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Abstract. We study the approximation by a short Edgeworth expansion of the distribution function of normalized linear combinations

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j n} X_{j: n}
$$

of order statistics of $n$ independent random variables with common distribution function $F$. Under the assumptions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|c_{j n}\right| & \leqslant C n^{-p_{1}}\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{-p_{2}}, \\
\left|c_{j n}-c_{j=1, n}\right| & \leqslant C n^{-q_{1}}\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{-q_{2}}, \\
\left|c_{j+1, n}-2 c_{j n}+c_{j-1, n}\right| & \leqslant C n^{-r_{1}}\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{-r_{2}}, \\
\left(F^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(s) & \leqslant C[s(1-s)]^{-k}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $p_{1}, q_{1}, r_{1} \in R, p_{2}, q_{2}, r_{2}, C \geqslant 0, \kappa \in[0,5 / 4)$, with an appropriate balance in these parameters, and under additional moment conditions, the rate of uniform convergence is shown to be of order $n^{-1}$. Moreover, a special case is considered where the $c_{j n}$ are generated by a sequence of weight functions of a special structure.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

Let $X, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be i.i.d. random variables with a common distribution function $F$. We put $\beta_{s}:=\boldsymbol{E}|X|^{s}$ for all $s \geqslant 0$ and suppose throughout the paper that $\beta_{2}<+\infty$. We shall consider the statistic

$$
T:=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j n} X_{j: n},
$$

a linear combination of order statistics. Here $X_{j: n}$ denotes the $j$-th order statistic of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ and $c_{1 n}, \ldots, c_{n n}$ are given constants. We will assume that in all cases $\mathbb{E}|T|<+\infty$.

For any symmetric statistic $T=T\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ with $E|T|<+\infty$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{1} & :=\mathbb{E}\left(T \mid X_{1}\right)-E T, \quad T_{2}:=\boldsymbol{E}\left(T \mid X_{2}\right)-E T \\
T_{12} & :=\mathbb{E}\left(T \mid X_{1}, X_{2}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(T \mid X_{1}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(T \mid X_{2}\right)+E T
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n$ write

$$
E_{i} T:=E\left(T \mid X_{1}, \ldots, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad E_{i j} T:=E_{i} E_{j} T
$$

In addition, write

$$
D_{i} T:=T-E_{i} T, \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

and

$$
\hat{\beta}_{s}:=\mathbb{E}\left|n^{1 / 2} T_{1}\right|^{s}, \quad \gamma_{s}:=\mathbb{E}\left|n^{3 / 2} T_{12}\right|^{s}, \quad \Delta_{2}^{s}:=\mathbb{E}\left|n^{5 / 2} D_{1} D_{2} D_{3} T\right|^{s}, \quad s \geqslant 0 .
$$

Finally, for $\hat{\sigma}:=\sqrt{\operatorname{var} T}>0$, let

$$
q:=1-\sup _{|x| \in\left[\tilde{\sigma}^{2} / 2 \hat{\tilde{p}}_{3}, \sqrt{n} \mid \hat{\sigma}\right]}\left|\mathbb{E} \exp \left\{\operatorname{itn}^{1 / 2} T_{1}\right\}\right|
$$

and

$$
\eta:=E\left(n^{1 / 2} T_{1}\right)^{3}+3 E n^{5 / 2} T_{1} T_{2} T_{12}
$$

We shall estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta:=\sup _{x \in \boldsymbol{R}}\left|\boldsymbol{P}\left(\frac{T-\mathbb{E}(T)}{\hat{\sigma}} \leqslant x\right)-\left(\Phi(x)-\frac{\eta}{6 \hat{\sigma}^{3} \sqrt{n}} \Phi^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)\right)\right| . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From now on, by $c$ and $C$ we shall denote absolute generic constants: if such a $c$ or $C$ depends on, say, $\alpha$, we will write $c(\alpha)$ or $C(\alpha)$. By $\Phi$ we shall mean the standard normal distribution function. Moreover, $I\{A\}$ will always denote the indicator function of event $A$.

Recently, a short Edgeworth expansion for symmetric statistics has been obtained in Bentkus et al. [2]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \leqslant \frac{C}{q^{2} n}\left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_{4}}{\hat{\sigma}^{4}}+\frac{\gamma_{3}}{\hat{\sigma}^{3}}+\frac{\Delta_{3}^{2}}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Lemmas 1, 2 and 3 of Section 2 we will derive explicit expressions for $\hat{\beta}_{4}, \gamma_{3}$ and $\Delta_{3}^{2}$ in the special case of linear combinations of order statistics. These lead to precise upper bounds for these quantities in terms of moments of the underlying distribution function $F$, and hence to a short Edgeworth expansion of order $n^{-1}$ for $T$, where the upper bound is given again in terms of the moments of $F$. The proofs are given in Sections 3, 4 and 5. Note that the results
of Helmers [3] are not applicable because here the weights are assumed to be of the form

$$
c_{j n}=J\left(\frac{j}{n+1}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad c_{j n}=n \int_{(j-1) / n}^{j / n} J(t) d t
$$

with a single weight function $J:(0,1) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$. In Section 2.7 of Bentkus et al. [2] this same structure is used, whereas it is also assumed that $\sup _{x}\left|J^{\prime}(x)\right|$ is bounded.

We assume the quantile function $F^{-1}$ of the population to be differentiable and for $\kappa \geqslant 0$ we set

$$
K=K(F, \kappa):=\sup _{s \in(0,1)}[s(1-s)]^{\kappa}\left(F^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(s)
$$

For

$$
d_{1}:=\max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n} n^{p_{1}}\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{p_{2}}\left|c_{j n}\right|,
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{2}:=\max _{2 \leqslant j \leqslant n} n^{q_{1}}\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{q_{2}}\left|c_{j n}-c_{j-1, n}\right|, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{3}:=\max _{2 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1} n^{r_{1}}\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{r_{2}}\left|c_{j+1, n}-2 c_{j n}+c_{j-1, n}\right| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have the following theorem:
Theorem 1. Let $\kappa \in[0,5 / 4)$, and $p_{1}, p_{2}, q_{1}, q_{2}, r_{1}, r_{2}$ be real numbers satisfying $p_{2}, q_{2}, r_{2} \geqslant 0$. Then there exist constants $C$ and $c=c\left(p_{2}, q_{2}, r_{2}, \kappa\right)$ (independent of $n$ ) such that for any $n$ we have

$$
\delta \leqslant \frac{C}{q^{2} n}\left(\frac{d_{1}^{4}}{\hat{\sigma}^{4}}\left(A_{n}^{4} \beta_{4}+c \tilde{A}_{n}^{4} K^{4}\right)+\frac{d_{2}^{3}}{\hat{\sigma}^{3}}\left(B_{n}^{3} \beta_{3}+c \widetilde{B}_{n}^{3} K^{3}\right)+\frac{d_{3}^{2}}{\tilde{\sigma}^{2}}\left(C_{n}^{2} \beta_{2}+c \tilde{C}_{n}^{2} K^{2}\right)\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{n}= & n^{p_{2}-p_{1}} I\left\{p_{1} \geqslant p_{2}\right\}, \\
\tilde{A}_{n}= & n^{-p_{1}} I\left\{p_{1}<p_{2}\right\} \\
& \times\left(I\left\{\kappa+p_{2}<5 / 4\right\}+I\left\{\kappa+p_{2}=5 / 4\right\} \log n+I\left\{\kappa+p_{2}>5 / 4\right\} n^{\kappa+p_{2}-5 / 4}\right), \\
B_{n}= & n^{q_{2}+1-q_{1}} I\left\{q_{1} \geqslant q_{2}+1\right\}, \\
\tilde{B}_{n}= & n^{1-q_{1}} I\left\{q_{1}<q_{2}+1\right\} \\
& \times\left(I\left\{\kappa+q_{2}<5 / 3\right\}+I\left\{\kappa+q_{2}=5 / 3\right\} \log n+I\left\{\kappa+q_{2}>5 / 3\right\} n^{\kappa+q_{2}-5 / 3}\right), \\
C_{n}= & n^{r_{2}+2-r_{1}} I\left\{r_{1} \geqslant r_{2}+2\right\}, \\
\tilde{C}_{n}= & n^{2-r_{1}} I\left\{r_{1}<r_{2}+2\right\} \\
& \times\left(I\left\{\kappa+r_{2}<5 / 2\right\}+I\left\{\kappa+r_{2}=5 / 2\right\} \log n+I\left\{\kappa+r_{2}>5 / 2\right\} n^{\kappa+r_{2}-5 / 2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the fact that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\hat{\beta}_{4} \leqslant C d_{1}^{4}\left(A_{n}^{4} \beta_{4}+c \tilde{A}_{n}^{4} K^{4}\right)  \tag{5}\\
\gamma_{3} \leqslant C d_{2}^{3}\left(B_{n}^{3} \beta_{3}+c \tilde{B}_{n}^{3} K^{3}\right) \\
\Delta_{3}^{2} \leqslant C d_{3}^{2}\left(C_{n}^{2} \beta_{2}+c \widetilde{C}_{n}^{2} K^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $c=c\left(p_{2}, q_{2}, r_{2}, \kappa\right)$, which follows from Lemmas 1,2 and 3 in combination with Lemmas 4, 5 and 6 (Sections 6, 7 and 8). (From Lemmas 4-6 it also follows that we may take $C=27$.) By (2), Theorem 1 then follows immediately. Note that $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}, T, \hat{\beta}_{s}, \gamma_{s}, \Lambda_{3}^{s}, q, \eta, d_{1}, d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$ all may depend on $n$.

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 1. It is the analogue of Corollary 4.2 of van Zwet [7].

