

EXISTENCE THEOREM AND WONG-ZAKAI APPROXIMATIONS FOR MULTIVALUED STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS*

BY

ROGER PETERSSON (CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA)

Abstract. We consider finite-dimensional multivalued stochastic differential equations where the drift has a multivalued and monotone term. Existence and approximation results are obtained by an existence theorem for deterministic differential inclusions and a polygonal approximation of the Brownian motion. The dispersion matrix is assumed to be state space independent.

Applications are given for Coulomb damping and hysteretic systems.

1. Introduction. Differential inclusions

$$x'(t) \in b(t, x(t)) - Ax(t) + f(t),$$

where A is a (multivalued) maximal monotone map, b is a Lipschitz continuous map, and f is a locally integrable function, have been studied by several authors, see e.g. Brézis [3], Benilan and Brézis [2], Aubin and Cellina [1], Chapter 3, Krée [6] and Krée and Soize [7], Chapter XIV, Lakshmikantham and Leela [8], Chapter 3, and Miyadera [9]. The state spaces in cited papers were general Hilbert spaces. In this paper we let the state space be finite dimensional.

A generalization of differential inclusions to 'stochastic differential inclusions,' called *multivalued stochastic differential equations*, is obtained by replacing f by a fixed matrix σ times the generalized derivative of the Brownian motion, i.e. Gaussian white noise. In this case it is convenient to write, analogously to stochastic differential equations, as follows:

$$d\xi(t) \in \{b(t, \xi(t)) - A\xi(t)\} dt + \sigma dB(t).$$

Krée [6] and the author [11] showed, for $\sigma = \sigma(t, x)$, the existence of a solution to multivalued stochastic differential equations in two different ways. The former used a fixed point argument and the latter a Yosida approximation technique.

* Work supported by the Swedish Research Council for Engineering Sciences, 282-94-971, and the Office of Naval Research Grant N00014-93-1-0043 and N00014-93-1-0841.

Here we take another approach. We consider the case when the dispersion σ is fixed, i.e. time and state independent. The idea is, for a given sample path of the Brownian motion B , to study deterministic differential inclusions where the driving force f is the right derivative of a polygonal approximation of the Brownian motion. By letting the polygon train get close to the Brownian motion, we obtain, under a suitable integrability condition, convergence of the solutions to the related differential inclusions, and the limit is shown to satisfy a multivalued stochastic differential equation.

Hence we obtain, in the spirit of Wong and Zakai [13], [14], a solution to a multivalued stochastic differential equation (with fixed dispersion σ) as the limit of a sequence of solutions to differential inclusions. This means that a solution to a multivalued stochastic differential equation can be approximated by a numerical method for a differential inclusion. It is also close to intuition in models where the driving force is Gaussian white noise.

In Section 2 results for differential inclusions are recalled. In Section 3 a solution to a multivalued stochastic differential equation is defined, and in Section 4, the main section in this note, the existence of a solution is shown by considering a sequence of differential inclusions. Section 5 contains convergence in mean square, Section 6 is devoted to applications, and in the last section a Wong-Zakai result is given for a case with space dependent dispersion.

2. Differential inclusions. Throughout, let \mathfrak{R}^d be equipped with the usual norm $|\cdot|$ and inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. A set-valued (or multivalued) map A from \mathfrak{R}^d on \mathfrak{R}^d is a map that to any x in \mathfrak{R}^d associates a subset $A(x)$ (possibly the empty set) of \mathfrak{R}^d . For a set-valued map A on \mathfrak{R}^d let $\mathcal{D}(A) = \{x \in \mathfrak{R}^d: A(x) \neq \emptyset\}$ be the domain of A .

DEFINITION 2.1. A set-valued map A from \mathfrak{R}^d into \mathfrak{R}^d is called *monotone* if

$$(1) \quad \langle u_1 - u_2, x_1 - x_2 \rangle \geq 0$$

for all $x_i \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and $u_i \in A(x_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. ■

A monotone set-valued map A is said to be *maximal* if there is no other monotone set-valued map whose graph strictly contains the graph of A . We write throughout Ax instead of $A(x)$. For a maximal monotone map A and a fixed point $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$, the set Ax is closed and convex (see e.g. Brézis [3], chapitre II.4). Hence there exists a unique point $(Ax)^0$ such that $|(Ax)^0| = \min \{|y|: y \in Ax\}$. Let A^0 be the map from $\mathcal{D}(A)$ into \mathfrak{R}^d defined by $A^0x = (Ax)^0$. For $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and $y \in \mathfrak{R}^d$ we denote by $(Ax - y)^0$ the point in $Ax - y$ with smallest norm. Throughout, let $[0, T]$ be a finite closed interval in \mathfrak{R} . We recall the definition of a solution to a differential inclusion (cf. Krée [7], Definition XIV.1.8).

DEFINITION 2.2. Let A be a set-valued map on \mathfrak{R}^d , $b = b(t, x)$ a map from $[0, T] \times \mathfrak{R}^d$ into \mathfrak{R}^d , $f \in L^1([0, T]; H)$, and u_0 a given point in $\mathcal{D}(A)$.

A solution to the differential inclusion

$$(2) \quad u'(t) \in b(t, u(t)) - Au(t) + f(t), \quad u(0) = u_0$$

is any $u \in C([0, T]; H)$ such that

- $u(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ for all t in $[0, T]$,
- the distribution derivative u' is in $L^1([0, T], \mathfrak{R}^d)$,
- $u'(t) \in b(t, u(t)) - Au(t) + f(t)$ for almost all t in $[0, T]$. ■

Some ordinary differential equations, where the right-hand side satisfies a monotonicity condition, can be rewritten as differential inclusions. One of the simplest examples is perhaps the following

EXAMPLE 2.3. Consider the one-dimensional differential equation

$$x'(t) = -\text{sign } x(t), \quad x(0) = 1,$$

where $\text{sign } 0 = 1$. This equation has obviously no solution in the usual sense for $t \geq 1$. However, a related differential inclusion $x'(t) \in -A(x(t))$, where A is defined by $A(x) = \text{sign } x$ for $x \neq 0$ and $A(0) = [-1, 1]$, admits a solution and the solution $x(\cdot)$ has a right derivative $D^+x(\cdot)$ satisfying $D^+x(t) = -A^0x(t)$, where, in this case, $A^0(x) = \text{sign } x$ for $x \neq 0$ and $A^0(0) = 0$. ■

Uniqueness of a solution to (2) is elementary to show by the Bellman–Gronwall inequality if e.g. b satisfies a Lipschitz condition in x . Krée showed an existence result for (2) which holds if $f \in C([0, T], \mathfrak{R}^d)$. However, since in Section 4 we will let f be the right derivative of a polygonal approximation of a Brownian motion, we have to allow f to have jumps. We therefore need the following theorem which is obtained by Benilan and Brézis [2], Corollaire 1.3, or a slight modification of the proof of Theorem 1.3 in Krée [6] (see also Krée and Soize [7], Theorem XIV.1.10).

