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#### Abstract

We consider the increasing sequence of non-intersecting monotone decreasing step processes $Y_{n}^{*}(t), n=1,2, \ldots(t>0)$, whose jump points cover all the points of the homogeneous rate 1 Poisson process on the quadrant $R_{+}^{2}$. We derive properties of these processes, in particular the marginal distributions $\boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{n}^{*}(t)>x\right)$, in terms of a Toeplitz determinant of some modified Bessel functions. Our system provides a new view of the Hammersley interacting particle system discussed by Aldous and Diaconis, and the distributions we derive are related to the distribution of the length of the longest ascending sequence in a random permutation.
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## 1. LAYERING OF THE PLANAR POISSON PROCESS IN THE QUADRANT

In a previous paper [11] we have studied a stochastic process $Y^{*}(t)$ which we called the Poisson hyperbolic staircase. One way to define $Y^{*}(t)$ is to consider a homogeneous planar Poisson process in the positive quadrant, and let $Y^{*}(t)$ be the supremum of all decreasing (we use the term in the weak sense of non-increasing) functions which have no points of the Poisson process below them. In fact, $Y^{*}(t)$ defined in this way will be a right continuous with left limits (RCLL) decreasing step function, which passes through a two-sided infinite sequence of Poisson points (which are its values wherever it jumps down), $\ldots,\left(x_{-1}, y_{-1}\right),\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right),\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \ldots$, with $x_{j}$ increasing, $y_{j}$ decreasing, and these points are exactly all the points of the planar Poisson process in the quadrant which have no other points in the rectangle between them and the origin.

[^0]in this paper we study a sequence of processes, $Y_{n}^{*}(t), n=1,2, \ldots$, associated with the same roisson process on the quadrant, which are defined inductively as follows: We let $Y_{1}^{*}(t)=Y^{*}(t)$, and let $Y_{n}^{*}(t)$ be the supremum of all decreasing functions which are above $Y_{n-1}^{*}(t)$, with no points of the Poisson process below them and above $Y_{n-1}^{*}(t)$. Again $Y_{n}^{*}(t)$ is an RCLL decreasing step function which passes through a two-sided infinite sequence of Poisson points, ordered by increasing $x$ and decreasing $y$, such that these points are exactly those points of the process for which the rectangle between them and the origin contains only points of the lower lines $Y_{j}^{*}(t), j<n$. We can think of these step functions as dividing the points of the planar Poisson process into layers, where layer $n$ contains all the Poisson points $(x, y)$ which are just above' the previous layer. Clearly, this layering covers all the points of the planar Poisson process in the quadrant. Following terminology from [11] we call the process $Y_{n}^{*}(t)$ the $n$-th Poisson hyperbolic staircase or, alternatively, the n-th layer process; see Fig. 1.1.

Consider the time $t$ and the height interval $(0, x)$, and let $0<Y_{1}^{*}(t)<\ldots<$ $Y_{n}^{*}(t)<x<Y_{n+1}^{*}(t)$. Then $\left(Y_{1}^{*}(t), \ldots, Y_{n}^{*}(t)\right)$ are the locations of particles at the time $t$, on the interval $(0, x)$, in the Hammersley interacting particle system, starting from empty at time 0 as defined by Aldous and Diaconis [2], [3].

In Section 2 we consider the vector Markov process $\left(Y_{1}(t), \ldots, Y_{n}(t)\right)$, $t \geqslant 0$, conditional on the starting values $\left(Y_{1}(0), \ldots, Y_{n}(0)\right)=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ or, equivalently (in terms of distribution), $\left(Y_{1}^{*}(s+t), \ldots, Y_{n}^{*}(s+t)\right)$ conditional on $\left(Y_{1}^{*}(s), \ldots, Y_{n}^{*}(s)\right)=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$. For this process we derive the conditional probability that $Y_{n}(t)$ remains at the level $y_{n}$ until time $t$. This probability satisfies a renewal type equation, and most of the section is devoted to obtaining its solution. The solution is expressed in terms of determinants involving modified Bessel functions.

The probability derived in Section 2 can be used to express various conditional joint probabilities involving $Y_{1}(\cdot), \ldots, Y_{n}(\cdot), \ldots$ In particular, it is the key to obtain the distribution of $Y_{n}^{*}(t)$, the $n$th layer process, for which we have, loosely speaking, the initial state $Y_{1}^{*}(0)=\ldots=Y_{n}^{*}(0)=\infty$. The marginal distribution of $Y_{n}^{*}(t)$ is found in Section 3. We obtain $P\left(Y_{n}^{*}(t)>x\right)$ as a determinant of a Toeplitz matrix of modified Bessel functions, obtained from our previous formula of Bessel determinants by substituting $y_{1}=\ldots=y_{n}=x$. This formula is a special case of a formula derived by Gessel [7], through combinatorial methods. It appears implicitly as formula (1.6) in [9]; see also formula (11) in [3].

One motivation to study the layer process $Y_{n}^{*}(t), n=1,2, \ldots$, is its relation to an old combinatorial problem of Ulam and Hammersley; see e.g. Hammersley [8]. Let $L_{k}$ denote the length of the longest ascending subsequence in a random permutation of $1, \ldots, k$. "Random" means here that all permutations $\pi$ are equally probable with probability $1 / k!$; set $L_{0}=0$. Hammersley noted that $L_{k}$ also equals the length of the longest ascending sequence of points


Fig. 1.1. Layering of a Poisson point process on the quadrant; - Poisson point
among $k$ points uniformly and independently thrown onto rectangle $[0, t] \times[0, x]$. On the other hand, $\boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{n}^{*}(t)>x\right)$ is the probability that the longest ascending sequence of points of the planar Poisson process in the rectangle $[0, t] \times[0, x]$ has length less than $n$. From this observation one immediately obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{n}^{*}(t)>x\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{P}\left(L_{k}<n\right) \frac{(t x)^{k}}{k!} e^{-t x} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hammersley has conjectured that $E L_{n} \sim 2 \sqrt{n}$. This conjecture was proved by using analytic methods by Vershik and Kerov [14] and Logan and Shepp [12]. Aldous and Diaconis [2] obtained a probabilistic proof by defining the Hammersley interacting particle system and deriving its asymptotics. A different approach was used by Johansson [9], who has expressed $\boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{n}^{*}(t)>x\right)$ in terms of eigenvalues of random unitary matrices and used it in a study of the asymptotic properties of $\boldsymbol{P}\left(L_{k}=n\right)$. Some further results about $L_{n}$ appeared in [4], [5], [2].

We return now to equation (1.1). We see immediately that $Y_{n}^{*}(\cdot)$, $n=1,2, \ldots$, have the scaling property

$$
\boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{n}^{*}(t)>x\right)=\boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{n}^{*}(1)>t x\right)
$$

and, in particular,

$$
\boldsymbol{E}\left(Y_{n}^{*}(t)^{l}\right)=\frac{\boldsymbol{E}\left(Y_{n}^{*}(1)\right)^{l}}{t^{l}}
$$

We can also easily derive from (1.1) the distributions of the first and second layer processes: Clearly, $P\left(Y_{1}^{*}(t)>x\right)=e^{-t x}$, and since $P\left(L_{k} \leqslant 1\right)=1 / k!$, we get for $n=2$

$$
P\left(Y_{2}^{*}(t)>x\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t x)^{k}}{k!k!} e^{-t x}=e^{-t x} I_{0}(2 \sqrt{t x})
$$

where $I_{0}(x)=\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\left[(x / 2)^{2 s}\right] / s!s!$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind, of order 0 .

In Section 4 we use the layer processes to study maximal ascending subsequences in random permutations. Our results provide a way to calculate $\boldsymbol{P}\left(L_{k}=n\right)$ which is an alternative to the method of Schensted [13] which used Young tableaux. We also do some numerical calculations.

## 2. THE TIME TO THE FIRST JUMP OF THE $n$ TH LINE

In this section we consider the jointly distributed vector process $\left(Y_{1}(t), \ldots, Y_{n}(t)\right)$, which is a continuous time Markov jump process in $R_{+}^{n}$. Each $Y_{i}(t)$ is a decreasing RCLL step process and $Y_{1}(t) \leqslant Y_{2}(t) \leqslant \ldots \leqslant Y_{n}(t)$. If $Y_{1}(t)=y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}(t)=y_{n}$, then the nearest transition of the vector process $\left(Y_{1}(\cdot), \ldots, Y_{n}(\cdot)\right)$ is with rate $y_{n}$ and this transition is a jump downwards of the $k$ th coordinate with probability $\left(y_{k}-y_{k-1}\right) / y_{n}$ (for convenience, we write $y_{0}=0$ ), and the jump of $Y_{k}(\cdot)$ is to a value $u$ which is uniformly distributed over $y_{k-1}<u<y_{k}$. Equivalently, the time to the next transition is a random variable $\tau \sim \exp \left(y_{n}\right)$, and the transition generates a random value $u \sim U\left(0, y_{n}\right)$, which is the new level of $Y_{k}(\cdot)$, where $y_{k-1}<u<y_{k}$ (neglecting the null event $u=y_{k}$ ).