Corollary 1. In the special case where $p_{1}=p_{2}=q_{2}=r_{2}=0, q_{1}=1$ and $r_{1}=2$ we have under the conditions of the theorem:

$$
\delta \leqslant \frac{C}{q^{2} n}\left(\frac{d_{1}^{4} \beta_{4}}{\hat{\sigma}^{4}}+\frac{d_{2}^{3} \beta_{3}}{\hat{\sigma}^{3}}+\frac{d_{3}^{2} \beta_{2}}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}}\right)
$$

where $C$ denotes a universal constant. If $\beta_{4}<+\infty$, both $\hat{\sigma}^{2}$ and $q$ are uniformly bounded from below and $d_{1}, d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$ are uniformly bounded from above, this provides an Edgeworth expansion of order $n^{-1}$ for $T$.

Next we state the analogue of Theorem 3 from Pap and van Zuijlen [5]. Let $\psi:(0,1) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ be a Lebesgue measurable real-valued function on $(0,1)$ and $\gamma$ a real number. Taking $J: t \mapsto \psi(t)[t(1-t)]^{-\gamma}$, we consider the weights

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{j n}:=n \int_{(j-1) / n}^{j / n} J(t) d t \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{j n}:=J\left(\frac{j}{n+1}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We start by quoting Theorem 2 of Pap and van Zuijlen [5], a Central Limit Theorem. Assume that the weights $c_{j n}$ satisfy (6).

Theorem 2. Suppose that $0 \leqslant \gamma<\frac{1}{2}$ and that there exist numbers $\Lambda \geqslant 0$ and $\lambda>\frac{1}{2}$ such that $|\psi(t)-\psi(s)| \leqslant \Lambda|t-s|^{\lambda}$ for all $s, t \in(0,1)$. If $\beta_{m}<+\infty$ for some $m>\left(\frac{1}{2}-\gamma\right)^{-1}$, then

$$
T-E T \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \hat{\sigma}^{2}(\psi, F)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \hat{\sigma}^{2}(T) \rightarrow \hat{\sigma}^{2}(\psi, F),
$$

where

$$
\hat{\sigma}^{2}(\psi, F)=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}[s(1-s) t(1-t)]^{-\gamma} \psi(s) \psi(t)(\min (s, t)-s t) d F^{-1}(s) d F^{-1}(t)
$$

In the case of weights (7), we have the same results.

Assume we take our weights of the form (7). The announced Theorem 3 reads as follows:

Theorem 3. Suppose that $\kappa \in[0,5 / 4), \gamma>0, \kappa+\gamma<\frac{1}{2}$ and that $\psi$ is twice boundedly differentiable. Then there is a constant $c=c(\kappa, \gamma)$ such that

$$
\delta \leqslant \frac{c}{q^{2} n}\left(\frac{K^{4}\|\psi\|_{\infty}^{4}}{\hat{\sigma}^{4}}+\frac{K^{3}\left(\left\|\psi^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}+\|\psi\|_{\infty}\right)^{3}}{\hat{\sigma}^{3}}+\frac{K^{2}\left(\left\|\psi^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\psi^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}+\|\psi\|_{\infty}\right)^{2}}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}}\right) .
$$

A theorem similar to Theorem 3 can be proved in the case of weights of the form (6). The proof of Theorem 3 will be given in Section 9.

Remark. Suppose that, instead of (6), for $\delta_{1}=0, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \geqslant 0, \delta_{2}>0$ we consider weights of the form

$$
c_{j n}:=n \int_{(j-1) / n}^{j / n} J_{n}(t) d t, \quad \text { where } J_{n}(t):=\sum_{i=1}^{2} \psi_{i}(t)[t(1-t)]^{-\gamma_{i}} n^{-\delta_{i}} .
$$

Using the same techniques as in the proof of Theorem 3, it is not too difficult to formulate a counterpart of the theorem. Of course, all expressions get more notationally involved. Naturally, we can go on in this way.

## 2. THE BETA DENSITY AND SOME FUNDAMENTAL LEMMAS

From now on we pretend that $X_{j}=F^{-1}\left(U_{j}\right)$, where $U_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n$, are i.i.d. random variables such that all $U_{j}$ have the uniform distribution on the interval $(0,1)$. As usual, for any sequence $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{r}$ of random variables the order statistics $S_{1: r}, \ldots, S_{\text {r:r }}$ denote a reordering of that sequence such that $S_{1: r} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant S_{r: r}$. For any subsequence $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{r}$ of $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$, by convention, $S_{-1: r}=S_{0: r}:=0$ and $S_{r+1: r}=S_{r+2: r}:=1$; for any subsequence $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{r}$ of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$, by convention, $S_{-1: r}=S_{0: r}:=-\infty$ and $S_{r+1: r}=S_{r+2: r}:=+\infty$.

The beta density will play an important role when we examine $\gamma_{3}$. For $1 \leqslant k \leqslant l$ it is defined by

$$
b_{k, l}(s):=\frac{l!}{(k-1)!(l-k)!} s^{k-1}(1-s)^{l-k}=l\binom{l-1}{k-1} s^{k-1}(1-s)^{l-k} \quad(s \in[0,1])
$$

By convention, $b_{-1, l}:=b_{0, l}:=b_{l+1, l}:=b_{l+2, l} \equiv 0$. We note that $b_{j, n}$ is in fact the probability density of $U_{j: n}$. Furthermore, we set $\boldsymbol{P}_{l}^{s}(k):=\boldsymbol{P}(X=k)$ for a random variable $X$ which is binomially distributed with parameters $l$ and $s$, that is, for $s \in(0,1)$ we set

$$
P_{l}^{s}(k):= \begin{cases}\binom{l}{k} s^{k}(1-s)^{l-k} & \text { for } k=0, \ldots, l,  \tag{8}\\ 0 & \text { for } k \notin\{0, \ldots, l\}\end{cases}
$$

The following simple equalities will be used in the sequel: for $0 \leqslant k \leqslant l+1$ we have $b_{k-1, l-1}(s)-b_{k, l-1}(s)=\frac{1}{l} b_{k, l}^{\prime}(s) \quad$ and $\quad(l-k) b_{k, l}(s)+k b_{k+1, l}(s)=l b_{k, l-1}(s)$, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{k, l}(s)-b_{k, l-1}(s)=\frac{k}{l(l+1)} b_{k+1, l+1}^{\prime}(s) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant l$ we have
(10) $\quad b_{k, l}(s)=l \mathbb{P}_{l-1}^{s}(k-1) \quad$ and $\quad \int_{0}^{s}\left[b_{k, l}-b_{k, l-1}\right](t) d t=s \boldsymbol{P}_{l-1}^{s}(k-1)$.

Note that for each $l \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{l} b_{k, l}(s)=\sum_{k=1}^{l} l P_{l-1}^{s}(k-1)=l \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for all $0 \leqslant k \leqslant l$

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{P}_{l}^{s}(k)=s \boldsymbol{P}_{l-1}^{s}(k-1)+(1-s) \boldsymbol{P}_{l-1}^{s} & (k)  \tag{12}\\
& =\boldsymbol{P}_{l-1}^{s}(k)+s\left[\boldsymbol{P}_{l-1}^{s}(k-1)-\boldsymbol{P}_{l-1}^{s}(k)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

We also have (by application of (9)) for $1<k \leqslant l$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{s}\left[b_{k-1, l-1}-b_{k, l}\right](t) d t=(1-s) P_{l-1}^{s}(k-1) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next three lemmas are crucial for the analysis of $\hat{\beta}_{4}=\boldsymbol{E}\left|n^{1 / 2} T_{1}\right|^{4}$, $\gamma_{3}=\boldsymbol{E}\left|n^{3 / 2} T_{12}\right|^{3}$ and $\Delta_{3}^{2}=\boldsymbol{E}\left|n^{5 / 2} D_{1} D_{2} D_{3} T\right|^{2}$. The first one has already been mentioned in van Zwet [7]. The second and the third one will be shown to be correct in Sections 4 and 5. Some preparations concerning conditional distributions of order statistics are made in Section 3.

Lemma 1. We have:

$$
n^{1 / 2} T_{1}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j n}\left\{\int_{0}^{U_{1}} s b_{j, n}(s) d F^{-1}(s)-\int_{U_{1}}^{1}(1-s) b_{j, n}(s) d F^{-1}(s)\right\}
$$

Lemma 2. We have:

$$
n^{3 / 2} T_{12}=\frac{n}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(c_{j n}-c_{j+1, n}\right)\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{2}(-1)^{i} \int_{U_{i ; 2}}^{U_{i+1}: 2} s^{2-i}(1-s)^{i} b_{j, n-1}(s) d F^{-1}(s)\right\}
$$

Next we set $K_{0}:=0, K_{4}:=n+1$ and define $K_{1}<K_{2}<K_{3}$ as the ordered ranks of $X_{1}, X_{2}$ and $X_{3}$ among $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$.

Lemma 3. We have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& n^{1 / 2}\left(D_{1} D_{2} D_{3} T\right)  \tag{14}\\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{3}(-1)^{i} \sum_{j=K_{i}+2-i}^{K_{i}+1+1-i}\left(c_{j+1, n}-2 c_{j, n}+c_{j-1, n}\right) \int_{U_{j-2+i: n}}^{U_{j-1+i: n}} s^{3-i}(1-s)^{i} d F^{-1}(s) .
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. CONDITIONAL DISTRIBUTIONS OF $U_{j: n}$

3.1. The conditional distribution given $U_{1}$ and/or $U_{2}$. In order to analyse $\gamma_{3}$ we clearly need the conditional distribution of $U_{j: n}$ given $U_{1}$ and/or $U_{2}$, since

$$
\gamma_{3}=\boldsymbol{E}\left|n^{3 / 2} T_{12}\right|^{3}=\mathbb{E}\left|n \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j n} H_{j}\right|^{3}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{j}= & \mathbb{E}\left(X_{j: n} \mid X_{1}, X_{2}\right)-\boldsymbol{E}\left(X_{j: n} \mid X_{1}\right)-\boldsymbol{E}\left(X_{j: n} \mid X_{2}\right)+\boldsymbol{E} X_{j: n}  \tag{15}\\
= & \boldsymbol{E}\left(F^{-1}\left(U_{j: n}\right) \mid U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\boldsymbol{E}\left(F^{-1}\left(U_{j: n}\right) \mid U_{1}\right) \\
& -\mathbb{E}\left(F^{-1}\left(U_{j: n}\right) \mid U_{2}\right)+E F^{-1}\left(U_{j: n}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

From elementary considerations the following results can be deduced. The conditional distribution of $U_{j: n}$ given $U_{1}$ is given by

$$
\boldsymbol{P}_{U_{j: n} \mid U_{1}}=b_{j, n-1} 1_{\left[0, U_{1}\right]} \lambda+\boldsymbol{P}\left(U_{j: n}=U_{1}\right) \delta_{U_{1}}+b_{j-1, n-1} 1_{\left[U_{1}, 1\right]} \lambda,
$$

where $\lambda$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}, \delta_{U_{1}}$ is the Dirac measure in $U_{1}$, and

$$
P\left(U_{j: n}=U_{1}\right)= \begin{cases}\int_{0}^{U_{1}}\left[b_{j-1, n-1}(s)-b_{j, n-1}(s)\right] d s & \text { for } j=2, \ldots, n \\ \int_{U_{1}}^{1}\left[b_{j, n-1}(s)-b_{j-1, n-1}(s)\right] d s & \text { for } j=1, \ldots, n-1\end{cases}
$$

Of course, we obtain the conditional distribution of $U_{j: n}$ given $U_{2}$ after substituting $U_{2}$ for $U_{1}$ in these results.

