PROBABILITY AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS

Vol. 10, Fasc. 1 (1989), p. 45-56

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR OF THE INTEGRAL OF A FUNCTION ON THE LEVEL SET OF A MIXING RANDOM FIELD*

BY

ILEANA IRIBARREN (CARACAS)

Abstract. Let $X = \{X(t): t \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$ be a centered stationary real random field with a.s. differentiable paths. Let T be a rectangle of \mathbb{R}^2 and let F(f, T) denote the integral of the continuous function f over a level curve \mathscr{C}_x of X for a fixed level x, observed in T. We show that if a field X satisfies some mixing condition, then F(f, T), adequately normalized, converges weakly to the Wiener process indexed in T. The limit variance has a precise expression in the Gaussian case and *-mixing case. A geometrical lemma shows cases where the higher order moments of F(f, T) are finite.

1. INTRODUCTION.

In what follows, $X = \{X(t): t \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$ denotes an a.s. differentiable centered stationary random field. X is said to be *isotropic* if, given any $k \in N$ and $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k \in \mathbb{R}^2$, the joint laws of $(X(t_1), X(t_2), \dots, X(t_k))$ and $(X(Qt_1), X(Qt_2), \dots, X(Qt_k))$ are the same when Q is any isometry in \mathbb{R}^2 . X is said to be affine, when it is equal in law to $\{Y(At): t \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$, where Y is isotropic and A: $\mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ is a linear self adjoint transformation. The angle θ_0 defining the eigenvectors directions $(\cos \theta_0, \sin \theta_0)$ and $(-\sin \theta_0, \cos \theta_0)$ and the respective eigenvalues λ_1 , λ_2 specify the affinity A. There is no loss of generality in assuming $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 > 0$, $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = 1$. Cabaña [2] has proposed estimators of the affinity parameters $k = (1 - \lambda_1^2 / \lambda_2^2)^{1/2}$ and θ_0 based on the shape of the level curve of X, corresponding to a given level x.

The gradient process $\dot{X}(t) = ||\dot{X}(t)||$ (cos $\Theta(t)$, sin $\Theta(t)$) is determined by the two real stationary processes $||\dot{X}||$ and Θ . If X has a.s. differentiable Jacobian and T is an open rectangle of \mathbb{R}^2 , the set $\mathscr{C}_x = \{t \in T: X(t) = x, \dot{X}(t) \neq 0\}$, for a fixed x, is a.s. a C^1 one-dimensional manifold, as it results from applying the implicit Function Theorem. For $f: (-\pi, \pi] \to \mathbb{R}$

^{*} Some of the results presented here are part of the author's Doctoral dissertation at Universidad Central de Venezuela.

continuous and bounded we write

$$F(f, T) = |T|^{-1} \int_{\mathscr{C}_{x}} f(\Theta(t)) dS(t),$$

where |T| is the area of T, dS is the surface measure of \mathscr{C}_x , and the integral is defined for every $\omega \in \Omega$ as the usual integral over a differentiable manifold [3]. F(f, T) is a random variable with respect to the σ -algebra generated by $\{X(t): t \in T\}$. We write

$$\mathscr{L}(T) = F(1, T), \quad \mathscr{C}(T) = F(\cos 2\theta, T), \quad \mathscr{L}(T) = F(\sin 2\theta, T).$$

Cabaña [3] and Wschebor [10] have proved by different methods the formulae, known as *Rice's Formulae*, for the moments of the variable F(f, T) (Theorem 3). Making use of these formulae we obtain

$$\tan 2\theta_0 = \mathbf{E} \mathscr{S} / \mathbf{E} \mathscr{C}, \quad g(k) = \frac{\sqrt{(\mathbf{E} \mathscr{C})^2 + (\mathbf{E} \mathscr{S})^2}}{\mathbf{E} \mathscr{L}},$$

where g is a certain function of the parameter k. These equations led Cabaña to propose the following estimators for the affinity parameters θ_0 and k:

$$\theta_0 = \frac{1}{2} \arg \left(\mathscr{C}(\lambda T), \ \mathscr{S}(\lambda T) \right), \quad k = g^{-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\mathscr{C}^2(\lambda T) + \mathscr{S}^2(\lambda T)}}{\mathscr{S}(\lambda T)} \right),$$

where λT is a dilation of T, θ_0 and k are consistent and asymptotically Gaussian under adequated mixing conditions. Cabaña [2] has proved this assertion when X is δ -dependent, and he has computed the limit variance. The aim of the present paper is to prove the asymptotical normality of F(f, T) under less strict conditions of dependence. We prove, making use of a Functional Central Limit Theorem for strong mixing fields, that adequately normalized F(f, T) converges weakly to a Wiener process indexed by the rectangles of \Re^2 . Generally, the Central Limit Theorem for mixing variables has the inconvenient that the variance is impossible to compute, but here we compute the limit variance in the Gaussian and *-mixing cases and show that this variance has the same expression that in the δ -dependent case (see [2]).