It is easily seen that the process $\left(Y_{1}(t), \ldots, Y_{n}(t)\right)$ with the initial condition $Y_{1}(s)=y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}(s)=y_{n}$ can also be generated as the first $n$ layers of a planar Poisson process of rate 1 in the strip $(s, \infty) \times\left(0, y_{n}\right)$, which by definition is $\left(Y_{1}^{*}(s+t), \ldots, Y_{n}^{*}(s+t)\right)$ conditional on $\left(Y_{1}^{*}(s), \ldots, Y_{n}^{*}(s)\right)=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$.

We use the notation $P_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}}(\cdot)$ for the probability of an event defined by $Y_{1}(t), \ldots, Y_{n}(t)$ with the initial condition $Y_{1}(0)=y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}(0)=y_{n}$ and we denote by $\boldsymbol{E}_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}}(\cdot)$ the corresponding expectation operator.

We wish to calculate the probability $\boldsymbol{P}_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}}\left(Y(t)=y_{n}\right)$ that $Y_{n}(\cdot)$ is still at the height $y_{n}$ by time $t$. If we denote by $T_{0}^{n}$ the time to the first downwards jump of the $n$th line, this event is equivalent to $\left\{T_{0}^{n}>t\right\}$. We denote the probability of these equivalent events by

$$
\bar{F}_{n}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \boldsymbol{P}_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}}\left(Y_{n}(t)=y_{n}\right)=\boldsymbol{P}_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}}\left(T_{0}^{n}>t\right)
$$

2.1. An integral renewal type equation. We begin with a lemma which shows that $\bar{F}_{n}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ fulfills a renewal type integral equation.

Lemma 2.1. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}_{n}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=\exp \left(-y_{n} t\right)\left(1+\int_{0}^{t} \exp \left(y_{n} s\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{y_{k-1}}^{y_{k}} \bar{F}_{n}\left(s, y_{1}, \ldots, v, y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right) d v d s\right) .
$$

Proof. Consider the processes $Y_{1}(t), \ldots, Y_{n}(t)$ starting at time 0 from $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$. They will remain at those levels until the first (leftmost) Poisson point in the strip $(0, \infty) \times\left(0, y_{n}\right)$. Let $(\tau, u)$ denote the coordinates of this first point. Recall that $\tau$ and $u$ are independent, with $\tau \sim \exp \left(y_{n}\right), u \sim U\left(0, y_{n}\right)$, that is

$$
\boldsymbol{P}(\tau \in d s, u \in d v)=y_{n} \exp \left(-y_{n} s\right) d s \frac{1}{y_{n}} d v
$$

If $\tau>t$, then at time $t$ we will still have $Y_{1}(t)=y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n-1}(t)=y_{n-1}$ and $Y_{n}(t)=y_{n}$, and so $\left\{T_{0}^{n}>t\right\}$. If $\tau \leqslant t$, and $y_{n-1}<u<y_{n}$, then $Y_{n}(\tau)=u$, and certainly $\left\{T_{0}^{n} \leqslant t\right\}$. If $\tau \leqslant t$, and $y_{k-1}<u<y_{k}$ for $k=1, \ldots, n-1$, then $Y_{k}(\tau)=u$ while $Y_{j}(\tau)=Y_{j}(0), j \neq k$, and $\left\{T_{0}^{n}>\tau\right\}$. In fact, conditional on such values of $(\tau, u)$, the probability that $Y_{n}(t)=y_{n}$ is the probability that, starting with initial values $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k-1}, u, y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}$, at time $\tau$, the $n$th line will not drop below $y_{n}$ for the remaining $t-\tau$ time, which is $\bar{F}_{n}\left(t-\tau, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k-1}, u, y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{F}_{n}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right) \\
&= \exp \left(-y_{n} t\right)+\int_{0}^{t} y_{n} \exp \left(-y_{n} s\right) \\
& \times \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{y_{k-1}}^{y_{k}} \bar{F}_{n}\left(t-s, y_{1}, \ldots, v, y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right) \frac{1}{y_{n}} d v d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Cancel $y_{n}$ with $1 / y_{n}$, and substitute $s$ for $t-s$, to obtain the renewal type integral equation (2.1).

Define

$$
\Delta=\left\{\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right): 0 \leqslant t, 0 \leqslant x_{1} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant x_{n}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\Delta_{T}=\left\{\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right): 0 \leqslant t \leqslant T, 0 \leqslant x_{1} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant x_{n} \leqslant T\right\} .
$$

Let $C\left(\Delta_{T}\right)$ and $C(\Delta)$ be the sets of bounded and continuous functions $f: \Delta_{T} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ and $f: \Delta \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$, respectively. Define the mapping

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(f)\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
= & \exp \left(-x_{n} t\right)\left(1+\int_{0}^{t} \exp \left(x_{n} s\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} f\left(s, x_{1}, \ldots, v, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) d v d s\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $\Phi: C\left(\Delta_{T}\right) \rightarrow C\left(\Delta_{T}\right)$. In $C\left(\Delta_{T}\right)$ we introduce the supremum metric $\varrho_{T}(f, g)$ defined by

$$
\varrho_{T}(f, g)=\max _{\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in A_{T}}\left|f\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)-g\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right| .
$$

The space $C\left(\Delta_{T}\right)$ with metric $\varrho_{T}$ is complete, and therefore we can use the Banach fixed point theorem.

Lemma 2.2. The mapping $\Phi: C(\Delta) \rightarrow C(\Delta)$ has a unique fixed point.
Proof. We first show that $\Phi: C\left(\Delta_{T}\right) \rightarrow C\left(\Delta_{T}\right)$ is a contraction. We have for $f, g \in C\left(\Delta_{T}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varrho_{T}(\Phi(f), \Phi(g)) & \leqslant \max _{\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \Delta_{T}}\left|\exp \left(-x_{n} t\right) \int_{0}^{t} \exp \left(x_{n} s\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} \varrho_{T}(f, g) d v d s\right| \\
& \leqslant\left(1-\exp \left(-T^{2}\right)\right) \varrho_{T}(f, g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by the Banach fixed point theorem (see e.g. Kolmogorov and Fomin [10]), the mapping $\Phi$ has a unique fixed point $f_{T}\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ in $C\left(\Delta_{T}\right)$. Note that for $T<T^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{T}\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=f_{T^{\prime}}\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \quad \text { for }\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in C\left(\Delta_{T}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now show that there exists a unique $f \in C(\Delta)$ such that $\Phi(f)=f$. Let $f \in C(\Delta)$ be defined as follows. For $\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \Delta_{T}$ we take $T \geqslant \max \left(t, x_{n}\right)$ and set

$$
f\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=f_{T}\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

By the consistency statement (2.2), $f$ is well defined, and it is clearly a fixed point of $\Phi$. Suppose now that there exists another fixed point $g$ of $\Phi$. Then its restriction $g_{T}$ to $\Delta_{T}$ is also a fixed point of $\Phi: C\left(\Delta_{T}\right) \rightarrow C\left(\Delta_{T}\right)$. Thus $g_{T}=f_{T}$ for all $T \geqslant 0$. This means that $f$ is the unique fixed point of $\Phi$.

From Proposition 2.2 we conclude immediately that the integral equation (2.1) has a unique solution.

Notice that from the definition of $Y_{1}(t), \ldots, Y_{n}(t)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}_{n}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=\boldsymbol{P}_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}}\left(Y_{n}(t)=y_{n}\right)=\mathbb{P}_{y_{1} t, \ldots, y_{n} t}\left(Y_{n}(1)=y_{n} t\right) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To understand the next substitution we show in the following lemma another probabilistic representation for $\bar{F}_{n}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$.

