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#### Abstract

In this paper we study set valued random processes in discrete time and with values in a separable Banach space. We start with set valued martingales and prove various convergence and regularity results. Then we turn our attention to larger classes of set valued processes. So we introduce and study set valued amarts and set valued martingales in the limit. Finally, we prove a useful property of the set valued conditional expectation.


1. Introduction. In this paper we expand the work initiated in [26]-[28] where we studied properties of the set valued conditional expectation and proved various convergence theorems for set valued martingales and martin-gale-like processes, with values in a separable Banach space.

Set valued random variables (random sets) have been studied recently by many authors. We refer to the interesting works of Alo et al. [1], Bagchi [5], Costé [10], Hiai [15], Hiai and Umegaki [16] and Luu [20] for details. Furthermore, it was illustrated by the recent works of deKorvin and Kleyle [18] and the author [29] that the theory of set valued martingale-like processes is the natural tool in the study of certain problems in the theory of information systems (see [18]) and in mathematical economics (see [29]). Further applications can be found in the works of Artstein and Hart [2] and Giné et al. [13].

In this paper, starting from the notion of a set valued martingale, we then proceed and define broader classes of set valued random processes (set valued quasimartingales, set valued amarts and set valued martingales - in the limit) for which we prove various convergence results. Briefly, the structure of this paper is as follows. In the next section we establish our notation and recall some basic definitions and facts from the theory of measurable multifunctions (random sets) and set valued measures (multimeasures). In Section 3, we concentrate on set valued martingales and prove various convergence and regularity results for them. In Section 4, we study various real-valued processes related to a set valued martingale. Sections 5 and 6 are devoted to
extensions of the notion of a set valued martingale. So in Section 5 we introduce and study set valued amarts, while in Section 6 we study set valued martingales - in the limit. Finally, in Section 7 we prove an interesting property of the set valued conditional expectation.
2. Preliminaries. Throughout this work, $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ will be a complete probability space and $X$ a separable Banach space. Additional hypotheses will be introduced as needed. We will be using the following notation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{f(c)}(X)=\{A \subseteq X: \text { nonempty, closed (convex) }\} \\
P_{(w) k(c)}(X)=\{A \subseteq X: \text { nonempty, (w -)compact (convex) }\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Also, if $A \in 2^{X} \backslash\{\emptyset\}$, by $|A|$ we will denote the "norm" of $A$, i.e.,

$$
|A|=\sup \{\|x\|: x \in A\}
$$

by $\sigma(\cdot, A)$ the "support function" of $A$, i.e.,

$$
\sigma\left(x^{*}, A\right)=\sup \left\{\left(x^{*}, x\right): x \in A\right\}, \quad x^{*} \in X^{*}
$$

and by $d(\cdot, A)$ the "distance function" from $A$, i.e.,

$$
d(z, A)=\inf \{\|z-x\|: x \in A\}
$$

A multifunction $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f}(X)$ is said to be measurable if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:
(a) for every $z \in X, \omega \rightarrow d(z, F(\omega))$ is measurable;
(b) there exist measurable functions $f_{n}: \Omega \rightarrow X$ such that $F(\omega)=\operatorname{cl}\left\{f_{n}(\omega)\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$, $\omega \in \Omega$;
(c) $\operatorname{Gr} F=\{(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times X: x \in F(\omega)\} \in \Sigma \times B(X), B(X)$ being the Borel $\sigma$-field of $X$ (graph measurability).

More details on the measurability of multifunctions can be found in the survey paper of Wagner [35].

By $S_{F}^{1}$ we will denote the set of integrable selectors of $F(\cdot)$. So we have

$$
S_{F}^{1}=\left\{f(\cdot) \in L^{1}(X): f(\omega) \in F(\omega) \mu \text {-a.e. }\right\}
$$

Having $S_{F}^{1}$ we can define a set valued integral for $F(\cdot)$ by setting

$$
\int_{\Omega} F=\left\{\int_{\Omega} f: f \in S_{F}^{1}\right\} .
$$

Note that $S_{F}^{1}$ (and so $\int_{\Omega} F$ too) may be empty. It is easy to show that $S_{F}^{1}$ is nonempty if and only if $\inf \{\|x\|: x \in F(\omega)\} \in L_{+}^{1}$. We will say that a multifunction $F(\cdot)$ is integrably bounded if and only if $\omega \rightarrow|F(\omega)|$ is an $L_{+}^{1}$-function. In this case then $S_{F}^{1} \neq \varnothing$.

Let $\Sigma_{0} \subseteq \Sigma$ be a sub- $\sigma$-field of $\Sigma$ and let $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f}(X)$ be a measurable multifunction such that $S_{F}^{1} \neq \emptyset$. Following Hiai and Umegaki [16], we define
the set valued conditional expectation of $F(\cdot)$ with respect to $\Sigma_{0}$ to be the $\Sigma_{0}$-measurable multifunction $E^{\Sigma_{0}} F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f}(X)$ for which we have

$$
S_{E^{\Sigma_{0}}}^{1}=\operatorname{cl}\left\{E^{\Sigma_{0}} f: f \in S_{F}^{1}\right\} \quad \text { (the closure in the } L^{1}(X) \text {-norm). }
$$

If $F(\cdot)$ is integrably bounded (resp. convex valued), then so is $E^{\Sigma_{0}} F(\cdot)$. Note that in [16] the definition was given for integrably bounded $F(\cdot)$. However, it is clear that it can be extended to the more general class of multifunctions $F(\cdot)$ used here.

Let $\left\{\Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ be an increasing sequence of sub- $\sigma$-fields of $\Sigma$ such that

$$
\sigma\left(\bigcup_{n \geqslant 1} \Sigma_{n}\right)=\Sigma .
$$

Let $F_{n}: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f}(X), n \geqslant 1$, be measurable multifunctions adapted to $\left\{\Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$. We say that $\left\{F_{n}, \Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is a set valued martingale (resp. supermartingale, submartingale) if for every $n \geqslant 1$ we have

$$
E^{\Sigma_{n}} F_{n+1}(\omega)=F_{n}(\omega) \mu \text {-a.e. }
$$

(resp. $E^{\Sigma_{n}} F_{n+1}(\omega) \subseteq F_{n}(\omega) \mu$-a.e., $E^{\Sigma_{n}} F_{n+1}(\omega) \supseteq F_{n}(\omega) \mu$-a.e.).
On $P_{f}(X)$ we can define a (generalized) metric, known as the Hausdorff metric, by setting

$$
h(A, B)=\max \{\sup (d(a, B): a \in A), \sup (d(b, A): b \in B)\} .
$$

Recall that $\left(P_{f}(X), h\right)$ is a complete metric space. Similarly, on the space of all $P_{f}(X)$-valued, integrably bounded multifunctions, we can define a metric $\Delta(\cdot, \cdot)$ by setting

$$
\Delta(F, G)=\int_{\Omega} h(F(\omega), G(\omega)) d \mu(\omega) .
$$

As usual we identify $F_{1}(\cdot)$ and $F_{2}(\cdot)$ if $F_{1}(\omega)=F_{2}(\omega) \mu-$ a.e. Again, the space of $P_{f}(X)$-valued, integrably bounded multifunctions together with $\Delta(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a complete metric space.

