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#### Abstract

Rao (1979) established separation theorems for singular values of a matrix and showed their applications in multivariate analysis. In this paper, we provide generalized separation theorems for singular values of a matrix and use them to find some interesting relations between canonical correlations and conditional canonical correlations.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose ( $\left.X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ has a joint multinormal distribution, where $X, Y$ and $Z$ are $p \times 1, q \times 1$ and $s \times 1$ random vectors, respectively. The canonical correlations between $Y$ and $Z$ might be different from the canonical correlations between $Y$ and $Z$ when $X$ is given. The latter will be called conditional canonical correlations. In this paper, we generalize the separation theorems given by Rao [2], and then use them to establish the relations between canonical correlations and conditional canonical correlations.

The following notation is used throughout the paper. The singular values of a matrix $A$ are denoted by $\sigma_{1}(A) \geqslant \sigma_{2}(A) \geqslant \ldots$, and the eigenvalues of $A$ which is Hermitian are denoted by $\lambda_{1}(A) \geqslant \lambda_{2}(A) \geqslant \ldots$ We use $A^{\prime}, r(A)$ and $A^{*}$ to denote the transpose, the rank and the complex conjugate of $A$, respectively. A real-valued function $\|\cdot\|$, defined on the space $S_{m \times n}$ of $m \times n$ complex

[^0]matrices, is called a unitarily invariant norm if it satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $\|X\|>0$ if $X \neq 0$;
(ii) $\|c X\|=|c| \cdot\|X\|$;
(iii) $\|X+Y\| \leqslant\|X\|+\|Y\|$;
(iv) $\|V X U\|=\|X\|$ for any unitary matrices $V$ and $U$ of orders $m$ and $n$, respectively.

We present the main results in Section 2. Applications of these results in canonical correlation analysis are considered in Section 3. The proofs of all theorems are given in Section 4.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

First, we state the Separation Theorem for Singular Values (STSV) of a matrix by Rao [2]. STSV has been used successfully to solve some problems in multivariate analysis.

Theorem 1 (see Rao [2]). Let $A$ be $m \times n, B$ be $m \times r$ and $C$ be $n \times k$ matrices such that $B^{*} B=I_{r}$ and $C^{*} C=I_{k}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{t+i}(A) \leqslant \sigma_{i}\left(B^{*} A C\right) \leqslant \sigma_{i}(A) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=1, \ldots, \min (r, k)$ and $t=m+n-r-k$.
Now we state the Generalized Separation Theorem for Singular Values (GSTSV) of a matrix. GSTSV can be considered as a generalization of STSV if we only take into account the right inequality of (1), since the right inequality of STSV is a special case of GSTSV, when $\sigma_{1}(B)=1$ and $\sigma_{1}(C)=1$.

Theorem 2. Let $A$ be $m \times n, B$ be $m \times r$ and $C$ be $n \times k$ matrices such that $\sigma_{1}(B) \leqslant 1$ and $\sigma_{1}(C) \leqslant 1$. Then

$$
\sigma_{i}\left(B^{*} A C\right) \leqslant \sigma_{i}(A)
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, h$ and $h=\min (r, k, m, n)$.
Corollary 2.1. Let $A$ be $m \times n, B$ be $m \times m$ and $C$ be $n \times n$ matrices such that $\sigma_{1}(B) \leqslant 1$ and $\sigma_{1}(C) \leqslant 1$. Then

$$
\left\|B^{*} A C\right\| \leqslant\|A\|
$$

for any unitarily invariant norm.
The result follows from Theorem 2 and Lemma 4.1 in Section 4.
Corollary 2.2. Let $M$ be $m \times m$ and $N$ be $n \times n$ nonsingular matrices. Let $A$ be $m \times n, B$ be $m \times r$ and $C$ be $n \times k$ matrices such that $\sigma_{1}\left(B^{*} M\right) \leqslant 1$ and
$\sigma_{1}(N C) \leqslant 1$. Then

$$
\sigma_{i}\left(B^{*} A C\right) \leqslant \sigma_{i}\left(M^{-1} A N^{-1}\right)
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, h$ and $h=\min (m, n, r, k)$.
Proof. Using Theorem 2, we have