Lemma 2.3. We have

$$
\bar{F}_{n}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=\exp \left(-y_{n} t\right) E_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}} \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} Y_{n-1}(s) d s\right)
$$

Proof. Let $A$ be the event that the rectangle $[0, t] \times\left[0, y_{n}\right]$ contains no points apart from those belonging to layers $Y_{1}(t), \ldots, Y_{n-1}(t)$. The conditional
probability of this event upon the whole realization of $Y_{n-1}$ is

$$
\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t}\left(y_{n}-Y_{n-1}(s)\right) d s\right)
$$

Taking the expectation completes the proof.
Define now

$$
H_{n}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=E_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}}\left(\exp \int_{0}^{1} Y_{n}(s) d s\right)
$$

By Lemma 2.3 it is easy to see that $\bar{F}_{n}$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}_{n}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right)=\exp \left(-y_{n} t\right) H_{n-1}\left(y_{1} t, \ldots, y_{n-1} t\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.4) into (2.1) we obtain an integral equation for $H_{m}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{m}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& \quad=1+\int_{0}^{1} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} H_{m}\left(x_{1} \tau, \ldots, x_{k-1} \tau, u \tau, x_{k+1} \tau, \ldots, x_{m} \tau\right) d u d \tau
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 2.4. Equation (2.5) has a unique solution.
Proof. The proof follows, in view of substitution (2.4), from the fact that (2.1) has a unique solution.
2.2. A multivariate partial differential equation related to a Bessel equation. Before we present the solution $H_{m}$, we do some preliminary exploration which helps us to guess the solution. Manipulation of equation (2.1) leads to a partial differential equation for $\bar{F}$. The identity

$$
\bar{F}_{n}\left(t ; 0, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right)=\bar{F}_{n-1}\left(t ; y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right)
$$

provides one initial condition for that equation, and taking derivatives with respect to $y_{1}$ at $y_{1}=0$ provides another initial condition. Substitution of $H$ for $\bar{F}$ yields finally the following equations (we skip the details):

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\partial^{m-1}}{\prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^{m} \partial x_{j}}\left(x_{k} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{k}^{2}}\right. & H_{m}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)  \tag{2.6}\\
& \left.+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} H_{m}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)-H_{m}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

We seek solutions in the region $0 \leqslant x_{1} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant x_{m}$, which satisfy the following initial conditions in terms of the lower dimensional functions (here the 0 -dimensional $H_{0}$ is 1 ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{m}\left(0, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=H_{m-1}\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} H_{m}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)\right|_{x_{1}=0}  \tag{2.8}\\
&=H_{m-1}\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)-\sum_{j=2}^{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} H_{m-1}\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We try to solve this by separation of variables. We look for solutions of the form $H_{m}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{m} \xi_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)$, for which the equation reads:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \prod_{j \neq k} \xi_{j}^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right)\left(x_{k} \xi_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right)+\xi_{k}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)-\xi_{k}\left(x_{k}\right)\right)=0 .
$$

This leads to the Bessel equation

$$
x_{k} \xi_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right)+\left(1-\theta_{k}\right) \xi_{k}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)-\xi_{k}\left(x_{k}\right)=0
$$

whose general solution is of the form

$$
\xi_{k}\left(x_{k}\right)=x_{k}^{\theta_{k} / 2} \mathscr{L}_{ \pm \theta_{k}}\left( \pm 2 \sqrt{x_{k}}\right)
$$

where $\mathscr{L}_{v}$ is any linear combination of $I_{v}$ and $K_{v}$, the modified Bessel functions of the first and second kind, of order $v$.

To continue searching for the solution we should now consider linear combinations of such special solutions. One can then see that any candidate solution of the form

$$
\text { 9) } \begin{align*}
& \hat{H}_{m}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)  \tag{2.9}\\
= & I_{0}\left(2 \sqrt{x_{1}}\right) H_{m-1}\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)-x_{1}^{1 / 2} I_{1}\left(2 \sqrt{x_{1}}\right) \sum_{k=2}^{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} H_{m-1}\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \\
& +\sum_{\theta_{1}=2}^{\infty} x_{1}^{\theta_{1} / 2} I_{\theta_{1}}\left(2 \sqrt{x_{1}}\right) \sum_{\substack{\theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{m}: \\
\theta_{2}+\ldots+\theta_{m}=-\theta_{1}}} \prod_{k=2}^{m} x_{k}^{\theta_{k} / 2} \mathscr{L}_{\theta_{k}}\left(2 \sqrt{x_{k}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

satisfies equation (2.6) and the initial conditions, where the first term and the second term guarantee that the initial conditions (2.7) and (2.8), respectively, hold true. It turns out that for $m=1,2$ the first two terms of (2.9) give the correct solution. However, for $m=3$ the first two terms give a wrong solution, which results in $\bar{F}_{n}$ which is not a probability distribution (it becomes negative). Thus we need more information to choose the correct linear combinations $\mathscr{L}_{\theta_{k}}\left(2 \sqrt{x_{k}}\right)$, and the equation and initial conditions ((2.6), (2.7), (2.8)) are not sufficient for that. Nevertheless, the candidate solutions (2.9) do suggest a guess at the form of the general solution to (2.5), and the uniqueness of the solution enables us to verify this guess. We do so in the following section.
2.3. The solution. For convenience of the notation we introduce

$$
l_{\theta}(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} x^{\theta / 2} I_{|\theta|}(2 \sqrt{x})= \begin{cases}x^{\theta} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{s}}{s!(s+\theta)!}, & \theta=1,2, \ldots,  \tag{2.10}\\ \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{s}}{s!(s+|\theta|)!}, & \theta=0,-1,2, \ldots,\end{cases}
$$

where $I_{\theta}(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind, of order $\theta$ :

$$
I_{\theta}(x)=\left(\frac{1}{2} x\right)^{\theta} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4} x^{2}\right)^{s}}{s!(s+\theta)!}
$$

With that notation, the asymptotics of $t_{\theta}(x)$ at $x \sim 0$ are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{m}(x) \sim \frac{x^{m}}{m!}, \quad l_{-m}(x) \sim \frac{1}{m!}, \quad m=0,1, \ldots, \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\imath_{m}(x)^{\prime}=\imath_{m-1}(x), \quad m=\ldots,-1,0,1, \ldots \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write down first the solutions of $(2.5)$ for $m=1,2,3$ ( $m=0$ is written for completeness). These can be verified by substitution in (2.5). Note that the last two terms of $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ correspond to the third, undetermined, part of the right--hand side of (2.9). We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{0}= & 1, \\
H_{1}(x)= & l_{0}(x), \\
H_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)= & l_{0}\left(x_{1}\right) l_{0}\left(x_{2}\right)-l_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) l_{-1}\left(x_{2}\right), \\
H_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)= & l_{0}\left(x_{1}\right) l_{0}\left(x_{2}\right) l_{0}\left(x_{3}\right)-l_{0}\left(x_{1}\right) l_{1}\left(x_{2}\right) l_{-1}\left(x_{3}\right) \\
& -l_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) l_{-1}\left(x_{2}\right) l_{0}\left(x_{3}\right)+l_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) l_{1}\left(x_{2}\right) l_{-2}\left(x_{3}\right) \\
& +l_{2}\left(x_{1}\right) l_{-1}\left(x_{2}\right) l_{-1}\left(x_{3}\right)-l_{2}\left(x_{1}\right) l_{0}\left(x_{2}\right) l_{-2}\left(x_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above special cases suggest the general solution:
Theorem 2.5. The solution to (2.5) is

$$
H_{m}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
l_{0}\left(x_{1}\right) & i_{-1}\left(x_{2}\right) & \ldots & l_{1-m}\left(x_{m}\right)  \tag{2.13}\\
l_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) & i_{0}\left(x_{2}\right) & \ldots & i_{2-m}\left(x_{m}\right) \\
\left.\ldots \ldots()_{1}\right) & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
i_{m-1}\left(x_{1}\right) & l_{m-2}\left(x_{2}\right) & \ldots & l_{0}\left(x_{m}\right)
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Note that to calculate $H\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ we evaluate at $x_{i}$ the functions $l_{j}$ with indices $j=-i+1, \ldots, m-i$. We can expand the determinant $H_{m}$ in
(2.13) as the sum over permutations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{m}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=\sum_{\pi} \operatorname{sign}(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^{m} l_{\pi(i)-i}\left(x_{i}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall use the following notation:

- The summation $\pi$ runs over all the $m$ ! permutations of $1, \ldots, m$.
- $\operatorname{sign}(\pi)$ is the sign of the permutation, 1 for even, -1 for odd.
- $\pi(i)$ is the $i$ th element of the permutation $\pi$.
- $\pi^{-1}$ is the inverse of $\pi$, i.e. $k=\pi^{-1}(j) \Leftrightarrow \pi(k)=j$.
- $\pi^{\text {id }}$ is the identity permutation.
- Let us put $\zeta(\pi)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}(\pi(i)-i)^{+}$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(\pi) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{m}(\pi(i)-i)^{+}=\sum_{i=1}^{m}(\pi(i)-i)^{-}=\sum_{i \neq \pi^{-1}(m)}(\pi(i)-i)^{-} . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note also that for all permutations except $\pi^{\text {id }}$ we have $\zeta(\pi)>0$.
In the remainder of this section we prove Theorem 2.5 . We need to verify that (2.13) satisfies (2.5); in other words, we need to verify that the right-hand side of (2.13) is a fixed point of the mapping $\Psi$, related to the integral equation (2.5), which is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi(f)\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)  \tag{2.16}\\
& \quad \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} 1+\int_{0}^{1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} f\left(x_{1} \tau, \ldots, x_{k-1} \tau, u \tau, x_{k+1} \tau, \ldots, x_{m} \tau\right) d u\right) d \tau
\end{align*}
$$

To do so we will substitute in (2.16) the determinant of the right-hand side of (2.13).

We divide the proof of the theorem into three lemmas. In the first lemma we perform integration with respect to $u$.

