process is a Gaussian process defined by the Wiener integral

$$X_{\alpha(t)}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))} \int_{-\infty}^t (t - s)^{\alpha(t)-1} e^{-w(t-s)} dB_s, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad E_B[(X_{\alpha(t)}(t + s) - X_{\alpha(t)}(t))^2] &= \frac{-|s|^{2\alpha(t)-1}}{\Gamma(2\alpha(t)) \cos(\pi\alpha(t))} - 2|s|^2 w^{3-2\alpha(t)} S_{\alpha(t)}(w|s|), \end{aligned}$$

where $S_\vartheta(x)$ is a continuous function given explicitly by

$$S_\vartheta(x) = -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{8\Gamma(\vartheta) \cos(\pi\vartheta)} \left[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2m}}{2^{2m}(m+1)!\Gamma(m+5/2-\vartheta)} - \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2\vartheta-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2m}}{2^{2m}(m+1)!\Gamma(m+3/2+\vartheta)} \right]$$

for every $x > 0$ and $1/2 < \vartheta < 3/2$. The relevant variance is equal to

$$E[X_{\alpha(t)}(t)^2] = \frac{(2w)^{1-2\alpha(t)} \Gamma(2\alpha(t) - 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2}.$$

On the other hand, for $s < t$ the covariance of the WmOU is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E[X_{\alpha(t)}(t) X_{\alpha(s)}(s)] \\ = \frac{e^{-w(t-s)}(t-s)^{\alpha(t)+\alpha(s)-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))} \psi(\alpha(s), \alpha(s) + \alpha(t); 2w(t-s)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi(\alpha, \gamma; z)$ is the confluent hypergeometric function. The variance and the covariance functions are divergent when $w \rightarrow 0$. However, if we set $Z_{\alpha(t)}(t) = X_{\alpha(t)}(t) - X_{\alpha(t)}(0)$, it has been proven in [15] that for $\alpha(t) \in (1/2, 3/2)$ and by identifying $\alpha(t)$ with $H(t) + 1/2$, when $w \rightarrow 0$ the process $Z_{\alpha(t)}(t)$ approaches (in the sense of finite-dimensional distributions) $B_{H(t)}(t)$, the multifractional Brownian motion (with moving average definition) defined in [17] by

$$\begin{aligned} B_{H(t)}(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(H(t) + 1/2)} \\ &\times \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 [(t-s)^{H(t)-1/2} - (-s)^{H(t)-1/2}] dB_s + \int_0^t (t-s)^{H(t)-1/2} dB_s \right). \end{aligned}$$

For the basic properties of WmOU processes such as short-range dependence, local self-similarity and Hausdorff dimension, we refer the reader to [15].

Let us now recall a sufficient criterion for weak convergence, which will be needed in what follows. By Prokhorov's theorem, the convergence of finite-dimensional distributions and tightness yield weak convergence. For processes $X, X_n, n \geq 1$, with paths in $C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$, one has the following sufficient criterion (Billingsley [6, Theorem 12.3], or [7]).

THEOREM 2.1. *Suppose that the finite-dimensional distributions of the family $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converge to those of X . If, in addition, there exist constants $\zeta > 0, \theta > 1$ and $c_{\zeta, \theta}$, depending only on ζ and θ , such that for all $s, t \in [a, b]$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b$,*

$$E[|X_n(t) - X_n(s)|^\zeta] \leq c_{\zeta, \theta} |t - s|^\theta$$

for all $n \geq 1$, then the family $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is tight and consequently

$$X_n \rightarrow X \quad \text{weakly in } C[a, b] \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

3. AGGREGATED WEYL MULTIFRACTIONAL ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK PROCESSES MIXED WITH GAMMA DISTRIBUTION

Let us now consider a sequence of WmOU processes mixed with Gamma distribution random variables $X_\alpha^k := \{X_{\alpha(t)}^k(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ defined by the following Wiener integral:

$$(3.1) \quad X_{\alpha(t)}^k(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))} \int_{-\infty}^t (t-s)^{\alpha(t)-1} e^{\gamma k(t-s)} dB_s, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $B = \{B(s), s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a Brownian motion defined on a probability space $(\Omega_B, \mathcal{F}_B, P_B)$ and for any $k \geq 1$, $-\gamma_k \sim \Gamma(1 - h, \lambda)$ with $0 < h < 1$ and $\lambda > 0$ are independent random variables, also independent of B , defined on a probability space $(\Omega_\gamma, \mathcal{F}_\gamma, P_\gamma)$.

The processes $X_\alpha^k, k \geq 1$, are P_γ -almost surely WmOU processes defined on $(\Omega_B, \mathcal{F}_B, P_B)$. We define their empirical mean by

$$Y_{\alpha(t)}^n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_{\alpha(t)}^k(t)$$

for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \geq 1$.

Throughout the paper we assume that

$$(3.2) \quad 1/2 < \alpha_{\inf} \leq \alpha_{\sup} < 3/2,$$

where $\alpha_{\inf} := \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \alpha(t)$ and $\alpha_{\sup} := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \alpha(t)$.

We will also need the following notations:

- $m_\alpha[a, b] = \min\{\alpha(t) : t \in [a, b]\}$ and $M_\alpha[a, b] = \max\{\alpha(t) : t \in [a, b]\}$ for all real $a < b$. E_B and E_γ denote the expectations with respect to P_B and P_γ respectively.
- C denotes a generic constant depending only on $[a, b]$, λ and h .
- $C^{x,y}$ denotes a generic constant depending on $[a, b]$, λ , h , x and y such that $0 < x < 2m_\alpha[a, b] - 1$ and $0 < y < 3/2 - h - M_\alpha[a, b]$.
- $C_\eta^{x,y}$ denotes a generic constant depending on $[a, b]$, λ , h , x and y such that $0 < x < 2m_\alpha[a, b] - 1$, $0 < y < 3/2 - h - M_\alpha[a, b]$ and $0 \leq \eta < m_\alpha[a, b] - 1/2$.

3.1. The limit of aggregated processes. If $0 < h < 3/2 - \alpha_{\sup}$, we define a zero mean Gaussian process $Y_\alpha^\lambda := \{Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ by

$$(3.3) \quad Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))} \int_{-\infty}^t \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + t - s} \right)^{1-h} (t - s)^{\alpha(t)-1} dB_s, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is easy to see that the Wiener integral in (3.3) is well-defined. The process Y_α^λ will be called a *Gamma-mixed Weyl multifractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process*, abbreviated as GWmOU.

Given a compact interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, the following result proves that P_γ -a.s., as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $Y_{\alpha(t)}^n(t)$ converges to $Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t)$ in $L^2(\Omega_B)$, uniformly in $t \in [a, b]$.