Since the existence of higher order moments plays a fundamental role, we improve Wschebor's results [11], giving conditions under which the *p*-th order moment of F(f, T) is finite.

2. FRAMEWORK

We begin with

Definition 1. Let $\xi = \{\xi(t): t \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ be a stationary random field. We say that ξ is strongly mixing with coefficient α , or α -mixing, if for any Borelian

sets U and V

$$\sup \{ |\mathbf{P}(A \cap B) - \mathbf{P}(A)| \mathbf{P}(B) : A \in \sigma(U), B \in \sigma(V) \} \leq \alpha (p(U, V)) \}$$

and $\alpha(p) \to 0$, $p \to \infty$. Here p(U, V) is the Euclidean distance and $\sigma(U) = \sigma \{\xi(t): t \in U\}$. In the same manner, we say ξ satisfies a *-mixing condition with coefficient ψ if

$$\sup\left\{\left|\frac{\mathbf{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbf{P}(A) \mathbf{P}(B)} - 1\right|: A \in \sigma(U), B \in \sigma(V)\right\} \leq \psi(p(U, V))$$

and $\psi(p) \to 0, p \to \infty$.

Let $\xi = \{\xi(t): t \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ be a discret strong mixing stationary centered real random field and

$$S_n = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \xi_j,$$

where $j \leq n$ means $j_1 \leq n_1$, $j_2 \leq n_2$, ..., $j_d \leq n_d$. We prove LEMMA 1. If $E |\xi_0|^{2+\delta} < \infty$ and the mixing satisfies

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^{d-1} \alpha^{\delta/(2+\delta)}(r) < \infty,$$

then

(i)

$$\sum_{j\in \mathbf{Z}^d} \mathrm{E}\,({\xi}_0\,{\xi}_j) < \infty\,,$$

(ii)
$$|n|^{-1} \operatorname{E} S_n^2 \to \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \operatorname{E} (\xi_0 \, \xi_j) = \sigma^2 \quad \text{when } n \to \infty,$$

where $n \to \infty$ means $\min_{1 \le j \le d} n_j \to \infty$ and $|n| = n_1 n_2 \dots n_d$.

Proof. Lemma 3 of Billingsley [1], p. 172, and the inequality of moments for strong mixing fields [7] give the proof.

Let T^d be a Cartesian product of $[0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$. We will denote by C_d the set of continuous functions on T^d provided with the uniform metric, and D_d is the Skorohod function space on T^d . A subset B of T^d , $B = \{u = (u_1, \ldots, u_d): s_j \leq u_j \leq t_j\}$ is called a *block*, and increment $\xi(B)$ of ξ around a block B is given by

$$\zeta(B) = \sum_{\varepsilon \in [0,1]^d} (-1)^{d-|\varepsilon|} \zeta(s + \varepsilon(t-s)),$$

where $|\varepsilon| = \varepsilon_1 + \ldots + \varepsilon_d$ if $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_d)$.

The Wiener process $W = \{W(t): t \in T^d\}$ on T^d is characterized by

(a) $P\{W \in C_d\} = 1;$

(b) if B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_k are pairwise disjoint blocks in T^d , then the incre-

ments $W(B_1)$, $W(B_2)$, ..., $W(B_k)$ are independent normal variables with means zero and variances $|B_1|$, $|B_2|$, ..., $|B_k|$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the *d*-dimensional Lebesgue measure in T^d .

If \mathscr{A} is a class of sets, the Wiener process indexed in \mathscr{A} , $W = \{W(A): A \in \mathscr{A}\}$, is the Gaussian centered process with covariances $\operatorname{cov}(W(A), W(B)) = |A \cap B|$ for $A, B \in \mathscr{A}$. If \mathscr{A} is the class of the blocks in T^d , denoted by \mathscr{R}^d , $\{W(B): B \in \mathscr{R}^d\}$ is the increments process of $\{W(t): t \in T^d\}$ around the blocks B's. It results that $W = \{W(B): B \in \mathscr{R}^d\}$ is continuous [8].