Next, let us recall a few basic definitions and facts from the theory of set valued measures. A set valued measure (multimeasure) is a map $M: \Sigma \rightarrow 2^{X} \backslash\{\varnothing\}$ such that $M(\varnothing)=\{0\}$ and for $\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1} \subseteq \Sigma$ pairwise disjoint we have

$$
M\left(\bigcup_{n \geqslant 1} A_{n}\right)=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} M\left(A_{n}\right) .
$$

Depending on the way we interpret this last sum, we get different notions of multimeasures. So we say that $M(\cdot)$ is a normal multimeasure if

$$
h\left(M(A), \sum_{k=1}^{n} M\left(A_{k}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

where $h(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the Hausdorff metric on $P_{f}(X)$. Also we say that $M(\cdot)$ is a weak multimeasure if, for all $x^{*} \in X^{*}, A \rightarrow \sigma\left(x^{*}, M(A)\right)$ is a signed measure. However, when $M(\cdot)$ is $P_{\text {wkc }}(X)$-valued, then all of them are equivalent. This result is the set valued version of the Orlicz-Pettis theorem (see [14]). Since we will be dealing only with $P_{w k c}(X)$-valued multimeasures, we can say that $M(\cdot)$ is a set valued measure if, for all $x^{*} \in X^{*}, A \rightarrow \sigma\left(x^{*}, M(A)\right)$ is a signed measure.

We will close this section by recalling the notions of convergence of sets that we will be using in the sequel. So if $\left\{A_{n}, A\right\}_{n \geqslant 1} \subseteq 2^{X} \backslash\{\varnothing\}$, we set

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{s}-\varliminf A_{n}=\left\{x \in X: x_{n} \stackrel{\mathrm{~s}}{\longrightarrow} x, x_{n} \in A_{n}, n \geqslant 1\right\}, \\
\mathrm{w}-\varlimsup A_{n}=\left\{x \in X: x_{k} \stackrel{\mathrm{w}}{\longrightarrow} x, x_{k} \in A_{n_{k}}, k \geqslant 1\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

We say that the $A_{n}$ 's converge to $A$ in the Kuratowski-Mosco sense, denoted by

$$
A_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{M}} A,
$$

if $\mathrm{w}-\overline{\lim } A_{n}=A=\mathrm{s}-\underline{\lim } A_{n}$. When $X$ is finite dimensional, the weak and strong topologies coincide and then the Kuratowski-Mosco convergence of sets is the well-known Kuratowski convergence denoted by $A_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~K}} A$ (see Kuratowski [19] and Mosco [22]). We say that $A_{n} \xrightarrow{h} A$ if $h\left(A_{n}, A\right) \rightarrow 0$. Finally, $A_{n} \xrightarrow{w} A$ if, for all $x^{*} \in X^{*}, \sigma\left(x^{*}, A_{n}\right) \rightarrow \sigma\left(x^{*}, A\right)$.
3. Set valued martingales. We start with a regularity result for set valued martingales. Our result generalizes Theorem 6.5 of Hiai and Umegaki [16], since we drop the separability hypothesis on $X^{*}$.

In the sequel $\left\{\Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ will be an increasing sequence of complete sub- $\sigma$-fields of $\Sigma$ such that

$$
\Sigma=\sigma\left(\bigcup_{n \geqslant 1} \Sigma_{n}\right) .
$$

Recall that $X$ is always a separable Banach space.
A sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1} \subseteq L^{1}(X)$ such that $\left\{f_{n}, \Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is a martingale and, for each $n \geqslant 1, f_{n}(\cdot)$ is a selector of $F_{n}(\cdot)$, where $\left\{F_{n}(\cdot)\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is a sequence of $P_{f c}(X)$-valued, integrably bounded multifunctions, is said to be a martingale selector of $\left\{F_{n}(\cdot)\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ and is denoted by $\left\langle f_{n}\right\rangle \in \operatorname{MS}\left(F_{n}\right)$.

Theorem 3.1. If $X$ has the R.N.P. and $F_{n}: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f c}(X)$ are $\Sigma_{n}$-measurable multifunctions such that
(1) $\left\{F_{n}, \Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is a set valued martingale,
(2) $\left\{\left|F_{n}\right|\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is uniformly integrable, then there exists $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f c}(X)$ integrably bounded and such that

$$
E^{\Sigma_{n}} F(\omega)=F_{n}(\omega) \mu \text {-a.e., } \quad n \geqslant 1 .
$$

Proof. Let $M \subseteq L^{1}(X)$ be defined by $M=\left\{f \in L^{1}(X): E^{\Sigma_{n}} f \in S_{F_{n}}^{1}, n \geqslant 1\right\}$. As in the proof of Theorem 6.5 of [16], we can show that $M$ is a closed, convex, bounded and decomposable subset of $L^{1}(X)$ (to get these properties no separability of $X^{*}$ is needed). Then combining Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and Corollary 1.6 of [16], we get $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f c}(X)$ integrably bounded and such that $M=S_{F}^{1}$. Our claim is that this is the desired $F(\cdot)$.

From Luu [20] we know that

$$
S_{F_{k}}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{k}\right)=\operatorname{cl}\left\{f_{k}:\left\langle f_{n}\right\rangle \in \operatorname{MS}\left(F_{n}\right)\right\}, \quad k \geqslant 1 .
$$

Let $f \in S_{F}^{1}$. Then

$$
\left\langle E^{\Sigma_{n}} f\right\rangle \in \mathrm{MS}\left(F_{n}\right) \Rightarrow \overline{E^{\Sigma_{n}}} S_{F}^{1}=S_{E^{\Sigma_{n}}}^{1} \subseteq S_{F_{n} .}^{1}
$$

On the other hand, given $\left\langle f_{n}\right\rangle \in \operatorname{MS}\left(F_{n}\right)$, since $X$ has the R.N.P., there exists $f \in L^{1}(X)$ such that

$$
E^{\Sigma_{n}} f=f_{n} \Rightarrow f \in M \Rightarrow S_{F_{n}}^{1} \subseteq S_{E^{\Sigma_{n}}}^{1} .
$$

Therefore we conclude that

$$
S_{F_{n}}^{1}=S_{E^{2_{n}} F}^{1} \Rightarrow F_{n}(\omega)=E^{\Sigma_{n}} F(\omega) \mu \text { - a.e. q.e.d. }
$$

We can relax the R.N.P. assumption on $X$ by imposing additional hypotheses on the random sets $F_{n}(\cdot), n \geqslant 1$.