The conditional distribution of $U_{j: n}$ given $U_{1}, U_{2}$ in turn is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{P}_{U_{j: n} \mid U_{1}, U_{2}}=b_{j, n-2} 1_{\left[0, U_{1: 2]}\right]} \lambda+ & \boldsymbol{P}\left(U_{j: n}=U_{1: 2}\right) \delta_{U_{1: 2}}+b_{j-1, n-2} 1_{\left[U_{1: 2}, U_{2: 2}\right]} \lambda \\
& +\boldsymbol{P}\left(U_{j: n}=U_{2: 2}\right) \delta_{U_{2: 2}}+b_{j-2, n-2} 1_{\left[U_{2: 2}, 1\right]} \lambda,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbb{P}\left(U_{j: n}=U_{1: 2}\right)=\int_{0}^{U_{1: 2}}\left[b_{j-1, n-2}(s)-b_{j, n-2}(s)\right] d s & \text { for } j=2, \ldots, n, \\
\mathbb{P}\left(U_{j: n}=U_{2: 2}\right)=\int_{U_{2: 2}}^{1}\left[b_{j-1, n-2}(s)-b_{j-2, n-2}(s)\right] d s \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, n-1,
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\boldsymbol{P}\left(U_{1: n}=U_{1: 2}\right)=1-\int_{0}^{U_{1: 2}} b_{1, n-2}(s) d s, \quad \boldsymbol{P}\left(U_{n: n}=U_{2: 2}\right)=1-\int_{U_{2: 2}}^{1} b_{n-2, n-2}(s) d s
$$

3.2. The conditional distribution given $n-3, n-2$ or $n-1$ of the $U_{j}$ 's. In order to analyze $\Delta_{3}^{2}$ we need the conditional distribution of $U_{j: n}$ given $n-3$, $n-2$ or $n-1$ of the $U_{j}$ 's, since $\Delta_{3}^{2}=\mathbb{E}\left|n^{5 / 2} D_{1} D_{2} D_{3} T\right|^{2}$, where

$$
D_{1} D_{2} D_{3} T=T-E_{1} T-E_{2} T-E_{3} T+E_{12} T+E_{13} T+E_{23} T-E_{123} T,
$$

and hence

$$
D_{1} D_{2} D_{3} T=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{j n} M_{j}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{j}:=D_{1} D_{2} D_{3} X_{j: n}= & X_{j: n}-\boldsymbol{E}_{1}\left(X_{j: n}\right)-E_{2}\left(X_{j: n}\right)-E_{3}\left(X_{j: n}\right) \\
& +E_{12}\left(X_{j: n}\right)+E_{13}\left(X_{j: n}\right)+E_{23}\left(X_{j: n}\right)-E_{123}\left(X_{j: n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

To obtain the conditional distribution of $U_{j: n}$ given $U_{2}, \ldots, U_{n}$, given $U_{1}, U_{3}, \ldots, U_{n}$, given $U_{1}, U_{2}, U_{4}, \ldots, U_{n}$, given $U_{3}, \ldots, U_{n}$, given $U_{2}, U_{4}, \ldots, U_{n}$, given $U_{1}, U_{4}, \ldots, U_{n}$, and given $U_{4}, \ldots, U_{n}$, respectively, we define subsequences of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ and $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$, respectively, by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right):=\left(X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, \ldots, X_{n}\right), \\
\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n-1}\right):=\left(X_{1}, X_{3}, X_{4}, \ldots, X_{n}\right), \\
\left(C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n-1}\right):=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{4}, \ldots, X_{n}\right),
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(A_{1}^{*}, \ldots, A_{n-1}^{*}\right):=\left(U_{2}, U_{3}, U_{4}, \ldots, U_{n}\right), \\
\left(B_{1}^{*}, \ldots, B_{n-1}^{*}\right):=\left(U_{1}, U_{3}, U_{4}, \ldots, U_{n}\right), \\
\left(C_{1}^{*}, \ldots, C_{n-1}^{*}\right):=\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, U_{4}, \ldots, U_{n}\right),
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ ( P _ { 1 } , \ldots , P _ { n - 2 } ) : = ( X _ { 3 } , X _ { 4 } , \ldots , X _ { n } ) , } \\
{ ( Q _ { 1 } , \ldots , Q _ { n - 2 } ) : = ( X _ { 2 } , X _ { 4 } , \ldots , X _ { n } ) , } \\
{ ( R _ { 1 } , \ldots , R _ { n - 2 } ) : = ( X _ { 1 } , X _ { 4 } , \ldots , X _ { n } ) , }
\end{array} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(P_{1}^{*}, \ldots, P_{n-2}^{*}\right):=\left(U_{3}, U_{4}, \ldots, U_{n}\right), \\
\left(Q_{1}^{*}, \ldots, Q_{n-2}^{*}\right):=\left(U_{2}, U_{4}, \ldots, U_{n}\right), \\
\left(R_{1}^{*}, \ldots, R_{n-2}^{*}\right):=\left(U_{1}, U_{4}, \ldots, U_{n}\right),
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

and, finally,

$$
\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n-3}\right):=\left(X_{4}, \ldots, X_{n}\right), \quad\left(T_{1}^{*}, \ldots, T_{n-3}^{*}\right):=\left(U_{4}, \ldots, U_{n}\right)
$$

Remember that $X_{j}=F^{-1}\left(U_{j}\right)$, so that

$$
\boldsymbol{E}_{1} X_{j: n}=\boldsymbol{E}\left(F^{-1}\left(U_{j: n}\right) \mid U_{2}, \ldots, U_{n}\right) \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, n,
$$

and so on.
As can be checked easily: the conditional distribution of $U_{j: n}$ given $A_{1}^{*}, \ldots, A_{n-1}^{*}$ is determined by

$$
\boldsymbol{P}_{v_{j: n} \mid A_{1}^{*}, \ldots, A_{n-1}^{*}}=A_{j-1: n-1}^{*} \delta_{A_{j-1: n-1}^{*}}+1_{\left[A_{j-1: n-1}^{*}, A_{j, n-1}^{*}\right]} \lambda+\left(1-A_{j: n-1}^{*}\right) \delta_{A_{j: n-1}^{*}},
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{E}\left(F^{-1}\left(U_{j: n}\right) \mid A_{1}^{*}, \ldots, A_{n-1}^{*}\right) \\
& =F^{-1}\left(A_{j-1: n-1}^{*}\right) A_{j-1: n-1}^{*}+\int_{A_{j-1: n-1}^{*}}^{A_{j: n-1}^{*}} F^{-1}(s) d s+F^{-1}\left(A_{j: n-1}^{*}\right)\left(1-A_{j: n-1}^{*}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by partial integration we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{E}\left(X_{j: n} \mid A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)=A_{j-1: n-1}+\int_{A_{j-1: n-1}}^{A_{j: n-1}}[1-F(t)] d t . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conditional distribution of $U_{j: n}$ given either $B_{1}^{*}, \ldots, B_{n-1}^{*}$ or $C_{1}^{*}, \ldots, C_{n-1}^{*}$ can be dealt with in exactly the same way.

Next we look at the probability distribution of $U_{j: n}$ given $P_{1}^{*}, \ldots, P_{n-2}^{*}$ or $Q_{1}^{*}, \ldots, Q_{n-2}^{*}$ or $R_{1}^{*}, \ldots, R_{n-2}^{*}$. We obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{P}_{U_{j: n} \mid P_{1}^{*}, \ldots, P_{n-2}^{*}}= & \sum_{l=1}^{2} g_{l} 1_{\left[P_{j-3+l: n-2}^{*}, P_{j-2+l: n-2}^{*}\right]} \lambda \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{2}\binom{2}{k}\left[P_{j-2+k: n-2}^{*}\right]^{2-k}\left(1-P_{j-2+k: n-2}^{*}\right)^{k} \delta_{P_{j-2+k: n-2}^{*}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g_{1}: s \mapsto 2 s$ and $g_{2}: s \mapsto 2(1-s)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{E}\left(F^{-1}\left(U_{j: n}\right) \mid\right. & \left.P_{1}^{*}, \ldots, P_{n-2}^{*}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{P_{j-3+1: n-2}^{*}}^{P_{j-2+l: n-2}^{*}} F^{-1}(s) g_{l}(s) d s \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{2} F^{-1}\left(P_{j-2+k: n-2}^{*}\right)\binom{2}{k}\left[P_{j-2+k: n-2}^{*}\right]^{2-k}\left(1-P_{j-2+k: n-2}^{*}\right)^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Partial integration leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{E}\left(X_{j: n} \mid P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n-2}\right)=P_{j-2: n-2}+\int_{P_{j-2: n-2}}^{P_{j-1: n-2}}[ & \left.1-F^{2}(t)\right] d t  \tag{17}\\
& +\int_{P_{j-1: n-2}}^{P_{j: n-2}}[1-F(t)]^{2} d t
\end{align*}
$$

Again, the other sequences can be dealt with in the same way.

