# ON THE STRONG LAWS OF LARGE NUMBERS FOR TWO-DIMENSIONAL ARRAYS OF BLOCKWISE INDEPENDENT AND BLOCKWISE ORTHOGONAL RANDOM VARIABLES* 

NGUYEN VAN QUANG and LE VAN THANH (Vinh, Vietnam)


#### Abstract

In this paper we obtain the conditions of the strong law of large numbers for two-dimensional arrays of random variables which are blockwise independent and blockwise orthogonal. Some well-known results on the strong laws of large numbers for two-dimensional arrays of random variables are extended.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Móricz [8] introduced the concepts of blockwise independence and blockwise orthogonality for a sequence of random variables. Móricz [8] and Gaposhkin [3] showed that some properties of independent sequences of random variables can be applied to sequences consisting of independent blocks. In particular, it was proved in Móricz [8] that if $\left\{X_{i}, i \geqslant 1\right\}$ is a sequence of random variables of mean 0 such that for each $k \geqslant 1$ the random variables $\left\{X_{i}, 2^{k} \leqslant i<2^{k+1}\right\}$ are independent, then it satisfies the Kolmogorov theorem (see, e.g., Chow and Teicher [2], p. 124): the condition $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E X_{i}^{2} / i^{2}<\infty$ implies the strong law of large numbers, i.e.,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}=0 \text { almost surely (a.s.). }
$$

In [4] Gaposhkin obtained the sufficient conditions under which the strong law of large numbers is fulfilled for blockwise independent sequences and blockwise orthogonal sequences. However, the same problems for multidimensional arrays have not been studied yet.

[^0]The aim of this paper is to establish the strong law of large numbers for two-dimensional arrays of blockwise independent and blockwise orthogonal random variables with arbitrary blocks. In the present work, we obtain, as corollaries, the Kolmogorov strong law of large numbers and the RademacherMensov strong law of large numbers for two-dimensional arrays of random variables.

For $a, b \in \boldsymbol{R}, \min \{a, b\}$ and $\max \{a, b\}$ will be denoted by $a \wedge b$ and $a \vee b$, respectively. In this paper, the logarithms are to base 2.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In the sequel we will need the following lemmas.
Lemma 2.1. If $\left\{x_{m n}, m \geqslant 1, n \geqslant 1\right\}$ is an array of real numbers such that

$$
\lim _{m \vee n \rightarrow \infty} x_{m n}=0,
$$

then

$$
\lim _{m \vee n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-m-n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} 2^{i+j} x_{i j}=0
$$

Proof. Set $s=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n / 2^{n-1}$. For all $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $n_{0}$ such that $\left|x_{i j}\right|<$ $\varepsilon / 2 s$ for $i \vee j \geqslant n_{0}$. On the other hand, since $\lim _{m \vee n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-m-n}=0$, there exists $m_{0}>n_{0}$ such that

$$
2^{-m-n} \sum_{i \wedge j<n_{0}} 2^{i+j} x_{i j}<\varepsilon / 2 \quad \text { for } m \vee n \geqslant m_{0} .
$$

Hence, for $m \vee n \geqslant m_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|2^{-m-n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} 2^{i+j} x_{i j}\right| & \leqslant 2^{-m-n}\left|\sum_{i \wedge j<n_{0}} 2^{i+j} x_{i j}\right|+2^{-m-n}\left|\sum_{i \vee j \geqslant n_{0}, i \leqslant m, j \leqslant n} 2^{i+j} x_{i j}\right| \\
& <\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2 s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{2^{n-1}}=\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2 s} s=\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is completed.
The next lemma is the two-parameter version of the Kolmogorov inequality. It was obtained by Wichura [9].

Lemma 2.2. Let $\left\{X_{i j}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n\right\}$ be a collection of mn independent random variables. If $E X_{i j}=0$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, then

$$
E\left(\max _{1 \leqslant k \leqslant m, 1 \leqslant l \leqslant n}\left|S_{k l}\right|^{2}\right) \leqslant 16 \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E X_{i j}^{2},
$$

where $S_{k l}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} X_{i j}, 1 \leqslant k \leqslant m, 1 \leqslant l \leqslant n$.

The following lemma is the two-parameter version of the Radema-cher-Mensov inequality. It was firstly achieved by Agnew [1]. It may also be found in the papers by Móricz [7] (Corollary 2) and Hong and Hwang [5] (Lemma 2.2).