THEOREM 3.1. *Fix real numbers a, b such that $a < b$. If $0 < h < 3/2 - M_\alpha[a, b]$, then P_γ -a.s.,*

$$(3.4) \quad Y_{\alpha(t)}^n(t) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t) \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega_B)$$

uniformly in $t \in [a, b]$. In particular, if $0 < h < 3/2 - \alpha_{\text{sup}}$, then P_γ -a.s., for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(3.5) \quad Y_{\alpha(t)}^n(t) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t) \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega_B).$$

Proof. We prove (3.4). For every $x > 0$, $n \geq 1$, set

$$f_n(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n e^{\gamma_k x}, \quad c(x) := E_\gamma[e^{\gamma_1 x}] = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + x} \right)^{1-h}.$$

By the law of large numbers, we have P_γ -a.s., for every $x > 0$,

$$(3.6) \quad f_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n e^{\gamma_k x} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} c(x),$$

and for every $c > 0$ and $d < 3/2 - h$,

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{e^{\gamma_k c}}{(-\gamma_k)^{d-1/2}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} E_\gamma \left[\frac{e^{\gamma_1 c}}{(-\gamma_1)^{d-1/2}} \right] = \frac{\lambda^{1-h} \Gamma(3/2 - d - h)}{\Gamma(1 - h) (\lambda + c)^{3/2 - d - h}}.$$

Using the change of variable $u = t - s$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} E_B[(Y_{\alpha(t)}^n(t) - Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t))^2] &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} E_B \left[\left(\int_{-\infty}^t (t-s)^{\alpha(t)-1} (f_n(t-s) - c(t-s)) dB_s \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \int_{-\infty}^t (t-s)^{2\alpha(t)-2} (f_n(t-s) - c(t-s))^2 ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \int_0^\infty u^{2\alpha(t)-2} (f_n(u) - c(u))^2 du. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for every $m \geq 2$ and $t \in [a, b]$,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.8) \quad E_B[(Y_{\alpha(t)}^n(t) - Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t))^2] &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \left[\int_0^1 u^{2\alpha(t)-2} (f_n(u) - c(u))^2 du + \int_1^m u^{2\alpha(t)-2} (f_n(u) - c(u))^2 du \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_m^\infty u^{2\alpha(t)-2} (f_n(u) - c(u))^2 du \right] \\ &\leq K \left[\int_0^1 u^{2m_\alpha[a,b]-2} (f_n(u) - c(u))^2 du + \int_1^m u^{2M_\alpha[a,b]-2} (f_n(u) - c(u))^2 du \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_m^\infty u^{2M_\alpha[a,b]-2} (f_n(u) - c(u))^2 du \right] \\ &:= K[A(n, m) + B(n, m) + C(n, m)], \end{aligned}$$

where K is the maximum of the continuous function $z \mapsto 1/\Gamma(z)$ on the interval $[m_\alpha[a, b], M_\alpha[a, b]]$.

Combining (3.6), $f_n(u) \leq 1$, $c(u) \leq 1$ and (3.2) with Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we conclude that P_γ -a.s, for every $m \geq 2$,

$$(3.9) \quad A(n, m) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0, \quad B(n, m) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0.$$

Now we will estimate $C(n, m)$ for all $m \geq 2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} C(n, m) &= \int_m^\infty (f_n(u) - c(u))^2 u^{2M_\alpha[a, b]-2} du \\ &\leq 2 \int_m^\infty f_n(u)^2 u^{2M_\alpha[a, b]-2} du + 2 \int_m^\infty c(u)^2 u^{2M_\alpha[a, b]-2} du. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the change of variable $v = (-\gamma_j - \gamma_k)u$ and $2\sqrt{(-\gamma_j)(-\gamma_k)} \leq -\gamma_j - \gamma_k$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_m^\infty f_n(u)^2 u^{2M_\alpha[a, b]-2} du &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k, j=1}^n \int_m^\infty e^{\gamma_j u} e^{\gamma_k u} u^{2M_\alpha[a, b]-2} du \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k, j=1}^n \frac{1}{(-\gamma_j - \gamma_k)^{2M_\alpha[a, b]-1}} \int_{m(-\gamma_j - \gamma_k)}^\infty v^{2M_\alpha[a, b]-2} e^{-v} dv \\ &\leq \frac{2^{1-2M_\alpha[a, b]}}{n^2} \sum_{k, j=1}^n \frac{e^{-\frac{m}{2}(-\gamma_j - \gamma_k)}}{[(-\gamma_j)(-\gamma_k)]^{M_\alpha[a, b]-1/2}} \int_{m(-\gamma_j - \gamma_k)}^\infty v^{2M_\alpha[a, b]-2} e^{-v/2} dv \\ &\leq \Gamma(2M_\alpha[a, b] - 1) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{e^{-\frac{m}{2}(-\gamma_j)}}{(-\gamma_j)^{M_\alpha[a, b]-1/2}} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (3.7) we get, P_γ -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_m^\infty f_n(u)^2 u^{2M_\alpha[a, b]-2} du \\ \leq \Gamma(2M_\alpha[a, b] - 1) \left(\frac{\lambda^{1-h} \Gamma(3/2 - M_\alpha[a, b] - h)}{\Gamma(1-h)(\lambda + m/2)^{3/2 - M_\alpha[a, b] - h}} \right)^2 \xrightarrow[m \rightarrow \infty]{} 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + u} \right)^{2-2h} u^{2M_\alpha[a, b]-2} du \\ = \lambda^{2M_\alpha[a, b]-1} \beta(3 - 2M_\alpha[a, b] - 2h, 2M_\alpha[a, b] - 1) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\int_m^\infty c(u)^2 u^{2M_\alpha[a,b]-2} du = \int_m^\infty \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+u} \right)^{2-2h} u^{2M_\alpha[a,b]-2} du \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

which implies that P_γ -a.s.,

$$(3.10) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} C(n, m) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Therefore, by applying the convergences (3.9) and (3.10) in (3.8) we deduce that P_γ -a.s.,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [a,b]} E_B[(Y_{\alpha(t)}^n(t) - Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t))^2] = 0,$$

which finishes the proof of (3.4).

Finally, the convergence (3.5) is a direct consequence of (3.4), (3.2) and $0 < h < 3/2 - \alpha_{\text{sup}}$. ■

The weak convergence of the sequence $(Y_\alpha^n)_{n \geq 1}$ is established in our next theorem.

THEOREM 3.2. *Fix real $a < b$. Suppose that $0 < h < 3/2 - M_\alpha[a, b]$ and $\min\{2m_\alpha[a, b] - 1, 2\beta\} < 1$. Then P_γ -a.s.,*

$$(3.11) \quad Y_\alpha^n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_\alpha^\lambda \quad \text{in } C[a, b],$$

where $C[a, b]$ is the space of continuous functions on $[a, b]$.

Proof. First, since P_γ -almost surely, Y_α^n and Y_α^λ are zero mean Gaussian processes whose finite-dimensional distributions are determined by their covariances, (3.4) implies the convergence P_γ -almost surely of the finite-dimensional distributions of $(Y_\alpha^n)_{n \geq 1}$ to those of Y_α^λ . Thus, in order to prove (3.11) it remains to prove the P_γ -a.s. tightness of $(Y_\alpha^n)_{n \geq 1}$ by using Theorem 2.1.

Throughout the proof all the results are given P_γ -almost surely.

Let $t, t + \tau \in [a, b]$ be such that $|\tau| < \min(\lambda/2, 1)$. Then

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} E_B[(Y_{\alpha(t+\tau)}^n(t+\tau) - Y_{\alpha(t)}^n(t))^2] \\ = E_B \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (X_{\alpha(t+\tau)}^k(t+\tau) - X_{\alpha(t)}^k(t)) \right)^2 \right] \\ \leq 2E_B \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n U_t^k(\tau) \right)^2 \right] + 2E_B \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n V_t^k(\tau) \right)^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$U_t^k(\tau) := X_{\alpha(t)}^k(t+\tau) - X_{\alpha(t)}^k(t), \quad V_t^k(\tau) := X_{\alpha(t+\tau)}^k(t+\tau) - X_{\alpha(t)}^k(t+\tau).$$

We will first prove that for every $n \geq 1$,

$$(3.13) \quad E_B \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n U_t^k(\tau) \right)^2 \right] \leq C |\tau|^{2m_\alpha[a,b]-1}.$$

To this end, by using Hölder’s inequality and (2.1), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} E_B \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n U_t^k(\tau) \right)^2 \right] &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n E_B [U_t^k(\tau)^2] \\ &= \frac{-|\tau|^{2\alpha(t)-1}}{\Gamma(2\alpha(t)) \cos(\pi\alpha(t))} - 2|\tau|^2 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-\gamma_k)^{3-2\alpha(t)} S_{\alpha(t)}(-\gamma_k|\tau|). \end{aligned}$$