Finally, for $T_{1}^{*}, \ldots, T_{n-3}^{*}$ we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{P}_{U_{j: n} \mid T_{1}^{*}, \ldots, T_{n-3}^{*}}= & \sum_{l=1}^{3} h_{l} 1_{\left[T_{j-4+1: n-3}^{*}, T_{j-3+l: n-3}^{*}\right]} \lambda \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{3}\binom{3}{k}\left[T_{j-3+k: n-3}^{*}\right]^{3-k}\left(1-T_{j-3+k: n-3}^{*}\right)^{k} \delta_{T_{j-3+k: n-3}^{*}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h_{1}: s \mapsto 3 s^{2}, h_{2}: s \mapsto 6 s(1-s)$, and $h_{3}: s \mapsto 3(1-s)^{2}$. This leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{E}\left(F^{-1}\left(U_{j: n}\right)\right. & \left.\mid T_{1}^{*}, \ldots, T_{n-3}^{*}\right)=\sum_{l=1}^{3} \int_{T_{j-4+l: n-3}^{*}}^{T_{j-3+l: n-3}^{*}} F^{-1}(s) h_{l}(s) d s \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{3} F^{-1}\left(T_{j-3+k: n-3}^{*}\right)\binom{3}{k}\left[T_{j-3+k: n-3}^{*}\right]^{3-k}\left(1-T_{j-3+k: n-3}^{*}\right)^{k},
\end{aligned}
$$

which in turn leads to:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{E}\left(X_{j: n} \mid T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n-3}\right)  \tag{18}\\
& \quad=T_{j-3: n-3}+\sum_{m=0}^{2} \int_{T_{j-3+m: n-3}}^{T_{j-2+m: n-3}}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{2-m}\binom{3}{k} F(t)^{k}[1-F(t)]^{3-k}\right\} d t .
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. ANALYSIS OF $\gamma_{3}$ : PROOF OF LEMMA 2

Recall that $n^{3 / 2} T_{12}=n \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j n} H_{j}$, with $H_{j}$ as in (15). With the results of Section 3 , for all $j$ we are able to give the following explicit formula for $H_{j}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{j}= & \int_{0}^{U_{1: 2}} F^{-1}(s)\left[b_{j, n-2}-2 b_{j, n-1}+b_{j, n}\right](s) d s \\
& +F^{-1}\left(U_{1: 2}\right) \int_{0}^{U_{1: 2}}\left[b_{j-1, n-2}-b_{j, n-2}-b_{j-1, n-1}+b_{j, n-1}\right](s) d s \\
& +\int_{U_{1: 2}}^{U_{2: 2}} F^{-1}(s)\left[b_{j-1, n-2}-b_{j-1, n-1}-b_{j, n-1}+b_{j, n}\right](s) d s \\
& +F^{-1}\left(U_{2: 2}\right) \int_{U_{2: 2}}^{1}\left[b_{j-1, n-2}-b_{j-2, n-2}-b_{j, n-1}+b_{j-1, n-1}\right](s) d s \\
& +\int_{U_{2: 2}}^{1} F^{-1}(s)\left[b_{j-2, n-2}-2 b_{j-1, n-1}+b_{j, n}\right](s) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

We are looking for an alternative form for $H_{j}$.
We use partial integration on the first, third and fifth term of this expression in order to obtain this nicer form. For this purpose we define the following
three indefinite integrals:

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{1}(s):=\int_{0}^{s}\left[b_{j, n-2}-2 b_{j, n-1}+b_{j, n}\right](t) d t \\
I_{2}(s):=\int_{0}^{s}\left[b_{j-1, n-2}-b_{j-1, n-1}-b_{j, n-1}+b_{j, n}\right](t) d t
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
I_{3}(s):=\int_{0}^{s}\left[b_{j-2, n-2}-2 b_{j-1, n-1}+b_{j, n}\right](t) d t .
$$

Application of (10) and (13) leads to the equalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}(s) & =-s\left\{\mathbb{P}_{n-2}^{s}(j-1)-\boldsymbol{P}_{n-1}^{s}(j-1)\right\} \\
I_{2}(s) & =-s\left\{\mathbb{P}_{n-2}^{s}(j-2)-\boldsymbol{P}_{n-1}^{s}(j-1)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
I_{3}(s)=-(1-s)\left\{P_{n-1}^{s}(j-1)-P_{n-2}^{s}(j-2)\right\} .
$$

As $\mathbb{E}|X|<+\infty$, we have

$$
\lim _{s \downarrow 0} F^{-1}(s) I_{1}(s)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{s \uparrow 1} F^{-1}(s) I_{3}(s)=0
$$

Now the first term of the expression for $H_{j}$ equals

$$
\left[F^{-1}(s) I_{1}(s)\right]_{0}^{U_{1: 2}}-\int_{0}^{U_{1: 2}} I_{1}(s) d F^{-1}(s),
$$

and so on. Substituting these forms in the expression for $H_{j}$ we see that the second and fourth term cancel and we find that

$$
H_{j}=-\int_{0}^{U_{1: 2}} I_{1}(s) d F^{-1}(s)-\int_{U_{1: 2}}^{U_{2: 2}} I_{2}(s) d F^{-1}(s)-\int_{U_{2: 2}}^{1} I_{3}(s) d F^{-1}(s) .
$$

Finally, application of (12) shows that

$$
H_{j}=\sum_{i=0}^{2}(-1)^{i} \int_{V_{i: 2}}^{U_{i+1: 2}} s^{2-i}(1-s)^{i}\left\{\boldsymbol{P}_{n-2}^{s}(j-1)-\boldsymbol{P}_{n-2}^{s}(j-2)\right\} d F^{-1}(s) .
$$

Consequently,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j n} H_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(c_{j n}-c_{j+1, n}\right)\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{2}(-1)^{i} \int_{U_{i: 2}}^{U_{t+1: 2}} s^{2-i}(1-s)^{i} P_{n-2}^{s}(j-1) d F^{-1}(s)\right\},
$$

and hence the statement of Lemma 2 follows readily.
We remark that a proof of Lemma 1 can be easily constructed along the lines of the proof of Lemma 2.

## 5. ANALYSIS OF $\Delta_{3}^{2}$ : PROOF OF LEMMA 3

Summarizing the results of Section 3 we see that

$$
D_{1} D_{2} D_{3} T=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{j n} M_{j}
$$

where (see (16)-(18))

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{j}= & X_{j: n}-\sum_{E \in\{A, B, C]}\left(E_{j-1: n-1}+\int_{E_{j-1: n-1}}^{E_{j: n-1}}\{1-F(t)\} d t\right) \\
& +\sum_{F \in\{P, Q, R\}}\left(F_{j-2: n-2}+\int_{F_{j-2: n-2}}^{F_{j-1: n-2}}\left\{1-F^{2}(t)\right\} d t+\int_{F_{j-1: n-2}}^{F_{j: n-2}}\{1-F(t)\}^{2} d t\right) \\
& -\left(T_{j-3: n-3}+\sum_{m=0}^{2} \int_{T_{j-3+m: n-3}}^{T_{j-2+m: n-3}}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{2-m}\binom{3}{k} F(t)^{k}(1-F(t))^{3-k}\right\} d t\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As mentioned in Lemma 3, we denote the ranks of $X_{1}, X_{2}$ and $X_{3}$ in increasing order by $K_{1}, K_{2}$ and $K_{3}$. With the aid of the given ordered ranks of $X_{1}, X_{2}$ and $X_{3}$, we are able to reconstruct the order statistics of the $X$ 's from the ordered $A$ 's, and so on. For example, for $X_{1} \leqslant X_{2} \leqslant X_{3}$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(A_{1: n-1}, \ldots, A_{K_{1}-1: n-1}, A_{K_{1}: n-1}\right. & \left., \ldots, A_{n-1: n-1}\right) \\
& =\left(X_{1: n}, \ldots, X_{K_{1}-1: n}, X_{K_{1}+1: n}, \ldots, X_{n: n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From this point on it is a matter of careful bookkeeping to find out that (14) is correct, which completes the proof of Lemma 3.

## 6. AN UPPER BOUND FOR $\hat{\beta}_{4}$

In the next three sections we will prove (5), from which our main theorem follows. The three lemmas that will follow, Lemmas 4-6, precisely state what we need.

First we prove a lemma concerning $\hat{\beta}_{4}$. In the following we repeatedly use the $L^{p}$-norm $\|T\|_{p}:=\left\{E|T|^{p}\right\}^{1 / p}(p \geqslant 1)$. For the following three sections, let $A_{n}, \tilde{A}_{n}, B_{n}, \tilde{B}_{n}, C_{n}, \tilde{C}_{n}$ be as defined in Theorem 1.