3. MAIN THEOREM

Let $T = [-a, a) \times [-b, b)$ be a half-open rectangle in \mathbb{R}^2 . We divide the plane in a grid $\{T_j: j \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}$, where T_j 's are all rectangles with the same dimension as T, such that if $j = (j_1, j_2)$, T_j has center $C_j = (2aj_1, 2bj_2)$. For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ we define the following dilation of T

$$\lambda T = \begin{cases} T & \text{if } \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1, \\ \bigcup_{j \in Q_1} T_j & \text{if } \lambda_1 > 1 \text{ or } \lambda_2 > 1, \end{cases}$$

where $Q_{\lambda} = \{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : -(\lambda - 1) \leq j \leq \lambda - 1\}$. Note that $|\lambda T| = |Q_{\lambda}| |T|$, where $|Q_{\lambda}| = \operatorname{card} (Q_{\lambda}) = (2\lambda_1 - 1)(2\lambda_2 - 1)$ and

$$F(f, T) = |Q_{\lambda}|^{-1} \sum_{j \in Q_{\lambda}} F(f, T_j).$$

THEOREM 1. Suppose that the field X is strongly mixing and

(i) the mixing satisfies $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{(q+\lfloor q/2 \rfloor)-1} \alpha^{\delta/(q+\delta)}(k);$ (ii) $E[F(f, T)]^{q+\delta} < \infty$ for some $q \ge 2$ and $\delta > 0;$

(iii) the variance defined by Lemma 1 has the form

$$\sigma^{2} = |T|^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}} I(0, t, f) dt > 0,^{\cdots}$$

where

$$I(s, t, f) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) d\theta \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\varphi) H_{st}(x, \theta, \varphi) d\varphi$$

with

$$H_{st}(x, \theta, \varphi) = P_{X(s), X(t), \Theta(s), \Theta(t)}(x, x, \theta, \varphi) \times \\ \times E\left[||\dot{X}(s)|| \, ||\dot{X}(t)|| / X(s) = X(t) = x, \, \Theta(s) = \theta, \, \Theta(t) = \varphi \right] - \\ - P_{X(s), \Theta(s)}(x, \theta) E\left[||\dot{X}X(s)|| / X(s) = x, \, \Theta(t) = \theta \right] \times$$

$$P_{X(t),\Theta(t)}(x, \varphi) \mathbb{E} \left[||\dot{X}(t)|| / X(t) = x, \Theta(t) = \varphi \right],$$

where $P_{X,\Theta}$ denotes the joint density of X and Θ .

Asymptotic for level sets

Then the field $\{Z_{\lambda}(T): T \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$, defined by

$$Z_{\lambda}(T) = \left[\frac{|Q_{\lambda}||T|}{\sigma^2}\right]^{1/2} [F(f, \lambda T) - EF(f, T)],$$

converges weakly to the Wiener process indexed in \mathscr{R}^2 , $\{W(T): T \in \mathscr{R}^2\}$.

Proof. We can define the following discret random field: for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, $Y_i = F(f, T_i) - EF(f, T_i)$. It is not difficult to see that if the field X is strongly mixing, then so is $Y = \{Y_i: j \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}$, and the mixing coefficient of Y is less than $\alpha(k-h)$, where α is the strong mixing coefficient of X and h is the length of the diagonal of T. In fact, if $N \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, because F(f, T) is measurable we have

$$\sigma \{Y_j: j \in N\} \subset \sigma \{X(t): t \in \bigcup_{i \in N} T_j\}$$

and, therefore,

 $\sup \{ |\mathbf{P}(A \cap B) - \mathbf{P}(A) \mathbf{P}(B)| \colon A \in \sigma(N), B \in \sigma(N') \} \leq \alpha \left(p(\bigcup_{i \in N} T_j, \bigcup_{j \in N'} T_j) \right).$

We conclude that Y is strong mixing and its mixing coefficient satisfies (i).