Theorem 3.2. If $F_{n}: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f c}(X)$ are $\Sigma_{n}$-measurable multifunctions such that
(1) $\left\{F_{n}, \Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is a set valued martingale,
(2) $F_{n}(\omega) \subseteq G(\omega) \mu$-a.e. with $G: \Omega \rightarrow P_{w k c}(X)$ integrably bounded, then there exists a measurable multifunction $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f c}(X)$ such that $F(\omega) \subseteq G(\omega) \mu$-a.e. and $E^{\Sigma_{n}} F(\omega)=F_{n}(\omega) \mu$-a.e., $n \geqslant 1$.

Proof. Let $M \subseteq L^{1}(X)$ be as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. We saw that $M=S_{F}^{1}$ with $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f c}(X)$ integrably bounded. Also for all $f \in S_{F}^{1}$, from the definition of $M$ we have $E^{\Sigma_{n}} f(\omega) \in F_{n}(\omega) \subseteq G(\omega) \mu$-a.e. From Proposition V-2-6 of Neveu [24] we know that

$$
E^{\Sigma_{n}} f(\omega) \xrightarrow{\text { s }} f(\omega) \mu \text {-a.e. } \Rightarrow f(\omega) \in G(\omega) \mu \text {-a.e. } \Rightarrow F(\omega) \subseteq G(\omega) \mu \text {-a.e. }
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, through Luu's representation result [20], we get

$$
S_{E^{\Sigma_{n}}}^{1} \subseteq S_{F_{n}}^{1}
$$

On the other hand, given $\left\{g_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1} \in \operatorname{MS}\left(F_{n}\right)$, from Proposition 4.4 of Chatterji [9] we know that $g_{n}(\omega) \stackrel{\mathrm{s}}{\rightarrow} g(\omega) \mu$-a.e., $g \in L^{1}(X)$. Note that $g_{n}=E^{\Sigma_{n}} g$ (see Metivier [21], p. 62). So since

$$
S_{F_{k}}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{k}\right)=\operatorname{cl}\left\{f_{k}:\left\langle f_{n}\right\rangle \in \operatorname{MS}\left(F_{n}\right)\right\}
$$

(see Luu [20]), we have

$$
S_{F_{n}}^{1} \subseteq S_{E^{\Sigma_{n}}}^{1} \Rightarrow S_{F_{n}}^{1}=S_{E^{\Sigma_{n}}}^{1} \Rightarrow F_{n}(\omega)=E^{\Sigma_{n}} F(\omega) \mu \text { - a.e. q.e.d. }
$$

Having those regularity results, we can now prove a convergence theorem for set valued martingales.

Theorem 3.3. If $X$ has the R.N.P., $X^{*}$ is separable and $F_{n}: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f c}(X)$ are $\Sigma_{n}$-measurable multifunctions such that
(1) $\left\{F_{n}, \Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n} \geqslant 1$ is a set valued martingale,
(2) $\left|F_{n}(\omega)\right| \leqslant \phi(\omega) \mu$-a.e., $\phi(\cdot) \in L_{+}^{1}$,
then $F_{n}(\omega) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{M}} F(\omega) \mu$-a.e.
Proof. From Theorem 3.1 we know that there exists $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f c}(X)$ $\Sigma$-measurable and integrably bounded by $\phi(\cdot)$ and such that $E^{\Sigma_{n}} F(\omega)=F_{n}(\omega)$ $\mu$ - a.e. Then for $f \in S_{F}^{1}$ we have $E^{\Sigma_{n}} f \in S_{F_{n}}^{1}, n \geqslant 1$. From Proposition V-2-6 of Neveu [24] we have $E^{\Sigma_{n}} f(\omega) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{s}} f(\omega) \mu$-a.e. Hence we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\omega) \subseteq \mathrm{s}-\underline{\lim } F_{n}(\omega) \mu \text {-a.e. } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from Proposition 1.4 of Luu [20] we know that there exists $\left\langle f_{n}^{k}\right\rangle \in \operatorname{MS}\left(F_{n}\right), k \geqslant 1$, such that for all $n \geqslant 1, F_{n}(\omega)=\operatorname{cl}\left\{f_{n}^{k}(\omega)\right\}_{k \geqslant 1}$. Then, given $x^{*} \in X^{*}$, we have

$$
\sigma\left(x^{*}, F_{n}(\omega)\right)=\sup _{k \geqslant 1}\left(x^{*}, f_{n}^{k}(\omega)\right) .
$$

But note that $\left\{\left(x^{*}, f_{n}^{k}(\cdot)\right), \Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is an $\boldsymbol{R}$-valued martingale and

$$
\sup _{n \geqslant 1} \int_{\Omega} \sup _{k \geqslant 1}\left(x^{*}, f_{n}^{k}(\omega)\right)^{+} d \mu(\omega) \leqslant\left\|x^{*}\right\| \sup _{n \geqslant 1} \int_{\Omega}\left|F_{n}\right|<\infty .
$$

Also from Corollary 11.8 of Metivier [21] we know that there exist $f^{k} \in L^{1}(X)$ such that

$$
E^{\Sigma_{n}} f^{k}=f_{n}^{k} \Rightarrow f^{k} \in S_{F}^{1}
$$

Apply Lemma V-2-9 of Neveu [24] to get

$$
\sup _{k \geqslant 1}\left(x^{*}, f_{n}^{k}(\omega)\right) \rightarrow \sup _{k \geqslant 1}\left(x^{*}, f^{k}(\omega)\right), \quad \omega \in \Omega \backslash N\left(x^{*}\right), \mu\left(N\left(x^{*}\right)\right)=0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty,
$$

which implies

$$
\overline{\lim } \sigma\left(x^{*}, F_{n}(\omega)\right) \leqslant \sigma\left(x^{*}, F(\omega)\right), \quad \omega \in \Omega \backslash N\left(x^{*}\right), \mu\left(N\left(x^{*}\right)\right)=0
$$