THEOREM 2.4. Let A be a maximal monotone map on H such that $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is closed and A^0 is bounded on compact subsets of $\mathcal{D}(A)$. Let $b: [0, T] \times \mathfrak{R}^d \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^d$ be a continuous map such that

$$|b(t, x) - b(t, y)| \leq L|x - y| \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T], x, y \in \mathfrak{R}^d.$$

Further, let $f: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^d$ be piecewise continuous and $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$. Then there is a solution to $u'(t) \in b(t, u(t)) - Au(t) + f(t)$, $u(0) = u_0$. Furthermore, for every $t \in [0, T)$, u has a right derivative d^+u/dt , explicitly given by

$$(3) \quad \frac{d^+u}{dt} = (b(t, u(t)) - Au(t) + f(t+0))^0,$$

where $f(t+0) = \lim_{h \downarrow 0, h > 0} f(t+h)$. ■

Remark 2.5. The condition that $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is closed and A^0 is bounded on compact subsets of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is valid if for example $\mathcal{D}(A) = \mathfrak{R}^d$ or if A describes the outwards directed normal cone at the boundary of a closed convex set G

in \mathfrak{R}^d , i.e.

$$Ax = \{p \in \mathfrak{R}^d: -\langle x - y, p \rangle \leq 0, \forall y \in G\}$$

for $x \in G = \mathcal{D}(A)$ (see e.g. Benilan and Brézis [2], remarque 1.5). ■

For existence results of solutions to the differential inclusions where $f \in L^1([0, T]; \mathfrak{R}^d)$, see e.g. Benilan and Brézis [2].

The aim in this note is to construct a solution to a differential inclusion if the driving force f is Gaussian white noise. For this case we use the concept of multivalued stochastic differential equations, defined in the following section.

3. Multivalued stochastic differential equations. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a complete probability space with a right continuous and complete filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$. Let $\{B(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be an $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ -adapted m -dimensional Brownian motion. Suppose A is a maximal monotone map on \mathfrak{R}^d , and let x_0 be a fixed point in $\mathcal{D}(A)$, and C be a universal constant. For a function $g: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^d$ let $\|g\|_T = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |g(t)|$.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let b and σ be Borel measurable maps,

$$b: [0, T] \times \mathfrak{R}^d \mapsto \mathfrak{R}^d, \quad \sigma: [0, T] \times \mathfrak{R}^d \mapsto \mathfrak{R}^d \times \mathfrak{R}^m,$$

and let A be a maximal monotone set valued map on \mathfrak{R}^d . By a *solution to the multivalued stochastic differential equation*

$$(4) \quad d\xi(t) \in b(t, \xi(t)) dt - A\xi(t) dt + \sigma(t, \xi(t)) dB, \quad \xi(0) = x_0$$

we mean a couple (ξ, η) on $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ -adapted processes such that ξ is continuous almost surely and

(i) $\xi(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$,

(ii) η is absolutely continuous where $\eta'(t) \in A\xi(t)$ for almost all t in $[0, T]$,

and $\int_0^T |\eta'(t)|^2 dt$ is finite,

(iii) $\xi(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t b(s, \xi(s)) ds - \eta(t) + \int_0^t \sigma(s, \xi(s)) dB(s)$ interpreted in the sense of Itô. ■

We assume throughout that σ is constant and that b satisfies the usual Lipschitz and linear growth conditions

$$(5) \quad |b(t, x) - b(t, y)| \leq L|x - y|, \quad |b(t, x)| \leq L(1 + |x|)$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T$, $x, y \in \mathfrak{R}^d$.

Note that if (i)–(iii) in Definition 3.1 are satisfied together with (5), then

$$(6) \quad E \|\xi\|_T^2 < \infty$$

(see [11]).

Uniqueness (pathwise) of a solution to (4) is easy to verify if (5) is valid (see Krée [6] or Krée and Soize [7], Chapter XIV).

In this note we prove, by considering differential inclusions, the existence of a solution under a convenient integrability condition. The integrability con-

dition is shown to hold in a product situation and if A satisfies a linear growth condition (Chapter 4). We also give an example when the integrability condition is not satisfied.

4. Wong-Zakai approximations. This section is the main part in this note. It is disposed as follows. First we introduce solutions ξ_δ of differential inclusions where the driving force $f = \sigma B'_\delta$ is a constant matrix σ times the right derivative of a polygonal approximation of B . Then we give, under a suitable integrability condition, an existence theorem for solutions of multivalued stochastic differential equations. Finally, we show that this condition holds if A satisfies a linear growth condition or if we have the mentioned product situation.

For $\delta > 0$ let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{c_\delta} = T$ be a partition of $[0, T]$ with

$$\text{mesh } \delta = \max \{ \Delta t_k : 1 \leq k \leq c_\delta \}, \quad \text{where } \Delta t_k = t_k - t_{k-1}.$$

Define B_δ as follows: $B_\delta(0) = 0$ and

$$(7) \quad B_\delta(t) = B(t_{k-1}) + (t - t_{k-1}) \frac{\Delta B(t_k)}{\Delta t_k}, \quad t_{k-1} \leq t \leq t_k,$$

where $\Delta B(t_k) = B(t_k) - B(t_{k-1})$. Let $B'_\delta(t) = \Delta B(t_k) / \Delta t_k$ for $t_{k-1} \leq t < t_k$, i.e. the right derivative of B_δ . By Theorem 2.4 it follows that if $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is closed and A^0 is bounded on compact subsets of $\mathcal{D}(A)$, and b is continuous and satisfies the usual Lipschitz condition, then there exists a unique solution ξ_δ to the following differential inclusion:

$$(8) \quad \xi'_\delta(t) \in b(t, \xi_\delta(t)) - A\xi_\delta(t) + \sigma B'_\delta(t).$$

We have thus, loosely speaking, replaced dB in the multivalued stochastic differential equation (4) by $B'_\delta(t) dt$. We assume throughout that the conditions for the existence of a solution to (8) are satisfied. Note that, by Definition 2.2, we can identify a solution to (8) by a couple $(\xi_\delta, \eta_\delta)$ of absolutely continuous components where $\xi_\delta(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ for all t in $[0, T]$ and $\eta'_\delta(t) \in A\xi_\delta(t)$ for almost all t and

$$\xi_\delta(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t b(s, \xi_\delta(s)) ds - \eta_\delta(t) + \int_0^t \sigma B'_\delta(s) ds$$

or, equivalently,

$$(9) \quad d\xi_\delta(t) = b(t, \xi_\delta(t)) dt - d\eta_\delta(t) + \sigma B'_\delta(t) dt, \quad \xi_\delta(0) = x_0.$$

By letting $\delta \downarrow 0$, we infer, under the condition that

$$(10) \quad \sup_{0 < \delta \leq T} \int_0^T |\eta'_\delta(t)|^2 dt < \infty \quad (\text{a.s.}),$$

that ξ_δ converges almost surely in supremum norm to some process ξ which

is the first component of a couple (ξ, η) shown to be a solution to the corresponding multivalued stochastic differential equation (4).