On the other hand,

$$Z_{\lambda}(T) = \frac{|T|^{1/2}}{(|Q_{\lambda}|\sigma^{2})^{1/2}} \sum_{j \in Q_{\lambda}} Y_{j} = (|n|\sigma^{2})^{-1/2} \sum_{-[nt] \leq j \leq [nt]} Y_{j}$$

The last equation results from the change $\lambda - 1 = \lceil nt \rceil$. Accordingly, this suggests making use of a Functional Central Limit Theorem for mixing multiparametric processes.

PROPOSITION 1. Suppose $Y = \{Y_i: j \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ is a strongly mixing centered stationary real random field such that, for some even number $q \ge 2$ and $\delta > 0$,

(i)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{d(3/2)q-1} \alpha^{\delta/(q+\delta)}(k) < \infty$$

(ii)
$$E |Y_0|^{q+\delta} < \infty.$$

(ii)

If σ^2 , defined by Lemma 1, is positive, then, for $t \in T^d$,

$$Z_n(t) = (|n| \sigma^2)^{-1/2} \sum_{0 \le j \le [nt]} Y_j$$

converges weakly to the d-parameter Wiener process $\{W(t): t \in T^d\}$.

Proof. The technics used in this proof are essentially those of Billingsley [1] (Theorem 20.1) in the generalization made by Deo [5] for multiparametric processes. The conditions $EZ_n(t) \rightarrow 0$ and $EZ_n^2(t) \rightarrow |t|$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ are

4 - Probability Vol. 10, Fasc. 1

trivially seen to be satisfied. It remains, therefore, to be proved the uniform integrability of $Z_n^2(t)$ and its tightness.

In order to prove that we will make use of the following property: if the assumptions of Proposition 1 hold, then

(1) $\mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{j \in A} Y_j \right|^q \leqslant K |A|^{q/2}$

for some even integer, where K is a finite constant only depending on q and d, the moments of Y and α [9].

The uniform integrability of $Z_n^2(t)$ is a consequence of the previous property and the following inequality:

$$E(S_n^2|_{|S_n^2| > a}) \leq a^{(2-q)/2} |n|^{-q/2} E|S_n|^q.$$

The tightness condition comes from the following Oscillation Lemma, due to Doukhan-Portal [6]. This lemma is more powerful than the one we require because it gives a precise rate for oscillation, and we need to modify it a little, since originally it is referred for empirical process.

LEMMA 2. With the same assumption of Proposition 1, for $p \in N$ and some $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $p(1-\delta) > d$ we get

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{\sup\left\{|Z_n(s)-Z_n(t)|: ||s-t|| \leq n^{\beta-1}\right\} \geq kn^{-\theta}\right\} \leq kn^{-\theta},$$

where $\theta = [p(1-\beta(1-\delta)) - d(1-\beta)]/(2p+1)$ and k is a constant depending only on p and d, the moments of Y and α . Here $||s|| = \sum_{1 \le j \le d} |s_j|$.

Proof. The proof comes from the inequality

$$\mathbb{E} |S_{[nt]} - S_{[ns]}|^{q} \leq \mathbb{E} \Big| \sum_{[nt] \leq j \leq [ns]} Y_{i} \Big|^{q} \leq K |n|^{q/2} ||t - s||^{q/2}$$

that is a consequence of (1) and the proper definition of $Z_n(t)$. Lemma III.4 of Doukhan-Portal [6] holds trivially in this case and the rest of the proof follows without change.

The proof of Theorem 1 is completed by making use of Proposition 1 (taking d = 2) and noting that $Z_{\lambda}(T)$ can be expressed

$$Z_{\lambda}(T) = (|n| \sigma^{2})^{-1/2} \Big[\sum_{0 \le j \le [nt]} Y_{j} + \sum_{[n(-t)] \le j \le 0} Y_{j} + \sum_{\substack{0 \le j_{1} \le [n_{1}t_{1}] \\ [n_{2}(-t_{2})] \le j_{2} \le 0}} Y_{j} + \sum_{\substack{[n_{1}(-t_{1})] \le j_{1} \le 0 \\ 0 \le j_{2} \le [n_{2}t_{2}]}} Y_{j} \Big]$$

which converges weakly to

$$W(t_1, t_2) + W(-t_1, -t_2) - W(t_1, -t_2) - W(-t_1, t_2) = W(T).$$

4. APPLICATIONS STUDY OF THE VARIANCE σ^2

The expression for the variance σ^2 in Theorem 1 (iii) is a consequence of the Rice formulae for the moments of F(f, T). Cabaña [3] has proved that if the field X has a Jacobian a.s. Lipschitz continuous and the Lipschitz

Asymptotic for level sets

constant does not depend on ω , for every $t \in T$ the probability distribution of X(t) has density $P_{X(t)}$, there is a joint density of X and X and it is continuous, and the function f is non-negative and Lipschitz continuous, then the Rice formulae hold even if they are infinite. Moreover, if X is Gaussian, instead of the first condition one can demand that X and the derivative of its covariance function satisfy a Lipschitz condition in any compact K.