Lemma 4. There exists a $c=c\left(p_{2}, \kappa\right)$ for which

$$
\hat{\beta}_{4}^{1 / 4} \leqslant 2 d_{1} A_{n} \beta_{4}^{1 / 4}+c K d_{1} \tilde{A}_{n} .
$$

Proof. First we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{E}|X|=\int_{0}^{+\infty}(1-F(s)) d s+\int_{-\infty}^{0} F(s) d s \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{1} \geqslant & n^{p_{1}-p_{2}}\left|c_{j n}\right|, \quad d_{2} \geqslant n^{q_{1}-q_{2}}\left|c_{j n}-c_{j-1, n}\right|, \\
& d_{3} \geqslant n^{r_{1}-r_{2}}\left|c_{j+1, n}-2 c_{j n}+c_{j-1, n}\right|, \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

since

$$
\frac{1}{n} \leqslant \frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right) \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n
$$

Hence Lemma 1 leads us to

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{1}^{-1} n^{p_{1}-p_{2}}\left|n^{1 / 2} T_{1}\right| & \leqslant \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\{\int_{0}^{U_{1}} s b_{j, n}(s) d F^{-1}(s)+\int_{U_{1}}^{1}(1-s) b_{j, n}(s) d F^{-1}(s)\right\} \\
& =\int_{0}^{U_{1}} s d F^{-1}(s)+\int_{U_{1}}^{1}(1-s) d F^{-1}(s) \quad \text { (see (11)) } \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{0} F(t) d t+\int_{0}^{X_{1}} F(t) d t+\int_{X_{1}}^{0}(1-F(t)) d t+\int_{0}^{+\infty}(1-F(t)) d t \\
& \leqslant E\left|X_{1}\right|+\left|X_{1}\right| \quad \text { (see (19))). }
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case where $p_{1} \geqslant p_{2}$, this shows us that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\beta}_{4}^{1 / 4}=\left\|n^{1 / 2} T_{1}\right\|_{4} \leqslant d_{1} n^{p_{2}-p_{1}}\left(\left\|E\left|X_{1}\right|\right\|_{4}+\left\|X_{1}\right\|_{4}\right) \leqslant 2 d_{1} A_{n} \beta_{4}^{1 / 4} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which completes the proof for $p_{1} \geqslant p_{2}$.
Next we consider the case where $p_{1}<p_{2}$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\beta}_{4}^{1 / 4}= & \left\|n^{1 / 2} T_{1}\right\|_{4} \\
\leqslant & \frac{d_{1}}{n^{p_{1}+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{-p_{2}} \\
& \times\left\{\left\|\int_{0}^{U_{1}} s b_{j, n}(s) d F^{-1}(s)\right\|_{4}+\left\|\int_{U_{1}}^{1}(1-s) b_{j, n}(s) d F^{-1}(s)\right\|_{4}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A little later we will show that for $j=1, \ldots, n$ and some $c=c(\kappa)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\int_{0}^{U_{1}} s b_{j, n}(s) d F^{-1}(s)\right\|_{4} \leqslant c K\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{1-\kappa}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)^{1 / 4-\kappa} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By symmetry arguments we have

$$
\left\|\int_{U_{1}}^{1}(1-s) b_{j, n}(s) d F^{-1}(s)\right\|_{4} \leqslant c K\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{1 / 4-\kappa}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)^{1-\kappa}
$$

so that

$$
\left\|\int_{0}^{U_{1}} s b_{j, n}(s) d F^{-1}(s)\right\|_{4}+\left\|\int_{U_{1}}^{1}(1-s) b_{j, n}(s) d F^{-1}(s)\right\|_{4} \leqslant 2 c K\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{1 / 4-\kappa},
$$

and therefore

$$
\hat{\beta}_{4}^{1 / 4} \leqslant 2 c K d_{1} n^{-p_{1}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{1 / 4-\kappa-p_{2}} .
$$

In order to study the behavior of this expression we approximate

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{1 / 4-\kappa-p_{2}}
$$

by integrals of the form

$$
\int_{1 / n}^{1-1 / n}[s(1-s)]^{1 / 4-\kappa-p_{2}} d s
$$

Constants which appear over here depend on $\kappa+p_{2}$, so at the end we have constants depending both on $\kappa$ and on $p_{2}$. Doing this it follows easily that also in this case the result of Lemma 4 applies, which completes its proof, provided that (22) is correct.

We turn to the proof of (22). We remind the reader of the gamma function

$$
\Gamma: s \mapsto \int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{s-1} e^{-t} d t
$$

and the beta function

$$
B(u, v):=\int_{0}^{1} t^{u-1}(1-t)^{v-1} d t
$$

satisfying

$$
\Gamma(k+1)=k!\quad \text { and } \quad B(u, v)=\frac{\Gamma(u) \Gamma(v)}{\Gamma(u+v)} \quad \text { for all } k \in N, u, v>0
$$

It is known (see, for example, Lemma 2 in Pap and van Zuijlen [5]) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}(y) \leqslant \frac{\Gamma(k+y)}{\Gamma(k)} / k^{y} \leqslant C_{2}(y) \quad \text { for } k>-y . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $j \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ or $\kappa<1$. As

$$
s b_{j, n}(s)=\frac{j}{n+1} b_{j+1, n+1}(s)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\int_{0}^{U_{1}} s b_{j, n}(s) d F^{-1}(s)\right\|_{4}^{4} & =\left(\frac{j}{n+1}\right)^{4} \boldsymbol{E}\left(\int_{0}^{U_{1}} b_{j+1, n+1}(s) d F^{-1}(s)\right)^{4} \\
& \leqslant\left(\frac{j}{n+1}\right)^{4}\left(\int_{0}^{1} b_{j+1, n+1}(s) d F^{-1}(s)\right)^{3} E \int_{0}^{U_{1}} b_{j+1, n+1}(s) d F^{-1}(s) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} b_{j+1, n+1}(s) d F^{-1}(s) & \leqslant K \frac{(n+1)!}{j!(n-j)!} B(j+1-\kappa, n-j+1-\kappa) \\
& \leqslant K \frac{\Gamma(n+2)}{\Gamma(n+2-2 \kappa)} \frac{\Gamma(j+1-\kappa)}{\Gamma(j+1)} \frac{\Gamma(n-j+1-\kappa)}{\Gamma(n-j+1)} \\
& \leqslant c_{1} K(n+2)^{2 \kappa}(j+1)^{-\kappa}(n-j+1)^{-\kappa} \quad(\text { see }(23)) \\
& \leqslant c_{1} K\left(\frac{j+1}{n+2}\right)^{-\kappa}\left(\frac{n-(j-1)}{n+2}\right)^{-\kappa} \leqslant c_{2} K\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{-\kappa}
\end{aligned}
$$

for constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ depending on $\kappa$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E \int_{0}^{U_{1}} b_{j+1, n+1}(s) d F^{-1}(s)=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{t} b_{j+1, n+1}(s)\left(F^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(s) d s d t \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{1} b_{j+1, n+1}(s)\left(F^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(s)\left\{\int_{s}^{1} d t\right\} d s \leqslant K \frac{(n+1)!}{j!(n-j)!} \int_{0}^{1} s^{j-\kappa}(1-s)^{n-j+1-\kappa} d s \\
& \quad=K \frac{\Gamma(n+2)}{\Gamma(n+2+1-2 \kappa)} \frac{\Gamma(j+1-\kappa)}{\Gamma(j+1)} \frac{\Gamma(n-j+1+1-\kappa)}{\Gamma(n-j+1)} \\
& \quad \leqslant c_{3} K\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{-\kappa}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)^{1-\kappa}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $c_{3}=c_{3}(\kappa)$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\int_{0}^{U_{1}} s b_{j, n}(s) d F^{-1}(s)\right\|_{4}^{4} & \leqslant\left(\frac{j}{n+1}\right)^{4} c_{2}^{3} c_{3} K^{4}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{-4 \kappa}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)^{1-4 \kappa} \\
& \leqslant c_{4} K^{4}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{4(1-\kappa)}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)^{4(1 / 4-\kappa)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $c_{4}=c_{4}(\kappa)$, which proves (22) in the case where $j \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ or $\kappa<1$.

The cases which remain are more difficult to handle, as they imply that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} b_{j+1, n+1}(s) d F^{-1}(s)=+\infty .
$$

This is why we use a different approach. For $j=n$ and $\kappa \in(1,5 / 4)$ we will prove that for some $c=c(\kappa)$

$$
\left\|\int_{0}^{U_{1}} s b_{n n}(s) d F^{-1}(s)\right\|_{4} \leqslant c K n^{\kappa-1 / 4}
$$

Namely, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| \int_{0}^{U_{1}} s b_{n n}(s) & d F^{-1}(s)\left\|_{4}^{4} \leqslant\right\| \int_{0}^{U_{1}} n s^{n} K[s(1-s)]^{-\kappa} d s \|_{4}^{4} \\
& =K^{4} n^{4} E\left(\int_{0}^{U_{1}} s^{n-\kappa}(1-s)^{-\kappa} d s\right)^{4}=K^{4} n^{4} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{t} s^{n-\kappa}(1-s)^{-\kappa} d s\right)^{4} d t \\
& \leqslant K^{4} n^{4} \int_{0}^{1} t^{4(n-\kappa)}\left(\left[\frac{(1-s)^{-\kappa+1}}{\kappa-1}\right]_{0}^{t}\right)^{4} d t \\
& =\left(\frac{K n}{\kappa-1}\right)^{4} B(4(n-\kappa)+1,-4(\kappa-1)+1) \\
& =\left(\frac{K n}{\kappa-1}\right)^{4} \Gamma(5-4 \kappa) \frac{\Gamma(4 n-4 \kappa+1)}{\Gamma(4 n-4 \kappa+1+5-4 \kappa)} \\
& \leqslant c_{1} K^{4} n^{4}(4 n-4 \kappa+1)^{-(5-4 \kappa)} \leqslant c_{2} K^{4} n^{4 \kappa-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $c_{1}, c_{2}$, depending on $\kappa$. This again proves the point.
Finally, we take up the case in which $j=n$ and $\kappa=1$. The previous argument does not work as we divided by $\kappa-1$. We show that for some $C$

$$
\left\|\int_{0}^{U_{1}} s b_{n n}(s) d F^{-1}(s)\right\|_{4}^{4} \leqslant C K^{4} n^{3} \quad \text { or } \quad\left\|\int_{0}^{U_{1}} s^{n-1}(1-s)^{-1} d s\right\|_{4}^{4} \leqslant C n^{-1} .
$$