THEOREM 4.1. *For $\delta > 0$, let ξ_δ and η_δ be given by (9). Further, assume that (5) and (10) are satisfied and that $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is closed. Then there exists a unique solution (ξ, η) to the multivalued stochastic differential equation (4). Furthermore, $\xi_\delta \rightarrow \xi$ uniformly on $[0, T]$ (almost surely) as $\delta \downarrow 0$.*

In order to obtain the convergence of $\{\xi_\delta\}_{\delta > 0}$ we first need an important lemma.

LEMMA 4.2. *For $\delta > 0$ let $(\xi_\delta, \eta_\delta)$ be given by (9). Then, for $\delta, \varrho > 0$,*

$$(11) \quad |\xi_\delta(t) - \xi_\varrho(t)|^2 \\ \leq |\sigma B_\delta(t) - \sigma B_\varrho(t)|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \langle \xi_\delta(s) - \xi_\varrho(s), b(s, \xi_\delta(s)) - b(s, \xi_\varrho(s)) \rangle ds \\ + 2 \int_0^t \langle (\sigma B_\delta(t) - \sigma B_\varrho(t)) - (\sigma B_\delta(s) - \sigma B_\varrho(s)), b(s, \xi_\delta(s)) - b(s, \xi_\varrho(s)) \rangle ds \\ + 2 \int_0^t \langle (\sigma B_\delta(t) - \sigma B_\varrho(t)) - (\sigma B_\delta(s) - \sigma B_\varrho(s)), d(\eta_\delta - \eta_\varrho)(s) \rangle.$$

Further,

$$(12) \quad |\xi_\delta(t) - x_0|^2 = \left| \int_0^t \sigma B'_\delta(s) ds \right|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \langle \xi_\delta(u) - x_0, b(u, \xi_\delta(u)) du - d\eta_\delta(u) \rangle \\ + 2 \int_0^t \left\langle \int_u^t \sigma B'_\delta(s) ds, b(u, \xi_\delta(u)) du - d\eta_\delta(u) \right\rangle.$$

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Let us show (11); (12) is proved similarly. We have

$$(13) \quad \xi_\delta(t) - \xi_\varrho(t) = \sigma B_\delta(t) - \sigma B_\varrho(t) + \int_0^t b(s, \xi_\delta(s)) - b(s, \xi_\varrho(s)) ds - \int_0^t d(\eta_\delta - \eta_\varrho)(s);$$

hence

$$|\xi_\delta(t) - \xi_\varrho(t)|^2 = |\sigma B_\delta(t) - \sigma B_\varrho(t)|^2 \\ + \left| \int_0^t b(s, \xi_\delta(s)) - b(s, \xi_\varrho(s)) ds - \int_0^t d(\eta_\delta - \eta_\varrho)(s) \right|^2 \\ + 2 \langle \sigma B_\delta(t) - \sigma B_\varrho(t), \int_0^t b(s, \xi_\delta(s)) - b(s, \xi_\varrho(s)) ds - \int_0^t d(\eta_\delta - \eta_\varrho)(s) \rangle.$$

We observe that

$$\left| \int_0^t b(s, \xi_\delta(s)) - b(s, \xi_\varrho(s)) ds - \int_0^t d(\eta_\delta - \eta_\varrho)(s) \right|^2 = 2 \int_0^t \left\langle \int_0^s b(u, \xi_\delta(u)) - b(u, \xi_\varrho(u)) du \right. \\ \left. - \int_0^s d(\eta_\delta - \eta_\varrho)(u), (b(s, \xi_\delta(s)) - b(s, \xi_\varrho(s))) ds - d(\eta_\delta - \eta_\varrho)(s) \right\rangle$$

and

$$2 \langle \sigma B_\delta(t) - \sigma B_\varrho(t), \int_0^t b(s, \xi_\delta(s)) - b(s, \xi_\varrho(s)) ds - \int_0^t d(\eta_\delta - \eta_\varrho)(s) \rangle \\ = 2 \int_0^t \langle (\sigma B_\delta(s) - \sigma B_\varrho(s)) + (\sigma B_\delta(t) - \sigma B_\varrho(t)) - (\sigma B_\delta(s) - \sigma B_\varrho(s)), \\ (b(s, \xi_\delta(s)) - b(s, \xi_\varrho(s))) ds - d(\eta_\delta - \eta_\varrho)(s) \rangle.$$

Hence, by (13),

$$|\xi_\delta(t) - \xi_\varrho(t)|^2 = |\sigma B_\delta(t) - \sigma B_\varrho(t)|^2 \\ + 2 \int_0^t \langle \xi_\delta(s) - \xi_\varrho(s), (b(s, \xi_\delta(s)) - b(s, \xi_\varrho(s))) ds - d(\eta_\delta - \eta_\varrho)(s) \rangle \\ + 2 \int_0^t \langle (\sigma B_\delta(t) - \sigma B_\varrho(t)) - (\sigma B_\delta(s) - \sigma B_\varrho(s)), b(s, \xi_\delta(s)) - b(s, \xi_\varrho(s)) \rangle ds \\ - 2 \int_0^t \langle (\sigma B_\delta(t) - \sigma B_\varrho(t)) - (\sigma B_\delta(s) - \sigma B_\varrho(s)), d(\eta_\delta - \eta_\varrho)(s) \rangle.$$

Since A is a monotone map and, for almost all s in $[0, T]$, $\eta'_\delta(s) \in A\xi_\delta(s)$ and $\eta'_\varrho(s) \in A\xi_\varrho(s)$, the inequality (11) holds. ■

By Lemma 4.2 and the boundedness assumption (10) of $\{\eta'_\delta\}_{\delta>0}$ in $L^2([0, T]; \mathfrak{R}^d)$, we infer that $\{\xi_\delta\}_{\delta>0}$ is a Cauchy sequence in supremum norm (almost surely). In fact, it is sufficient that $\{\eta'_\delta\}_{0<\delta\leq T}$ is bounded in $L^1([0, T]; \mathfrak{R}^d)$.