4.1. Gaussian case.

LEMMA 3. Suppose X is a Gaussian field with covariance function Γ . Suppose also X satisfies the assumptions of the previous section. If Γ has two derivatives, and $\Gamma(u)$, $\Gamma(\bar{u})$ and $\Gamma(u)$ tend to zero as $|u| \to \infty$, then the variance has the form (iii) of Theorem 1.

Proof. Making use of Lemma 1, we have

$$|Q_{\lambda}| \operatorname{Var} F(f, \lambda T) = |Q_{\lambda}|^{-1} \operatorname{E} \Big| \sum_{j \in Q_{\lambda}} Y_{j} \Big|^{2} \to \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} \operatorname{E} (Y_{0} Y_{j}) = \sigma^{2}$$

as $|\lambda| \to \infty$ and, therefore, we have to prove that

(2)
$$||Q_{\lambda}| \operatorname{Var} F(f, \lambda T) - \sigma^{2}|$$

$$= \left| |Q_{\lambda}|^{-1} |T|^{-2} \int_{\lambda T \times (\lambda T)'} ds dt \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) d\theta \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\varphi) H_{st}(x, \theta, \varphi) d\varphi \right|$$

tends to zero as $\lambda \to \infty$. Here $(\lambda T)'$ is the complement of λT in \mathbb{R}^2 , and the equation follows from Theorem 2, the expression of the variance σ^2 and stationarity of the field.

In order to prove that we will see that

(A)
$$|P_{X(s),X(t)}(x, x) - P_{X(s)}(x) P_{X(t)}(x)| \to 0$$
 as $p(s, t) \to \infty$

and

(B)
$$|P \{X(s) \in I, X(t) \in J/X(s) = X(t) = x\} - P \{X(s) \in I/X(s) = x\} \times P \{X(t) \in J/X(t) = x\}| \to 0$$

as $p(s, t) \to \infty$, where I and J are both rectangles of \mathbb{R}^2 . The proof will follow from (A) and (B) arguing as in the last part of the proof of Lemma 4.

We can assume without loss of generality that X is normalized in such a way that $\Gamma(0) = -\ddot{\Gamma}(0) = 1$. We see that (B) is less than or equal to

$$|P \{\dot{X}(s) \in I, \dot{X}(t) \in J/X(s) = X(t) = x\} - P \{\dot{X}(s) \in I/X(s) = x\} \times P \{\dot{X}(t) \in J/X(s) = X(t) = x\}| + |P \{\dot{X}(s) \in I/X(s) = X(t) = x\} - P \{\dot{X}(s) \in I/X(s) = x\}| + |P \{\dot{X}(t) \in J/X(s) = X(t) = x\} - P \{\dot{X}(t) \in J/X(t) = x\}|.$$

Since X is Gaussian, X and \dot{X} conditioned by X are Gaussian as well, and we can write the first term as

(b)
$$|\mathbf{P} \{ Z(s) \in I, Z(t) \in J \} - \mathbf{P} \{ Z(s) \in I \} \mathbf{P} \{ Z(t) \in J \} |,$$

where Z(s) and Z(t) are two Gaussian variables with parameters $m_s = -m_t = \Gamma(u) x/(1 + \Gamma(u))$, where u = s - t,

$$\operatorname{Var} Z(s) = \operatorname{Var} Z(t) = 1 + \frac{\Gamma(u) \Gamma'(u)}{1 - \Gamma^{2}(u)},$$

$$\operatorname{cov} (Z(s), Z(t)) = -\ddot{\Gamma}(u) + \frac{\Gamma(u) \dot{\Gamma}(u) \dot{\Gamma}^{t}(u)}{1 - \Gamma^{2}(u)}.$$