Lemma 2.6. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}}\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
l_{0}\left(x_{1} \tau\right) & \ldots & l_{1-k}(u \tau) & \ldots & l_{1-m}\left(x_{m} \tau\right) \\
l_{1}\left(x_{1} \tau\right) & \ldots & l_{2-k}(u \tau) & \ldots & t_{2-m}\left(x_{m} \tau\right) \\
\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \ldots \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
l_{m-2}\left(x_{1} \tau\right) & \ldots & l_{m-k-1}(u \tau) & \ldots & l_{-1}\left(x_{m} \tau\right) \\
l_{m-1}\left(x_{1} \tau\right) & \ldots & l_{m-k}(u \tau) & \ldots & l_{0}\left(x_{m} \tau\right)
\end{array}\right| d u  \tag{2.17}\\
& =\frac{1}{\tau}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
l_{0}\left(x_{1} \tau\right) & t_{-1}\left(x_{2} \tau\right) & \ldots & l_{1-m}\left(x_{m} \tau\right) \\
l_{1}\left(x_{1} \tau\right) & l_{0}\left(x_{2} \tau\right) & \ldots & l_{2-m}\left(x_{m} \tau\right) \\
\cdots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots & \ldots \ldots & \ldots \ldots \\
l_{m-2}\left(x_{1} \tau\right) & i_{m-3}\left(x_{2} \tau\right) & \ldots & l_{-1}\left(x_{m} \tau\right) \\
l_{m}\left(x_{1} \tau\right) & l_{m-1}\left(x_{2} \tau\right) & \ldots & l_{1}\left(x_{m} \tau\right)
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{\pi} \operatorname{sign}(\pi) t_{m-\pi^{-1}(m)+1}\left(x_{\pi^{-1}(m)} \tau\right) \prod_{i \neq \pi^{-1}(m)} i_{\pi(i)-i}\left(x_{i} \tau\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We rewrite (2.17) according to the expansion (2.14) and integrate using (2.12):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} \sum_{\pi} \operatorname{sign}(\pi) l_{\pi(k)-k}(u \tau) \prod_{i \neq k} l_{\pi(i)-i}\left(x_{i} \tau\right) d u \\
&=-\frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{\pi} \operatorname{sign}(\pi) l_{\pi(k)-k+1}\left(x_{k-1} \tau\right) \prod_{i \neq k} l_{\pi(i)-i}\left(x_{i} \tau\right) \\
&+\frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{\pi} \operatorname{sign}(\pi) t_{\pi(k)-k+1}\left(x_{k} \tau\right) \prod_{i \neq k} l_{\pi(i)-i}\left(x_{i} \tau\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use for convenience the notation $x_{0}=0$.
We consider first the negative terms, corresponding to the lower limit of the integrals, and show that they are zero for each $k=1, \ldots, m$. For $k=1$ we have

$$
\sum_{\pi} \operatorname{sign}(\pi) l_{\pi(1)}\left(x_{0} \tau\right) \prod_{i \neq 1} l_{\pi(i)-i}\left(x_{i} \tau\right)=0
$$

since $\pi(1)>0, x_{0}=0$, and so by (2.11) we obtain $l_{\pi(1)}\left(x_{0} \tau\right)=0$.
For $k=2, \ldots, m$, we have

$$
\sum_{\pi} \operatorname{sign}(\pi) l_{\pi(k)-k+1}\left(x_{k-1} \tau\right) \prod_{i \neq k} l_{\pi(i)-i}\left(x_{i} \tau\right)=0
$$

because if we rewrite this as a determinant, the columns $k-1$ and $k$ are the same.

We now consider all the positive terms, corresponding to the upper limit of the integral. The generic term (ignoring the $1 / \tau$ factor) is

$$
\operatorname{sign}(\pi) i_{\pi(k)-k+1}\left(x_{k} \tau\right) \prod_{i \neq k} l_{\pi(i)-i}\left(x_{i} \tau\right)
$$

and this is summed over all $\pi$ and $k=1, \ldots, m$. We now exclude from consideration for each permutation $\pi$ the term of $k=\pi^{-1}(m)$, and show that without those excluded terms the sum of all the terms is zero.

For any permutation $\pi$ and any $k$ such that $k \neq \pi^{-1}(m)$, let $j=\pi(k)<m$ and let $l=\pi^{-1}(j+1)$. Define another permutation, $\tilde{\pi}$, by

$$
\tilde{\pi}(k)=\pi(l)=j+1, \quad \tilde{\pi}(l)=\pi(k)=j, \quad \tilde{\pi}(i)=\pi(i), \quad i \neq k, l .
$$

The mapping which assigns to the pair $(\pi, k)$ the pair ( $\tilde{\pi}, l$ ) is one-to-one and onto (since applying it twice is the identity). Note that $\pi$ and $\tilde{\pi}$ differ by a single transposition, and therefore have opposite parities. Hence we have a one-to-one onto mapping from all pairs ( $\pi, k$ ) with $\pi$ even and $k \neq \pi^{-1}(\mathrm{~m})$ to all pairs $(\tilde{\pi}, l)$ with $\tilde{\pi}$ odd and $l \neq \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(m)$. Furthermore, for such a pair we have

$$
l_{\pi(k)-k+1}\left(x_{k} \tau\right) \prod_{i \neq k} l_{\pi(i)-i}\left(x_{i} \tau\right)=l_{\pi(k)-k+1}\left(x_{k} \tau\right) l_{\pi(l)-l}\left(x_{l} \tau\right) \prod_{i \neq k, l} l_{\pi(i)-i}\left(x_{i} \tau\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =l_{j+1-k}\left(x_{k} \tau\right) l_{j+1-l}\left(x_{l} \tau\right) \prod_{i \neq k, l} l_{\pi(i)-i}\left(x_{i} \tau\right) \\
& =l_{\tilde{\pi}(k)-k}\left(x_{k} \tau\right) l_{\tilde{\pi}(l)-l+1}\left(x_{l} \tau\right) \prod_{i \neq k, l} l_{\tilde{\pi}(i)-i}\left(x_{i} \tau\right)=l_{\tilde{\pi}(l)-l+1}\left(x_{l} \tau\right) \prod_{i \neq l} l_{\tilde{\pi}(i)-i}\left(x_{i} \tau\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore the terms corresponding to $(\pi, k)$ and $(\tilde{\pi}, l)$ cancel, and

$$
\sum_{\pi} \operatorname{sign}(\pi) \sum_{k \neq \pi^{-1}(m)} l_{\pi(k)-k+1}\left(x_{k} \tau\right) \prod_{i \neq k} t_{\pi(i)-i}\left(x_{i} \tau\right)=0 .
$$

The only remaining non-zero terms are those of the form

$$
\operatorname{sign}(\pi) l_{m-\pi^{-1}(m)+1}\left(x_{\pi^{-1}(m)} \tau\right) \prod_{i \neq \pi^{-1}(m)} l_{\pi(i)-i}\left(x_{i} \tau\right)
$$

which establishes (2.19). The determinant form (2.18) is immediate.
Our substitution of (2.13) in (2.16) has so far yielded, by Lemma 2.6, that

$$
\Psi\left(H_{m}\right)\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=1+\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{\pi} \operatorname{sign}(\pi) l_{m-\pi^{-1}(m)+1}\left(x_{\pi^{-1}(m)} \tau\right) \prod_{i \neq \pi^{-1}(m)} l_{\pi(i)-i}\left(x_{i} \tau\right) d \tau
$$

In the next lemma we perform the integration with respect to $\tau$.
For any $\pi, k \neq \pi^{-1}(m)$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
D(\pi, k)=\operatorname{sign}(\pi) \\
\sum_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m} \geqslant 0} \frac{x_{k}^{s_{k}+\pi(k)-k+1}}{\left(s_{k}\right)!\left(s_{k}+\pi(k)-k+1\right)!} \\
\\
\\
\times \prod_{i \neq k} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}+(\pi(i)-i)^{+}}}{\left(s_{i}\right)!\left(s_{i}+|\pi(i)-i|\right)!} \frac{1}{\sum_{i \leqslant m} s_{i}+\zeta(\pi)+1}, \quad \pi(k) \geqslant k, \\
D(\pi, k)=\operatorname{sign}(\pi) \sum_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m} \geqslant 0} \frac{x_{k}^{s_{k}}}{\left(s_{k}\right)!\left(s_{k}-\pi(k)+k-1\right)!} \\
\\
\\
\\
\quad \times \prod_{i \neq k} \frac{x_{i}^{s+(\pi(i)-i)^{+}}\left(s_{i}\right)!\left(s_{i}+|\pi(i)-i|\right)!}{\sum_{i \leqslant m} s_{i}+\zeta(\pi)}, \quad \pi(k)<k .
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.7. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{\pi} \operatorname{sign}(\pi) l_{m-\pi^{-1}(m)+1}\left(x_{\pi^{-1}(m)} \tau\right) & \prod_{i \neq \pi^{-1}(m)} l_{\pi(i)-i}\left(x_{i} \tau\right) d \tau \\
& =H_{m}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)+\sum_{\pi} \sum_{k \neq \pi^{-1}(m)} D(\pi, k) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We perform the integration for each permutation $\pi$. To be able to integrate with respect to $\tau$ we expand each of the functions $i_{\theta}$ as a Taylor series using (2.10), take the product of these series, and integrate it term by term. The exchange of integration and summation is justified by the fact that all the terms of the series are positive.