Ronald Kortram (personal communication) provided us with the following proof. The function $s \mapsto s^{n-1}(1-s)^{1 / 4}$ is increasing on $[0,1-1 /(4 n-3)]$ and decreasing on $[1-1 /(4 n-3), 1]$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\int_{0}^{U_{1}} s^{n-1}(1-s)^{-1} d s\right\|_{4}^{4} \\
& =\int_{0}^{1-1 /(4 n-3)}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \frac{s^{n-1}(1-s)^{1 / 4}}{(1-s)^{5 / 4}} d s\right)^{4} d t+\int_{1-1 /(4 n-3)}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \frac{s^{n-1}(1-s)^{1 / 4}}{(1-s)^{5 / 4}} d s\right)^{4} d t \\
& \leqslant \int_{0}^{1-1 /(4 n-3)} t^{4 n-4}(1-t)\left(\left[\frac{(1-s)^{-1 / 4}}{1 / 4}\right]_{0}^{t}\right)^{4} d t \\
& \quad+\int_{1-1 /(4 n-3)}^{1} \frac{1}{4 n-3}\left(\left[\frac{(1-s)^{-1 / 4}}{1 / 4}\right]_{0}^{t}\right)^{4} d t \\
& \leqslant 4^{4} \int_{0}^{1} t^{4 n-4} d t+\frac{4^{4}}{4 n-3} \int_{0}^{1}(1-t)^{-1 / 4} d t \leqslant C n^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $C$. This completes the proof. $■$

## 7. AN UPPER BOUND FOR $\gamma_{3}$

The aim of this section is to prove the following lemma:
Lemma 5. There exists a $c=c\left(q_{2}, k\right)$ for which

$$
\gamma_{3}^{1 / 3} \leqslant 3 d_{2} B_{n} \beta_{3}^{1 / 3}+c K d_{2} \tilde{B}_{n} .
$$

Proof. First we consider the case in which $q_{1} \geqslant q_{2}+1$. By Lemma 2, (11), (19) and (20), the method we have used to prove Lemma 4 in the case where $p_{1} \geqslant p_{2}$ yields

$$
d_{2}^{-1} n^{q_{1}-q_{2}-1}\left|n^{3 / 2} T_{12}\right| \leqslant E\left|X_{1}\right|+\left|X_{1}\right|+\left|X_{2}\right| .
$$

(Here we also used the inequality $F^{-1}\left(U_{2: 2}\right)=\max \left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \leqslant\left|X_{1}\right|+\left|X_{2}\right|$.) As a consequence

$$
\gamma_{3}^{1 / 3}=\left\|n^{3 / 2} T_{12}\right\|_{3} \leqslant 3 d_{2} B_{n} \beta_{3}^{1 / 3},
$$

which completes the proof for $q_{1} \geqslant q_{2}+1$.
Now suppose that $q_{1}<q_{2}+1$. By Lemma 2 and (3) we have

$$
\gamma_{3}^{1 / 3}=\left\|n^{3 / 2} T_{12}\right\|_{3} \leqslant \frac{n}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_{2} n^{-q_{1}}\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{-q_{2}}\left\{\left\|\Gamma_{1 j}\right\|_{3}+\left\|\Gamma_{2 j}\right\|_{3}+\left\|\Gamma_{3 j}\right\|_{3}\right\},
$$

where for $i=1,2,3$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{i j}:=\int_{U_{i-1: 2}}^{U_{t: 2}} s^{3-i}(1-s)^{i-1} d F^{-1}(s) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we determine the order of $\left\|\Gamma_{1 j}\right\|_{3}$. As

$$
s^{2} b_{j, n-1}(s)=\left(\frac{j+1}{n}\right)^{2} b_{j+2, n+1}(s)
$$

we have

$$
\left\|\Gamma_{1 j}\right\|_{3}^{3}=\left(\frac{j}{n+1}\right)^{6} E\left(\int_{0}^{U_{1: 2}} b_{j+2, n+1}(s) d F^{-1}(s)\right)^{3}
$$

and we can find an upper bound in the same way as we did for $\left\|\int_{0}^{V_{1}} s b_{j, n}(s) d F^{-1}(s)\right\|_{4}$ in Section 6. Again we have the following three cases:
(i) $j=1, \ldots, n-1$ or $\kappa<1, \quad$ (ii) $j=n$ and $\kappa \in(1,5 / 4), \quad$ (iii) $j=n$ and $\kappa=1$;
again in each of them the result is the same and the methods to prove them differ considerably. We confine ourselves to the first case. We have

$$
\int_{0}^{1} b_{j+2, n+1}(s) d F^{-1}(s) \leqslant K \frac{\Gamma(n+2)}{\Gamma(j+2) \Gamma(n-j)} \frac{\Gamma(j+2-\kappa) \Gamma(n-j-\kappa)}{\Gamma(n+2-2 \kappa)}
$$

and

$$
E \int_{0}^{U_{1: 2}} b_{j+2, n+1}(s) d F^{-1}(s) \leqslant K \frac{\Gamma(n+2)}{\Gamma(j+2) \Gamma(n-j)} \frac{\Gamma(j+2-\kappa) \Gamma(n-j+2-\kappa)}{\Gamma(n+4-2 \kappa)},
$$

which for some $c=c(\kappa)$ leads to

$$
\left\|\Gamma_{1 j}\right\|_{3} \leqslant c K\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{2-\kappa}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)^{2 / 3-\kappa}
$$

By symmetry arguments we see that

$$
\left\|\Gamma_{3 j}\right\|_{3} \leqslant c K\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{2 / 3-\kappa}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)^{2-\kappa}
$$

Moreover, we find that for some $c=c(\kappa)$

$$
\left\|\Gamma_{2 j}\right\|_{3} \leqslant c K\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{1-\kappa}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)^{4 / 3-\kappa}
$$

In conclusion, for some $c=c(k)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Gamma_{1 j}\right\|_{3}+\left\|\Gamma_{2 j}\right\|_{3}+\left\|\Gamma_{3 j}\right\|_{3} \leqslant c K\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{2 / 3-\kappa}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)^{2 / 3-\kappa} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\gamma_{3}^{1 / 3} \leqslant \frac{n}{n-1} d_{2} c K n^{1-q_{1}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{2 / 3-\kappa-q_{2}} .
$$

In the same way as in Section 6 this leads to the result mentioned in Lemma 5. The second and the third case can also be handled with the approach of Section 6.

## 8. AN UPPER BOUND FOR $\Delta_{3}^{2}$

We will prove the following lemma:
Lemma 6. There exists a $c=c\left(r_{2}, \kappa\right)$ for which

$$
\left(\Delta_{3}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant 4 d_{3} C_{n} \beta_{2}^{1 / 2}+c K d_{3} \tilde{C}_{n} .
$$

Proof. In the case where $r_{1} \geqslant r_{2}+2$, like before we deduce that

$$
d_{3}^{-1} n^{r_{1}-r_{2}-2}\left|n^{5 / 2} D_{1} D_{2} D_{3} T\right| \leqslant E\left|X_{1}\right|+\left|X_{1}\right|+\left|X_{2}\right|+\left|X_{3}\right|,
$$

so $\left(\Delta_{3}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=\left\|n^{5 / 2} D_{1} D_{2} D_{3} T\right\|_{2} \leqslant 4 d_{3} C_{n} \beta_{2}^{1 / 2}$.
Now suppose that $r_{1}<r_{2}+2$. By Lemma 3 and (4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta_{3}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=\left\|n^{5 / 2} D_{1} D_{2} D_{3} T\right\|_{2} \leqslant d_{3} n^{2-r_{1}}\left(\left\|\Delta_{1}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\Delta_{2}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\Delta_{3}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\Delta_{4}\right\|_{2}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with
$\Delta_{i+1}:=\sum_{j=K_{i}+2-i}^{K_{i+1}+1-i}\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]_{U_{j-2+i, n}}^{-r_{2}} s^{U_{j-1+i+n}} s^{3-i}(1-s)^{i} d F^{-1}(s) \quad$ for $i=0,1,2,3$.
As we use the inequality $\left|\left(F^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(s)\right| \leqslant K[s(1-s)]^{-\kappa}$, by symmetry arguments it can easily be shown that the upper bounds for $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{4}$ are of the same order. The same applies for $\Delta_{2}$ and $\Delta_{3}$, so that we can concentrate on finding orders for $\left\|\Delta_{1}\right\|_{2}$ and $\left\|\Delta_{2}\right\|_{2}$.

First we remark that, for each combination $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)$ for which $1 \leqslant k_{1}<k_{2}<k_{3} \leqslant n$,

$$
P\left[\left(K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}\right)=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)\right]=\binom{n}{3}^{-1}
$$

consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left[\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right]=\frac{n-k_{2}}{\binom{n}{3}} \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant k_{1}<k_{2} \leqslant n-1 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\boldsymbol{P}\left[K_{1}=k_{1}\right]=\sum_{k_{2}=k_{1}+1}^{n-1} \frac{n-k_{2}}{\binom{n}{3}}=\frac{\frac{1}{2}\left(n-k_{1}\right)\left(n-\left(k_{1}+1\right)\right)}{\binom{n}{3}} \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant k_{1} \leqslant n-2 .
$$

Since $K_{1}$ and $U_{0: n}, \ldots, U_{n+1: n}$ are independent, we have

$$
E\left|\Delta_{1}\right|^{2}=\mathbb{E}_{K_{1}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\left(U_{0: n}, \ldots, U_{n+1: n}\right)}\left\{\left|\Delta_{1}\right|^{2} \mid K_{1}\right\}\right),
$$

so that

$$
\left\|\Delta_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\sum_{k_{1}=1}^{n-2} P\left[K_{1}=k_{1}\right]\left\|_{j=2}^{k_{1}+1}\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{-r_{2}} \int_{U_{j-2: n}}^{U_{j-1: n}} s^{3} d F^{-1}(s)\right\|_{2}^{2} .
$$

Furthermore, Lemma 4 of Pap and van Zuijlen [5] states: for each fixed pair $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} \in \boldsymbol{R}$ there exists (under some conditions on the triple ( $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, j$ ), which for our purposes are always satisfied) a constant $c=c\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|\int_{U_{j-1: n}}^{U_{j: n}} s^{\varepsilon_{1}}(1-s)^{\varepsilon_{2}} d s\right\|_{2} \leqslant c \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{\varepsilon_{1}}\left(1-\frac{j-2}{n}\right)^{\varepsilon_{2}} .
$$

