PROBABILITY AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS

Vol. 10, Fasc. 1 (1989), p. 149-152

## REMARK ON A MULTIPLICATIVE DECOMPOSITION OF PROBABILITY MEASURES

## BY

## JERZY SAWA (WARSZAWA)

*Abstract.* The aim of this note is to define a rather wide class of probability measures admitting a multiplicative decomposition.

Let P be the set of all Borel probability measures on the real line. Given  $\mu$ ,  $\nu \in P$ , by  $\mu\nu$  we shall denote the probability distribution of the product XY of two independent random variables X and Y with probability distributions  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , respectively. It is evident that the binary operation  $\mu\nu$  is commutative, associative and distributive with respect to convex combinations of probability measures. In what follows  $\mu^n$  will denote the *n*-th power under this operation. Further, by  $\delta_c$  we denote the probability measure concentrated at the point c. It is easy to check that

$$(\mu\nu)(E) = \int_{x\neq 0}^{\infty} \mu(x^{-1} E) \nu(dx) + \nu(\{0\}) \delta_0(E).$$

Put I = (0, 1]. By  $P_I$  we denote the subset of P consisting of all measures concentrated on I. We say that  $\mu \in Q$  if  $\mu \in P$  and, for every  $x \in I$ , there exists a positive number c such that  $\mu(x^{-1}E) \leq c\mu(E)$  for all Borel subsets E of the real line.

Denote by  $q(\mu, x)$  the infimum of all those numbers c. It is clear that  $q(\mu, x) \ge 1$  whenever  $x \in I$  and  $q(\mu, 1) = 1$ . Moreover, denoting by  $\{E_n\}$  the sequence of all open intervals with rational endpoints, we have

$$\{x: q(\mu, x) \leq c\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x: \mu(x^{-1} E_n) \leq c\mu(E_n)\},\$$

which shows that the function  $q(\mu, \cdot)$  is Borel measurable on I.

A standard calculation leads to the following inequalities for  $\mu \in Q$ :

$$q(\mu, xy) \leq q(\mu, x)q(\mu, y), \quad x, y \in I,$$

(1) 
$$\int_{0}^{1} q(\mu, x)(\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n)(dx) \leq \prod_{j=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{1} q(\mu, x) \lambda_j(dx)$$

for any  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n \in P_I$ ;

(2) 
$$(\lambda \mu)(E) \leq \mu(E) \int_{0}^{1} q(\mu, x) \lambda(dx) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in P_{I}.$$

We note that the set Q is closed under convolution and convex combinations. Moreover,

$$q(\mu * v, x) \leq q(\mu, x)q(v, x)$$

and

$$q(c\mu + (1-c)\nu, x) \leq \max(q(\mu, x), q(\nu, x)).$$

As a simple example of measures belonging to Q we quote the Gaussian measure q with the mean m and the variance  $\sigma^2$ . Then we have

$$q(\varrho, x) = x^{-1} \exp\left(\frac{m^2}{2\sigma^2} \frac{1-x}{1+x}\right), \quad x \in I.$$

Setting for any b > 0

$$\mu_b(E) = b \int\limits_{E \cap I} x^{b-1} dx,$$

we have also  $\mu_b \in Q$  and  $q(\mu_b, x) = x^{-b}(x \in I)$ . Furthermore, it is easy to check that all unimodal distributions with the mode at 0 belong to Q.

THEOREM. Let  $\mu \in Q$ . For every  $\lambda \in P_I$  satisfying the condition

(3)

$$\int_{0}^{1} q(\mu, x) \lambda(dx) < 2\lambda(\{1\})$$

there exists a measure  $v \in P$ , absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , such that  $\lambda v = \mu$ .

**Proof.** The masure  $\lambda$  can be written in the form

$$\lambda = p\delta_1 + (1-p)\eta,$$

where  $p = \lambda(\{1\})$ ,  $\eta \in P_I$  and  $\eta(\{1\}) = 0$ . In the case p = 1 we have  $\lambda = \delta_1$ 

## Decomposition of probability measures

and our assertion is obvious with  $v = \mu$ . Suppose that p < 1. Since  $q(\mu, x) \ge 1$ , we have by (3) the inequality p > 1/2. Consequently,

(4) 
$$0 < r = \frac{1-p}{p} < 1$$

and

(5) 
$$s = r \int_{0}^{1} q(\mu, x) \eta(dx) < 1$$

Further, inequalities (1) and (2) yield

(6) 
$$(\eta^n \mu)(E) \leq (\int_{0}^{1} q(\mu, x) \eta(dx))^n \mu(E), \quad n = 1, 2, ...$$

Setting

(7)  $\beta = (1-r)^{-1}(\mu - r\eta\mu)$ 

and taking into account (4) and (5) we infer that

$$\beta(E) = (1-r)^{-1} \left( \mu(E) - r \int_{0}^{1} \mu(x^{-1} E) \eta(dx) \right)$$
  
$$\geq (1-r)^{-1} \mu(E) \left( 1 - s \int_{0}^{1} q(\mu, x) \eta(dx) \right) \geq 0$$

Since  $\beta$  is normed on the real line, we conclude that  $\beta \in P$ . Put

$$\mathbf{v}=(1-r^2)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}r^{2k}\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2k}\boldsymbol{\beta},$$

where  $\eta^0 = \delta_1$ . Obviously,  $v \in P$  and, by (7),

(8) 
$$v = (1+r) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n r^n \eta^n \mu.$$

Consequently, by (5) and (6),

$$\nu(E) \leq (1+r) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n (\eta^n \mu)(E) \leq \frac{1+r}{1-s} \mu(E),$$

151

which shows that v is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ . Further, by (4) and (8),

$$\eta v = \frac{1+r}{r} \mu - \frac{1}{r} v = \frac{1}{1-p} \mu - \frac{p}{1-p} v.$$

Thus

$$\lambda v = p(\delta_1 v) + (1 - p)(\eta v) = pv + (1 - p)(\eta v) = \mu,$$

which completes the proof.

Uniwersytet Warszawski Instytut Matematyki Pałac Kultury i Nauki 00-901 Warszawa, Poland

> Received on 23. 12. 1985; revised version on 21. 4. 1987