Given that $X^{*}$ is separable and $\left|F_{n}(\omega)\right| \leqslant \phi(\omega) \mu$-a.e. for all $n \geqslant 1$, a simple density argument gives us

$$
\overline{\lim } \sigma\left(x^{*}, F_{n}(\omega)\right) \leqslant \sigma\left(x^{*}, F(\omega)\right) \mu \text {-a.e. }
$$

From Proposition 4.1 of [31] we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}-\varlimsup{ }_{\mathrm{l}}^{n}(\omega) \subseteq F(\omega) \mu \text {-a.e. } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (1) and (2) above, we conclude that

$$
F_{n}(\omega) \xrightarrow{K-M} F(\omega) \mu \text {-a.e., q.e.d. }
$$

We can have the same convergence result, but with the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.4. If the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 hold, then there exists $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f c}(X)$ integrably bounded and such that

$$
F(\omega) \subseteq G(\omega) \mu \text {-a.e. } \quad \text { and } \quad F_{n}(\omega) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{M}} F(\omega) \mu \text {-a.e. }
$$

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Theorem 3.3, using this time Theorem 3.2. Also instead of Corollary 11.8 of Metivier [21] (which requires $X$ to have the R.N.P.), we use Proposition 4.4 of Chatterji [9] and Corollary 2, p. 126, of Diestel and Uhl [12]. Finally, note that there exists $\left\{x_{m}^{*}\right\}_{m} \geqslant_{1} \subseteq X^{*}$ which is dense in $X^{*}$ for the Mackey topology $m\left(X^{*}, X\right)$ and recall that the support function of weakly compact, convex set is $m\left(X^{*}, X\right)$-continuous, q.e.d.

Remark. Under stronger hypotheses, Daures [11] and Neveu [25] proved convergence in the metric $\Delta(\cdot, \cdot)$.

If $X$ is finite dimensional, then we have the following convergence result:
Corollary I. If the hypotheses of Theorem 3.3 hold, then there exists $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f c}(X)$ integrably bounded and such that

$$
F_{n}(\omega) \xrightarrow{h} F(\omega) \mu \text {-a.e. }
$$

Proof. The corollary follows from Theorem 3.3 above and Corollary 3A of Salinetti and Wets [33], q.e.d.

Remark. A more general finite-dimensional convergence result can be found in van Cutsem [34]. The result of van Cutsem was extended to set valued quasimartingales by the author in [28] (Theorem 2.3).

Another consequence of the convergence theorems is the following result:
Corollary II. If the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 hold, then there exists $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f c}(X)$ measurable and such that

$$
F(\omega) \subseteq G(\omega) \mu \text {-a.e. } \quad \text { and } \quad S_{P_{n}}^{1} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{M}} S_{F}^{1} .
$$

Proof. The corollary follows from Theorem 3.4 above and Theorem 4.4 of [31], q.e.d.
4. $\mathbb{R e l a t e d} \mathbb{R}$-valued processes. In this section we examine certain $\mathbb{R}$-valued processes associated with a set valued martingale.

THEOREM 4.1. If $F_{n}: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f c}(X)$ are $\Sigma_{n}$-measurable multifunctions such that
(1) $\left\{F_{n}, \Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is a set valued martingale,
(2) $\sup _{p}\left\|F_{n}\right\|_{1}<\infty$, then there exists $\phi(\cdot) \in L_{+}^{1}$ such that $\left|F_{n}(\omega)\right| \rightarrow \phi(\omega) \mu$-a.e.

Proof. From Proposition 1.4 of Luu [20] we know that there exists $\left\langle f_{n}^{k}\right\rangle \in \operatorname{MS}\left(F_{n}\right), k \geqslant 1$, such that $F_{n}(\omega)=\operatorname{cl}\left\{f_{n}^{k}(\omega)\right\}_{k \geqslant 1} \mu$-a.e. Then we have

$$
\left|F_{n}(\omega)\right|=\sup _{k \geqslant 1}\left\|f_{n}^{k}(\omega)\right\| \mu \text {-a.e. }
$$

Note that, for all $k \geqslant 1, E^{\Sigma_{n}}\left\|f_{n+1}^{k}(\omega)\right\| \geqslant\left\|E^{\Sigma_{n}} f_{n+1}^{k}(\omega)\right\|=\left\|f_{n}^{k}(\omega)\right\| \mu$-a.e. So we see that, for every $k \geqslant 1,\left\{\left\|f_{n}^{k}(\cdot)\right\|, \Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is a submartingale and

$$
\sup _{n \geqslant 1} \int_{\Omega} \sup _{k \geqslant 1}\left\|f_{n}^{k}(\omega)\right\| d \mu(\omega)=\sup _{n \geqslant 1} \int_{\Omega}\left|F_{n}(\omega)\right| d \mu(\omega)<\infty .
$$

So we can apply Lemma V-2-9 of Neveu [24] and we infer that there exists $\phi(\cdot) \in L_{+}^{1}$ such that

$$
\sup _{k \geqslant 1}\left\|f_{n}^{k}(\omega)\right\|=\left|F_{n}(\omega)\right| \rightarrow \phi(\omega) \mu \text {-a.e., q.e.d. }
$$

Another $\boldsymbol{R}$-valued, martingale-like process associated to $\left\{F_{n}(\cdot)\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is that of the distance functions. Namely, we have

Theorem 4.2. If $F_{n}: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f}(X)$ are $\Sigma_{n}$-measurable multifunctions such that
(1) $\left\{F_{n}, \Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n} \geqslant 1$ is a set valued martinagle,
(2) $\sup _{n \geqslant 1}\left\|\left|F_{n}\right|\right\|_{1}<\infty$,
then, given any $z \in X,\left\{d\left(z, F_{n}(\cdot)\right), \Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is a submartingale which converges a.e. to a function $\psi(\cdot) \in L_{+}^{1}$.