LEMMA 4.3. Let $(\xi_\delta, \eta_\delta)$ be the solution to (9) and let $\sup_{0<\delta\leq T} \int_0^T |\eta'_\delta(s)| ds$ be finite almost surely. Then

$$\|\xi_\delta - \xi_\varrho\|_T \rightarrow 0 \text{ (a.s.)}$$

as δ and ϱ tend to zero.

Proof of Lemma 4.3. By Lemma 4.2 we have

$$|\xi_\delta(t) - \xi_\varrho(t)|^2 \leq \|\sigma B_\delta - \sigma B_\varrho\|_T^2 + 2 \int_0^t \langle \xi_\delta(s) - \xi_\varrho(s), b(s, \xi_\delta(s)) - b(s, \xi_\varrho(s)) \rangle ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 4 \|\sigma B_\delta - \sigma B_\varrho\|_T \int_0^t |b(s, \xi_\delta(s)) - b(s, \xi_\varrho(s))| ds \\
& + 4 \|\sigma B_\delta - \sigma B_\varrho\|_T \left(\int_0^T |\eta'_\delta(s)| ds + \int_0^T |\eta'_\varrho(s)| ds \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where, by assumption, $\int_0^T |\eta'_\delta(s)| ds + \int_0^T |\eta'_\varrho(s)| ds$ is almost surely bounded. By using the Lipschitz condition on b we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\xi_\delta - \xi_\varrho\|_t^2 & \leq (1 + 2T) \|\sigma B_\delta - \sigma B_\varrho\|_T^2 + 4 \|\sigma B_\delta - \sigma B_\varrho\|_T \left(\int_0^T |\eta'_\delta(s)| ds + \int_0^T |\eta'_\varrho(s)| ds \right) \\
& + 2(L + L^2) \int_0^t \|\xi_\delta - \xi_\varrho\|_s^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|\sigma B_\delta - \sigma B_\varrho\|_T \rightarrow 0$ almost surely as δ and ϱ tend to zero and $\int_0^t \|\xi_\delta - \xi_\varrho\|_s^2 ds$ is almost surely finite (ξ_δ and ξ_ϱ are continuous), Bellman–Gronwall's inequality completes the proof. ■

Using Lemma 4.3 and the property that η'_δ is almost surely bounded in $L^2([0, T]; \mathfrak{R}^d)$ we are able to show Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. It remains to show existence. For convenience we omit the words 'almost surely.' By Lemma 4.3 there exists a continuous process ξ such that

$$(14) \quad \|\xi - \xi_\delta\|_T \rightarrow 0, \quad \delta \downarrow 0,$$

and consequently, by Schwarz' inequality and the Lipschitz assumption on b ,

$$\left\| \int_0^t b(s, \xi_\delta(s)) ds - \int_0^t b(s, \xi(s)) ds \right\|_T \rightarrow 0, \quad \delta \downarrow 0,$$

and since also

$$\|\sigma B_\delta - \sigma B\|_T \rightarrow 0, \quad \delta \downarrow 0,$$

we obtain with $\eta(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t b(s, \xi(s)) ds + \sigma B(t) - \xi(t)$ the relation

$$(15) \quad \|\eta_\delta - \eta\|_T \rightarrow 0, \quad \delta \downarrow 0.$$

We now show that (ξ, η) is indeed a solution to (4). We need to verify that $\xi(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ for all t in $[0, T]$ and that η is absolutely continuous with $\eta'(t) \in A\xi(t)$ for almost all t in $[0, T]$.

Since, by assumption, $\sup \left\{ \int_0^T |\eta'_\delta(t)|^2 dt : 0 < \delta \leq T \right\} < \infty$ we obtain by Banach–Alaoglu's theorem that there exists a subsequence $\{\eta_{\delta_n}\}_{n \geq 1}$ such that η_{δ_n} converges weakly in $L^2([0, T]; \mathfrak{R}^d)$ to some v in $L^2([0, T]; \mathfrak{R}^d)$ as $\delta_n \downarrow 0$.

Now we use theorems for maximal monotone maps to show that $\xi(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and $v(t) \in A\xi(t)$ for almost all points t in $[0, T]$. Let \mathcal{A} be the set-valued map on $L^2([0, T]; \mathfrak{R}^d)$ defined by $(\mathcal{A}x)(t) = Ax(t)$ a.e. in $[0, T]$ for

$x \in L^2([0, T]; \mathfrak{R}^d)$. Then, by Aubin and Cellina [1], Chapter 3, \mathcal{A} is a maximal monotone map on $L^2([0, T]; \mathfrak{R}^d)$.

We have thus as follows: $\xi_{\delta_n} \rightarrow \xi$ in $L^2([0, T]; \mathfrak{R}^d)$ by (14), η'_{δ_n} tends weakly in $L^2([0, T]; \mathfrak{R}^d)$ to v as $\delta_n \downarrow 0$ and $\eta'_{\delta} \in \mathcal{A}\xi_{\delta}$. This implies, by Aubin and Cellina [1], Proposition 3.1.2, that $v \in \mathcal{A}\xi$ in $L^2([0, T]; \mathfrak{R}^d)$ which means that $v(t) \in \mathcal{A}\xi(t)$ and, in particular, $\xi(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ for almost all t in $[0, T]$.

Since ξ_{δ} is continuous and ξ_{δ} converges uniformly on $[0, T]$ to ξ , and $\mathcal{D}(A)$ by assumption is closed, we infer that $\xi(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ for all t in $[0, T]$.

Next we show that η is absolutely continuous with derivative $\eta' = v$. For $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ we have

$$\eta_{\delta_k}(t) - \eta_{\delta_k}(s) = \int_s^t \eta'_{\delta_k}(u) du,$$

where the left-hand side by (15) converges to $\eta(t) - \eta(s)$ and the right-hand side, by the weak convergence in $L^2([0, T]; \mathfrak{R}^d)$, converges to $\int_s^t v(u) du$. Since $v \in L^2([0, T]; \mathfrak{R}^d)$, this means that η is absolutely continuous with $\eta' = v$. This also implies that $\eta'(t) \in \mathcal{A}\xi(t)$ for almost all t in $[0, T]$.

Finally, $\xi(t)$ and $\eta(t)$ are, for fixed t , \mathcal{F}_t -adapted. This follows since $\xi_{\delta}(t)$ and $\eta_{\delta}(t)$ are $\mathcal{F}_{\beta_{\delta}(t)}$ -adapted, where $\beta_{\delta}(t) = \min\{t_k^{\delta}: t_k^{\delta} > t\}$, the convergences (14) and (15) hold true, and $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ is a right-continuous filtration. ■

Theorem 4.1 can easily be extended to the case when $\sigma = \sigma(t)$.