Since $1/2 < \alpha(t) < 3/2$ and $\cos(\pi\alpha(t)) < 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &-S_{\alpha(t)}(-\gamma_k|\tau|) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{8\Gamma(\alpha(t)) \cos(\pi\alpha(t))} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma_k|\tau|)^{2m}}{2^{2m}(m+1)!\Gamma(m+5/2-\alpha(t))} \\ &\quad - \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{8\Gamma(\alpha(t)) \cos(\pi\alpha(t))} \left(\frac{-\gamma_k|\tau|}{2} \right)^{2\alpha(t)-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma_k|\tau|)^{2m}}{2^{2m}(m+1)!\Gamma(m+3/2+\alpha(t))} \\ &\leq -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{8\Gamma(\alpha(t)) \cos(\pi\alpha(t))} \left(\frac{-\gamma_k|\tau|}{2} \right)^{2\alpha(t)-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma_k|\tau|)^{2m}}{2^{2m}(m+1)!\Gamma(m+3/2+\alpha(t))} \\ &\leq C (-\gamma_k|\tau|)^{2\alpha(t)-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma_k|\tau|)^{2m}}{2^{2m}((m+1)!)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality comes from $\Gamma(m + 3/2 + \alpha(t)) \geq (m + 1)!$ and the fact that the functions $\Gamma(x)$ and $\cos(\pi x)$ are continuous at every x with $1/2 < m_\alpha[a, b] \leq x \leq M_\alpha[a, b] < 3/2$.

As a consequence,

$$(3.14) \quad E_B \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n U_t^k(\tau) \right)^2 \right] \leq C |\tau|^{2\alpha(t)-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-\gamma_k)^2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma_k|\tau|)^{2m}}{2^{2m}((m+1)!)^2} \right).$$

Moreover, by the law of large numbers, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (3.15) \quad &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-\gamma_k)^2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma_k|\tau|)^{2m}}{2^{2m}((m+1)!)^2} \\ &= E_\gamma \left[(-\gamma_1)^2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma_1|\tau|)^{2m}}{2^{2m}((m+1)!)^2} \right] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-h)\lambda^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2m+3-h)}{2^{2m}((m+1)!)^2} \left(\frac{|\tau|}{\lambda} \right)^{2m} \\ &\leq C \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2m+3-h)}{2^{2m}((m+1)!)^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{2m} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that the radius of convergence of the power series $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2m+3-h)}{2^{2m}((m+1)!)^2} x^m$ is 1. By combining (3.14) and (3.15), we obtain (3.13).

Let us now turn to the second term in (3.12). It remains to prove that for every $n \geq 1$,

$$(3.16) \quad E_B \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n V_t^k(\tau) \right)^2 \right] \leq C^{\delta, \rho} |\tau|^{2\beta}.$$

To this end, from (3.1) we can write

$$(3.17) \quad V_t^k(\tau) = V_{t,1}^k(\tau) + V_{t,2}^k(\tau),$$

where

$$V_{t,1}^k(\tau) = \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t+\tau))} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{t+\tau} (t+\tau-u)^{\alpha(t+\tau)-1} e^{\gamma_k(t+\tau-u)} dB_u,$$

$$V_{t,2}^k(\tau) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))} \int_{-\infty}^{t+\tau} ((t+\tau-u)^{\alpha(t+\tau)-1} - (t+\tau-u)^{\alpha(t)-1}) e^{\gamma_k(t+\tau-u)} dB_u.$$

Then

$$E_B \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n V_t^k(\tau) \right)^2 \right] \leq 2E_B \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n V_{t,1}^k(\tau) \right)^2 \right] + 2E_B \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n V_{t,2}^k(\tau) \right)^2 \right].$$

Combining the mean value theorem and the fact that any continuous function has a maximum on any compact interval, we get

$$\left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t+\tau))} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))} \right|^2 \Gamma(2\alpha(t+\tau)-1) \leq C |\alpha(t+\tau) - \alpha(t)|^2.$$

Moreover, since α is β -Hölder continuous, and since $2\sqrt{(-\gamma_j)(-\gamma_k)} \leq -\gamma_j - \gamma_k$ and $1 - 2\alpha(t+\tau) < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E_B \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n V_{t,1}^k(\tau) \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t+\tau))} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))} \right)^2 \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{t+\tau} (t+\tau-u)^{2\alpha(t+\tau)-2} e^{(\gamma_j+\gamma_k)(t+\tau-u)} du \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t+\tau))} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))} \right)^2 \Gamma(2\alpha(t+\tau)-1) \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n (-\gamma_j - \gamma_k)^{1-2\alpha(t+\tau)} \\ &\leq C |\tau|^{2\beta} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-\gamma_k)^{1/2-\alpha(t+\tau)} \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-\gamma_k)^{1/2-\alpha(t+\tau)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda^{\alpha(t+\tau)-1/2}}{\Gamma(1-h)} \Gamma(3/2 - \alpha(t+\tau) - h) < \infty.$$

Thus, we conclude that for every $n \geq 1$,

$$(3.18) \quad E_B \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n V_{t,1}^k(\tau) \right)^2 \right] \leq C |\tau|^{2\beta}.$$

On the other hand, by the change of variable $t + \tau - u = x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_B \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n V_{t,2}^k(\tau) \right)^2 \right] &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \frac{1}{n^2} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{j,k=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{t+\tau} [(t+\tau-u)^{\alpha(t+\tau)-1} - (t+\tau-u)^{\alpha(t)-1}]^2 e^{(\gamma_j+\gamma_k)(t+\tau-u)} du \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \int_0^\infty [x^{\alpha(t+\tau)-1} - x^{\alpha(t)-1}]^2 e^{(\gamma_j+\gamma_k)x} dx \\ &= \frac{[\alpha(t+\tau) - \alpha(t)]^2}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \int_0^\infty [\log(x) x^{c_{t,\tau}^x - 1}]^2 e^{(\gamma_j+\gamma_k)x} dx \end{aligned}$$

for some $c_{t,\tau}^x \in (m_\alpha[a, b], M_\alpha[a, b])$, where the last equality comes from the mean value theorem.

Let $0 < \delta < 2m_\alpha[a, b] - 1$, $0 < \rho < 3/2 - M_\alpha[a, b] - h$ and define $\mu = 1/(2m_\alpha[a, b] - 1 - \delta)$. Since α is β -Hölder continuous, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} E_B \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n V_{t,2}^k(\tau) \right)^2 \right] &= \frac{[\alpha(t+\tau) - \alpha(t)]^2}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \int_0^\infty \log(x)^2 x^{2c_{t,\tau}^x - 2} e^{(\gamma_j+\gamma_k)x} dx \\ &= \frac{[\alpha(t+\tau) - \alpha(t)]^2}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \left(\int_0^1 \log(x)^2 x^{2c_{t,\tau}^x - 2} e^{(\gamma_j+\gamma_k)x} dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_1^\infty \log(x)^2 x^{2c_{t,\tau}^x - 2} e^{(\gamma_j+\gamma_k)x} dx \right) \\ &\leq C |\tau|^{2\beta} \left(\int_0^1 x^{2m_\alpha[a,b]-2-\delta} dx + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \int_1^\infty x^{2M_\alpha[a,b]-2+2\rho} e^{(\gamma_j+\gamma_k)x} dx \right) \\ &\leq C |\tau|^{2\beta} \left(\mu + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \int_0^\infty x^{2M_\alpha[a,b]-2+2\rho} e^{(\gamma_j+\gamma_k)x} dx \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C|\tau|^{2\beta} \left(\mu + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(2M_\alpha[a,b]-1+2\rho)}{(-\gamma_j - \gamma_k)^{2M_\alpha[a,b]-1+2\rho}} \right) \\
&\leq C|\tau|^{2\beta} \left(\mu + \frac{2^{1-2\rho-2M_\alpha[a,b]}}{n^2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(2M_\alpha[a,b]-1+2\rho)}{(\sqrt{(-\gamma_j)(-\gamma_k)})^{2M_\alpha[a,b]-1+2\rho}} \right) \\
&= C|\tau|^{2\beta} \\
&\quad \times \left(\mu + 2^{1-2\rho-2M_\alpha[a,b]} \Gamma(2M_\alpha[a,b]-1+2\rho) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(-\gamma_k)^{M_\alpha[a,b]-1/2+\rho}} \right)^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(-\gamma_k)^{M_\alpha[a,b]-1/2+\rho}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda^{M_\alpha[a,b]-1/2+\rho}}{\Gamma(1-h)} \Gamma(3/2 - M_\alpha[a,b] - h - \rho) < \infty,$$

we deduce that for every $n \geq 1$,

$$(3.19) \quad E_B \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n V_{t,2}^k(\tau) \right)^2 \right] \leq C^{\delta,\rho} |\tau|^{2\beta}.$$

Thus, combining (3.18) and (3.19), we get (3.16).