We start with the term corresponding to the identity permutation, for which:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1+\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\tau} l_{1}\left(x_{m} \tau\right) \prod_{i<m} l_{0}\left(x_{i} \tau\right) d \tau \\
& =1+\int_{0}^{1}\left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{x_{m}^{r+1} \tau^{r}}{r!(r+1)!}\right) \prod_{i<m}\left(\sum_{s_{i}=0}^{\infty} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}} \tau^{s_{i}}}{s_{i}!s_{i}!}\right) d \tau \\
& =1+\sum_{r \geqslant 0, s_{1} \geqslant 0, \ldots, s_{m-i} \geqslant 0} \frac{x_{m}^{r+1}}{r!(r+1)!} \prod_{i<m} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}}}{s_{i}!s_{i}!} \frac{1}{r+\sum_{i<m} s_{i}+1} \\
& =1+\sum_{r, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m-1} \geqslant 0} \frac{x_{m}^{r+1}}{(r+1)!(r+1)!} \prod_{i<m} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}}}{s_{i}!s_{i}!} \frac{r+1}{r+\sum_{i<m} s_{i}+1} \\
& =1+\sum_{r \geqslant 1, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m-1} \geqslant 0} \frac{x_{m}^{r}}{r!r!} \prod_{i<m} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}} s_{i}!s_{i}!}{r+\sum_{i<m} s_{i}} \\
& =1+\sum_{s_{1}+\ldots+s_{m}>0} \prod_{i \leqslant m} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}}}{s_{i}!s_{i}!} \frac{s_{m}}{\sum_{i \leqslant m} s_{i}} \\
& =1+\sum_{s_{1}+\ldots+s_{m}>0} \prod_{i \leqslant m} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}}}{s_{i}!s_{i}!}-\sum_{s_{1}+\ldots+s_{m}>0}^{\infty} \prod_{i \leqslant m} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}}}{s_{i}!s_{i}!}+\sum_{s_{1}+\ldots+s_{m}>0}^{\infty} \prod_{i \leqslant m} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}}}{s_{i}!s_{i}!} \frac{s_{m}}{\sum_{i \leqslant m} s_{i}} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{m} t_{0}\left(x_{i}\right)-\sum_{s_{1}+\ldots+s_{m}>0} \prod_{i \leqslant m} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}} s_{i}!s_{i}!}{\sum_{i<m} s_{i}} \sum_{i \leqslant m} s_{i} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{m} t_{0}\left(x_{i}\right)-\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\left(\sum_{s_{1}, \ldots \geqslant 0, s_{k} \geqslant 1, \ldots, s_{m} \geqslant 0} \frac{x_{k}^{s_{k}} s_{k}}{s_{k}!s_{k}!} \prod_{i \neq k} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}}}{s_{i}!s_{i}!} \frac{1}{\sum_{i \leqslant m} s_{i}}\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{m} t_{0}\left(x_{i}\right)-\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\left(\sum_{s_{1}, \ldots \geqslant 0, s_{k} \geqslant 1, \ldots, s_{m} \geqslant 0} \frac{x_{k}^{s_{k}}}{\left(s_{k}-1\right)!s_{k}!} \prod_{i \neq k} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}} s_{i}!s_{i}!}{\sum_{i \leqslant m} s_{i}}\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{m} t_{0}\left(x_{i}\right)-\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\left(\sum_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}, \ldots, s_{m} \geqslant 0} \frac{x_{k}^{s_{k}+1}}{s_{k}!\left(s_{k}+1\right)!} \prod_{i \neq k} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}} s_{i}!s_{i}!}{\sum_{i \leqslant m} s_{i}+1}\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{m} l_{0}\left(x_{i}\right)-\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} D\left(\pi^{\mathrm{id}}, k\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

We now consider a general permutation $\pi \neq \pi^{i d}$. Recall the definition and properties of $\zeta(\pi)$ in (2.15). After excluding the identity permutation, the integral equals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\tau} l_{m-\pi^{-1}(m)+1}\left(x_{\pi^{-1}(m)} \tau\right) \prod_{i \neq \pi^{-1}(m)} l_{\pi(i)-i}\left(x_{i} \tau\right) d \tau \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{1} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{x_{\pi^{-1}(m)}^{r+m-\pi^{-1}(m)+1} \tau^{r+m-\pi^{-1}(m)}}{r!\left(r+m-\pi^{-1}(m)+1\right)!} \prod_{i \neq \pi^{-1}(m)} \sum_{s_{i}=0}^{\infty} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}+(\pi(i)-i)^{+}} \tau^{s_{i}+(\pi(i)-i)^{+}}}{s_{i}!\left(s_{i}+|\pi(i)-i|\right)!} d \tau \\
& \quad=\sum_{r, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{s^{-1}(m)-1}, s_{\pi^{-1}(m)+1}, \ldots, s_{m} \geqslant 0} \frac{x_{\pi^{-1}(m)}^{r+m-\pi^{-1}(m)+1}}{r!\left(r+m-\pi^{-1}(m)+1\right)!}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \times \prod_{i \neq \pi^{-1}(m)} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}+(\pi(i)-i)^{+}}}{s_{i}!\left(s_{i}+|\pi(i)-i|\right)!} \frac{1}{r+\sum_{i \neq \pi^{-1}(m)} s_{i}+\zeta(\pi)+1} \\
& =\sum_{r, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{\pi^{-1}(m)-1}, s_{n-2}(m)+1}, \ldots, s_{m} \geqslant 0 \quad \frac{x_{\pi}^{r+m-m^{-1}(m)}}{(r+1)!\left(r+m-\pi^{-1}(m)+1\right)!} \\
& \times \prod_{i \neq \pi^{-1}(m)} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}+(\pi(i)-i)^{+}}}{s_{i}!\left(s_{i}+|\pi(i)-i|\right)!} \frac{r+1}{r+\sum_{i \neq \pi^{-1}(m)} s_{i}+\zeta(\pi)+1} \\
& =\sum_{r \geqslant 1, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{\pi^{-1}(m)-1}, s_{n^{-1}(m)+1}, \ldots, s_{m} \geqslant 0} \frac{x_{\pi^{-1}(m)}^{r+m-\pi^{-1}(m)}}{r!\left(r+m-\pi^{-1}(m)\right)!} \\
& \times \prod_{i \neq \pi^{-1}(m)} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}+(\pi(i)-i)^{+}}}{s_{i}!\left(s_{i}+|\pi(i)-i|\right)!} \frac{r}{r+\sum_{i \neq \pi^{-1}(m)} s_{i}+\zeta(\pi)} \\
& =\sum_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m} \geqslant 0} \prod_{i \leqslant m} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}+(\pi(i)-i)^{+}}}{\left.s_{i}!\left(s_{i}+\mid \pi(i)-i\right)\right)!} \frac{s_{\pi^{-1}(m)}}{\sum_{i \leqslant m} s_{i}+\zeta(\pi)} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{m} t_{\pi(i)-i}\left(x_{i}\right)-\sum_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m} \geqslant 0} \prod_{i \leqslant m} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}+(\pi(i)-i)^{+}}}{s_{i}!\left(s_{i}+|\pi(i)-i|\right)!} \frac{\sum_{i \neq \pi^{-1}(m)} s_{i}+\zeta(\pi)}{\sum_{i \leqslant m} s_{i}+\zeta(\pi)} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{m} t_{\pi(i)-i}\left(x_{i}\right)-\sum_{k \neq \pi^{-1}(m)} \sum_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m} \geqslant 0} \frac{x_{k}^{s_{k}+(\pi(k)-k)^{+}}}{s_{k}!\left(s_{k}+|\pi(k)-k|\right)!} \\
& \times \prod_{i \neq k} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}+(\pi(i)-i)^{+}}}{s_{i}!\left(s_{i}+|\pi(i)-i|\right)!} \frac{s_{k}+(\pi(k)-k)^{-}}{\sum_{i \leqslant m} s_{i}+\zeta(\pi)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now split the negative term into two parts and the above equals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{i=1}^{m} t_{\pi(i)-i}\left(x_{i}\right)-\sum_{\substack{k: k \neq \pi^{-1}(m), s_{1}, \ldots \geqslant 0, s_{k} \geqslant 1, \ldots, s_{m} \geqslant 0 \\
\pi(k) \geqslant k}} \frac{x_{k}^{s_{k}+(\pi(k)-k)^{+}}}{\left(s_{k}-1\right)!\left(s_{k}+|\pi(k)-k|\right)!} \\
& \quad \times \prod_{i \neq k} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}+(\pi(i)-i)^{+}}}{s_{i}!\left(s_{i}+|\pi(i)-i|\right)!} \frac{1}{\sum_{i \leqslant m} s_{i}+\zeta(\pi)} \\
& \quad-\sum_{k: k \neq \pi^{-1}(m), s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}, \ldots, s_{m} \geqslant 0} \sum_{\substack{\pi(k)<k}} \frac{x_{k}^{s_{k}+(\pi(k)-k)^{+}}}{s_{k}!\left(s_{k}+|\pi(k)-k|-1\right)!} \\
& \quad \times \prod_{i \neq k} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}+(\pi(i)-i)^{+}}}{s_{i}!\left(s_{i}+|\pi(i)-i|\right)!} \frac{1}{\sum_{i \leqslant m} s_{i}+\zeta(\pi)} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{m} l_{\pi(i)-i}\left(x_{i}\right)-\sum_{\substack{k: k \neq \pi^{-1}(m), s_{1}, \ldots \geqslant 0, s_{k} \geqslant 0, \ldots, s_{m} \geqslant 0 \\
\pi(k) \geqslant k}} \frac{x_{k}^{s_{k}+\pi(k)-k+1}}{s_{k}!\left(s_{k}+\pi(k)-k+1\right)!}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \times \prod_{i \neq k} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}+(\pi(i)-i)^{+}}}{\left.s_{i}!\left(s_{i}+\mid \pi(i)-i\right)!\right)} \frac{1}{\sum_{i \leqslant m} s_{i}+\zeta(\pi)+1} \\
& -\sum_{\substack{k: k \neq \pi^{-1}(m), s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k} \geqslant 0, \ldots, s_{m} \geqslant 0 \\
\pi(k)<k}} \frac{x_{k}^{s_{k}}}{s_{k}!\left(s_{k}-\pi(k)+k-1\right)!} \\
& \times \prod_{i \neq k} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}+(\pi(i)-i)^{+}}}{s_{i}!\left(s_{i}+|\pi(i)-i|\right)!} \frac{1}{\sum_{i \leqslant m} s_{i}+\zeta(\pi)} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{m} i_{\pi(i)-i}\left(x_{i}\right)+\sum_{k: k \neq \pi^{-1}(m)} D(\pi, k) \mid .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the lemma follows.
To complete the proof of Theorem 2.5 we need to show
Lemma 2.8. We have

