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#### Abstract

In [4] Zakusilo proved that the random power series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c^{n} X_{n}$, where $c \in(0,1)$ and $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ are i.i.d. random variables, is convergent with probability 1 if and only if $E \log \left(\left|X_{1}\right|+1\right)<\infty$. The purpose of this paper is to prove a discrete analogue of this theorem. Further, we extend the result to multiparameter random series.


Let $P\left(Z_{+}^{d}\right)$ be the class of all probability distributions on the lattice $Z_{+}^{d}$ of all $d$-vectors with integer components. For $n=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}\right) \in Z_{+}^{d}$ we put $|n|=n_{1}+\ldots+n_{d}$. Let $e_{j}(j=1, \ldots, d)$ be a vector in $Z_{+}^{d}$ whose components are equal to 0 but for the $j$-th one are equal to 1 . Every $\mu \in P\left(Z_{+}^{d}\right)$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\sum_{n \in Z_{+}^{d}} p_{n} \delta_{n}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{n} \geqslant 0, \sum p_{n}=1$, and $\delta_{n}$ is the unit mass at the point $n$. Given a number $c$ in the unit interval $(0,1)$ and $\mu \in P\left(Z_{+}^{d}\right)$ with representation (1), we define a distribution $S_{c} \mu$ on $Z_{+}^{d}$ by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{c} \mu=\sum_{\substack{n \in z^{d} \\ n=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}\right)}} p_{n_{j=1}} \stackrel{d}{*}\left[(1-c) \delta_{0}+c \delta_{e_{j}}\right]^{* n_{j}}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the asterisk $*$ denotes the convolution operation.
It should be noted that $S_{c}$ is a slight generalization of the Steutel - van Harn transformation on $P\left(Z_{+}\right)$(cf. [3]). It is not difficult to verify the
formulas

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{c}\left(\mu_{1} * \mu_{2}\right)=S_{c} \mu_{1} * S_{c} \mu_{2}, \quad S_{c_{1}} S_{c_{2}} \mu=S_{c_{1} c_{2}} \mu \\
S_{c}\left(\alpha \mu_{1}+\beta \mu_{2}\right)=\alpha S_{c} \mu_{1}+\beta S_{c} \mu_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta \geqslant 0, \alpha+\beta=1$. Moreover, $S_{c} \mu$ is jointly continuous in $c$ and $\mu$.
A distribution $\mu$ on $Z_{+}^{d}$ is said to be c-decomposable if there exists a $\mu_{1} \in P\left(Z_{+}^{d}\right)$ (depending on $c$ and $\mu$ ) such that

$$
\mu=S_{c} \mu * \mu_{1}
$$

More generally, $\mu$ is said to be $\left\langle c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}\right\rangle$-decomposable, where $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k} \in(0,1)$, if there exist $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{k} \in P\left(Z_{+}^{d}\right)$ such that

$$
\mu=S_{c_{1}} \mu * \mu_{1}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}=S_{c_{2}} \mu_{1} * \mu_{2}, \quad \cdots \quad \mu_{k-1}=S_{c_{k}} \mu_{k-1} * \mu_{k} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case $\mu_{k}$ is said to satisfy the convolution equations (3) for some $\mu$ and $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}$ in $(0,1)(\mathrm{cf} .[1])$. The aim of this note is to prove the following

Theorem, The following statements are equivalent:
(i) $\mu_{k}$ satisfies the convolution equations (3);
(ii) the infinite convolution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\stackrel{\infty}{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}=0}}{*} S_{c_{1}^{m_{1}} \ldots m_{k}^{m}} \mu_{k} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is weakly convergent;
(iii) $\sum_{n \in Z_{+}^{d}} p_{n} \log ^{k}(|n|+1)<\infty$, where $p_{n}=\mu_{k}(\{n\})$.