$$
\sigma_{i}\left(B^{*} A C\right)=\sigma_{i}\left(B^{*} M M^{-1} A N^{-1} N C\right) \leqslant \sigma_{i}\left(M^{-1} A N^{-1}\right),
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, h$.
Corollary=2.3. Let $M$ be $m \times m$ and $N$ be $n \times n$ nonsingular matrices. Let $A$ be $m \times n, B$ be $m \times m$ and $C$ be $n \times n$ matrices such that $\sigma_{1}\left(B^{*} M\right) \leqslant 1$ and $\sigma_{1}(N C) \leqslant 1$. Then

$$
\left\|B^{*} A C\right\| \leqslant\left\|M^{-1} A N^{-1}\right\|
$$

for any unitarily invariant norm.
This result follows from Corollary 2.2 and Lemma 4.1 in Section 4.

## 3. APPLICATIONS IN CANONICAL CORRELATION ANALYSIS

In this section, we will discuss some applications of GSTSV in canonical correlation analysis. In these applications, the canonical correlations turn out to be singular values of some matrices.

Let $X$ be $p \times 1, Y$ be $q \times 1$ and $Z$ be $s \times 1$ random vectors. Now, we suppose ( $\left.X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ has the normal distribution and its dispersion matrix is

$$
D\left(\begin{array}{l}
X \\
Y \\
Z
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} & \Sigma_{13} \\
\Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} & \Sigma_{23} \\
\Sigma_{31} & \Sigma_{32} & \Sigma_{33}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $D(X)=\Sigma_{11}, D(Y)=\Sigma_{22}, D(Z)=\Sigma_{33}, \operatorname{COV}(X, Y)=\Sigma_{12}, \operatorname{COV}(X, Z)=$ $\Sigma_{13}$, and $\operatorname{COV}(Y, Z)=\Sigma_{23}$.

Canonical correlation analysis is a method of summarizing relationships between two sets of variables. The objective is to find linear combinations of one set of variables which are most highly correlated with linear combinations of a second set of variables. Here we consider the relations between two sets of canonical correlations: one between $Y$ and $Z$, the other between $Y \mid X=x$ and $Z \mid X=x$.

Theorem 3. Let $\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ be as defined before, and we assume

$$
\min \left(r\left(\Sigma_{21}\right), r\left(\Sigma_{13}\right)\right) \leqslant k \quad \text { or } \quad r\left(\Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{13}\right) \leqslant k
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{Y, Z \mid X=x}(i) \geqslant \varrho_{Y, Z}(i+k), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varrho_{Y, Z}(i)$ and $\varrho_{Y, Z \mid X=x}(i)$ denote the ith canonical correlation between $Y$ and $Z$ and the ith canonical correlation between $Y \mid X=x$ and $Z \mid X=x$, respectively.

Theorem 4. Let $\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ be as defined before and $X$ and $Z$ be independent random variables. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{Y, Z \mid X=x}(i) \geqslant \varrho_{Y, Z}(i), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varrho_{Y, Z}(i)$ and $\varrho_{Y, Z \mid X=x}(i)$ are defned in Theorem 3.

## 4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS

The following two lemmas will be used in the proofs of main theorems in this paper.

Lemma 4.1 (see Rao [2]). Let $X_{i}$ be a matrix with singular values $\sigma_{1 i} \geqslant$ $\ldots \geqslant \sigma_{r i}$ for $i=1$, 2. Then $\left\|X_{1}\right\| \geqslant\left\|X_{2}\right\|$ for any unitarily invariant norm if and only if

$$
\sigma_{11}+\ldots+\sigma_{k 1} \geqslant \sigma_{12}+\ldots+\sigma_{k 2}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, r .
$$