$$
\sum_{\pi} \sum_{k: k \neq \pi^{-1}(m)} D(\pi, k)=0 .
$$

Proof. We use the same $\tilde{\pi}$ and $l$ as before, where the mapping $(k, \pi) \rightarrow(l, \tilde{\pi})$ is one-to-one. Recall that $\pi$ and $\tilde{\pi}$ have opposite parity, and $\pi(k)=\tilde{\pi}(l)=j, \tilde{\pi}(k)=\pi(l)=j+1$. Since $\pi, k$ and $\tilde{\pi}, l$ play symmetric roles, we can assume, without loss of generality, that $k<l$. It is easy to see that

$$
\zeta(\tilde{\pi})= \begin{cases}\zeta(\pi), & j<k \text { or } l \leqslant j \\ \zeta(\pi)+1, & k \leqslant j<l .\end{cases}
$$

We compare $D(\pi, k)$ and $D(\tilde{\pi}, l)$, and show them to be equal except for the opposite sign. We consider the following three cases: $j<k, k \leqslant j<l, l \leqslant j$.

For $j<k$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
|D(\pi, k)|= & \sum_{s_{1}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{s_{m}=0}^{\infty} \frac{x_{k}^{s_{k}}}{s_{k}!\left(s_{k}-j+k-1\right)!} \frac{x_{l}^{s_{l}}}{s_{l}!\left(s_{l}+l-(j+1)\right)!} \\
& \times \prod_{i \neq k, l} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}+(\pi(i)-i)^{+}}}{s_{i}!\left(s_{i}+\mid \pi(i)-i\right)!} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{i}+\zeta(\pi)} \\
= & |D(\tilde{\pi}, l)| .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $l \leqslant j$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
|D(\pi, k)|= & \sum_{s_{1}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{s_{m}=0}^{\infty} \frac{x_{k}^{s_{k}+j-k+1}}{s_{k}!\left(s_{k}+j-k+1\right)!} \frac{x_{l}^{s_{l}+j+1-l}}{s_{l}!\left(s_{l}+j+1-l\right)!} \\
& \times \prod_{i \neq k, l} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}+(\pi(i)-i)^{+}}}{s_{i}!\left(s_{i}+|\pi(i)-i|\right)!} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{i}+\zeta(\pi)+1} \\
= & |D(\tilde{\pi}, l)| .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $k \leqslant j<l$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
|D(\pi, k)|= & \sum_{s_{1}=0}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{s_{m}=0}^{\infty} \frac{x_{k}^{s_{k}+j-k+1}}{s_{k}!\left(s_{k}+j-k+1\right)!} \frac{x_{l}^{s_{1}}}{s_{l}!\left(s_{l}+l-j-1\right)!} \\
& \times \prod_{i \neq k, l} \frac{x_{i}^{s_{i}+(\pi(i)-i)^{+}}}{s_{i}!\left(s_{i}+\mid \pi(i)-i\right)!} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{i}+\zeta(\pi)+1} \\
= & |D(\tilde{\pi}, l)|
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
2.4. Calculation of some joint probabilities. Formula (2.4) can be used to calculate some more complicated probabilities as we do now. For $n_{1}<\ldots<n_{m}$ and $t>0$ we calculate

$$
\boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{n_{1}}(t)=y_{n_{1}}, \ldots, Y_{n_{m}}(t)=y_{n_{m}} \mid Y_{1}(0)=y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n_{m}}(0)=y_{n_{m}}\right)
$$

in words, none of the layers $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}$ has a downward jump over the interval $(0, t)$. We denote this by $\bar{F}_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right)$.

Proposition 2.9. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{F}_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right) \\
& \quad=\exp \left(-y_{n_{m}} t\right) \prod_{k=1}^{m} H_{n_{k}-n_{k-1}-1}\left(\left(y_{n_{k}-1}+1-y_{n_{k}-1}\right) t, \ldots,\left(y_{n_{k}-1}-y_{n_{k}-1}\right) t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use for convenience the notation $n_{0}=0$ and $y_{0}=0$.
Proof. The proof is by induction on $m$, where the case $m=1$ was covered in (2.4). It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{F}_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{m}}\right) \\
&= \boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{n_{1}}(t)=y_{n_{1}}, \ldots, Y_{n_{m}}(t)=y_{n_{m}} \mid Y_{1}(0)=y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n_{m}}(0)=y_{n_{m}}\right) \\
&= \boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{n_{m}}(t)=y_{n_{m}} \mid Y_{1}(0)=y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n_{m}}(0)=y_{n_{m}},\right. \\
&\left.Y_{n_{1}}(t)=y_{n_{1}}, \ldots, Y_{n_{m-1}}(t)=y_{n_{m-1}}\right) \\
& \times P\left(Y_{n_{1}}(t)=y_{n_{1}}, \ldots, Y_{n_{m-1}}(t)=y_{n_{m-1}} \mid Y_{1}(0)=y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n_{m}}(0)=y_{n_{m}}\right) \\
&= \boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{n_{m}}(t)=y_{n_{m}} \mid Y_{n_{m-1}}(0)=y_{n_{m-1}}, \ldots, Y_{n_{m}}(0)=y_{n_{m}}, Y_{n_{m-1}}(t)=y_{n_{m-1}}\right) \\
& \times \boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{n_{1}}(t)=y_{n_{1}}, \ldots, Y_{n_{m-1}}(t)=y_{n_{m-1}} \mid Y_{1}(0)=y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n_{m-1}}(0)=y_{n_{m-1}}\right) \\
&= \mathbb{P}\left(Y_{n_{m}-n_{m-1}}(t)=y_{n_{m}}-y_{n_{m-1}} \mid Y_{1}(0)=y_{n_{m-1}+1}-y_{n_{m-1}},\right. \\
&\left.\quad \ldots, Y_{n_{m}-n_{m-1}}(0)=y_{n_{m}}-y_{n_{m-1}}\right) \\
& \quad \mathbb{P}\left(Y_{n_{1}}(t)=y_{n_{1}}, \ldots, Y_{n_{m-1}}(t)=y_{n_{m-1}} \mid Y_{1}(0)=y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n_{m-1}}(0)=y_{n_{m-1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \bar{F}_{n_{m}-n_{m-1}}\left(t, y_{n_{m-1}+1}-y_{n_{m-1}}, \ldots, y_{n_{m}}-y_{n_{m-1}}\right) \bar{F}_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m-1}}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{m-1}}\right) \\
= & \exp \left(-\left(y_{n_{m}}-y_{n_{m-1}}\right) t\right) H_{n_{m}-n_{m}-1}\left(\left(y_{n_{m-1}+1}-y_{n_{m-1}}\right) t, \ldots,\left(y_{n_{m}-1}-y_{n_{m-1}-1}\right) t\right) \\
& \times \bar{F}_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m-1}}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{m-1}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and we now use the induction hypothesis.