Therefore, from (3.12), (3.13) and (3.16) we obtain, for every $n \geq 1$,

$$(3.20) \quad E_B[(Y_{\alpha(t+\tau)}^n(t+\tau) - Y_{\alpha(t)}^n(t))^2] \leq C^{\delta,\rho} |\tau|^{\min\{2m_\alpha[a,b]-1, 2\beta\}}.$$

Let $a < b$. For $s < t \in [a, b]$, we can find $2k + 2$ points $u_1, \dots, u_{2k+2} \in [s, t]$ with $b - a = k \min\{\lambda/2, 1\} + c$, $0 \leq c < \min\{\lambda/2, 1\}$ and $0 < u_{i+1} - u_i < \min\{\lambda/2, 1\}$ such that $[t, s] = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2k+2} [u_i, u_{i+1}]$.

Using Minkowski's inequality, (3.20) and Proposition 4.1 (because $0 < \min\{2m_\alpha[a,b] - 1, 2\beta\} < 1$) we conclude that for every $n \geq 1$ and $s, t \in [a, b]$,

$$E_B[(Y_{\alpha(t)}^n(t) - Y_{\alpha(s)}^n(s))^2] \leq C^{\delta,\rho} |t - s|^{\min\{2m_\alpha[a,b]-1, 2\beta\}}.$$

Consequently, given $r > 0$ and using again the fact that Y_α^n is P_γ -almost surely Gaussian, there exists a constant C_r depending only on r such that

$$\begin{aligned}
E_B[|Y_{\alpha(t)}^n(t) - Y_{\alpha(s)}^n(s)|^r] &= C_r (E_B[(Y_{\alpha(t)}^n(t) - Y_{\alpha(s)}^n(s))^2])^{r/2} \\
&\leq C_r (C^{\delta,\rho})^{r/2} |t - s|^{r \min\{m_\alpha[a,b]-1/2, \beta\}}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and $s, t \in [a, b]$. If we choose so that $r \min\{m_\alpha[a,b] - 1/2, \beta\} > 1$, Theorem 2.1, implies that the family $(Y_\alpha^n)_{n \geq 1}$ is tight, as desired. ■

3.2. Properties of GWmOU processes and asymptotic behavior with respect to λ . In this section we study several interesting properties of the GWmOU process Y_α^λ , such as the Hölder exponent and short-range dependence. In addition, we investigate the asymptotic behavior of Y_α^λ when $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and when $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

Let us first compute the variance and the covariance of Y_α^λ . An easy computation shows that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the variance is given by

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} E_B[Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t)^2] &= \frac{\lambda^{2-2h}}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \int_{-\infty}^t (\lambda + t - s)^{2h-2} (t - s)^{2\alpha(t)-2} ds \\ &= \frac{\lambda^{2\alpha(t)-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \beta(3 - 2h - 2\alpha(t), 2\alpha(t) - 1), \end{aligned}$$

where β is the beta function defined by $\beta(x, y) = \int_0^1 u^{x-1} (1 - u)^{y-1} du$ for $x, y > 0$. Hence a GWmOU process is in general not stationary.

In addition, for $s < t$, using the change of variable $z = \lambda/(\lambda + s - u)$, the covariance of Y_α^λ is given by

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} E_B[Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t)Y_{\alpha(s)}^\lambda(s)] &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))\Gamma(\alpha(s))} \\ &\times \int_{-\infty}^s \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + t - u}\right)^{1-h} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + s - u}\right)^{1-h} (t - u)^{\alpha(t)-1} (s - u)^{\alpha(s)-1} du \\ &= \frac{\lambda^{\alpha(t)+\alpha(s)-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))\Gamma(\alpha(s))} G(\alpha(t), \alpha(s), h, t - s/\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$G(a, b, c, d) = \int_0^1 \frac{(1 + dz)^{c-1}}{(1 + [d - 1]z)^{1-a}} (1 - z)^{b-1} z^{2-[a+b]-2c} dz.$$

In order to study the local properties of GWmOU processes we will need the following result.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Fix a compact interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$.*

(1) *If $0 < h < 3/2 - M_\alpha[a, b]$, then there exists a constant $C^{\delta, \rho}$ such that*

$$(3.23) \quad E_B[(Y_{\alpha(t+\tau)}^\lambda(t + \tau) - Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t))^2] \leq C^{\delta, \rho} |\tau|^{\min\{2m_\alpha[a, b]-1, 2\beta\}}$$

for all $t, t + \tau \in [a, b]$ with $|\tau| < \min\{\lambda/2, 1\}$.

(2) *If $0 < h < 3/2 - M_\alpha[a, b]$, $M_\alpha[a, b] < 1$ and $\alpha(t) - 1/2 < \beta$ for all t , then*

(a) *there exist constants C_2 and $\epsilon < 1$ such that*

$$(3.24) \quad E_B[(Y_{\alpha(t+\tau)}^\lambda(t + \tau) - Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t))^2] \geq (C_2/2) |\tau|^{2M_\alpha[a, b]-1}$$

for all $t, t + \tau \in [a, b]$ with $|\tau| < \epsilon$,

(b) as $\tau \rightarrow 0$,

$$(3.25) \quad E_B[(Y_{\alpha(t+\tau)}^\lambda(t+\tau) - Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t))^2] = C_2|\tau|^{\alpha(t)-1/2} + O(|\tau|^{2\alpha(t)-1}).$$

Proof. The inequality (3.23) is a direct consequence of (3.20) and (3.4).

Let us now prove (3.24). For convenience, for all $t, t + \tau \in [a, b]$ with $|\tau| < 1$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} U_t^\lambda(\tau) &= Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t+\tau) - Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t), & V_t^\lambda(\tau) &= Y_{\alpha(t+\tau)}^\lambda(t+\tau) - Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t+\tau) \\ & & &= V_{t,1}^\lambda(\tau) + V_{t,2}^\lambda(\tau), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_{t,1}^\lambda(\tau) &= \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t+\tau))} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{t+\tau} (t+\tau-u)^{\alpha(t+\tau)-1} \frac{\lambda^{1-h}}{(\lambda+t+\tau-u)^{1-h}} dB_u, \\ V_{t,2}^\lambda(\tau) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))} \\ &\quad \times \int_{-\infty}^{t+\tau} [(t+\tau-u)^{\alpha(t+\tau)-1} - (t+\tau-u)^{\alpha(t)-1}] \frac{\lambda^{1-h}}{(\lambda+t+\tau-u)^{1-h}} dB_u. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} E_B[(Y_{\alpha(t+\tau)}^\lambda(t+\tau) - Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t))^2] &\geq E_B[U_t^\lambda(\tau)^2] + 2E_B[U_t^\lambda(\tau)V_t^\lambda(\tau)] \\ &\geq E_B[U_t^\lambda(\tau)^2] - 2E[U_t^\lambda(\tau)^2]^{1/2}E[V_t^\lambda(\tau)^2]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. By Lemma 4.1 below and the inequality (4.10), there exist constants C_1 and C_2 depending only on $[a, b]$, λ and h such that

$$(3.27) \quad C_2|\tau|^{2\alpha(t)-1} \leq E[(U_t^\lambda)^2] \leq C_1|\tau|^{2\alpha(t)-1}.$$