Lemma 4.2 (see Gel'fand and Naimark [1]). Let $A$ and B be $n \times n$ complex matrices. Then

$$
\prod_{s=1}^{k} \sigma_{i_{s}}(A B) \leqslant \prod_{s=1}^{k} \sigma_{i_{s}}(A) \sigma_{s}(B), \quad 1 \leqslant i_{1} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant i_{k} \leqslant n, \text { and } k=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

with equality for $k=n$. Especially, for $k=1$, we have

$$
\sigma_{i}(A B) \leqslant \sigma_{i}(A) \sigma_{1}(B), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $p=\max (r, k, m, n)$,

$$
D=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)_{p \times p}, \quad E^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B^{*} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)_{p \times p} \quad \text { and } \quad F=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
C & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)_{p \times p},
$$

where 0's are matrices of appropriate order and consist of zeroes only. Then, for $i=1,2, \ldots, h$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
E^{*} D F=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
B^{*} A C & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)_{p \times p} \\
\sigma_{i}(D)=\sigma_{i}(A), \quad \sigma_{i}\left(E^{*}\right)=\sigma_{i}(B), \\
\sigma_{i}(F)=\sigma_{i}(C) \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma_{i}\left(E^{*} D F\right)=\sigma_{i}\left(B^{*} A C\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Using Lemma 4.2, we have

$$
\sigma_{i}\left(E^{*} D F\right) \leqslant \sigma_{1}\left(E^{*}\right) \sigma_{i}(D F) \leqslant \sigma_{1}\left(E^{*}\right) \sigma_{i}(D) \sigma_{1}(F),
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, p$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{i}\left(B^{*} A C\right) & =\sigma_{i}\left(E^{*} D F\right) \leqslant \sigma_{1}\left(E^{*}\right) \sigma_{i}(D) \sigma_{1}(F) \\
& =\sigma_{1}\left(B^{*}\right) \sigma_{i}(A) \sigma_{1}(C) \leqslant \sigma_{i}(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, h$.
In order to prove Theorems 3 and 4, we quote two lemmas:
Lemma 4.3 (see Rao [2]). Let $A$ be an $m \times n$ matrix of rank $r$ and $B$ be an $m \times n$ matrix of rank $\leqslant k$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i}(A-B) \geqslant \sigma_{k+i}(A) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $i$, where $\sigma_{k+i}(A)$ is defined to be zero for $i+k>r$. The equality of (4) is attained for all $i$ if and only if $k \leqslant r$ and

$$
B=\sigma_{1} P_{1} Q_{1}^{*}+\ldots+\sigma_{k} P_{k} Q_{k}^{*}
$$

while the singular value decomposition of $A$ is

$$
A=\sigma_{1} P_{1} Q_{1}^{*}+\ldots+\sigma_{r} P_{r} Q_{r}^{*}
$$

Lemma 4.4 (see Srivastava and Carter [3]). Let

$$
X=\binom{X_{1}}{X_{2}} \sim N_{p}\left(\binom{\mu_{1}}{\mu_{2}},\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\
\Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22}
\end{array}\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\
\Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22}
\end{array}\right)>0
$$

where $X_{1}, X_{2}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \Sigma_{11}$ and $\Sigma_{22}$ are $r \times 1, s \times 1, r \times 1, s \times 1, r \times r$ and $s \times s$ matrices, respectively. Then the conditional distribution of $X_{1}$, given $X_{2}$, is

$$
N_{r}\left(\mu_{1}+\Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1}\left(X_{2}-\mu_{2}\right), \Sigma_{1.2}\right), \quad \text { where } \Sigma_{1.2}=\Sigma_{11}-\Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21}>0 .
$$

Proof of Theorem 3. Using Lemma 4.4, we obtain the dispersion matrix of $\left(Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$, given $X=x$, in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D\binom{Y \mid X=x}{Z \mid X=x}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Sigma_{22} & \Sigma_{23} \\
\Sigma_{32} & \Sigma_{33}
\end{array}\right)-\binom{\Sigma_{21}}{\Sigma_{31}} \Sigma_{11}^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Sigma_{12} & \left.\Sigma_{13}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Sigma_{22}-\Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} & \Sigma_{23}-\Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{13} \\
\Sigma_{32}-\Sigma_{31} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} & \Sigma_{33}-\Sigma_{31} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{13}
\end{array}\right) \geqslant 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the canonical correlations between $Y$ and $Z$ are the singular values of $\Sigma_{22}^{-1 / 2} \Sigma_{23} \Sigma_{33}^{-1 / 2}$ and the canonical correlations between $Y \mid X=x$ and $Z \mid X=x$ are singular values of