## 3. DISTRIBUTION OF THE $n$ TH POISSON HYPERBOLIC STAIRCASE

3.1. Conditional distribution of the $n$th Poisson hyperbolic staircase. We first calculate $\boldsymbol{P}_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}}\left(Y_{n}(t)>x\right)$, the marginal distribution of the $n$th line height at time $t$ conditional on the initial values of all the lines $1, \ldots, n$ at time 0 . In the following proposition we consider several cases, according to the value of $x$ relative to $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$.

Proposition 3.1. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{P}_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}}\left(Y_{n}(t)>x\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
= \begin{cases}0, & y_{n} \leqslant x, \\ \bar{F}_{n}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, x\right), & y_{n-1} \leqslant x<y_{n}, \\ \bar{F}_{n}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-2}, x, x\right), & y_{n-2} \leqslant x<y_{n-1}, \\ \cdots \ldots \ldots . \ldots . \ldots, \\ \bar{F}_{n}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k-1}, x, \ldots, x\right), & y_{k-1} \leqslant x<y_{k}, \\ \cdots \ldots \ldots . \\ \bar{F}_{n}(t, x, \ldots, x), & \cdots \cdots x<y_{1} .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Case $n: x \geqslant y_{n}$. In this case, $Y_{n}(t) \leqslant x$, so

$$
\boldsymbol{P}_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}}\left(Y_{n}(t)>x\right)=0, \quad x \geqslant y_{n} .
$$

Case $n-1: y_{n-1} \leqslant x<y_{n}$. Whether $Y_{n}(t)$ is still above the level $x$, or is below it, depends only on whether there are any Poisson points in the area bounded on the left and right by 0 and $t$, above by the height $x$, and below by the line $Y_{n-1}(s), 0 \leqslant s \leqslant t$. However, the event that there are no points in this region is also exactly the event that $Y_{n}(t)=x$ if the initial state was $Y_{1}(0)=y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n-1}(0)=y_{n-1}, Y_{n}(0)=x$. Hence

$$
\boldsymbol{P}_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}}\left(Y_{n}(t)>x\right)=\bar{F}_{n}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, x\right), \quad y_{n-1} \leqslant x<y_{n} .
$$

Case $n-2: y_{n-2} \leqslant x<y_{n-1}$. Whether $Y_{n}(t)$ is above the level $x$, or is below it, is determined entirely by Poisson points in the area bounded above by the height $x$, and below by the line $Y_{n-2}(s), 0 \leqslant s \leqslant t$, and the result then is:

$$
\boldsymbol{P}_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}}\left(Y_{n}(t)>x\right)=\bar{F}_{n}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-2}, x, x\right), \quad y_{n-2} \leqslant x<y_{n-1} .
$$

We prove this statement by induction in discussion of the next, more general case.

Case $k-1, k=1, \ldots, n: y_{k-1} \leqslant x<y_{k}$ (for convenience, we put $Y_{0}(s)=y_{0}=0$ ). Consider the time $T_{k}$ at which $Y_{k}(s)$ first drops below the level $x$. Apply the argument of the case $n-1$ above to the first $k$ lines, that is to $n=k$, to see that the distribution of $T_{k}$, and the distribution of the line $Y_{k}(s)$, $s \geqslant T_{k}$, is the same whether the initial value of $Y_{k}(0)$ is $y_{k}>x$ or whether it is $x$. Clearly, $P\left(Y_{n}(t)>x \mid T_{k} \geqslant t\right)=1$. Else, for $s<t, P\left(Y_{n}(t)>x \mid T_{k}=s\right)$ will be dependent on the values of $Y_{j}(s)$. Let then $Y_{j}(s)=y_{j}^{\prime}, j=1, \ldots, n$. The distribution of $Y_{j}(s), j=1, \ldots, k$, is the same whether $y_{k}>x$ or $y_{k}=x$, and $y_{j}^{\prime}<x, j=1, \ldots, k$. Using as the induction hypothesis the cases $k, \ldots, n$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{n}(t)>x \mid T_{k}=\right. & \left.s, Y_{j}(s)=y_{j}^{\prime}, j=1, \ldots, n\right) \\
& =\boldsymbol{P}_{y_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, y_{n}^{\prime}}\left(Y_{n}(t-s)>x\right)=\boldsymbol{P}_{y_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots,,_{k}^{\prime}, x_{1}, \ldots, x}\left(Y_{n}(t-s)>x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last expression is again the same whether $y_{k}>x$ or $y_{k}=x$, and so we have shown that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{P}_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k-1}, y_{k}, y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_{n}}\left(Y_{n}(t)>x\right)=\bar{F}_{n}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k-1}, x, y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \\
& y_{k-1} \leqslant x<y_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, clearly, $\bar{F}_{n}$ is continuous in $y_{k}$ at $y_{k}=x$ (since the probability of Poisson points at the level $x$ is 0 ), so we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{P}_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k-1}, y_{k}, y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_{n}}\left(Y_{n}(t)>x\right) \\
& \quad=\boldsymbol{P}_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k-1}, x-, y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_{n}}\left(Y_{n}(t)>x\right), \quad y_{k-1}<x<y_{k},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x$ - denotes the limit from the left as $y_{k} \uparrow x$. But for $x$ - we can use the induction hypothesis of the case $k$ to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{P}_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k-1}, x-, y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_{n}} & \left(Y_{n}(t)>x\right) \\
& =\bar{F}_{n}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k-1}, x-, x, \ldots, x\right), \quad x-<x<y_{k},
\end{aligned}
$$

and so we have shown, using the continuity of $\bar{F}$ again, that

$$
\boldsymbol{P}_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k-1}, y_{k}, \ldots, y_{n}}\left(Y_{n}(t)>x\right)=\bar{F}_{n}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k-1}, x, \ldots, x\right), \quad y_{k-1}<x<y_{k}
$$

Case $0: 0<x \leqslant y_{1}$. For this case, by what we have shown,

$$
\mathbb{P}_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k-1}, y_{n}}\left(Y_{n}(t)>x\right)=\bar{F}_{n}(t, x, \ldots, x), \quad 0<x \leqslant y_{1} \text {. }
$$

3.2. Distribution of the canonical $n$th Poisson hyperbolic staircase. We return to the full quadrant, and consider the $n$th layer of the Poisson points in the plane. We define

$$
h_{n}(x)=H_{n}(x, \ldots, x) .
$$

Theorem 3.2. We have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{n}^{*}(t)>x\right)=e^{-t x} h_{n-1}(t x)
$$

Proof. The Poisson hyperbolic staircases start very high at times close to 0 . Hence $\boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{n}^{*}(t)>x\right)$ is like calculating $\boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{n}(t)>x\right)$ with initial conditions $Y_{1}(0)=\ldots=Y_{n}(0)=\infty$. The formal argument is the following.

For any $0<t_{0}<t$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{n}^{*}(t)>x\right)= & \boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{1}^{*}\left(t_{0}\right)>x\right) \boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{n}^{*}(t)>x \mid Y_{1}^{*}\left(t_{0}\right)>x\right) \\
& +\boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{1}^{*}\left(t_{0}\right) \leqslant x\right) \boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{n}^{*}(t)>x \mid Y_{1}^{*}\left(t_{0}\right) \leqslant x\right) \\
= & \boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{1}^{*}\left(t_{0}\right)>x\right) \boldsymbol{P}_{x_{1}, \ldots, x}\left(Y_{n}\left(t-t_{0}\right)>x\right) \\
& +\boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{1}^{*}\left(t_{0}\right) \leqslant x\right) \boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{n}^{*}(t)>x \mid Y_{1}^{*}\left(t_{0}\right) \leqslant x\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second equality follows from the last section. Recall $Y_{1}^{*}\left(t_{0}\right) \sim \exp \left(t_{0}\right)$; hence, letting $t_{0} \rightarrow 0$, we see that the second summand approaches 0 , and the first approaches $\bar{F}_{n}(t, x, \ldots, x)$. We get

$$
P\left(Y_{n}^{*}(t)>x\right)=P_{x, \ldots, x}\left(Y_{n}(t)>x\right)=\bar{F}_{n}(t, x, \ldots, x)=e^{-t x} H_{n-1}(t x, \ldots, t x)
$$

Remark 1. The calculation of Section 2 gave us

$$
\bar{F}_{n}\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\exp \left(-t x_{n}\right) H_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right),
$$

and this was the key quantity to compute the marginal distributions of $Y_{n}(t)$ conditional on arbitrary values at time 0 , and from those we finally obtained the marginal distribution of $Y_{n}^{*}(t)$. While doing so it turns out that this distribution no longer depends on the full multivariate function $H_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$ but only on the value of this function on its diagonal. However, there seems to be no direct way to reach the univariate result: The probabilistic arguments as well as the solution of the integral equation had to be done for the multivariate case, starting from initial conditions away from the diagonal (at $x_{1}=0$ ), given in terms of lower dimensional functions, and the collapse to the diagonal was possible only at the end.