Remark 4.4. There is one important case when the integrability condition (10) is *not* satisfied. Let A be the outwards directed normal cone for the set $G = [0, \infty)$ in \mathfrak{R} (recall Remark 2.5). For simplicity, assume $x_0 = 0$ and $b \equiv 0$. In that case, from the theory of the Skorohod equation (see e.g. Ikeda and Watanabe [4]),

$$\xi_{\delta}(t) = B_{\delta}(t) - \eta_{\delta}(t), \quad \eta_{\delta}(t) = \min_{0 \leq s \leq t} B_{\delta}(s).$$

If (10) were true, then by the Banach–Alaoglu theorem, there would be a subsequence $\{\eta_{\delta_n}\}_{n \geq 1}$ such that, almost surely, η'_{δ_n} would converge weakly to some $v \in L^2(0, T)$. In particular, we would especially get, for any $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$,

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} v(t) dt = \min_{0 \leq s \leq t_2} B(s) - \min_{0 \leq s \leq t_1} B(s),$$

which would imply that $\min_{0 \leq s \leq t} B(s)$ is absolutely continuous, which, as is well known, is not true ([4], p. 122). ■

In the rest of this section we show that the integrability condition (10) is satisfied in two important cases.

(i) Linear growth condition of A :

$$(16) \quad \sup\{|y|: y \in Ax\} \leq L(1 + |x|), \quad x \in \mathcal{D}(A);$$

this condition may be useful for stochastic differential equations with discontinuous drift and for application in mechanics, see Example 6.1.

(ii) **Product situation:** for some p , $1 < p < d$, the first p components of Ax are zero for $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and the last $d-p$ rows of σ are zero, i.e.

$$(17) \quad (Ax)_i = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, p, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{ij} = 0, \quad i = p+1, \dots, d, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

This means that, in this case, (4) is a coupled system where the first p rows describe a stochastic differential equation and the last $d-p$ rows describe a (deterministic) differential inclusion. One application in seismic reliability analysis is given by Example 6.2. Conditions (16) and (17) are somewhat more general than in Krée [6] and Pettersson [11].

First we show that the sequence $\{\xi_\delta\}_{0 < \delta \leq T}$ is almost surely uniformly bounded on $[0, T]$ under conditions (16) and (17).

PROPOSITION 4.5. *Let ξ_δ be given by the differential inclusion (8), where b satisfies the Lipschitz and linear growth conditions (5). Assume either A satisfies the linear growth condition (16) or we have the product situation (17). Then $\sup_{0 < \delta \leq T} \|\xi_\delta\|_T$ is finite almost surely.*

PROOF. For convenience we suppress the words 'almost surely.' Consider first the case when (16) is satisfied. By Schwarz' inequality, for $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$|\xi_\delta(t)|^2 \leq 4|x_0|^2 + 4T \int_0^t |b(s, \xi_\delta)|^2 ds + 4T \int_0^t |\eta'_\delta(t)|^2 dt + 4 \left| \int_0^t \sigma B'_\delta(s) ds \right|^2,$$

where, for almost all t in $[0, T]$, $\eta'_\delta(t) \in A\xi_\delta(t)$; hence, by (16), $|\eta'_\delta(t)| \leq L(1 + |\xi_\delta(t)|)$, t -a.e. in $[0, T]$. Further, $\left| \int_0^t \sigma B'_\delta(s) ds \right| \leq \|\sigma B\|_T$ is bounded. Bellman-Gronwall's inequality together with the linear growth condition of b then gives $\sup \{\|\xi_\delta\|_T : 0 < \delta \leq T\} < \infty$.

Now let us consider the product situation. For this case, (12) implies

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi_\delta(t) - x_0|^2 &= \left| \int_0^t \sigma B'_\delta(s) ds \right|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \langle \xi_\delta(s) - x_0, b(s, \xi_\delta(s)) ds - d\eta_\delta(s) \rangle \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^t \left\langle \int_0^s \sigma B'_\delta(u) ds, b(u, \xi_\delta(u)) \right\rangle du \end{aligned}$$

(the term $\int_0^t \langle \int_0^s \sigma B'_\delta(u) ds, d\eta_\delta(u) \rangle$ vanishes by (17)). Observe that, for almost all s in $[0, T]$, $\eta'(s) \in A\xi_\delta(s)$, $A^0 x_0 \in Ax_0$ and A is a monotone map; hence

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_0^t \langle \xi_\delta(s) - x_0, d\eta_\delta(s) \rangle &= - \int_0^t \langle \xi_\delta(s) - x_0, \eta'_\delta(s) - A^0 x_0 + A^0 x_0 \rangle ds \\ &\leq - \int_0^t \langle \xi_\delta(s) - x_0, A^0 x_0 \rangle ds. \end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$2 \int_0^t \left\langle \int_u^t \sigma B'_\delta(s) ds, b(u, \xi_\delta(u)) \right\rangle du$$

$$\leq 2 \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\sigma B_\delta(t) - \sigma B_\delta(s)| \int_0^t |b(u, \xi_\delta(u))| du \leq 4 \|\sigma B\|_T \int_0^t |b(u, \xi_\delta(u))| du,$$

then use the same arguments as for the linear growth case (16). ■

Now we show that, in the linear growth condition (16) or the product situation (17), $\{\eta'_\delta\}_{0 < \delta \leq T}$ is almost surely bounded in $L^2([0, T]; \mathfrak{R}^d)$. In fact, $\{\eta'_\delta\}_{0 < \delta \leq T}$ is (almost surely) bounded in $L^\infty([0, T]; \mathfrak{R}^d)$. For a function $g: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^d$, let

$$|g|_{L^\infty} = \text{ess sup} \{|g(t)|: 0 \leq t \leq T\}.$$

PROPOSITION 4.6. *Let ξ_δ be the solution to (8), where either A satisfies the linear growth condition (16) or we have the product situation (17) with A^0 bounded on compact subsets of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ and $\mathcal{D}(A)$ closed. Then $\sup_{0 < \delta \leq T} |\eta'_\delta|_{L^\infty}$ is finite almost surely.*

Proof. Suppress the words 'almost surely.'

For the linear growth case (16), the result follows by the fact that $\eta'_\delta(t) \in A\xi_\delta(t)$ for almost all t in $[0, T]$ and by Proposition 4.5.