Proof. Let $g \in S_{F}^{1}$. Note that $E^{\Sigma_{n-1}}\|z-g(\omega)\| \geqslant\left\|z-E^{\Sigma_{n-1}} g(\omega)\right\| \mu$-a.e. From the definition of the set valued conditional expectation we see that $E^{\Sigma_{n-1}} g \in S_{E^{\Sigma_{n-1}}{ }_{F_{n}}}^{1}$. So we can write that

$$
E^{\Sigma_{n-1}}\|z-g(\omega)\| \geqslant d\left(z, E^{\Sigma_{n-1}} F_{n}(\omega)\right) \mu \text { - a.e. }
$$

Hence for all $A \in \Sigma_{n-1}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{A} E^{\Sigma_{n-1}}\|z-g(\omega)\| d \mu(\omega)=\int_{A}\|z-g(\omega)\| d \mu(\omega) \geqslant \int_{A} d\left(z, E^{\Sigma_{n-1}} F_{n}(\omega)\right) d \mu(\omega) \\
& \Rightarrow \inf \left\{\int_{A}\|z-g(\omega)\| d \mu(\omega): g \in S_{F_{n}}^{1}\right\} \geqslant \int_{A} d\left(z, E^{\Sigma_{n-1}} F_{n}(\omega)\right) d \mu(\omega) \\
& \Rightarrow \int_{A x \in F_{n}(\omega)}\|z-x\| d \mu(\omega)=\int_{A} d\left(z, F_{n}(\omega)\right) d \mu(\omega) \geqslant \int_{A} d\left(z, E^{\Sigma_{n-1}} F_{n}(\omega)\right) d \mu(\omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{A} E^{\Sigma_{n-1}} h\left(F_{n}(\omega), G_{n}(\omega)\right) d \mu(\omega) \\
& \quad \geqslant \int_{A\left\|x^{*}\right\| \leqslant 1}\left|\sigma\left(x^{*}, E^{\Sigma_{n-1}} F_{n}(\omega)\right)-\sigma\left(x^{*}, E^{\Sigma_{n-1}} G_{n}(\omega)\right)\right| d \mu(\omega) \\
& \quad=\int_{A} h\left(E^{\Sigma_{n-1}} F_{n}(\omega), E^{\Sigma_{n-1}} G_{n}(\omega)\right) d \mu(\omega)=\int_{A} h\left(F_{n-1}(\omega), G_{n-1}(\omega)\right) d \mu(\omega),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
E^{\Sigma_{n-1}} h\left(F_{n}(\omega), G_{n}(\omega)\right) \geqslant h\left(F_{n-1}(\omega), G_{n-1}(\omega)\right) \mu \text { - a.e. }
$$

and this proves that $\left\{h\left(F_{n}(\cdot), G_{n}(\cdot)\right), \Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is a submartingale.
Since

$$
\sup _{n \geqslant 1} \int_{\Omega} h\left(F_{n}(\omega), G_{n}(\omega)\right) d \mu(\omega) \leqslant \sup _{n \geqslant 1} \int_{\Omega}\left|F_{n}(\omega)\right|+\sup _{n \geqslant 1 \Omega} \int_{n}\left|G_{n}(\omega)\right|<\infty,
$$

from Doob's theorem we see that there exists $\eta(\cdot) \in L_{+}^{1}$ such that $h\left(F_{n}(\omega), G_{n}(\omega)\right) \rightarrow \eta(\omega) \mu$-a.e., q.e.d.

Remark. Note that if for all $n \geqslant 1$ and all $\omega \in \Omega, G_{n}(\omega)=\{0\}$, then $h\left(F_{n}(\omega), G_{n}(\omega)\right)=\left|F_{n}(\omega)\right|$, and so Theorem 4.3 produces Theorem 4.1 as a special case, with the additional hypothesis that $X^{*}$ is separabie.
5. Set valued amarts. In this section, we turn our attention to a larger class of set valued processes, namely we examine set valued amarts.

Following Bagchi [5] and in the single valued case Bellow [6], we say that a sequence of multifunctions $F_{n}: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f c}(X)$ adapted to $\left\{E_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is a set valued amart if there exists $K \in P_{f c}(X)$ such that

$$
\lim _{\tau \in T} h\left(\int_{\Omega} F_{\tau}, K\right)=0
$$

where $T$ is the set of bounded stopping times. Note that $T$ with the usual pointwise ordering $\leqslant$ is a directed set filtering to the right. Clearly, a set valued martingale is a set valued amart.

We start with a convergence theorem that partially extends Theorem 2.2 of Bagchi [5]. In that theorem, Bagchi considered a broader class of set valued processes, which he called $\mathrm{w}^{*}$ - amarts, which however take values in a separable, dual Banach space. Here we restrict ourselves to the smaller class of set valued amarts, but we drop the requirement that they take their values in a dual Banach space.

Theorem 5.1. If both $X$ and $X^{*}$ are separable, $X$ has the R.N.P. and $F_{n}: \Omega \rightarrow P_{w k c}(X)$ are $\Sigma_{n}$-measurable multifunctions such that
(1) $\left\{F_{n}, \Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is a set valued amart with $\Delta$-limit $K \in P_{w k c}(X)$,
(2) $\sup _{\tau \in T} \int_{\Omega}\left|F_{\tau}\right|<\infty$ (i.e. $\left\{F_{n}, \Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is of class B),
then there exists $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f c}(X)$ integrably bounded such that $F_{n}(\omega) \xrightarrow{w} F(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega \backslash N, \mu(\dot{N})=0$.

Proof. We claim that for fixed $k \geqslant 1$ and all $A \in \Sigma_{k}$ the $h$ - $\operatorname{limit} h-\lim _{\tau \in T} \int_{A} F_{\tau}$ exists in $P_{f c}(X)$. So let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Then there exists $\sigma_{0} \in T, \sigma_{0} \geqslant k$, such that if $\sigma, \tau \in T\left(\sigma_{0}\right)=\left\{\sigma^{\prime} \in T: \sigma_{0} \leqslant \sigma^{\prime}\right\}$, then

$$
h\left(\int_{\Omega} F_{\sigma}, \int_{\Omega} F_{\tau}\right)<\varepsilon .
$$

Let $\sigma, \tau \in T\left(\sigma_{0}\right)$ and define $\hat{\sigma}, \hat{\tau}$ as follows: Let $n_{1}>\max (\sigma, \tau)$ and set $\hat{\sigma}=\sigma$, $\hat{\tau}=\tau$ on $A$, while $\hat{\sigma}=\hat{\tau}=n_{1}$ on $A^{\mathrm{c}}$. It is easy to see that $\hat{\sigma}, \hat{\tau} \in T$ and

$$
h\left(\int_{A} F_{\sigma}, \int_{A} F_{\tau}\right)=h\left(\int_{\Omega} F_{\hat{\sigma}}, \int_{\Omega} F_{\hat{\imath}}\right)<\varepsilon .
$$