$$
\left(\Sigma_{22}-\Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\Sigma_{23}-\Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{13}\right)\left(\Sigma_{33}-\Sigma_{31} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{13}\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1}\left(\Sigma_{22}^{-1 / 2}\left(\Sigma_{22}-\Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) & =\sqrt{\lambda_{1}\left(\Sigma_{22}^{-1 / 2}\left(\Sigma_{22}-\Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12}\right) \Sigma_{22}^{-1 / 2}\right)} \\
& =\sqrt{\lambda_{1}\left(I-\Sigma_{22}^{-1 / 2} \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1 / 2}\right)} \leqslant 1
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way we can get

$$
\sigma_{1}\left(\left(\Sigma_{33}-\Sigma_{31} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{13}\right)^{1 / 2} \Sigma_{33}^{-1 / 2}\right) \leqslant 1 .
$$

By Theorem 2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (5) } \quad \sigma_{i}\left(\Sigma_{22}^{-1 / 2} \Sigma_{23} \Sigma_{33}^{-1 / 2}-\Sigma_{22}^{-1 / 2} \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{13} \Sigma_{33}^{-1 / 2}\right)  \tag{5}\\
& =\sigma_{i}\left(\Sigma_{22}^{-1 / 2}\left(\Sigma_{22}-\Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\Sigma_{22}-\Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times\left(\Sigma_{23}-\Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{13}\right)\left(\Sigma_{33}-\Sigma_{31} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{13}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\Sigma_{33}-\Sigma_{31} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{13}\right)^{1 / 2} \Sigma_{33}^{-1 / 2}\right) \\
& \leqslant \\
& \leqslant \sigma_{i}\left(\left(\vec{\Sigma}_{22}-\Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\Sigma_{23}-\Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{13}\right)\left(\Sigma_{33}-\Sigma_{31} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{13}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right) \\
& =\varrho_{Y, Z \mid X=x}(i) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
r\left(\Sigma_{22}^{-1 / 2} \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{13} \Sigma_{33}^{-1 / 2}\right) & =r\left(\Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{13}\right) \\
& \leqslant \min \left(r\left(\Sigma_{21}\right), r\left(\Sigma_{13}\right)\right) \leqslant k,
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 4.3 we can get

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{i}\left(\Sigma_{22}^{-1 / 2} \Sigma_{23} \Sigma_{33}^{-1 / 2}-\Sigma_{22}^{-1 / 2} \Sigma_{21}\right. & \left.\Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{13} \Sigma_{33}^{-1 / 2}\right)  \tag{6}\\
& \geqslant \sigma_{i+k}\left(\Sigma_{22}^{-1 / 2} \Sigma_{23} \Sigma_{33}^{-1 / 2}\right)=\varrho_{Y, Z}(i+k)
\end{align*}
$$

Then (5) and (6) together implies

$$
\varrho_{Y, Z \mid X=x}(i) \geqslant \varrho_{Y, Z}(i+k) .
$$

Proof of Theorem 4. Since $X$ and $Z$ are independent random variables, we have

$$
\Sigma_{13}=0, \quad \Sigma_{31}=0
$$

By Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varrho_{Y, Z \mid X=x}(i) & =\sigma_{i}\left(\left(\Sigma_{22}-\Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12}\right)^{-1 / 2} \Sigma_{23} \Sigma_{33}^{-1 / 2}\right) \\
& \geqslant \sigma_{i}\left(\Sigma_{22}^{-1 / 2}\left(\Sigma_{22}-\Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\Sigma_{22}-\Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12}\right)^{-1 / 2} \Sigma_{23} \Sigma_{33}^{-1 / 2}\right) \\
& =\sigma_{i}\left(\Sigma_{22}^{-1 / 2} \Sigma_{23} \Sigma_{33}^{-1 / 2}\right)=\varrho_{Y, Z}(i) .
\end{aligned}
$$
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