Now consider the product situation. We can write $\xi_\delta = (\xi_{1,\delta}, \xi_{2,\delta}) \in \mathfrak{R}^p \times \mathfrak{R}^{d-p}$ given by the differential inclusion

$$(18) \quad \xi'_{1,\delta}(t) = b_1(t, \xi_\delta(t)) + \sigma_1 B'_\delta(t), \quad \xi'_{2,\delta}(t) \in b_2(t, \xi_\delta(t)) - A_2 \xi_{2,\delta}(t),$$

where b_1 and b_2 are the first p and the last $d-p$ rows of b , respectively. Similarly, the dispersion σ_1 represents the p first rows of σ (the rest are by assumption zero) and A_2 denotes the $d-p$ last components of A (the p first are zero). The second expression in (18) can be rewritten as $\xi'_{2,\delta}(t) = b_2(t, \xi_\delta(t)) - \eta'_{2,\delta}(t)$, where $\eta'_{2,\delta}(t) \in A_2 \xi_{2,\delta}(t)$ for almost all t in $[0, T]$. By the linear growth condition of b (hence also of b_2) and by Proposition 4.5, $b_2(t, \xi_\delta(t))$ is almost surely uniformly bounded for $0 \leq t \leq T$ and $0 < \delta \leq T$. Hence, to conclude the proposition we only need to show that $\sup_{0 < \delta \leq T} |\xi'_{2,\delta}|_{L^\infty}$ is finite. By (18) we get

$$|\xi_{2,\delta}(t) - \xi_{2,\delta}(s)|^2 = 2 \int_s^t \langle \xi_{2,\delta}(u) - \xi_{2,\delta}(s), b_2(u, \xi_\delta(u)) - \eta'_{2,\delta}(u) \rangle du, \quad s < t$$

(here, the norm $|\cdot|$ and inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ represent the usual norm and inner product in \mathfrak{R}^{d-p} , respectively). Since $b_2(s, \xi_\delta(s)) - (b_2(s, \xi_\delta(s)) - A_2(\xi_\delta)_2(s)) \in A_2 \xi_{2,\delta}(s)$ for almost all s in $[0, T]$, we obtain, by adding and subtracting terms,

$$|\xi_{2,\delta}(t) - \xi_{2,\delta}(s)|^2 \leq 2 \int_s^t \langle \xi_{2,\delta}(u) - \xi_{2,\delta}(s), b_2(u, \xi_\delta(u)) - b_2(s, \xi_\delta(s)) \rangle du \\ + 2 \int_s^t \langle \xi_{2,\delta}(u) - \xi_{2,\delta}(s), b_2(s, \xi_\delta(s)) - A_2(\xi_{2,\delta}(s))^0 \rangle du.$$

Proposition 4.5 of boundedness of ξ_δ and the assumption that $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is closed yield that $\{\xi_{2,\delta}(s)\}_{0 \leq s \leq T, 0 < \delta \leq T}$ belongs to a compact subset of $\mathcal{D}(A_2)$; consequently, by the assumption on A^0 , $\{(A_2 \xi_{2,\delta}(s))^0\}_{0 \leq s \leq T, \delta > 0}$ is bounded. Finally, by using the inequality $|(y - A_2 x)^0| \leq |y| + |(A_2 x)^0|$, the linear growth assumption of b and Proposition 4.5, we obtain

$$|\xi_{2,\delta}(t) - \xi_{2,\delta}(s)|^2 \leq C \int_s^t |\xi_{2,\delta}(u) - \xi_{2,\delta}(s)| du$$

which by Brézis [3], lemme A.5, gives $|\xi_{2,\delta}(t) - \xi_{2,\delta}(s)| \leq C(t-s)$, and hence $\sup_{0 < \delta \leq T} \|\eta'_{2,\delta}\|_{L^\infty}$ is finite. ■

5. Convergence in mean square. In this section we show that, in the linear growth case or in the product situation, the convergence of ξ_δ to ξ is also, in mean square, uniform on compacts. First we need a boundedness condition for ξ_δ similar to (6).

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let ξ_δ be given by the differential inclusion (8). Assume either A satisfies the linear growth condition (16) or we have the product situation (17). Then $\sup_{0 < \delta \leq T} E \|\xi_\delta\|_T^2$ is finite.*

Proof. Introduce stopping times $\tau_\delta^N = \inf\{0 \leq t \leq T: |\xi_\delta(t)| \geq N\}$ (equal to T if the corresponding set is empty) and use similar arguments to those in the proof of Proposition 4.5 applied to the processes $\xi_\delta^N(\cdot) = \xi_\delta(\cdot \wedge \tau_\delta^N)$ and $\eta_\delta^N(\cdot) = \eta_\delta(\cdot \wedge \tau_\delta^N)$. Then we find that $E \|\xi_\delta^N\|_T^2$ is bounded by a constant independent of N and δ . Since ξ_δ is continuous almost surely, $\|\xi_\delta^N\|_T \rightarrow \|\xi_\delta\|_T$ as $N \uparrow \infty$ almost surely, and hence we can deduce by Fatou's lemma that also $E \|\xi_\delta\|_T^2$ is bounded by a constant. ■

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Assume that ξ is a solution to (4) and let ξ_δ be given by (8). Then under the linear growth condition (16) of A ,*

$$(19) \quad E \|\xi_\delta - \xi\|_T^2 = O((\delta \log \delta^{-1})^{1/2})$$

and for the product situation (17),

$$(20) \quad E \|\xi_\delta - \xi\|_T^2 = O(\delta \log \delta^{-1})$$

for small $\delta > 0$.

Proof. By a modification of the proof of Lemma 4.2 we have

$$|\xi_\delta(t) - \xi(t)|^2 \leq |\sigma B_\delta(t) - \sigma B(t)|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \langle \xi_\delta(s) - \xi(s), b(s, \xi_\delta(s)) - b(s, \xi(s)) \rangle ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2 \int_0^t \langle (\sigma B_\delta(t) - \sigma B(t)) - (\sigma B_\delta(s) - \sigma B(s)), b(s, \xi_\delta(s)) - b(s, \xi(s)) \rangle ds \\
& + 2 \int_0^t \langle (\sigma B_\delta(t) - \sigma B(t)) - (\sigma B_\delta(s) - \sigma B(s)), d(\eta_\delta - \eta)(s) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider first the linear growth case. By arguments as in the proof of Lemma 4.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\xi_\delta - \xi\|_t^2 & \leq (1 + 2T) \|\sigma B_\delta - \sigma B\|_T^2 \\
& + 4 \|\sigma B_\delta - \sigma B\|_T \left(\int_0^T |\eta'_\delta(s)| ds + \int_0^T |\eta'(s)| ds \right) + 2(L + L^2) \int_0^t \|\xi_\delta - \xi\|_s^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

For small δ ,

$$E \|\sigma B_\delta - \sigma B\|_T^2 \leq C\delta \log \delta^{-1}$$

(see e.g. Pettersson [10]) and by the linear growth assumption of A , the boundedness (6) of $\xi(\cdot)$ and Proposition 5.1,

$$\sup_{0 < \delta \leq T} \int_0^T E |\eta'_\delta(s)| ds + \int_0^T E |\eta'(s)| ds$$

is finite. Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and Bellman-Gronwall's inequality then give (19).