So $\lim _{\tau \in T} \int_{A} F_{\tau}$ exists in $\left(P_{f c}(X), h\right)$ and the convergence is uniform in $A \in \Sigma_{k}$. Since $k \geqslant 1$ was arbitrary, we deduce that the above $h$-limit exists for all $A \in \bigcup_{k \geqslant 1} \Sigma_{k}$. Recall that

$$
\Sigma=\sigma\left(\bigcup_{k \geqslant 1} \Sigma_{k}\right),
$$

i.e., $\Sigma$ is generated by $\bigcup_{k \geqslant 1} \Sigma_{k}$. So given $A \in \Sigma$, there exists $A^{\prime} \in \bigcup_{k \geqslant 1} \Sigma_{k}$ such that $\mu\left(A \Delta A^{\prime}\right)<\varepsilon$. Then

$$
h\left(\int_{A} F_{\tau}, \int_{A^{*}} F_{\tau}\right)<\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u
$$

where as in Chacon and Sucheston [8], p. 57, we may assume, without any loss of generality, that

$$
\sup _{n \geqslant 1}\left|F_{n}(\omega)\right| \leqslant u(\omega) \mu \text {-a.e., } \quad u(\cdot) \in L_{+}^{1} .
$$

Then, using the triangle inequality, it is easy to check that $h-\lim \int F_{\tau}$ exists for all $A \in \Sigma$. Set (see [26])

$$
M_{\tau}(A)=\int_{A} F_{\tau} \in P_{w k c}(X) \quad \text { and } \quad M(A)=h-\lim _{\tau \in T} \int_{A} F_{\tau} .
$$

Then $\sigma\left(x^{*}, M_{\tau}(A)\right) \rightarrow \sigma\left(x^{*}, M(A)\right)$ uniformly on the unit ball $B^{*}$ in $X^{*}$. But $x^{*} \rightarrow \sigma\left(x^{*}, M_{\tau}(A)\right)$ is a signed measure. So by Nikodym's theorem $A \rightarrow \sigma\left(x^{*}, M(A)\right)$ is also signed measure. Also, by hypothesis (2), $M(\Omega) \in P_{\text {wke }}(X)$, while we saw that $M(A) \in P_{f c}(X)$ for all $A \in \Sigma$. Since

$$
M(\Omega)=\overline{M(A)+M(\Omega \backslash A)}
$$

we deduce that $M(A) \in P_{w k c}(X)$ for all $A \in \Sigma$. Hence $M(\cdot)$ is a set valued measure
with values in $P_{w k c}(X)$. Apply Theorem 2 of Costé [10] to get $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f c}(X)$ integrably bounded and such that $M(A)=\int_{A} F$ for all $A \in \Sigma$. Now note that, for fixed $x^{*} \in X^{*}$, the process $\left\{\sigma\left(x^{*}, F_{n}(\cdot)\right), \Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is an $L^{1}$-bounded, real amart. From Theorem 2 of Austin et al. [4] and since

$$
\sigma\left(x^{*}, M_{n}(A)\right) \rightarrow \sigma\left(x^{*}, M(A)\right)=\int_{A} \sigma\left(x^{*}, F(\omega)\right) d \mu(\omega)
$$

we see that $\sigma\left(x^{*}, F_{n}(\omega)\right) \rightarrow \sigma\left(x^{*}, F(\omega)\right)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega \backslash N\left(x^{*}\right), \mu\left(N\left(x^{*}\right)\right)=0$. Let $\left\{x_{m}^{*}\right\}_{m \geqslant 1}$ be dense in $X^{*}$ and set

$$
N=\bigcup_{m \geqslant 1} N\left(x_{m}^{*}\right),
$$

for which clearly we have $\mu(N)=0$. Given $x^{*} \in X^{*}$, we can find $\left\{x_{k}^{*}\right\}_{k \geqslant 1}$ $\subseteq\left\{x_{m}^{*}\right\}_{m \geqslant 1}$ such that $x_{k}^{*} \xrightarrow{s} x^{*}$. Then for all $\omega \in \Omega \backslash N$ we have

$$
\sigma\left(x_{k}^{*}, F_{n}(\omega)\right) \rightarrow \sigma\left(x_{k}^{*}, F(\omega)\right) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Also from the continuity of $\sigma(\cdot, F(\omega))$ we have

$$
\sigma\left(x_{k}^{*}, F(\omega)\right) \rightarrow \sigma\left(x^{*}, F(\omega)\right) \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

Through a diagonalization process we get

$$
\sigma\left(x_{k(n)}^{*}, F_{n}(\omega)\right) \rightarrow \sigma\left(x^{*}, F(\omega)\right) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Then for $\omega \in \Omega \backslash N$ and for any $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\sigma\left(x^{*}, F_{n}(\omega)\right)-\sigma\left(x^{*}, F(\omega)\right)\right| \leqslant\left|\sigma\left(x^{*}, F_{n}(\omega)\right)-\sigma\left(x_{k(n)}^{*}, F_{n}(\omega)\right)\right| \\
& \quad+\left|\sigma\left(x_{k(n)}^{*}, F_{n}(\omega)\right)-\sigma\left(x_{k(n)}^{*}, F(\omega)\right)\right|+\left|\sigma\left(x_{k(n)}^{*}, F(\omega)\right)-\sigma\left(x^{*}, F(\omega)\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the second and the third terms of the sum in the right - hand side of the inequality above tend to zero. Also

$$
\left|\sigma\left(x^{*}, F_{n}(\omega)\right)-\sigma\left(x_{k(n)}^{*}, F_{n}(\omega)\right)\right| \leqslant\left|F_{n}(\omega)\right| \cdot\left\|x^{*}-x_{k(n)}^{*}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Thus finally we have

$$
\sigma\left(x^{*}, F_{n}(\omega)\right) \rightarrow \sigma\left(x^{*}, F(\omega)\right) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega \backslash N, \mu(N)=0$ and all $x^{*} \in X^{*}$. Therefore we conclude that $F_{n}(\omega) \xrightarrow{w} F(\omega) \mu$-a.e.

As before, in the finite-dimensional case, we can say more.
Corollary. If $\operatorname{dim} X<\infty$ and the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1 hold, then there exists $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{k c}(X)$ integrably bounded and such that $F_{n}(\omega) \xrightarrow{h} F(\omega) \mu$-a.e.

Proof. From Theorem 5.1 we know that there exists $\mathrm{F}: \Omega \rightarrow P_{k c}(X)$ integrably bounded and such that for all $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ and all $\omega \in \Omega \backslash N, \mu(N)=0$ we have $\sigma\left(x^{*}, F_{n}(\omega)\right) \rightarrow \sigma\left(x^{*}, F(\omega)\right)$. Then Corollary 2C of Salinetti and Wets [32]
and Theorem 3.1 of Mosco [22] tell us that $F_{n}(\omega) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{K}} F(\omega) \mu$-a.e. But since $F$ is compact and convex valued, we conclude that $F_{n}(\omega) \xrightarrow{h} F(\omega) \mu$-a.e.
6. Set valued martingales-in the limit. In this section, we examine another large class of set valued stochastic processes that includes set valued martingales and is analogous to the family of single valued processes studied by Blake [7] and Mucci [23]. The results in this section generalize those of Daures [11], Hiai and Umegaki [16], Hiai [15], Neveu [25] and van Cutsem [34].