If Σ denotes the covariance matrix of the joint distribution of Z(s) and Z(t), we denote by Λ the matrix which coincides with Σ in the diagonal and has zeros as other elements, and by m the column vector which has m_s and m_t as coordinates. Hence (b) can be written as

$$\frac{|(\det \Sigma)^{-1/2}}{2\pi} \int_{I \times J} \exp\{-(y-m)\Sigma^{-1}(y-m)'\}dy - \frac{(\det \Lambda)^{-1/2}}{2\pi} \int_{I \times J} \exp\{-(y-m)\Lambda^{-1}(y-m)'\}dy |$$

$$\leq \left|\frac{(\det \Sigma)^{-1/2} - (\det \Lambda)^{-1/2}}{2\pi}\right| \int_{I \times J} \exp\{-(y-m)\Lambda^{-1}(y-m)'\}dy + \frac{(\det \Sigma)^{-1/2}}{2\pi} \int_{I \times J} |1 - \exp\{-(y-m)[\Sigma^{-1} - \Lambda^{-1}](y-m)'\}|dy.$$

Now according to the assumption and to the form of the variance and covariance, (b) tends to zero as $|u| \rightarrow \infty$.

The other terms have a similar behaviour, since both can be thought as $|P\{Z_1 \in I\} - P\{Z_2 \in J\}|$, where Z_1 and Z_2 are two Gaussian variables with parameters

$$m_1 = \frac{\dot{\Gamma}(u) x}{1 + \Gamma(u)}, \quad m_2 = 0, \quad \text{Var } Z_1 = 1 + \frac{\dot{\Gamma}(u) \dot{\Gamma}(u)}{1 - \Gamma^2(u)}, \quad \text{Var } Z_2 = 1.$$

Finally, (A) is deduced easily from the assumption, since the variables are centered, and the covariance matrix of (X(s), X(t)) has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \Gamma(u) \\ \Gamma(u) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which tends to the identity for $|u| \rightarrow \infty$.

52

4.2. The *-mixing case.

LEMMA 4. Suppose that X satisfies the Cabaña's assumptions (see the beginning of section 4) and also

(ii) $P_{X(t)}(x)$ and $E[||\dot{X}||/X = x]$ are uniformly bounded in a neighbourhood. V of x; then the variance σ^2 has the form (iii) of Theorem 1.

Proof. Like Lemma 3 we have to prove that expression (2) tends to zero as $|\lambda| \to \infty$. This expression is smaller than

$$K^{2}|Q_{\lambda}|^{-1}|T|^{-2}\int_{\lambda T\times(\lambda T)'}dsdt\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|H_{st}(x,\,\theta,\,\varphi)|\,d\theta d\varphi.$$

It is not difficult to see that

$$H_{st}(x, \theta, \varphi) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{-4} \left[E\left[Z_s(x, \theta, \varepsilon) Z_t(x, \varphi, \varepsilon) \right] - EZ_s(x, \theta, \varepsilon) EZ_t(x, \varphi, \varepsilon) \right],$$

where

$$Z_s(x, \theta, \varepsilon) = ||X(s)|| \mathbf{1}_{\{x \leq X(s) \leq x+\varepsilon\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta \leq \Theta(s) \leq \theta+\varepsilon\}}.$$

Since the integrands are positive, an application of Fatou's Lemma and the inequality of moments for *-mixing variables [7] give

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |H_{st}(x, \theta, \varphi)| d\theta d\varphi$$

$$\leq \psi(p(s, t)) \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{-4} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathbb{E} |Z_s(x, \theta, \varepsilon)| d\theta \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathbb{E} |Z_t(x, \varphi, \varepsilon)| d\varphi.$$

Making use of the conditional expectation properties and Fubini's Theorem, we obtain that each integral of the right-hand member of the previous inequality is majorated by $\varepsilon^2 CC'$, where C and C' are bounds of $P_{X(0)}(x)$ and E[||X(s)||/X(s) = x] respectively, both uniform in a neighbourhood of x which contains the interval $[x, x+\varepsilon]$. Hence, substituting in the last expression, the limit in ε disappears and the proof will be completed if we show that

(c)
$$|Q_{\lambda}|^{-1} |T|^{-2} \int_{\lambda T \times (\lambda T)'} \psi(p(s, t)) ds dt \to 0 \text{ as } |\lambda| \to \infty.$$