Therefore it follows easily that for $j=2, \ldots, n-1$

$$
\left\|\int_{U_{j-2: n}}^{U_{j-1: n}} s^{3} d F^{-1}(s)\right\|_{2} \leqslant K\left\|\int_{U_{j-2: n}}^{U_{j-1: n}} s^{3-\kappa}(1-s)^{-\kappa} d s\right\|_{2} \leqslant c K \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{3-\kappa}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)^{-\kappa}
$$

We obtain
(28) $\left\|\Delta_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant \sum_{k_{1}=1}^{n-2} \boldsymbol{P}\left[K_{1}=k_{1}\right]\left(\sum_{j=2}^{k_{1}+1}\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{-r_{2}}\left\|\int_{U_{j-2: n}}^{U_{j-1: n}} s^{3} d F^{-1}(s)\right\|_{2}\right)^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqslant \sum_{k_{1}=1}^{n-2} \frac{\frac{1}{2}\left(n-k_{1}\right)\left(n-\left(k_{1}+1\right)\right)}{\binom{n}{3}}\left(c_{1} K \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2}^{k_{1}+1}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{3-\kappa-r_{2}}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)^{-\kappa-r_{2}}\right)^{2} \\
& \leqslant c_{2} K^{2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k_{1}=1}^{n-2}\left(1-\frac{k_{1}}{n}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2}^{k_{1}+1}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{3-\kappa-r_{2}}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)^{-\kappa-r_{2}}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$, depending on $\kappa$. By integral approximation we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 29) } \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2}^{k_{1}+1}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{3-\left(\kappa+r_{2}\right)}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)^{-\left(\kappa+r_{2}\right)}  \tag{29}\\
& \leqslant c\left(I\left\{\kappa+r_{2}<1\right\}+I\left\{\kappa+r_{2}=1\right\} \log \frac{n}{n-k_{1}}+I\left\{\kappa+r_{2}>1\right\}\left(\frac{n}{n-k_{1}}\right)^{\kappa+r_{2}-1}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+I\left\{\kappa+r_{2}=4\right\} \log n+I\left\{\kappa+r_{2}>4\right\} n^{\kappa+r_{2}-4}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for some $c=c\left(\kappa+r_{2}\right)$. For $\kappa+r_{2}<1$ this leads to

$$
\left\|\Delta_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant c_{1}^{2} K^{2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k_{1}=1}^{n-2}\left(1-\frac{k_{1}}{n}\right)^{2} \leqslant c_{1}^{2} K^{2}
$$

for a certain $c_{1}=c_{1}\left(\kappa, r_{2}\right)$, that is, $\left\|\Delta_{1}\right\|_{2} \leqslant c_{1} K$. For $\kappa+r_{2}=1$ this leads to

$$
\left\|\Delta_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant c_{2} K^{2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k_{1}=1}^{n-2}\left(1-\frac{k_{1}}{n}\right)^{2} \log ^{2}\left(\frac{n}{n-k_{1}}\right) \leqslant c_{3}^{2} K^{2}
$$

for some $c_{2}, c_{3}$, depending on $\kappa$ and $r_{2}$, since

$$
\int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{2} \log ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{1-s}\right) d s=\int_{0}^{1}(t \log t)^{2} d t<+\infty
$$

Hence $\left\|\Delta_{1}\right\|_{2} \leqslant c_{3} K$. For $1<\kappa+r_{2}<4$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Delta_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2} & \leqslant c_{4} K^{2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k_{1}=1}^{n-2}\left(1-\frac{k_{1}}{n}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{n}{n-k_{1}}\right)^{2\left(\kappa+r_{2}\right)-2} \\
& =c_{4} K^{2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k_{1}=1}^{n-2}\left(1-\frac{k_{1}}{n}\right)^{4-2\left(\kappa+r_{2}\right)} \leqslant c_{5} K^{2} \int_{0}^{1-1 / n}(1-s)^{4-2\left(\kappa+r_{2}\right)} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

for certain $c_{4}, c_{5}$ (depending on $\kappa, r_{2}$ ), so that in this case, for some $c_{6}=c_{6}\left(\kappa, r_{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Delta_{1}\right\|_{2} \leqslant c_{6} K\left(I\left\{1<\kappa+r_{2}<5 / 2\right\}+I\{ \right. & \left.\kappa+r_{2}=5 / 2\right\} \sqrt{\log n} \\
& \left.+I\left\{5 / 2<\kappa+r_{2}<4\right\} n^{\kappa+r_{2}-5 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\kappa+r_{2} \geqslant 4$ we always have two terms producing two orders, of which we need the largest. The $\left(n /\left(n-k_{1}\right)\right)^{\kappa+r_{2}-1}$-part in (29) will yield $\left\|\Delta_{1}\right\|_{2} \leqslant c K n^{\kappa+r_{2}-5 / 2}$. The other parts produce orders that are dominated by the order of the first term. Hence, for some $c=c\left(r_{2}, \kappa\right)$ we find
(30) $\left\|\Delta_{1}\right\|_{2} \leqslant c K\left(I\left\{\kappa+r_{2}<5 / 2\right\}+I\left\{\kappa+r_{2}=5 / 2\right\} \sqrt{\log n}\right.$

$$
\left.+I\left\{\kappa+r_{2}>5 / 2\right\} n^{\kappa+r_{2}-5 / 2}\right)
$$

To determine the order of $\left\|\Delta_{2}\right\|_{2}$ we roughly proceed similarly. We shall stop noting that the constants depend on both $\kappa$ and $r_{2}$, though of course they do. Like before (see (27) as well as (28))

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\Delta_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant \sum_{k_{1}=1}^{n-2} \sum_{k_{2}=k_{1}+1}^{n-1} P\left[\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right] \\
& \times\left(\sum_{j=k_{1}+1}^{k_{2}}\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{-r_{2}}\left\|\int_{U_{j-1: n}}^{U_{j: n}} s^{2}(1-s) d F^{-1}(s)\right\|_{2}\right)^{2} \\
\leqslant & \sum_{k_{1}=1}^{n-2} \sum_{k_{2}=k_{1}+1}^{n-1} \frac{n-k_{2}}{\binom{n}{3}}\left(K \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=k_{1}+1}^{k_{2}}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{2-\left(\kappa+r_{2}\right)}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)^{1-\left(\kappa+r_{2}\right)}\right)^{2} \\
\leqslant & c_{1} K^{2} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{k_{1}=1}^{n-2} \sum_{k_{2}=k_{1}+1}^{n-1}\left(1-\frac{k_{2}}{n}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=k_{1}+1}^{k_{2}}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{2-\left(\kappa+r_{2}\right)}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)^{1-\left(\kappa+r_{2}\right)}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As in (29) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n_{j=}} \sum_{k_{1}+1}^{k_{2}}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{2-\left(\kappa+r_{2}\right)}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)^{1-\left(\kappa+r_{2}\right)} \\
& \leqslant \\
& c_{2}\left(I\left\{\kappa+r_{2}<2\right\}+I\left\{\kappa+r_{2}=2\right\} \log \frac{n}{n-k_{1}}+I\left\{\kappa+r_{2}>2\right\}\left(\frac{n}{n-k_{1}}\right)^{\kappa+r_{2}-2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+I\left\{\kappa+r_{2}=3\right\} \log n+I\left\{\kappa+r_{2}>3\right\} n^{\kappa+r_{2}-3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\kappa+r_{2}<2$ this leads to

$$
\left\|\Delta_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant c_{3} K^{2} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{k_{1}=1}^{n-2} \sum_{k_{2}=k_{1}+1}^{n-1}\left(1-\frac{k_{2}}{n}\right) \leqslant c_{4}^{2} K^{2} \frac{1}{n^{2}} n^{2}=c_{4}^{2} K^{2},
$$

so that $\left\|\Delta_{2}\right\|_{2} \leqslant c_{4} K$. For $\kappa+r_{2}=2$ we find the same order. For $2<\kappa+r_{2}<3$
we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Delta_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2} & \leqslant c_{5} K^{2} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{k_{2}=2}^{n-1} \sum_{k_{1}=1}^{k_{2}-1}\left(1-\frac{k_{2}}{n}\right)\left(\frac{n}{n-k_{2}}\right)^{2 \kappa+2 r_{2}-4} \\
& =c_{5} K^{2} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{k_{2}=2}^{n-1}\left(k_{2}-1\right)\left(1-\frac{k_{2}}{n}\right)^{5-2 \kappa-2 r_{2}} \\
& \leqslant c_{6} K^{2} \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{5-2 \kappa-2 r_{2}} d s \leqslant c_{7}^{2} K^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $5-2 \kappa-2 r_{2}>-1$. Here also $\left\|\Delta_{2}\right\|_{2} \leqslant c_{7} K$.
For $\kappa+r_{2} \geqslant 3$ again we have two terms playing a part. As with $\Delta_{1}$, here the $\left(n /\left(n-k_{1}\right)\right)^{\kappa+r_{2}-1}$-term dominates the others. This leads us to $\left\|\Delta_{2}\right\|_{2} \leqslant c K \sqrt{\log n}$ if $\kappa+r_{2}=3$ and to $\left\|\Delta_{2}\right\|_{2} \leqslant c K n^{\kappa+r_{2}-3}$ if $\kappa+r_{2}>3$.

Collecting the results we see that for some $c=c\left(\kappa, r_{2}\right)$ we have

$$
\left\|\Delta_{2}\right\|_{2} \leqslant c K\left(I\left\{\kappa+r_{2}<3\right\}+I\left\{\kappa+r_{2}=3\right\} \sqrt{\log n}+I\left\{\kappa+r_{2}>3\right\} n^{\kappa+r_{2}-3}\right)
$$

Since the order of $\left\|\Delta_{1}\right\|_{2}$ dominates the ones of $\left\|\Delta_{2}\right\|_{2},\left\|\Delta_{3}\right\|_{2}$ and $\left\|\Delta_{4}\right\|_{2}$, it now follows from (26) and (30) that Lemma 6 is correct.