Let $F_{n}: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f c}(X)$ be measurable multifunctions adapted to $\left\{\Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$. We will say that $\left\{F_{n}, \Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is a set valued martingale -in the limit (abbreviated as sv-mil) if for every $\varepsilon>0$ we have

$$
\mu\left\{\omega \in \Omega: h\left(E^{\Sigma_{m}} F_{n}(\omega), F_{m}(\omega)\right)>\varepsilon\right\} \rightarrow 0, \text { as } n \geqslant m \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Clearly, every set valued martingale or, more generally, every set valued quasimartingale (see [28]) is an sv-mil.

We start with a "Riesz decomposition" type theorem for such set valued processes.

ThEOREM 6.1. If $F_{n}: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f c}(X)$ are $\Sigma_{n}$-measurable multifunctions such that
(1) $\left\{F_{n}, \Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is an sv-mil,
(2) $\left\{\left|F_{n}\right|\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is uniformly integrable,
then there exists a unique set valued martingale $\left\{G_{n}, \Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ with values in $P_{f c}(X)$ such that $\Delta\left(F_{n}, G_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Note that for $n \geqslant m$ we have

$$
h\left(E^{\Sigma_{m}} F_{n}, F_{m}\right)=h\left(E^{\Sigma_{m}} F_{n}, E^{\Sigma_{m}} F_{m}\right)
$$

But from the proof of Theorem 4.3 we know that

$$
h\left(E^{\Sigma_{m}} F_{n}, E^{\Sigma_{m}} F_{m}\right) \leqslant E^{\Sigma_{m}} h\left(F_{n}, F_{m}\right) \mu-\text { a.e. }
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega} E^{\Sigma_{m}} h\left(F_{n}, F_{m}\right)=\int_{\Omega} h\left(F_{n}, F_{m}\right) \leqslant \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|F_{n}\right|+\left|F_{m}\right|\right) .
$$

Therefore from hypothesis (2) we deduce that $\left\{h\left(E^{\Sigma_{m}} F_{n}, F_{m}\right)\right\}_{n \geqslant m}$ is uniformly integrable. Also, since by hypothesis (1) we have $h\left(E^{\Sigma_{m}} F_{n}, F_{m}\right) \xrightarrow{\mu} 0$ as $n \geqslant m \rightarrow \infty$, from the dominated convergence theorem (see Ash [3], p. 295) we get $\Delta\left(E^{\Sigma_{m}} F_{n}, F_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \geqslant m \rightarrow \infty$.

Now fix $m \geqslant 1$ and consider the sequence $\left\{E^{\Sigma_{m}} F_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant m}$. From the triangle inequality for the metric $\Delta(\cdot, \cdot)$ we have for $n, k \geqslant m$ :

$$
\Delta\left(E^{\Sigma_{m}} F_{n}, E^{\Sigma_{m}} F_{k}\right) \leqslant \Delta\left(E^{\Sigma_{m}} F_{n}, F_{m}\right)+\Delta\left(F_{m}, E^{\Sigma_{m}} F_{k}\right),
$$

which implies that $\left\{E^{\Sigma_{m}} F_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant m}$ is a Cauchy sequence for the metric $\Delta(\cdot, \cdot)$.

Thus, Theorem 3.3 of Hiai and Umegaki [16] tells us that there exists an integrably bounded multifunction $G_{m}: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f c}(X)$ such that $E^{\Sigma_{m}} F_{n} \xrightarrow{\Delta} G_{m}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We claim that $\left\{G_{m}, \Sigma_{m}\right\}_{m \geqslant 1}$ is a set valued martingale. So let $n \geqslant m$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta\left(E^{\Sigma_{m}} G_{n}, G_{m}\right) & \leqslant \Delta\left(E^{\Sigma_{m}} G_{n}, E^{\Sigma_{m}} E^{\Sigma_{n}} F_{n+k}\right)+\Delta\left(E^{\Sigma_{m}} E^{\Sigma_{n}} F_{n+k}, G_{m}\right) \\
& \leqslant \Delta\left(G_{n}, E^{\Sigma_{n}} F_{n+k}\right)+\Delta\left(E^{\Sigma_{m}} F_{n+k}, G_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $E^{\Sigma_{m}} G_{n}(\omega)=G_{m}(\omega) \mu$-a.e. and, consequently, $\left\{G_{m}, \Sigma_{m}\right\}_{m \geqslant 1}$ is a set valued martingale.

Finally note that for $n \geqslant m$ we have

$$
\Delta\left(F_{m}, G_{m}\right) \leqslant \Delta\left(F_{m}, E^{\Sigma_{m}} F_{n}\right)+\Delta\left(E^{\Sigma_{m}} F_{n}, G_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \geqslant m \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Now for the uniqueness of $\left\{G_{n}, \Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ suppose that there was another such set valued martingale $\left\{G_{n}^{\prime}, \Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ for which we had $\Delta\left(F_{n}, G_{n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then from Hiai and Umegaki [16] we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta\left(G_{n}, G_{n}^{\prime}\right) & =\Delta\left(E^{\Sigma_{n}} G_{n+k}, E^{\Sigma_{n}} G_{n+k}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant \Delta\left(G_{n+k}, G_{n+k}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leqslant \Delta\left(G_{n+k}, F_{n+k}\right)+\Delta\left(F_{n+k}, G_{n+k}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $\Delta\left(G_{n}, G_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0$, and so $G_{n}(\omega)=G_{n}^{\prime}(\omega) \mu$-a.e., q.e.d.
This leads us to the following regularity result for sv-mils:
Theorem 6.2. If $X$ has the R.N.P. and the hypotheses of Theorem 6.1 hold, then there exists an integrably bounded multifunction $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f c}(X)$ such that $\Delta\left(F_{n}, E^{\Sigma_{n}} F\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Apply Theorem 6.1 to get $\Sigma_{n}$-measurable multifunctions $G_{n}: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f c}(X)$ such that $\left\{G_{n}, \Sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is a set valued martingale and $\Delta\left(F_{n}, G_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Note that

$$
\left|G_{n}\right|=h\left(G_{n}, 0\right) \leqslant h\left(G_{n}, F_{n}\right)+h\left(F_{n}, 0\right)=h\left(G_{n}, F_{n}\right)+\left|F_{n}\right|
$$

and, consequently, $\left\{\left|G_{n}\right|\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is uniformly integrable. Use Theorem 3.1 to see that $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f c}(X)$ is integrably bounded and such that $E^{\Sigma_{n}} F=G_{n} \mu$-a.e. Then $\Delta\left(F_{n}, E^{\Sigma_{n}} F\right)=\Delta\left(F_{n}, G_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, q.e.d.