Lemma 2.3. If $\left\{X_{i j}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n\right\}$ is an array of mutually orthogonal random variables, $E\left|X_{i j}\right|^{2}<\infty, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, then

$$
E\left(\max _{1 \leqslant k \leqslant m, 1 \leqslant l \leqslant n}\left|S_{k l}\right|\right)^{2} \leqslant(\log 2 m)^{2}(\log 2 n)^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E X_{i j}^{2}
$$

where $S_{k l}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} X_{i j}, 1 \leqslant k \leqslant m, 1 \leqslant l \leqslant n$.

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

Let $\{\omega(k), k \geqslant 1\}$ and $\{v(k), k \geqslant 1\}$ be strictly increasing sequences of positive integers with $\omega(1)=\nu(1)=1$ and set

$$
\Delta_{k l}=[\omega(k), \omega(k+1)) \times[v(l), v(l+1)) .
$$

We say that an array $\left\{X_{i j}, i \geqslant 1, j \geqslant 1\right\}$ of random variables is blockwise independent (resp., blockwise orthogonal) with respect to the blocks $\left\{\Delta_{k l}, k \geqslant 1\right.$, $l \geqslant 1\}$ if for each $k$ and $l$ the array $\left\{X_{i j},(i, j) \in \Delta_{k l}\right\}$ is independent (resp., orthogonal). For $\{\omega(k), k \geqslant 1\},\{v(k), k \geqslant 1\}$ and $\left\{\Delta_{k l}, k \geqslant 1, l \geqslant 1\right\}$ as above, and for $m \geqslant 0, n \geqslant 0, k \geqslant 1, l \geqslant 1$, we introduce the following notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{(m n)} & =\left\{(i, j): 2^{m} \leqslant i<2^{m+1}, 2^{n} \leqslant j<2^{n+1}\right\} \\
\Delta_{k l}^{(m n)} & =\Delta_{k l} \cap \Delta^{(m n)}, \\
I_{m n} & =\left\{(k, l): \Delta_{k l}^{(m n)} \neq \varnothing\right\}, \\
r_{k}^{(m)} & =\min \left\{m: m \in[\omega(k), \omega(k+1)) \cap\left[2^{m}, 2^{m+1}\right)\right\}, \\
s_{l}^{(n)} & =\min \left\{n: n \in[v(l), v(l+1)) \cap\left[2^{n}, 2^{n+1}\right)\right\}, \\
r_{k}^{(m)} & =\max \left\{m: m \in[\omega(k), \omega(k+1)) \cap\left[2^{m}, 2^{m+1}\right)\right\}, \\
s_{l}^{(n)} & =\max \left\{n: n \in[v(l), \omega(l+1)) \cap\left[2^{n}, 2^{n+1}\right)\right\}, \\
\left|r_{k}^{(m)}\right| & =r_{k}^{(m)}-r_{k}^{(m)}+1, \\
\left|s_{l}^{(n)}\right| & =s_{l}^{(n)}-s_{l}^{(n)}+1, \\
s_{m n} & =\operatorname{card}_{m n}, \\
\varphi(i, j) & =\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_{i j} I_{\Delta^{(i j)}}, \\
\varphi^{*}(i) & =\log ^{2}[\omega(k+1)-\omega(k)+1] \text { if } \omega(k) \leqslant i<\omega(k+1),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{*}(i) & =\log ^{2}[v(k+1)-v(k)+1] \text { if } v(k) \leqslant i<v(k+1), \\
\phi(i, j) & =\varphi^{*}(i) \psi^{*}(i)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $I_{\Delta^{(i j)}}$ denotes the indicator function of the set $\Delta^{(i j)}, i \geqslant 0, j \geqslant 0$.
Theorem 3.1. If $\left\{X_{i j}, i \geqslant 1, j \geqslant 1\right\}$ is an array of blockwise independent random variables with respect to the blocks $\left\{\Lambda_{k l}, k \geqslant 1, l \geqslant 1\right\}, E X_{i j}=0, i \geqslant 1$, $j \geqslant 1$, then the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{E X_{i j}^{2}}{i^{2} j^{2}} \varphi(i, j)<\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \vee n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{i j}=0 \text { a.s. } \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Set

$$
\gamma_{k l}^{(m n)}=\max _{(p, q) \in \Delta_{k l}^{(m)}}\left|\sum_{i=r_{k}^{(m)}}^{p} \sum_{j=s_{l}^{(n)}}^{q} X_{i j}\right|, \quad(k, l) \in I_{m n}, m \geqslant 0, n \geqslant 0,
$$

and

$$
\gamma_{m n}=2^{-m-1} 2^{-n-1} \sum_{(k, l) \in I_{m n}} \gamma_{k l}^{(m n)}, \quad m \geqslant 0, n \geqslant 0
$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
E\left(\gamma_{k l}^{(m n)}\right)^{2} \leqslant 16 E\left(\sum_{(i, j) \in U_{k l}^{m n)}} X_{i j}\right)^{2}=16 \sum_{(i, j) \in \Lambda_{k l}^{(m n)}} E X_{i j}^{2} .
$$