## 9. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Theorem 3 is proved by using (2). We need to find upper bounds for $\hat{\beta}_{4}(T), \gamma_{3}(T)$ and $\Delta_{3}^{2}(T)$. First we set $J: t \mapsto \psi(t)[t(1-t)]^{-\gamma}$. As to $\hat{\beta}_{4}^{1 / 4}(T)$ we can apply Lemma 4. By taking $p_{1}:=0$ and $p_{2}:=\gamma$ in the expression for $d_{1}$, we obtain $d_{1} \leqslant 2^{2 \gamma}\|\psi\|_{\infty}$, so that Lemma 4 implies that for some $c=c(\kappa, \gamma)$

$$
\hat{\beta}_{4}^{1 / 4}(T) \leqslant c K 2^{2 \gamma}\|\psi\|_{\infty}
$$

Next we determine the order of $\gamma_{3}(T)$. By taking

$$
c_{j, n}:=J\left(\frac{j}{n+1}\right) \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, n
$$

we have for $1 \leqslant j<n$

$$
c_{j, n}-c_{j+1, n}=e_{j 1}+e_{j 2}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{j 1}:=\left[\frac{j}{n+1}\left(1-\frac{j}{n+1}\right)\right]^{-\gamma}\left(\psi\left(\frac{j}{n+1}\right)-\psi\left(\frac{j+1}{n+1}\right)\right), \\
& e_{j 2}:=\psi\left(\frac{j+1}{n+1}\right)\left(\left[\frac{j}{n+1}\left(1-\frac{j}{n+1}\right)\right]^{-\gamma}-\left[\frac{j+1}{n+1}\left(1-\frac{j+1}{n+1}\right)\right]^{-\gamma}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2 and (24), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{3}^{1 / 3}(T) & =\left\|n^{3 / 2} T_{12}\right\|_{3}=\left\|\frac{n}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(c_{j, n}-c_{j+1, n}\right)\left\{\Gamma_{1 j}-\Gamma_{2 j}+\Gamma_{3 j}\right\}\right\|_{3} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{2}\left\|\frac{n}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} e_{j k}\left\{\Gamma_{1 j}-\Gamma_{2 j}+\Gamma_{3 j}\right\}\right\|_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

which leaves us two terms to estimate from above.
First we take a look at the $e_{j 1}$-term. As

$$
\left|e_{j 1}\right| \leqslant 2^{2 \gamma}\left\|\psi^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \frac{1}{n}\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{-\gamma} \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, n-1,
$$

we obtain

$$
\left\|\frac{n}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} e_{j 1}\left\{\Gamma_{1 j}-\Gamma_{2 j}+\Gamma_{3 j}\right\}\right\|_{3} .
$$

for some constant $c=c(\kappa, \gamma)$ (see (25)). Integral approximation yields

$$
\left\|\frac{n}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} e_{j 1}\left\{\Gamma_{1 j}-\Gamma_{2 j}+\Gamma_{3 j}\right\}\right\|_{3} \leqslant c K\left\|\psi^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

Regarding the second term we need to estimate $\left|e_{j 2}\right|$ from above. To this end we introduce the function

$$
\varphi: s \mapsto[s(1+1 / n-s)]^{-\gamma} \quad \text { for } s \in[1 / n, 1] .
$$

We are mainly concerned with expressions of the form

$$
\left|\varphi\left(\frac{j+1}{n}\right)-\varphi\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)\right| \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

As

$$
\varphi^{\prime}(s)=-\gamma(1+1 / n-2 s)[s(1+1 / n-s)]^{-\gamma-1}
$$

the mean value theorem leads to

$$
\left|e_{j 2}\right| \leqslant 2^{3 \gamma+1} \gamma\|\psi\|_{\infty} \frac{1}{n}\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{-\gamma-1} .
$$

In the same way as with $e_{j 1}$, for some $c=c(\kappa, \gamma)$ we obtain

$$
\left\|\frac{n}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} e_{j 2}\left\{\Gamma_{1 j}-\Gamma_{2 j}+\Gamma_{3 j}\right\}\right\|_{3} \leqslant c K\|\psi\|_{\infty} .
$$

We may conclude that for some $c=c(\kappa, \gamma)$

$$
\gamma_{3}^{1 / 3}(T) \leqslant c K\left(\left\|\psi^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}+\|\psi\|_{\infty}\right)
$$

Finally, we turn to $\Delta_{3}^{2}(T)$, where $\sqrt{\Delta_{3}^{2}(T)}=\left\|n^{5 / 2} D_{1} D_{2} D_{3} T\right\|_{2}$ with $D_{1} D_{2} D_{3} T$ as in Lemma 3. Now for $j=2, \ldots, n-1$

$$
c_{j+1, n}-2 c_{j, n}+c_{j-1, n}=f_{j 1}+f_{j 2}+f_{j 3}
$$

if for all such $j$ we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{j 1}= & \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{2 \gamma}\left\{\psi\left(\frac{j+1}{n+1}\right)-2 \psi\left(\frac{j}{n+1}\right)+\psi\left(\frac{j-1}{n+1}\right)\right\}\left[\frac{j+1}{n}\left(1-\frac{j}{n}\right)\right]^{-\gamma}, \\
f_{j 2}= & \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{2 \gamma} 2\left\{\psi\left(\frac{j}{n+1}\right)-\psi\left(\frac{j-1}{n+1}\right)\right\} \\
& \times\left(\left[\frac{j+1}{n}\left(1-\frac{j}{n}\right)\right]^{-\gamma}-\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{-\gamma}\right), \\
f_{j 3}= & \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{2 \gamma} \psi\left(\frac{j-1}{n+1}\right)\left(\left[\frac{j+1}{n}\left(1-\frac{j}{n}\right)\right]^{-\gamma}-2\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{-\gamma}\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\frac{j-1}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-2}{n}\right)\right]^{-\gamma}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We proceed as with the $\gamma_{3}(T)$, splitting $\left\|n^{5 / 2} D_{1} D_{2} D_{3} T\right\|_{2}$ up into three parts, corresponding to $f_{j 1}, f_{j 2}$ and $f_{j 3}$, respectively. We start with $f_{j 1}$.

Applying the mean value theorem for two times we see that for all $j$

$$
\left|f_{j 1}\right| \leqslant 2^{3 \gamma+1}\left\|\psi^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{-\gamma} n^{-2}
$$

Now we try to find an upper bound for the expression corresponding to $f_{j 1}$. See (14) for the parts we abbreviated to '... As in Section 8 we see that

$$
\left\|n^{2}\left\{\ldots\left(f_{j 1}\right) \ldots\right\}\right\|_{2} \leqslant n^{2} 2^{3 \gamma+1}\left\|\psi^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} n^{-2}\left\{\left\|\Delta_{1}\right\|_{2}+\ldots+\left\|\Delta_{4}\right\|_{2}\right\},
$$

with the $\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{4}$ as before, taking $r_{2}=\gamma$. So for some $c=c(\kappa, \gamma)$

$$
\left\|n^{2}\left\{\ldots\left(f_{j 1}\right) \ldots\right\}\right\|_{2} \leqslant c K\left\|\psi^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

We turn to the second term, where we need to estimate $f_{2 j}$ from above for all $j$. To this we apply the same function $\varphi$ that we used to estimate $e_{j 2}$.

We obtain

$$
\left|f_{j 2}\right| \leqslant 2^{3 \gamma+2} \gamma\left\|\psi^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} n^{-2}\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{-\gamma-1}
$$

and as with $f_{j 1}$ we see that

$$
\left\|n^{2}\left\{\ldots\left(f_{j 2}\right) \ldots\right\}\right\|_{2} \leqslant c K\left\|\psi^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} .
$$

As to the third term we need to estimate $\left|f_{3 j}\right|$ from above (all $j$ ). To this end again we use the function $\varphi$, as we have to deal with expressions of the form

$$
\left|\varphi\left(\frac{j+1}{n}\right)-2 \varphi\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)+\varphi\left(\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right| \quad \text { (all } j \text { ). }
$$

We apply the mean value theorem two times to see that

$$
\left|\varphi\left(\frac{j+1}{n}\right)-2 \varphi\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)+\varphi\left(\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right| \leqslant \frac{2}{n^{2}}\left|\varphi^{\prime \prime}(\xi)\right| \quad \text { for some } \xi \in\left[\frac{j-1}{n}, \frac{j+1}{n}\right] .
$$

Moreover,
$\varphi^{\prime \prime}(s)=\left\{2 s(1+1 / n-s)+(\gamma+1)(1+1 / n-2 s)^{2}\right\} \gamma[s(1+1 / n-s)]^{-(\gamma+2)} \quad$ for all $s$, so

$$
\left|\varphi^{\prime \prime}(s)\right| \leqslant \gamma(\gamma+3)[s(1+1 / n-s)]^{-(\gamma+2)} .
$$

Restricting ourselves to $s \in[(j-1) / n,(j+1) / n]$ we obtain

$$
\left|\varphi^{\prime \prime}(s)\right| \leqslant \gamma(\gamma+3) 2^{2(\gamma+2)}\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{-(\gamma+2)}
$$

Thus we see that for all $j$ we have

$$
\left|f_{j 3}\right| \leqslant 2^{4 \gamma+5} \gamma(\gamma+3)\|\psi\|_{\infty} n^{-2}\left[\frac{j}{n}\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right]^{-\gamma-2} .
$$

Hence for some $c=c(\kappa, \gamma)$

$$
\left\|n^{2}\left\{\ldots\left(f_{j 3}\right) \ldots\right\}\right\|_{2} \leqslant c K\|\psi\|_{\infty} .
$$

We conclude that for some $c=c(\kappa, \gamma)$

$$
\sqrt{\Lambda_{3}^{2}(T)} \leqslant c K\left(\left\|\psi^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\psi^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}+\|\psi\|_{\infty}\right) .
$$

Now Theorem 3 is an easy consequence.
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