Let us denote by m_{λ} and M_{λ} the sets

$$m_{\lambda} = \{(s, t) \in \mathbf{R}^{4} \colon s \in \lambda T, t \notin \lambda T, p(s, t) \leq \mu(\lambda)\},\$$
$$M_{\lambda} = \{(s, t) \in \mathbf{R}^{4} \colon s \in \lambda T, t \notin \lambda T, p(s, t) > \mu(\lambda)\},\$$

where $\mu(\lambda) = o[(z\lambda_1 - 1)(z\lambda_2 - 1)]$ and $\mu(\lambda) \to \infty$ as $\lambda \to \infty$. If L is a bound of ψ , then

$$\iint_{m_{\lambda}} \psi(p(s, t)) ds dt \leq L |m_{\lambda}| = L \times o[|Q_{\lambda}||T|].$$

On the other hand,

$$\iint_{n_{\lambda}} \psi(p(s, t)) ds dt = \int_{\lambda T} ds \int_{\{p(s, t) > \mu(\lambda)\}} \psi(p(s, t)) dt = |Q_{\lambda}| |T| \int_{\{|u| > \mu(\lambda)\}} \psi(|u|) du,$$

therefore (c) is less than

$$|Q_{\lambda}|^{-1} |T|^{-2} L \times o [|Q_{\lambda}| |T|] + |T|^{-1} \int_{\{|u| > \mu(\lambda)\}} \psi(|u|) du$$

which tends to zero as $\lambda \to \infty$.

5. EXISTENCE OF THE MOMENT OF F(f, T)

Assumption (ii) in Theorem 1 requires the finiteness of the $q+\delta$ order moment. The Wschebor Theorem for the Rice formula [11] gives conditions for the validity of the formulae and also guarantees their finiteness. However the following lemma, suggested by Wschebor, shows in a geometrical way how the problem of moments existence can be studied without looking at the complicated integrals in the Rice formulae.

Let $T = T_1 \times T_2$ be the Cartesian product of two intervals of **R**. We call 1-section of X determined by t_1 , which will be denoted by $X_{t_1}^1$, the uniparametric process

$$X_{t_1}^1: T_2 \to \mathbf{R}, \quad t \to X_{t_1}^1(t) = X(t_1, t).$$

In the same way we can define $X_{t_2}^2$. Obviously, the 1-sections are all measurable for every t_i (i = 1, 2). Since X is stationary, it is sufficient to consider the *i*-sections X^i determined by $t_i = 0$. If X is a.s. of class C^p , then a.s. every *i*-section is a.s. of class C^p .

In what follows we will take $f \equiv 1$ and write $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{C}_x) = F(1, T)$. Let $N_x^i(T_j)$ be the number of crossing of the process X^i with the level x in the interval T_j , where $i \neq j$, i, j = 1, 2. Moreover, let

$$Z_{p}^{i} = \sup_{t_{j} \in T_{j}} |(X^{i})^{(p)}(t_{j})|.$$

LEMMA 5. Suppose that the field X and its derivative \dot{X} have a.s. continuous paths. Then

$$\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{C}_x) \leq \int_{T_1} N_x^1(T_2) dt_1 + \int_{T_2} N_x^2(T_1) dt_2.$$

54

COROLLARY 1. With the same assumptions

$$\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{C}_x) \right]^p \}^{1/p} \leq |T_1| \{ \mathbb{E} \left[N_x^1(T_1) \right]^p \}^{1/p} + |T_2| \{ \mathbb{E} \left[N_x^2(T_2) \right]^p \}^{1/p}.$$

COROLLARY 2. Suppose that X is a Gaussian field, with covariance function Γ , which is normalized ($\Gamma(0) = - \dot{\Gamma}(0) = 1$). If, for $u, v \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\Gamma((0, u), (0, 0)) = E(X(0, u) X(0, 0)) = 1 + \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{C|u|^3}{6} + o(u^3),$$

$$\Gamma((v, 0), (0, 0)) = E(X(v, 0) X(0, 0)) = 1 + \frac{v^2}{2} + \frac{C'|v|^3}{6} + o(v^3)$$

for u and v in a neighbourhood V of 0 and C and C' positive constants, then $E[\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{C}_x)]^k < \infty$ for every k = 1, 2, ...

Proof. The proof follows from Lemma 5 and Theorem 4.1 in [4].

COROLLARY 3. Suppose X has a.s. class C^p paths $(p \ge 2)$ and (i) For every $t_2 \in T_2$, $(X^2(t_1), \dot{X}^2(t_1))$ has joint density uniformly bounded by C and for every $t_1 \in T_1$, $(X^1(t_2), \dot{X}^1(t_2))$ has joint density uniformly bounded by C.