Consequently,

$$
E \gamma_{m n}^{2} \leqslant 2^{-2 m-2} 2^{-2 n-2} s_{m n} \sum_{(k, l) \in I_{m n}} E\left(\gamma_{k l}^{(m n)}\right)^{2} \leqslant 16 \sum_{i=2^{m}}^{2^{m+1}-1} \sum_{j=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}-1} \frac{E X_{i j}^{2}}{i^{2} j^{2}} \varphi(i, j) .
$$

It thus follows from (3.1) that

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E \gamma_{m n}^{2}<\infty
$$

By Markov's inequality and the Borel-Cantelli lemma,

$$
\gamma_{m n} \rightarrow 0 \text { a.s. } \quad \text { as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty
$$

On the other hand,

$$
2^{-m} 2^{-n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{(i, j)=I_{k l}} \gamma_{i j}^{(k l)}=2^{-m} 2^{-n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{l=1}^{n} 2^{k+1} 2^{l+1} \gamma_{k l} .
$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$
\lim _{m \vee n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-m} 2^{-n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{(i, j) \in I_{k l}} \gamma_{i j}^{(k l)}=0 \text { a.s. }
$$

Assume $(m, n) \in \Delta_{i j}^{(k l)}$. Then we have

$$
0 \leqslant\left|m^{-1} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{i j}\right| \leqslant 2^{-k} 2^{-l} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{l} \sum_{(\lambda, \mu) \in I_{i j}} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}^{(i j)},
$$

which completes the proof.
The following corollary extends Kolmogorov's strong law of large numbers for arrays.

Corollary 3.2. If $\omega(k)=\left[q_{1}^{k}\right], v(l)=\left[q_{2}^{l}\right]\left(q_{1}>1, q_{2}>1\right)$, then the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{E X_{i j}^{2}}{i^{2} j^{2}}<\infty \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies (3.2) for all $\Delta_{k l}$-independent arrays $\left\{X_{i j}, i \geqslant 1, j \geqslant 1\right\}, E X_{i j}=0, i, j \geqslant 1$.
Proof. Indeed, in that case $\varphi(i, j)=O(1)$. From (3.3) we obtain (3.1). a
It is clear that the same statement is true for the case when $\omega(k)$ grows faster than $2^{k}$, and $v(l)$ grows faster than $2^{l}$. That is why the smaller blocks considered in other statements concerned are more interesting. This remark was made by Gaposhkin [4].

COROLLARY 3.3. If $\omega(k)=\left[2^{k^{\alpha}}\right], v(l)=\left[2^{l^{\beta}}\right](0<\alpha<1,0<\beta<1)$, then the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{E X_{i j}^{2}}{i^{2} j^{2}} \log ^{(1-\alpha) / \alpha} i \log ^{(1-\beta) / \beta} j<\infty \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies (3.2) for all $\Delta_{k l}$-independent arrays $\left\{X_{i j}, i \geqslant 1, j \geqslant 1\right\}, E X_{i j}=0, i, j \geqslant 1$.
Proof. In that case, we have $\varphi(i, j)=O\left(\log ^{(1-\alpha) / \alpha} i \log ^{(1-\beta) / \beta} j\right)$. From (3.4) we get (3.1).

Corollary 3.4. If $\omega(k)=\left[k^{\alpha}\right], v(l)=\left[l^{\beta}\right](\alpha>1, \beta>1)$, then the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{E X_{i j}^{2}}{i^{2-1 / \alpha} j^{2-1 / \beta}}<\infty \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies (3.2) for all $\Delta_{k l}$-independent arrays $\left\{X_{i j}, i \geqslant 1, j \geqslant 1\right\}, E X_{i j}=0, i, j \geqslant 1$.
Proof. In that case, we have $\varphi(i, j)=O\left(i^{1 / \alpha} j^{1 / \beta}\right)$. From (3.5) we infer that (3.1) is satisfied.