On the other hand,

$$E_B[V_t^\lambda(\tau)^2] \leq 2(E_B[V_{t,1}^\lambda(\tau)^2] + E_B[V_{t,2}^\lambda(\tau)^2]).$$

A standard computation combined with the mean value theorem and the fact that any continuous function has a maximum on any compact interval, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &E_B[V_{t,1}^\lambda(\tau)^2] \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t+\tau))} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))} \right)^2 \lambda^{2\alpha(t+\tau)-1} \beta(3 - 2\alpha(t+\tau) - 2h, 2\alpha(t+\tau) - 1) \\ &\leq C|\alpha(t+\tau) - \alpha(t)|^2 \leq C|\tau|^{2\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the change of variable $x = t + \tau - u$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_B[V_{t,2}^\lambda(\tau)^2] &= \frac{\lambda^{2-2h}}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \int_{-\infty}^{t+\tau} [(t+\tau-u)^{\alpha(t+\tau)-1} - (t+\tau-u)^{\alpha(t)-1}]^2 (\lambda+t+\tau-u)^{2h-2} du \\ &= \frac{\lambda^{2-2h}}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \int_0^\infty [x^{\alpha(t+\tau)-1} - x^{\alpha(t)-1}]^2 (\lambda+x)^{2h-2} dx \\ &= \frac{\lambda^{2-2h} [\alpha(t+\tau) - \alpha(t)]^2}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \int_0^\infty \log(x)^2 x^{2a_{t,\tau}^x - 2} (\lambda+x)^{2h-2} dx \end{aligned}$$

for some $a_{t,\tau}^x \in (m_\alpha[a, b], M_\alpha[a, b])$, the last equality following from the mean value theorem. Let $0 < \sigma < 2m_\alpha[a, b] - 1$ and $0 < \varsigma < 3/2 - M_\alpha[a, b] - h$. Since α is β -Hölder continuous, for $c = 3 - 2h - 2M_\alpha[a, b] - 2\varsigma$ and $d = 2M_\alpha[a, b] - 1 + 2\varsigma$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_B[V_{t,2}^\lambda(\tau)^2] &= \frac{\lambda^{2-2h} [\alpha(t+\tau) - \alpha(t)]^2}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \left(\int_0^1 \log(x)^2 x^{2c_{t,\tau}^x - 2} (\lambda+x)^{2h-2} dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_1^\infty \log(x)^2 x^{2a_{t,\tau}^x - 2} (\lambda+x)^{2h-2} dx \right) \\ &\leq C |\tau|^{2\beta} \left(\int_0^1 x^{2m_\alpha[a, b] - 2 - \sigma} dx + \int_1^\infty x^{2M_\alpha[a, b] - 2 + 2\varsigma} (\lambda+x)^{2h-2} dx \right) \\ &\leq C |\tau|^{2\beta} (1/(2m_\alpha[a, b] - 1 - \sigma) + \beta(c, d)) \leq C^{\sigma, \varsigma} |\tau|^{2\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

We then deduce that

$$(3.28) \quad E_B[V_t^\lambda(\tau)^2] \leq C^{\sigma, \varsigma} |\tau|^{2\beta}.$$

Combining (3.27), (3.28) and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality yields

$$(3.29) \quad |E[U_t^\lambda(\tau) V_t^\lambda(\tau)]| \leq E[U_t^\lambda(\tau)^2]^{1/2} E[V_t^\lambda(\tau)^2]^{1/2} \leq C^{\sigma, \varsigma} |\tau|^{\beta + \alpha(t) - 1/2}.$$

Thus, by plugging (3.27) and (3.29) in (3.26), we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_B[(Y_{\alpha(t+\tau)}^\lambda(t+\tau) - Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t))^2] &\geq C_2 |\tau|^{2\alpha(t)-1} - C^{\sigma, \varsigma} |\tau|^{\alpha(t)-1/2+\beta} \\ &\geq |\tau|^{2M_\alpha[a, b]-1} (C_2 - C^{\sigma, \varsigma} |\tau|^{\beta - M_\alpha[a, b] + 1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

By assuming that $\alpha(t) - 1/2 \leq M_\alpha[a, b] - 1/2 < \beta$, the function

$$g : \tau \mapsto C_2 - C^{\sigma, \varsigma} |\tau|^{\beta - M_\alpha[a, b] + 1/2}$$

is continuous in τ and converges to C_2 when $\tau \rightarrow 0$. So there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $g(\tau) > C = C_2$ for $|\tau| < \epsilon$, which gives the inequality (3.24).

On the other hand, by the assumption $\alpha(t) - 1/2 \leq M_\alpha[a, b] - 1/2 < \beta$ and using the equivalence (4.11), (3.28) and (3.29), we immediately obtain (3.25). ■

In the following, we state interesting properties of GWmOU processes such as continuity, Hölder exponent at t , Hausdorff dimension and local asymptotic self-similarity. The same properties hold for WmOU processes, the proofs of which are based on [15, Lemma 3.1], of which Proposition 3.1 is the counterpart for GWmOU processes. Having Proposition 3.1 at hand, the proofs for GWmOU processes proceed analogously to those in [15]. Therefore, we omit them.

3.2.1. Continuity

PROPOSITION 3.2. *The process $\{Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ admits a continuous modification.*

In the following properties: Hölder exponent, Hausdorff dimension and local asymptotic self-similarity, we make the additional assumptions that $\alpha(t) - 1/2 < \beta$ for all t in the domain of α and $M_\alpha[a, b] < 1$.

3.2.2. Hölder exponent

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. For any $0 \leq \eta < m_\alpha[a, b] - 1/2$, with probability 1, there exists a constant $C_\eta^{\delta, \rho}$ such that*

$$|Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t) - Y_{\alpha(s)}^\lambda(s)| \leq C_\eta^{\delta, \rho} |t - s|^\eta \quad \forall t, s \in [a, b].$$

We now turn to the Hölder continuity of GWmOU processes. Let us first recall the following definition.

DEFINITION 3.1. A real-valued function is said to have *Hölder exponent* β at a point t_0 if

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(t_0 + h) - f(t_0)|}{|h|^\gamma} &= 0 && \text{for any } \gamma < \beta, \\ \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(t_0 + h) - f(t_0)|}{|h|^\gamma} &= \infty && \text{for any } \gamma > \beta. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 3.4. *With probability 1, the Hölder exponent of $Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t)$ at a point t_0 in the domain is $\alpha(t_0) - 1/2$.*

3.2.3. Hausdorff dimension. Let $\dim_H A$, $\underline{\dim}_B A$, and $\overline{\dim}_B A$ denote the Hausdorff dimension, the lower box dimension, and the upper box dimension of a set A in \mathbb{R}^n , respectively. Given a compact interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbf{G}_\alpha[a, b] = \{(t, Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t)) : t \in [a, b]\}$ stands for the graph of the process $Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t)$ restricted to $[a, b]$. For more information on these notions see [11]. We now formulate our result.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let $[a, b]$ be an interval in the domain of definition of α . With probability 1, $\dim_H \mathbf{G}_\alpha[a, b] = \underline{\dim}_B \mathbf{G}_\alpha[a, b] = \overline{\dim}_B \mathbf{G}_\alpha[a, b] = 5/2 - m_\alpha[a, b]$.*

3.2.4. Local asymptotic self-similarity. WmOU processes are locally asymptotically self-similar, in the following sense defined in [4].

DEFINITION 3.2. Let $X(t)$ be a Gaussian process. We say that $X(t)$ is *locally asymptotically self-similar* with parameter H at a point t_0 if the limit process

$$\left\{ \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{X(t_0 + hu) - X(t_0)}{h^H}, u \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

exists and is nontrivial for every t_0 .