(ii) Let m < 2p-2 if there is an r > 2m/(2p-2-m) such that $\mathbb{E}|Z_p^i|^r < \infty$ for every p = 1, 2, ... and i = 1, 2.

Then

 $\{ \mathbf{E} \,|\, \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{C}_{\mathbf{x}})|^m \}^{1/m} \leq L_1 \,|\, T_1| \,\{ \mathbf{E} \,|Z_p^2|^r \}^{1/m} + L_2 \,|\, T_2| \,\{ \mathbf{E} \,|Z_p^1|^r \}^{1/m} + L_3 \,< \infty \,,$

where L_1 , L_2 and L_3 depend only on p, m, r, |T| and C.

COROLLARY 4. If X has a.s. class C^{∞} paths, if (i) of Corollary 3 holds and $E|Z_p^i| < \infty$ for every p = 1, 2, ... and i = 1, 2, then

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{C}_{\mathbf{x}})\right]^{m} < \infty \quad \text{for every } m = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proofs of Corollaries 3 and 4 follow from Lemma 4 and Corollaries 3 and 4 of Wschebor [11] (p. 36).

Proof of Lemma 5. The proof is completely geometrical and the same idea can be extended for more general situations (dimension > 2).

Suppose \mathscr{C}_x is a polygonal. Every segment of \mathscr{C}_x has length less than the sum of the lengths of its projections over the coordinates axes. If we take a partition in every interval T_1 and T_2 , the length of every semi-interval contributes to the sum every time the *i*-th section process determined by t_i in the semi-interval, crosses the level x in the interval T_i ($i \neq j$). Therefore

$$\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{C}_{x}) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{N} N_{x}^{1}(T_{2}) [t_{1}^{k} - t_{1}^{k-1}] + \sum_{h=1}^{M} N_{x}^{2}(T_{1}) [t_{2}^{h} - t_{2}^{h-1}],$$

where $\{t_1^0, t_1^1, \ldots, t_1^N\}$ and $\{t_2^0, t_2^1, \ldots, t_2^M\}$ are both partitions of T_1 and T_2 , respectively. Lemma 5 follows by taking the limit when the sizes of the partitions tend to zero.

Acknowledgement. I am greatly indebted to my thesis adviser, Enrique Cabaña, who formulated this problem and suggested many crucial ideas. Also, I would like to thank J. R. León, M. Wschebor and J. Ortega for many helpful discussions. The improvement in section 5 of Wschebor's results were suggested by him. I thank P. Doukhan who gave me invaluable advise in the organization of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Billingsley, Convergence of probability measures, Wiley, New York 1969.
- [2] E. Cabaña, Affine processes, a test of isotropy (to appear in SIAM (1984)).
- [3] Esperanza para integrales sobre conjuntos de nivel aleatorios, Actas del II Congreso Latinoamericano de Probabilidades y Estadística Matemática, 1985, p. 65–82.
- [4] J. Cuzick, Conditions for finite moments of the number of zero crossing for Gaussian processes, Ann. Probab. 5.3 (1975), p. 849–858.
- [5] Ch. M. Deo, A functional central limit theorem for stationary random fields, ibidem 4.3 (1975), p. 708-715.
- [6] P. Doukhan et F. Portal, Principe d'invariance faible pour la fonction de répartition empirique dans un cadre multidimensionnel et mélangeant (to appear).
- [7] P. Doukhan et J. R. León, Quelques notions de mélanges et des exemples de processus mélangeants, Prépublication 85 T20, Université Paris-Sud, Orsay 1985.
- [8] R. M. Dudley, Sample functions of the Gaussian processes, No. 1, Vol. 1 (1973), p. 66-103.
- [9] X. Guyon et S. Richardson, Vitesse de convergence du théorème de la limite centrale pour des champs faiblement dépendants, Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete 66 (1984), p. 297-314.
- [10] M. Wschebor, Formule de Rice en dimension d, ibidem 60 (1982), p. 393-401.
- [11] Surfaces aléatoires. Mesures géométriques des ensembles de niveau, Lecture Notes in Math. 1147 (1985), Springer.

Departamento de Matematicas

Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas (IVIC) Apartado 21201 Caracas 1020-A Venezuela

Received on 24. 9. 1987