Corollary 3.5. If $\left\{X_{i j}, i \geqslant 1, j \geqslant 1\right\}$ is an array of arbitrary random variables, $E X_{i j}=0, i, j \geqslant 1$, then the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{E X_{i j}^{2}}{i j}<\infty \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies (3.2).

Proof. Indeed, for $\Delta_{k l}=[k, k+1) \times(l, l+1)$, any array of random variables is $\Delta_{k l}$-independent and $\varphi(i, j)=O(i j)$. From (3.6) we get (3.1). $\quad$.

In the following theorem, we obtain the condition of the strong law of large numbers for two-dimensional arrays of blockwise orthogonal random variables.

Theorem 3.6. If $\left\{X_{i j}, i \geqslant 1, j \geqslant 1\right\}$ is an array of blockwise orthogonal random variables with respect to the blocks $\left\{\Delta_{k l}, k \geqslant 1, l \geqslant 1\right\}$, then the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{E X_{i j}^{2}}{i^{2} j^{2}} \varphi(i, j) \phi(i, j)<\infty \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies (3.2).
Proof. Define $\gamma_{k l}^{(m n)},(k, l) \in I_{m n}, m \geqslant 0, n \geqslant 0$, and $\gamma_{m n}, m \geqslant 0, n \geqslant 0$, as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. By Lemma 2.3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(\gamma_{k l}^{(m n}\right)^{2} & \leqslant\left(\log 2\left|r_{k}^{(m)}\right|\right)^{2}\left(\log 2\left|s_{l}^{(n)}\right|\right)^{2} E\left(\sum_{(i, j) \in \Delta_{k}^{(m)}} X_{i j}\right)^{2} \\
& \left.=\left(\log 2\left|r_{k}^{(m)}\right|\right)^{2}\left(\log 2 \mid s_{l}^{(n)}\right)\right)^{2} \sum_{\left(i, j \in \in \Delta_{k i}^{(m)}\right.} E X_{i j}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(\gamma_{m n}\right)^{2} & \leqslant 2^{-2 m-2} 2^{-2 n-2} s_{m n} \sum_{k=p_{m}}^{q_{m}} \sum_{=u_{n}}^{v_{n}} E\left|\gamma_{k l}^{(m n)}\right|^{2} \\
& \leqslant 2^{-2 m-2} 2^{-2 n-2}\left(\log 2\left|r_{k}^{(m)}\right|\right)^{2}\left(\log 2\left|s_{l}^{(n)}\right|\right)^{2} s_{m n} \sum_{i=2^{m}}^{2^{m+1-1}} \sum_{j=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1-1}} E X_{i j}^{2} \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{i=2^{m}}^{2^{m+1-1}} \sum_{j=2^{n}}^{2^{n+1-1}} \frac{E X_{i j}^{2}}{i^{2} j^{2}} \varphi(i, j) \phi(i, j),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is a constant. It thus follows from (3.7) that

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left(\gamma_{m n}\right)^{2}<\infty
$$

The rest of the argument is exactly the same as that at the end of the proof of Theorem 3.1.

The following corollary extends the Rademacher-Mensov strong law of large numbers for arrays.

Corollary 3.7. If $\omega(k)=\left[q_{1}^{k}\right], v(l)=\left[q_{2}^{l}\right]\left(q_{1}>1, q_{2}>1\right)$, then the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{E X_{i j}^{2}}{i^{2} j^{2}} \log ^{2} i \log ^{2} j<\infty \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies (3.2) for all $\Delta_{k l}$-orthogonal arrays $\left\{X_{i j}, i \geqslant 1, j \geqslant 1\right\}$.

Proof. Indeed, in that case $\varphi(i, j)=O(1), \phi(i, j)=O\left(\log ^{2} i \log ^{2} j\right)$. From (3.8) we obtain (3.7). -

Using the same techniques as in the case of the array of blockwise independent random variables, we get the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.8. If $\omega(k)=\left[2^{k^{\alpha}}\right], v(l)=\left[2^{\beta^{\beta}}\right]\left(0<\alpha<\frac{1}{3}, 0<\beta<\frac{1}{3}\right)$, then the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{E X_{i j}^{2}}{i^{2} j^{2}} \log ^{2(1-\alpha) / \alpha} i \log ^{2(1-\beta) / \beta} j<\infty \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies (3.2) for all $\Delta_{k l}$-orthogonal arrays $\left\{X_{i j}, i \geqslant 1, j \geqslant 1\right\}$.
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