This property holds true for GWmOU processes. Before stating this result, let us first recall that a *fractional Brownian motion* with Hurst index H is a centered Gaussian process with covariance

$$E[B^H(t)B^H(s)] = \frac{1}{2}[|t|^{2H} + |s|^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}].$$

PROPOSITION 3.6. *For any t_0 the stochastic process*

$$\left\{ \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{Y_{\alpha(t_0+hu)}^\lambda(t_0 + hu) - Y_{\alpha(t_0)}^\lambda(t_0)}{h^{\alpha(t_0)/2-1/4}}, u \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

is, modulo a constant, a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst index $\alpha(t_0)/2 - 1/4$.

3.2.5. Short-range dependence. We are now interested in the strength of the dependence of GWmOU processes.

DEFINITION 3.3 ([12]). Let $X(t)$ be a Gaussian process with covariance denoted by $c(s, t) = \text{cov}(X(s), X(t))$ and correlation $\rho(s, t)$ defined by

$$\rho(s, t) = \frac{c(s, t)}{\sqrt{c(t, t)c(s, s)}}.$$

We say that $X(t)$ is *long-range dependent* if

$$\int_0^\infty |\rho(t, t + \tau)| d\tau = \infty,$$

and it is *short-range dependent* if the integral is finite.

The following lemma provides an upper bound for the inverse of the variance of the process Y_α^λ with $0 < h < 3/2 - \alpha_{\text{sup}}$ and $1/2 < \alpha(t)$ for all t .

LEMMA 3.1. *For all t the function $t \mapsto 1/E_B[Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t)^2]$ is upper bounded.*

Proof. From (3.21), we find that

$$\frac{1}{E_B[Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t)^2]} = \frac{\lambda^{1-2\alpha(t)}[2\alpha(t) - 1]\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2\Gamma(2 - 2h)}{\Gamma(2\alpha(t))\Gamma(3 - 2h - 2\alpha(t))}.$$

The functions $z \mapsto \lambda^{1-2z}$, $z \mapsto 2z - 1$, $z \mapsto \Gamma(z)^2$, $z \mapsto \Gamma(2z)$ and $z \mapsto \Gamma(3 - 2h - 2z)$ are continuous for $z \in [1/2, \alpha_{\text{sup}}]$. As a consequence,

$$(3.30) \quad \frac{1}{E_B[Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t)^2]} \leq C. \blacksquare$$

We are thus led to the following short-range dependence property of GWmOU processes.

PROPOSITION 3.7. *For $0 < h < 1 - \alpha_{\text{sup}}$, the GWmOU process is short-range dependent.*

Proof. Set $y = \tau/\lambda$. Using (3.22) and (3.30), we have

$$0 \leq \rho_\alpha(t, t + \tau) \leq CG(\alpha(t + \tau), \alpha(t), h, y).$$

Since $0 \leq u \leq 1$ and $1/2 < \alpha(t) < 1$ for all t , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G(\alpha(t + \tau), \alpha(t), h, y) &= \int_0^1 u^{2-[\alpha(t)+\alpha(t+\tau)]-2h} (1-u)^{\alpha(t)-1} (1+yu)^{h-1} (yu+1-u)^{\alpha(t+\tau)-1} du \\ &\leq y^{\alpha(t+\tau)-1} (y+1)^{h-1} \int_0^1 u^{-\alpha(t)-h} (1-u)^{\alpha(t)-1} du. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty |\rho_\alpha(t, t + \tau)| d\tau &\leq C \int_0^\infty y^{\alpha(t+\lambda y)-1} (y+1)^{h-1} dy \int_0^1 u^{-\alpha(t)-h} (1-u)^{\alpha(t)-1} du \\ &\leq C\beta(1-h-\alpha_{\text{sup}}, 1/2)\beta(1-h-\alpha(t), \alpha(t)) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

since $0 < h < 1 - \alpha_{\text{sup}}$. \blacksquare

We are now interested in the asymptotic behavior of the process Y_α^λ when $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

PROPOSITION 3.8. *Let $\{Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t), t \geq 0\}$ be a GwMOU process restricted to $t \geq 0$ and set $\alpha(t) = H(t) + 1/2$ with $0 < h < 3/2 - \alpha_{\text{sup}}$. Then for fixed t in \mathbb{R}^+ ,*

$$Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t) - Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(0) \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} B_{H(t)}(t) \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega_B).$$

Proof. For each $s \leq t$ set $c_\lambda(t - s) = (\lambda/(\lambda + t - s))^{1-h}$, for each $t \geq 0$ let $X_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t) = Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t) - Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(0)$, and denote

$$\begin{aligned} A_{H(t)}^\lambda(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^0 ([c_\lambda(t - s)(t - s)^{H(t)-1/2} - c_\lambda(-s)(-s)^{H(t)-1/2}] \\ &\quad - [(t - s)^{H(t)-1/2} - (-s)^{H(t)-1/2}]) dB_s \\ &=: \int_{-\infty}^0 [A_{1,H(t)}^\lambda(t, s) - A_{1,H(t)}(t, s)] dB_s, \\ D_{H(t)}^\lambda(t) &= \int_0^t (t - s)^{H(t)-1/2} (c_\lambda(t - s) - 1) dB_s. \end{aligned}$$

By substituting $\alpha(t) = H(t) + 1/2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t) - B_{H(t)}(t) &= X_{H(t)+1/2}^\lambda(t) - B_{H(t)}(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(H(t) + 1/2)} [A_{H(t)}^\lambda(t) + D_{H(t)}^\lambda(t)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} E_B[(X_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t) - B_{H(t)}(t))^2] &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(H(t) + 1/2)^2} E_B[(A_{H(t)}^\lambda(t) + D_{H(t)}^\lambda(t))^2] \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\Gamma(H(t) + 1/2)^2} (E_B[A_{H(t)}^\lambda(t)^2] + E_B[D_{H(t)}^\lambda(t)^2]). \end{aligned}$$

Let us first evaluate the asymptotic behavior of $E_B[A_{H(t)}^\lambda(t)^2]$ when $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

For fixed $t \geq 0$, it is easily seen that

$$(3.31) \quad A_{1,H(t)}^\lambda(t, s) \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} A_{1,H(t)}(t, s).$$

Using the elementary inequality, for any $p \geq 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$||x|^p - |y|^p| \leq (p \vee 1) 2^{(p-2)^+} [|x - y|^p + |y|^{(p-1)^+} |x - y|^{p \wedge 1}]$$

and the fact that $c_\lambda(x) \leq 1$ for all $x > -\lambda$, we have, for $s < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|c_\lambda(t - s)(t - s)^{H(t)-1/2} - c_\lambda(-s)(-s)^{H(t)-1/2}| \\ &\leq c_\lambda(t - s)|(t - s)^{H(t)-1/2} - (-s)^{H(t)-1/2}| + (-s)^{H(t)-1/2}|c_\lambda(t - s) - c_\lambda(-s)| \\ &\leq |(t - s)^{H(t)-1/2} - (-s)^{H(t)-1/2}| + 2t^{1-h}(-s)^{H(t)-1/2}(t - s)^{h-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for fixed $t > 0$ such that $H(t) \neq 1/2$, when $s \rightarrow -\infty$ we get

$$((t - s)^{H(t)-1/2} - (-s)^{H(t)-1/2})^2 \sim (H(t) - 1/2)^2 t^2 (-s)^{2H(t)-3}.$$

As a result, $s \mapsto ((t - s)^{H(t)-1/2} - (-s)^{H(t)-1/2})^2$ is integrable at $-\infty$, because $2H(t) - 3 < -1$, and as $s \rightarrow 0^-$ as well, since $2H(t) - 1 > -1$. Consequently,

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 ((t - s)^{H(t)-1/2} - (-s)^{H(t)-1/2})^2 ds < \infty.$$

Also, by the hypothesis $2 - 2H(t) - 2h > 0$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 (-s)^{2H(t)-1} (t - s)^{2h-2} ds = t^{2H(t)+2h-2} \beta(2 - 2H(t) - 2h, 2H(t)) < \infty.$$

The dominated convergence theorem shows that for fixed $t \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} E_B[A_{H(t)}^\lambda(t)^2] = 0.$$

Similarly, one shows that for fixed $t \geq 0$, $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} E_B[D_{H(t)}^\lambda(t)^2] = 0$, which proves the desired result. ■

On the other hand, we now consider the asymptotic behavior of Y_α^λ when $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. In the following result, it is assumed that $\alpha(t) = \alpha$ for all t , $1 - \alpha < h < 3/2 - \alpha$ and $1/2 < \alpha < 1$.