For the product situation we have

$$\|\xi_\delta - \xi\|_t^2 \leq (1 + 2T) \|\sigma B_\delta - \sigma B\|_T^2 + 2(L + L^2) \int_0^t \|\xi_\delta - \xi\|_s^2 ds,$$

which, by arguments as in the linear growth case, gives (20). ■

Remark 5.3. If (16) or (17) is satisfied, then $\|\xi_\delta - \xi\|_T^2$ is almost surely $O((\delta \log 1/\delta)^{1/2})$ or $O(\delta \log 1/\delta)$, respectively. This is easily seen by a trivial modification of the proof of Proposition 5.2 and by using the well-known modulus of continuity for the Brownian motion. ■

6. Applications. In this section we give some examples where it may be useful to consider multivalued stochastic differential equations by using approximative differential inclusions. In the first example, A satisfies a linear growth condition, and in the second one, a product situation is described.

EXAMPLE 6.1 (Coulomb damping). An equation for describing a mechanical system with both linear viscous damping and friction is as follows:

$$(21) \quad m\ddot{x} + \beta\dot{x} + r\eta_2(\dot{x}) + kx = f, \quad m, r, \beta, k > 0,$$

where f is an excitation force instantly assumed to be piecewise continuous. Further, it is assumed that $\eta_2(\dot{x}) \in A_2(\dot{x})$ for the maximal monotone set-valued

map A_2 from \mathfrak{R} to \mathfrak{R} defined by

$$A_2(z) = \begin{cases} \text{sign } z & \text{if } z \neq 0, \\ [-1, 1] & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$$

For simplicity, we assume the mass m is equal to 1. We rewrite the second degree system (21) as a first degree system: for $u = (u_1, u_2)$ in \mathfrak{R}^2 let

$$b(t, u) = \begin{pmatrix} u_2 \\ -ku_1 - \beta u_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and let A be the maximal monotone map given by $Au = \{(0, y) : y \in rA_2(u_2)\}$. Then, with $u = (x, \dot{x})$, the second order equation (21) may be reformulated as a differential inclusion

$$(22) \quad u'(t) \in b(u(t)) - Au(t) - \sigma f(t).$$

Since A^0 is bounded on compacts (we even have $|A^0 u| \leq 1$ for all u in \mathfrak{R}^2), it follows by Remark 2.5 that there exists a unique solution $u(\cdot)$ to (22) such that

$$(23) \quad \frac{d^+ u}{dt} = \{b(u(t)) - Au(t) + \sigma f(t+0)\}^0.$$

For given u , $\{b(u) - A(u) + \sigma f(t+0)\}^0$ can be written in the form

$$(u_2, \{f(t+0) - ku_1 - \beta u_2 - rA_2(u_2)\}^0).$$

For $u_2 \neq 0$,

$$\{f(t+0) - ku_1 - \beta u_2 - rA_2(u_2)\}^0 = f(t+0) - ku_1 - \beta u_2 - r \text{sign } u_2$$

and for $u_2 = 0$,

$$\{f(t+0) - ku_1 - rA_2(u_2)\}^0 = \{f(t+0) - ku_1 - r[-1, 1]\}^0,$$

i.e.

$$(24) \quad \{f(t+0) - ku_1 - rA_2(u_2)\}^0 = \begin{cases} f(t+0) - ku_1 + r & \text{if } f(t+0) - ku_1 < -r, \\ 0 & \text{if } |f(t+0) - ku_1| \leq r, \\ f(t+0) - ku_1 - r & \text{if } f(t+0) - ku_1 > r. \end{cases}$$

In fact, it is (23) and (24) which usually characterize the Coulomb damping (cf. Krée [7], Chapter XIV.2). If the piecewise continuous f is replaced by Gaussian white noise \dot{B} , it seems useful to consider a solution to (21) as the limit as $\delta \downarrow 0$ of solutions to (21) with $f = B'_\delta$. For more details about this particular example see e.g. Jogr us [5], Example 1.5. ■

EXAMPLE 6.2 (bilinear hysteresis and earthquakes). Let $f: [0, T] \mapsto \mathfrak{R}^d$, as in the previous example, be piecewise continuous. Assume $-f$ describes an acceleration in a given direction generated by an earthquake. Suppose this acceleration influences a structure to be deformed x units in this direction.

Let $-R$ be the restoring force produced by the deformation. If we take the mass equal one, the equation of motion is usually written as

$$(25) \quad \ddot{x} + 2h\dot{x} + R = f, \quad x(0) = \dot{x}(0) = f(0) = 0,$$

where the constant $h > 0$ characterizes the structural damping. In this example we assume bilinear hysteresis. Then R may be written in the form

$$(26) \quad R = \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)z,$$

where α is a fixed constant in $[0, 1]$ and $z(\cdot)$ is an almost surely absolutely continuous process with

$$(27) \quad z' \in \dot{x} - A_3 z,$$

where A_3 is the outwards directed normal cone for the set $[-1, 1]$ explicitly written as

$$(28) \quad A_3 z = \begin{cases} [0, \infty) & \text{if } z = 1, \\ \{0\} & \text{if } -1 < z < 1, \\ (-\infty, 0] & \text{if } z = -1. \end{cases}$$

Heuristically we may think as follows: if $\alpha = 1$, the structure is elastic, and if $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, we have an elasto-plastic system. In the latter case the structure is elastic when $|z| < 1$ (i.e. $-(1 - \alpha) + \alpha x < R < 1 - \alpha + \alpha x$) and permanently deformed when $z = 1$ and $\dot{x} > 0$ or $z = -1$ and $\dot{x} < 0$ (i.e. when $R = 1 - \alpha + \alpha x$ and $\dot{x} > 0$ or $R = -(1 - \alpha) + \alpha x$ and $\dot{x} < 0$).