Remark 2. The function $h_{m}(x)$ can be written in several different ways:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\text { Wronskian }\left(l_{m-1}(x), l_{m-1}^{(1)}(x), \ldots, l_{m-1}^{(m-1)}(x)\right) \\
& =\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
I_{0}(2 \sqrt{x}) & I_{1}(2 \sqrt{x}) & I_{2}(2 \sqrt{x}) & \ldots & I_{m-1}(2 \sqrt{x}) \\
I_{1}(2 \sqrt{x}) & I_{0}(2 \sqrt{x}) & I_{1}(2 \sqrt{x}) & \ldots & I_{m-2}(2 \sqrt{x}) \\
I_{2}(2 \sqrt{x}) & I_{1}(2 \sqrt{x}) & I_{0}(2 \sqrt{x}) & \ldots & I_{m-3}(2 \sqrt{x}) \\
\cdots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots & \ldots \ldots & \ldots \ldots & \ldots \ldots
\end{array}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

The first expression is obtained when substituting $x_{1}=\ldots=x_{n}=x$ in (2.13). The second follows from (2.12), where ${ }^{(l)}$ denotes the $l$ th derivative. The third follows from (2.10), and noting that the powers of $x$ cancel. The third form is the determinant of a symmetric Toeplitz matrix.

## 4. SOME APPLICATIONS AND EXAMPLES

4.1. Connection to the Ulam-Hammersley problem. We have mentioned the connection of the Poisson hyperbolic staircases to the random variable $L_{n}$ which is the length of the longest ascending subsequence in a permutation of $1, \ldots, n$ in the Introduction. By (1.1) and (3.2) we have

$$
\boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{n}^{*}(1)>x\right)=e^{-x} h_{n-1}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{k}}{k!} e^{-x} \boldsymbol{P}\left(L_{k}<n\right) .
$$

Hence

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{k}}{k!} P\left(L_{k} \leqslant n\right)=h_{n}(x)
$$

and we obtain formulas for calculating the distribution and expectation of $L_{k}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\boldsymbol{P}\left(L_{k} \leqslant n\right)=\left.\frac{d^{k}}{d x^{k}} h_{n}(x)\right|_{x=0},  \tag{4.1}\\
\boldsymbol{P}\left(L_{k}=n\right)=\left.\frac{d^{k}}{d x^{k}}\left(h_{n}(x)-h_{n-1}(x)\right)\right|_{x=0},  \tag{4.2}\\
\boldsymbol{E}\left(L_{k}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{k} n \mathbb{P}\left(L_{k}=n\right)=-\left.\frac{d^{k}}{d x^{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{k} h_{n}(x)\right|_{x=0} . \tag{4.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

For $k=1$ we have trivially $\boldsymbol{P}\left(L_{k}=1\right)=1 / k$ !. We now compute $\boldsymbol{P}\left(L_{k}=2\right)$. Clearly, for $k=0,1$ these probabilities are 0 . Table 4.1 contains values for $k=2, \ldots, 10$.

Table 4.1. Values of $P\left(L_{k}=2\right)$

| $k$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{P}\left(L_{k}=2\right)$ | .5 | .66667 | .54167 | .34167 | .18194 | .084921 | .035441 | .013396 | .0046283 |

Proposition 4.1. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{P}\left(L_{k}=2\right)=\sum_{s=0}^{k-1}\binom{k}{s} \frac{2 s-k+1}{(s+1)!(k-s)!}=\sum_{s=0}^{[k / 2]-1} \frac{k!(k-2 s-1)^{2}}{(s+1)!^{2}(k-s)!^{2}} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{k}}{k!} \boldsymbol{P}\left(L_{k} \leqslant 2\right)=l_{0}(x) l_{0}(x)-l_{1}(x) l_{-1}(x) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{s}}{s!s!} \frac{x^{t}}{t!t!}-\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{(s+1)}}{s!(s+1)!} \frac{x^{t}}{t!(t+1)!} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^{k} \sum_{s=0}^{k} \frac{1}{s!s!} \frac{1}{(k-s)!(k-s)!}-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^{(k+1)} \sum_{s=0}^{k} \frac{1}{s!(s+1)!(k-s)!(k-s+1)!} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{k} \sum_{s=0}^{k} \frac{1}{s!s!} \frac{1}{(k-s)!(k-s)!}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{k} \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{s!(s+1)!(k-s-1)!(k-s)!} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{k}\left[\frac{1}{k!k!}+\sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \frac{(s+1)-(k-s)}{s!(s+1)!(k-s)!(k-s)!}\right] \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{k}}{k!}\left[\frac{1}{k!}+\sum_{s=0}^{k-1}\binom{k}{s} \frac{2 s-k+1}{(s+1)!(k-s)!}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and, by equating terms and recalling $P\left(L_{k}=0 \cup L_{k}=1\right)=1 / k!$, we have (4.4).
4.2. Evaluation of the $n$th staircase distribution. We now give some numerical examples of calculations. In addition to the variables $Y_{n}^{*}$ (1) we define $R_{n}$ to be the length of the side of the largest square below the layer $Y_{n}(\cdot)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(R_{n}>r\right)=\boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{n}^{*}(r)>r\right)=\exp \left(-r^{2}\right) h_{n-1}\left(r^{2}\right)  \tag{4.6}\\
& \quad=\exp \left(-r^{2}\right)\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
I_{0}(2 r) & I_{1}(2 r) & I_{2}(2 r) & \ldots & I_{n-2}(2 r) \\
I_{1}(2 r) & I_{0}(2 r) & I_{1}(2 r) & \ldots & I_{n-3}(2 r) \\
I_{2}(2 r) & I_{1}(2 r) & I_{0}(2 r) & \ldots & I_{n-4}(2 r) \\
\ldots \ldots \ldots & \ldots \ldots & \ldots \ldots & \ldots & \cdots \\
I_{n-2}(2 r) & I_{n-3}(2 r) & I_{n-4}(2 r) & \ldots & I_{0}(2 r)
\end{array}\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

Calculation of expected values follows by integration of $\boldsymbol{P}\left(Y_{n}^{*}(1)>x\right)$, $\boldsymbol{P}\left(R_{n}>r\right)$, which we carried out numerically. Table 4.2 gives some values $R_{n}$ obtained for the expectation of $Y_{n}^{*}(1)$. Figure 4.1 plots these values. By the asymptotics of $L_{k} \rightarrow 2 \sqrt{k}$ we would expect that $\boldsymbol{E}\left(R_{n}\right) \sim n / 2$. Figure 4.2 plots some of the distributions.


Fig. 4.1. Expectations of line heights $Y_{n}(1)$ and square sides $R_{n}$


Fig. 4.2. Tail distributions of heights $Y_{n}(1)$ and square sides $R_{n}$

Table 4.2. Expectations of line height at time 1 and of side of the supporting square

| $n$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{E}\left(Y_{n}(1)\right)$ | 1. | 2.718281828 | 5.090678729 | 8.086508585 |  |
| $\boldsymbol{E}\left(R_{n}\right)$ | 0.8862269255 | 1.55389935 | 2.172009063 | 2.766453430 | 3.346552361 |
| $n$ | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| $\boldsymbol{E}\left(R_{n}\right)$ | 3.916841038 | 4.479911245 | 5.037401684 | 5.590422930 | 6.139767236 |

We now notice that
(4.7) $\quad \boldsymbol{P}\left(R_{n}>r\right)$
$=\boldsymbol{P}$ (the longest ascending sequence of points in $r \times r$ square is $<n$ )

$$
=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{2 k}}{k!} \exp \left(-r^{2}\right) P\left(L_{k}<n\right) .
$$

We can easily check that this is consistent with our results for $n=1,2$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{P}\left(R_{1}>r\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{2 k}}{k!} \exp \left(-r^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{P}\left(L_{k}<1\right)=\exp \left(-r^{2}\right), \\
& \boldsymbol{P}\left(R_{2}>r\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{2 k}}{k!} \exp \left(-r^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{P}\left(L_{k}=0 \cup L_{k}=1\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{2 k}}{k!k!} \exp \left(-r^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating (4.7) from 0 to $\infty$ we have

$$
\boldsymbol{E} R_{n}=\sqrt{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2 k-1)!!}{2^{k+1} k!} \boldsymbol{P}\left(L_{k}<n\right)
$$

because

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} r^{2 k} \exp \left(-r^{2}\right) d r=\sqrt{\pi} \frac{(2 k-1)!!}{2^{k+1}}
$$

(see [1], formula 7.4.3).
For example, for $k=1$ we have

$$
E R_{1}=\sqrt{\pi} .
$$

For $k=2$ we obtain

$$
E R_{2}=\sqrt{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2 k-1)!!}{2^{k} k!k!}
$$

and for $k=3$

$$
E R_{3}=\sqrt{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2 k-1)!!}{2^{k} k!}\left[\frac{1}{k!}+\sum_{s=0}^{k-1}\binom{k}{s} \frac{2 s-k+1}{(s+1)!(k-s)!}\right] .
$$
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