Again, if $X$ is finite dimensional, we can say more.
Corollary. If $\operatorname{dim} X<\infty$ and the hypotheses of Theorem 6.1 hold, then there exists $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{k c}(X)$ integrably bounded and such that $\Delta\left(F_{n}, F\right) \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Use Theorem 6.2 to get $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{k c}(X)$ integrably bounded and such that $\Delta\left(F_{n}, E^{\Sigma_{n}} F\right) \rightarrow 0$. Then note that

$$
\Delta\left(F_{n}, F\right) \leqslant \Delta\left(F_{n}, E^{\Sigma_{n}} F\right)+\Delta\left(E^{\Sigma_{n}} F, F\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \text {, q.e.d. }
$$

7. Set valued conditional expectation. In this section we present an interesting observation concerning set valued conditional expectations. Namely,
we show that the set valued conditional expectation of a $P_{w k c}(X)$-valued, integrably bounded multifunction is still a $P_{w k c}(X)$-valued multifunction (i.e. we have preservation of the weak compactness of the values).

Theorem 7.1. If $X$ and $X^{*}$ are separable, $\Sigma_{0} \subseteq \Sigma$ is a sub- $\sigma$-field of $\Sigma$, $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{w k c}(X)$ is integrably bounded and every vector measure $m: \Sigma_{0} \rightarrow X$ such that $m(A) \in M(A)=\int_{A} F(\omega) d \mu(\omega)$ has a Pettis integrable density, then $E^{\Sigma_{0}} F(\omega)$ $\in P_{\text {wkc }}(X) \mu$-a.e.

Proof. Let

$$
M(A)=\int_{A} F(\omega) d \mu(\omega)=\left\{\int_{A} f(\omega) d \mu(\omega): f \in S_{F}^{1}\right\}, \quad A \in \Sigma_{0}
$$

Note that for every $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ we have (see [30])

$$
\sigma\left(x^{*}, M(A)\right)=\int_{A} \sigma\left(x^{*}, F(\omega)\right) d \mu(\omega)
$$

which implies that $A \rightarrow \sigma\left(x^{*}, M(A)\right)$ is a signed measure on $\Sigma_{0}$ for every $x^{*} \in X^{*}$.

From the Corollary to Proposition 3.1 in [26] we know that, for all $A \in \Sigma_{0}, M(A) \in P_{w k c}(X)$. So $M(\cdot)$ is a set valued measure on $\Sigma_{0}$. Apply Theorem 3 of Costé [10] and get $G: \Omega \rightarrow P_{\text {wkc }}(X)$ integrably bounded and such that

$$
M(A)=\int_{A} G(\omega) d \mu(\omega)
$$

From Theorem 5.4(i) of Hiai and Umegaki [16] we have

$$
\mathrm{cl} \int_{A} E^{\Sigma_{0}} F=\int_{A} F=\int_{A} G
$$

and, consequently,

$$
\int_{A} \sigma\left(x^{*}, E^{\Sigma_{0}} F\right)=\int_{A} \sigma\left(x^{*}, G\right),
$$

which implies $\sigma\left(x^{*}, E^{\Sigma_{0}} F(\omega)\right)=\sigma\left(x^{*}, G(\omega)\right)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega \backslash N\left(x^{*}\right), \mu\left(N\left(x_{*}^{*}\right)\right)=0$.
Let $\left\{x_{m}^{*}\right\}_{m \geqslant 1} \subseteq X^{*}$ be dense in $X^{*}$ and set

$$
N=\bigcup_{m \geqslant 1} N\left(x_{m}^{*}\right) .
$$

Then $\mu(N)=0$. For every $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ and every $\omega \in \Omega \backslash N$, we have

$$
\left\{x_{k}^{*}\right\}_{k \geqslant 1} \subseteq\left\{x_{m}^{*}\right\}_{m \geqslant 1} x_{k}^{* *} \xrightarrow{\frac{\mathrm{~s}}{\longrightarrow}} x^{*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sigma\left(x^{*}, E^{\Sigma_{0}} F(\omega)\right)-\sigma\left(x^{*}, G(\omega)\right)\right| \leqslant\left|\sigma\left(x^{*}, E^{\Sigma_{0}} F(\omega)\right)-\sigma\left(x_{k}^{*}, E^{\Sigma_{0}} F(\omega)\right)\right| \\
+\left|\sigma\left(x_{k}^{*}, E^{\Sigma_{0}} F(\omega)\right)-\sigma\left(x_{k}^{*}, G(\omega)\right)\right|+\left|\sigma\left(x_{k}^{*}, G(\omega)\right)-\sigma\left(x^{*}, G(\omega)\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that since $\omega \in \Omega \backslash N$, we obtain $\sigma\left(x_{k}^{*}, E^{\Sigma_{0}} F(\omega)\right)=\sigma\left(x_{k}^{*}, G(\omega)\right)$ for all $k \geqslant 1$. Also since $\sigma\left(\cdot, E^{\Sigma_{0}} F(\omega)\right)$ and $\sigma(\cdot, G(\omega))$ are both strongly continuous, we have

$$
\sigma\left(x_{k}^{*}, E^{\Sigma_{0}} F(\omega)\right) \rightarrow \sigma\left(x^{*}, E^{\Sigma_{0}} F(\omega)\right)
$$

and

$$
\sigma\left(x_{k}^{*}, G(\omega)\right) \rightarrow \sigma\left(x^{*}, G(\omega)\right) \quad \text { as. } k \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Therefore for all $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ and all $\omega \in \Omega \backslash N$ we have

$$
\sigma\left(x^{*}, E^{\Sigma_{0}} F(\omega)\right)=\sigma\left(x^{*}, G(\omega)\right) ;
$$

hence

$$
E^{\Sigma_{0}} F(\omega)=G(\omega) \mu \text {-a.e. }
$$

and, consequently,

$$
E^{\Sigma_{0}} F(\omega) \in P_{w k c}(X) \mu \text {-a.e., q.e.d. }
$$
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