It may be more convenient to rewrite the equation (25) with the conditions (26)–(28) into a first degree system. Put $u = (x, \dot{x}, z)$,

$$b(u) = \begin{pmatrix} u_2 \\ -\alpha u_1 - 2hu_2 - (1 - \alpha)u_3 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let A be the outwards directed normal on the boundary of the set $G = \mathbb{R}^2 \times [-1, 1]$, i.e.

$$Au = \{(0, 0, y) : y \in A_3 z\}.$$

Then

$$(29) \quad u'(t) \in b(u(t)) + \sigma f(t) - Au(t).$$

Remark 2.5 gives $d^+ u/dt = \{\sigma f(t+0) - Au(t)\}^0$. This means, in particular, that

$$\frac{d^+ z}{dt} = \{\dot{x} - A_3 z\}^0,$$

i.e.

$$(30) \quad \frac{d^+ z}{dt}(t) = \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) I_{\{\dot{x}(t) < 0\}} & \text{if } z(t) = 1, \\ \dot{x}(t) & \text{if } -1 < z(t) < 1, \\ \dot{x}(t) I_{\{\dot{x}(t) > 0\}} & \text{if } z(t) = -1. \end{cases}$$

If f is replaced by a Gaussian white noise \dot{B} , it seems again plausible to consider a solution to (25)–(28) as the limit (as $\delta \downarrow 0$) of solutions ξ_0 to (29) with $f = B'_\delta$. For more details about bilinear hysteresis and earthquakes see e.g. Krée [6] or Krée and Soize [7], Chapter XIV. ■

7. Product situation and space dependent dispersion. We consider a Wong–Zakai result for a product situation where $\sigma = \sigma(x)$. We use ideas from Wong and Zakai's original papers [13] and [14].

EXAMPLE 7.1. With notations as those to the proof of Proposition 4.6, we consider the differential inclusion

$$(31) \quad \xi'_{1,\delta}(t) = b_1(\xi_\delta(t)) + \sigma_1(\xi_{1,\delta}(t)) B'_\delta(t), \quad \xi'_{2,\delta}(t) \in b_2(\xi_\delta(t)) - A_2 \xi_{2,\delta}(t),$$

$\xi_\delta(0) = x_0$, where $p = m = 1$. Here, $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ as well as $b = (b_1, b_2)$ are assumed to be Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant L . Note that we furthermore assume that σ_1 depends on ξ_δ only through $\xi_{1,\delta}$. A solution to (31) can be constructed inductively by [2], corollaire 1.3, since on each interval $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$, $A - b - \sigma B_\delta + L(1 + |\sigma B'_\delta|)I$ is maximal monotone, where $Ix = x$ for $x \in \mathfrak{R}^d$. We assume there exists some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varepsilon \leq \sigma_1(x) \leq 1/\varepsilon$. Let

$$F(x) = \int_0^x \frac{dy}{\sigma_1(y)}, \quad x \in \mathfrak{R},$$

and $\tilde{\xi}_\delta = (\tilde{\xi}_{1,\delta}, \tilde{\xi}_{2,\delta})$, where $\tilde{\xi}_{1,\delta} = F(\xi_{1,\delta})$ and $\tilde{\xi}_{2,\delta} = \xi_{2,\delta}$. Then

$$\tilde{\xi}'_{1,\delta}(t) = \tilde{b}_1(\tilde{\xi}_\delta(t)) + B'_\delta(t), \quad \tilde{\xi}'_{2,\delta}(t) \in \tilde{b}_2(\tilde{\xi}_\delta(t)) - A_2 \tilde{\xi}_{2,\delta}(t),$$

$$\tilde{\xi}_\delta(0) = (F^{-1}(x_{1,0}), x_{2,0}), \quad x_0 = (x_{1,0}, x_{2,0}),$$

where $\tilde{b}_1(x, y) = b_1(F^{-1}(x), y)/\sigma(F^{-1}(x))$ and $\tilde{b}_2(x, y) = b_2(F^{-1}(x), y)$ for $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}^{d-1}$. Since also the \tilde{b}_i 's are Lipschitz continuous, by Proposition 4.6, $\tilde{\xi}_\delta \rightarrow \tilde{\xi}$ uniformly on $[0, T]$ almost surely, where

$$d\tilde{\xi}_1(t) = \tilde{b}_1(\tilde{\xi}(t)) dt + dB(t), \quad d\tilde{\xi}_2(t) \in \tilde{b}_2(\tilde{\xi}(t)) dt - A_2 \tilde{\xi}_2 dt.$$

By the continuity of F^{-1} , $\xi_\delta = (F^{-1}(\tilde{\xi}_{1,\delta}), \tilde{\xi}_{2,\delta})$ converges (almost surely) uniformly on $[0, T]$ to $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$, where $\xi_1 = F^{-1}(\tilde{\xi}_1)$ and $\xi_2 = \tilde{\xi}_2$. By Itô's formula,

$$d\xi_1(t) = b_1(\xi(t)) dt + \sigma_1(\xi_1(t)) \circ dB(t),$$

$$d\xi_2(t) \in b_2(\xi(t)) dt - A_2 \xi_2 dt,$$

$\xi(0) = x_0$, where \circ denotes Stratonovich integration. A similar convergence result can be obtained for Example 6.2, with space dependent σ . However, the above arguments do go through for one-dimensional multivalued stochastic differential equations with a linear growth condition on A . ■

REFERENCES

- [1] J. P. Aubin and A. Cellina, *Differential Inclusions*, Springer 1984.
- [2] P. Benilan and H. Brézis, *Solutions faibles d'équations d'évolution dans les espaces de Hilbert*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 22 (1972), pp. 311–329.
- [3] H. Brézis, *Opérateurs maximaux monotones et semigroupes de contractions dans les espaces de Hilbert*, North-Holland, 1973.
- [4] N. Ikeda and S. Watanabe, *Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes*, Kodansha Ltd., 1981.
- [5] C. Jøgræus, *Methods for Analysis of Switching Stochastic Differential Equations*, Thesis, Dep. Mathematical Statistics, Lund University, 1991.
- [6] P. Krée, *Diffusion equation for multivalued stochastic differential equations*, J. Funct. Anal. 49 (1982), pp. 73–90.
- [7] — and C. Soize, *Mathematics of Random Phenomena*, Reidel Publishing Company, 1986.
- [8] V. Lakshmikantham and S. Leela, *Nonlinear Differential Equations in Abstract Spaces*, Pergamon, 1981.
- [9] I. Miyadera, *Nonlinear Semigroups*, Transl. Math. Monograph 109 (1992).
- [10] R. Pettersson, *Approximations for stochastic differential equations with reflecting convex boundaries*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 59 (1995), pp. 295–308.
- [11] — *Yosida approximations for multivalued stochastic differential equations*, Stochastics 52 (1995), pp. 107–120.
- [12] H. Tanaka, *Stochastic differential equations with reflecting boundary condition in convex regions*, Hiroshima Math. J. 9 (1979), pp. 163–177.
- [13] E. Wong and M. Zakai, *On the convergence of ordinary integrals to stochastic integrals*, Ann. Math. Statist. 36 (1965), pp. 1560–1564.
- [14] — *On the relation between ordinary and stochastic differential equations*, Internat. J. Engng. Sci. 3 (1965), pp. 213–229.

Department of Statistics
University of North Carolina
Chapel Hill, N.C. 27599-3260, U.S.A.
roger@stat.unc.edu

Received on 17.8.1995;
revised version on 9.9.1996