We prove first the following
Lemma. For every $c \in(0,1)$ there exist positive constants $A$ and $B$ such that, for sufficiently large $q=1,2, \ldots$, the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \log ^{k} q \leqslant \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(1-\left(1-c^{m}\right)^{q}\right) V_{m+k-1}^{m} \leqslant A \log ^{k} q \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
V_{j}^{i}=\frac{j!}{i!(j-i)!}
$$

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=0}^{\alpha} V_{m+k-1}^{m}=V_{\alpha+k}^{\alpha} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow x} \frac{V_{m+k}^{m}}{m^{k}}=\frac{1}{k!} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, we have the inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(1-\left(1-c^{m}\right)^{q}\right) V_{m+k-1}^{m} & \geqslant \sum_{0 \leqslant m \leqslant \log _{c} q^{-1}}\left(1-\left(1-c^{m}\right)^{q}\right) V_{m+k-1}^{m} \\
& \geqslant \sum_{0 \leqslant m \leqslant \log _{c} q^{-1}}\left(1-\left(1-q^{-1}\right)^{q}\right) V_{m+k-1}^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

which, by (6) and (7), imply that there exists a $B>0$ such that, for sufficiently large $q$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \log ^{k} q \leqslant \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(1-\left(1-c^{m}\right)^{q}\right) V_{m+k-1}^{m} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
On the other hand, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(1-\left(1-c^{m}\right)^{q}\right) V_{m+k-1}^{m} \\
= & \sum_{0 \leqslant m \leqslant \log _{c^{\prime}} q^{-1}}\left(1-\left(1-c^{m}\right)^{q}\right) V_{m+k-1}^{m}+\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\operatorname{pog}_{c} q^{-1}-1 \leqslant m \\
\leqslant(p+1) \log _{c} q^{-1}}}\left(1-\left(1-c^{m}\right)^{q}\right) V_{m+k-1}^{m} \\
\leqslant & \sum_{0 \leqslant m \leqslant \log _{c} q^{-1}} V_{m+k-1}^{m}+\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\left(1-q^{-p}\right)^{q}\right) \sum_{\substack{p \log _{c} q \\
\leqslant \\
\leqslant(p+1) \log _{c} q^{-1}}} V_{m+k-1}^{m},
\end{aligned}
$$

which by (6) and by a simple computation implies the existence of a constant $A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(1-\left(1-c^{m}\right)^{q}\right) V_{m+k-1}^{m} \leqslant A \log ^{k} q \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sufficiently large $q$.
Finally, from (8) and (9) we obtain (5), which completes the proof of the Lemma.

Proof of the Theorem. Equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) can be easily proved. Thus, we prove only the equivalence (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii). Let $\mu_{k}$ be a distribution on $Z_{+}^{d}$ and let $p_{n}=\mu_{k}(\{n\})\left(n \in Z_{+}^{d}\right)$. Further, from the Kolmogorov theorem on three series ([2], p. 323-324) it follows that the series (4) is weakly convergent if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}=0}^{\infty}\left(1-S_{c_{1}^{m_{1}} \ldots c_{k}^{m_{k}}} \mu_{k}(\{0\})\right)<\infty \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 0 is the zero element in $Z_{+}^{d}$. On the other hand, we have

$$
S_{c} \mu(\{0\})=\sum_{n \in Z_{+}^{d}}(1-c)^{|n|} p_{n}
$$

with $p_{n}=\mu(\{n\})$. Thus condition (10) can be rewritten as

$$
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}} \sum_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}=0}^{z} p_{n}\left(1-\left(1-c_{1}^{m_{1}} \ldots c_{k}^{m_{k}}\right)^{|n|}\right)<\infty,
$$

which implies that for $c=\min \left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \in Z_{+}^{d}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_{n}\left(1-\left(1-c^{m}\right)^{|n|}\right) V_{m+k-1}^{m}<\infty \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Lemma the last condition is equivalent to (iii).
Conversely, if (iii) is satisfied, then (11) holds with $c=\max \left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}\right)$ for any $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k} \in(0,1)$. Hence (10) is satisfied and, consequently, the series (4) is weakly convergent. Thus the proof is complete.

A simple consequence of the Theorem is the following
Corollary. If $\mu_{k}$ on $Z_{+}^{d}$ has any finite moment, then it satisfies the convolution equation (3).
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