PROPOSITION 3.9. *Let $\{\hat{Y}_\alpha^\lambda, t \geq 0\}$ be the process defined by*

$$\hat{Y}_\alpha^\lambda(t) = \lambda^{h-1} \int_0^t Y_\alpha^\lambda(s) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Then

$$\hat{Y}_\alpha^\lambda(t) \xrightarrow[\lambda \rightarrow 0]{} Y_\alpha(t) \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega_B),$$

where

$$Y_\alpha(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)(h + \alpha - 1)} \left[\int_{-\infty}^0 (t - u)^{h+\alpha-1} - (-u)^{h+\alpha-1} dB_u + \int_0^t (t - u)^{h+\alpha-1} dB_u \right].$$

Moreover, the process $(Y_\alpha(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is (modulo a constant) a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst index $h + \alpha - 1/2$.

Proof. For each $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{h-1} \int_0^t Y_\alpha^\lambda(s) ds &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{-\infty}^t dB_u \int_{u \vee 0}^t (\lambda + s - u)^{h-1} (s - u)^{\alpha-1} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{-\infty}^0 dB_u \int_0^t (\lambda + s - u)^{h-1} (s - u)^{\alpha-1} ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t dB_u \int_u^t (\lambda + s - u)^{h-1} (s - u)^{\alpha-1} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using the same computations as in the proof of Proposition 3.8, it is easily checked that for every $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Y}_\alpha^\lambda(t) \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0} Y_\alpha(t) &:= \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)(h + \alpha - 1)} &\left[\int_{-\infty}^0 (t - u)^{h+\alpha-1} - (-u)^{h+\alpha-1} dB_u + \int_0^t (t - u)^{h+\alpha-1} dB_u \right] \end{aligned}$$

in $L^2(\Omega_B)$. Moreover, it is obvious that the process $(Y_\alpha(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is (modulo a constant) a fractional Brownian motion (with moving average definition) with Hurst index $h + \alpha - 1/2$. ■

4. APPENDIX

PROPOSITION 4.1. For all $0 < p < 1$ and $k \geq 2$,

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^p \leq 2^{(k-1)(1-p)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i \right)^p \quad \text{if } x_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Proof. For $k \geq 2$, $0 < p < 1$ and $x_i \geq 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, we will denote by $A(k)$ the inequality

$$A(k) : \quad \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^p \leq 2^{(k-1)(1-p)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i \right)^p.$$

Let $k = 2$. Since the function $x \mapsto x^p$, $x \geq 0$, is concave for every $0 < p < 1$, we get

$$x^p + y^p \leq 2^{1-p}(x + y)^p,$$

so $A(2)$ holds true.

Let us assume that $A(n-1)$ holds. Using $A(2)$, $A(n-1)$, by easy computations we get $A(n)$. Thus by induction, the proof is complete. ■

Throughout the appendix, it is supposed that $0 < h < 3/2 - M_\alpha[a, b]$, $M_\alpha[a, b] < 1$ and $m_\alpha[a, b] > 1/2$ for any compact interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$.

LEMMA 4.1. *Fix a compact interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$. There exists a constant C depending only on $[a, b]$, λ and h such that*

$$E_B[(Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t + \tau) - Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t))^2] \leq C|\tau|^{2\alpha(t)-1}$$

for all $t, t + \tau \in [a, b]$ with $|\tau| < 1$.

Proof. Set $\eta = 3 - 2h - 2\alpha(t)$, $\nu = 2\alpha(t) - 1$ and $y = |\tau|/\lambda$. Using (3.21), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2) \quad E_B[(Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t + \tau) - Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t))^2] &= E_B[(Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t + \tau))^2] + E_B[Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t)^2] - 2E_B[Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t + \tau)Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t)] \\ &= \frac{2\lambda^\nu}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \beta(\eta, \nu) - 2E_B[Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t + \tau)Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t)]. \end{aligned}$$

Let us first evaluate the second term on the right hand side. By (3.22) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad -2E_B[Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t + \tau)Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t)] &= \frac{-2\lambda^\nu}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \int_0^1 u^{\eta-1} (1-u)^{\alpha(t)-1} (1+yu)^{h-1} (yu+1-u)^{\alpha(t)-1} du. \end{aligned}$$

By applying the mean value theorem to the function $t \mapsto (1+yt)^{h-1}$ for $t \in [0, u]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4) \quad -2E_B[Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t + \tau)Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t)] &= \frac{-2\lambda^\nu}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \int_0^1 u^{\eta-1} (1-u)^{\nu-1} \left(1 + y \frac{u}{1-u}\right)^{\alpha(t)-1} du \\ &\quad + \frac{2\lambda^\nu(1-h)}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} y \int_0^1 (1+yC_u)^{h-2} u^\eta (1-u)^{\alpha(t)-1} (yu+1-u)^{\alpha(t)-1} du \\ &=: A_{\lambda,h}(\alpha(t), y) + B_{\lambda,h}(\alpha(t), y). \end{aligned}$$

Let us begin by providing an upper bound for $A_{\lambda,h}$. Using the inequality

$$1 - \frac{yu}{1 - (1-y)u} \leq \left(1 + y \frac{u}{1-u}\right)^{\alpha(t)-1},$$

for $y \neq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.5) \quad A_{\lambda,h}(\alpha(t), y) &\leq \frac{-2\lambda^\nu}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \int_0^1 u^{\eta-1}(1-u)^{\nu-1} du + \frac{2\lambda^\nu y}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \int_0^1 \frac{u^\eta (1-u)^{\nu-1}}{1-(1-y)u} du \\
 &= \frac{-2\lambda^\nu}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \beta(\eta, \nu) + \frac{2\lambda^\nu y}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \beta(\nu, \eta + 1) {}_2F_1(1, \eta + 1, 3 - 2h, 1 - y),
 \end{aligned}$$

where ${}_2F_1$ is called the hypergeometric function, and the last equality is due to Euler’s representation integral of ${}_2F_1$ (see [1, Theorem 2.2.1]).

Using Euler’s transformation formula (see [1, Theorem 2.2.5]), we get

$$(4.6) \quad {}_2F_1(1, \eta + 1, 3 - 2h, 1 - y) = y^{2\alpha(t)-2} {}_2F_1(2 - 2h, \nu, 3 - 2h, 1 - y).$$

Set $a = 2 - 2h, b = 2m_\alpha[a, b] - 1$ and $c = 3 - 2h - 2M_\alpha[a, b] + 2m_\alpha[a, b]$. For $y \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.7) \quad {}_2F_1(a, \nu, a + 1, 1 - y) &= \frac{\Gamma(a + 1)}{\Gamma(\nu)\Gamma(\eta + 1)} \int_0^1 x^{\nu-1}(1-x)^\eta(1-(1-y)x)^{-a} dx \\
 &\leq C \int_0^1 x^{b-1}(1-x)^{c-b-1}(1-(1-y)x)^{-a} dx = CF(y) \leq C,
 \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality coming from the fact that the function F is continuous on $[1, 1/\lambda]$. By plugging (4.6) in (4.5) and using (4.7), we infer that

$$(4.8) \quad A_{\lambda,h}(\alpha(t), |\tau|) \leq \frac{-2\lambda^\nu}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \beta(\eta, \nu) + C|\tau|^\nu.$$

On the other hand, since $\eta, \lambda, C_u > 0, 0 < h < 1$, and $\alpha(t) < 1$, we have

$$(4.9) \quad B_{\lambda,h}(\alpha(t), |\tau|) \leq \frac{2\lambda^{\alpha(t)-1}(1-h)}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \beta(\alpha(t), \alpha(t))|\tau|^{\alpha(t)} \leq M|\tau|^\nu,$$

where M is the maximum of the continuous function

$$z \mapsto (2\lambda^{z-1}(1-h)/\Gamma(z)^2)\beta(z, z)$$

on $[m_\alpha[a, b], M_\alpha[a, b]]$. Thus, by plugging (4.8) and (4.9) in (4.4), we get

$$-2E_B[Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t + \tau)Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t)] \leq \frac{-2\lambda^\nu}{\Gamma(\alpha(t))^2} \beta(\eta, \nu) + (M + C)|\tau|^\nu.$$

Then

$$E_B[(Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t + \tau) - Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t))^2] \leq C_1|\tau|^\nu,$$

where $C_1 = M + C$, which establishes the desired result. ■

LEMMA 4.2. Fix a compact interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$.

(1) There exists a constant C_2 depending only on $[a, b]$, λ and h such that

$$(4.10) \quad E_B[(Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t + \tau) - Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t))^2] \geq C_2 |\tau|^{2\alpha(t)-1}$$

for all $t, t + \tau \in [a, b]$ with $|\tau| < 1$.

(2) As $\tau \rightarrow 0$,

$$(4.11) \quad E_B[(Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t + \tau) - Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t))^2] = C_2 |\tau|^{\alpha(t)-1/2} + O(|\tau|^{2\alpha(t)-1}).$$

Proof. With the notation of Lemma 4.1, since $\alpha(t) < 1$ for all t , we have

$$B_{\lambda, h}(\alpha(t), y) \leq E_B[(Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t + \tau) - Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t))^2].$$

For $\tau \neq 0$, using $C_u \in]0, 1[$ we get

$$(4.12) \quad \left(\frac{1}{|\tau|} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^{\alpha(t)+h-3} |\tau|^{2\alpha(t)-2} \leq (1 + y)^{h+\alpha(t)-3} \\ \leq (1 - u + yu)^{\alpha(t)-1} (1 + yC_u)^{h-2}.$$

Set

$$h(z, x) = (\lambda x)^{3-z-h} (x + \lambda)^{z+h-3},$$

a continuous function on $[m_\alpha[a, b], M_\alpha[a, b]] \times [0, 1]$, and let C_2 be the minimum of the function

$$(z, x) \mapsto \frac{2\lambda^{2z-2}(1-h)}{\Gamma(z)^2} \beta(4-2h-2z, z) h(z, x)$$

for $(z, x) \in [m_\alpha[a, b], M_\alpha[a, b]] \times [0, 1]$. Then

$$E_B[(Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t + \tau) - Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t))^2] \geq C_2 |\tau|^\nu,$$

which gives (4.10).

Let us now prove (4.11). If instead of (4.12) we use the following inequality for $y \neq 0$:

$$\left(\frac{1}{|\tau|} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^{\alpha(t)+h-3} |\tau|^{\alpha(t)-3/2} \leq (1 + y)^{\alpha(t)+h-3},$$

then (4.10) becomes

$$E_B[(Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t + \tau) - Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t))^2] \geq C_2 |\tau|^{\alpha(t)-1/2}.$$

Combining Lemma 4.1 and the last inequality, we get

$$C_2|\tau|^{\alpha(t)-1/2} \leq E_B[(Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t+\tau) - Y_{\alpha(t)}^\lambda(t))^2] \leq C_1|\tau|^{\alpha(t)-1/2}.$$

To prove (4.11), it remains to show that $C_2 \leq C_1 = M+C$. Since $0 < h < 3/2-z$ and $z < 1$, we obtain

$$\beta(4-2h-2z, z) \leq \beta(z, z) \quad \text{and} \quad h(z, x) \leq \lambda^{1-z}.$$

Therefore,

$$C_2 \leq \frac{2\lambda^{2z-2}(1-h)}{\Gamma(z)^2} \beta(4-2h-2z, z)h(z, x) \leq M \leq C_1,$$

which completes the proof. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Andrews, R. Askey and R. Roy, *Special Functions*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999.
- [2] A. Ayache, S. Jaffard and M. S. Taqqu, *Wavelet construction of generalized multifractional processes*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamer. 23 (2007), 327–370.
- [3] A. Ayache and M. S. Taqqu, *Multifractional processes with random exponent*, Publ. Mat. 49 (2005), 459–486.
- [4] A. Benassi, S. Jaffard and D. Roux, *Elliptic Gaussian random processes*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamer. 13 (1997), 19–90.
- [5] S. Bianchi, *Pathwise identification of the memory function of multifractional Brownian motion with application to finance*, Int. J. Theoret. Appl. Finance 8 (2005), 255–281.
- [6] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of Probability Measures*, Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [7] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of Probability Measures*, 2nd ed., Wiley, New York, 1999.
- [8] P. Cheridito, H. Kawaguchi and M. Maejima, *Fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes*, Electron. J. Probab. 8 (2003), no. 3, 14 pp.
- [9] S. Eryilmaz, *δ -shock model based on Polya process and its optimal replacement policy*, Eur. J. Oper. Res. 263 (2017), 690–697.
- [10] K. Es-Sebaïy and C. A. Tudor, *Fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes mixed with a Gamma distribution*, Fractals 23 (2015), no. 3, art. 1550032, 10 pp.
- [11] K. Falconer, *Fractal Geometry. Mathematical Foundations and Applications*, 2nd ed., Wiley, 2003.
- [12] P. Flandrin, P. Borgnat and P. O. Amblard, *From stationarity to self-similarity, and back: Variations on the Lamperti transformation*, in: Processes with Long-Range Correlations, Lecture Notes in Phys. 621, Springer, New York, 2003, 88–117.
- [13] E. Iglói and G. Terdik, *Long-range dependence through Gamma-mixed Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process*, Electron. J. Probab. 4 (1999), no. 16, 33 pp.
- [14] S. C. Lim and C. H. Eab, *Riemann–Liouville and Weyl fractional oscillator processes*, Phys. Lett. A 355 (2006), 87–93.
- [15] S. C. Lim and L. P. Teo, *Weyl and Riemann–Liouville multifractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes*, J. Phys. A 40 (2007), 6035–6060.
- [16] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*, Wiley, New York, 1993.
- [17] R. F. Peltier and J. Lévy Véhel, *Multifractional Brownian motion: definition and preliminary results*, Research Report RR-2645, INRIA, 1995.

- [18] S. Samko, A. A. Kilbas and D. I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, 1993.
- [19] S. Stoev and M. S. Taqqu, *How rich is the class of multifractional Brownian motions?*, Stoch. Process. Appl. 116 (2006), 200–221.

Khalifa Es-Sebaiy
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Kuwait University
P.O. Box 5969
Safat 13060, Kuwait
E-mail: khalifa.essebaiy@ku.edu.kw

Fatima-Ezzahra Farah
Department of Mathematics
National School of Applied Sciences, Marrakesh
Cadi Ayyad University
BP Guéliz
Boulevard Abdelkrim Al Khattabi
Marrakesh, Morocco
E-mail: farah.fatima.ezzahra@gmail.com

Astrid Hilbert
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Technology
Linnaeus University
P G Vejdes väg
351 95 Växjö, Sweden
E-mail: astrid.hilbert@lnu.se

Received 21.3.2019;
revised version 12.6.2019
