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#### Abstract

We prove a subpramart convergence theorem which is a complete solution of a problem raised by L. Egghe, and a pramart convergence theorem which partly solves a problem of L. Sucheston. We obtain also certain decomposition of subpramarts.


$i$

1. Introduction. In the present paper we deal with subpramarts and pramarts in Banach spaces. In Section 2 we give necessary definitions and notations, formulate problems of Sucheston and Egghe, and recall known results concerning the problems.

In Section 3 we prove the main result of this paper, it is the subpramart convergence theorem - Theorem 3.5 - which completely solves the problem of Egghe. Theorem 3.6 - the pramart convergence theorem - gives a partial solution of the problem of Sucheston. The clue to the both theorems is Lemma 3.4 , which can be regarded independently as a very useful tool in other similar reasonings.

In Section 4 we obtain an extension of a subpramart decomposition theorem due to Millet and Sucheston and we give an example showing that this decomposition does not hold true in the general case.
2. Preliminaries. Let $(\Omega, F, P)$ be a probability space, $\left(F_{n}\right)_{n N}$ an increasing sequence of sub- $\sigma$-algebras of the $\sigma$-algebra $F, T$ - the set of all bounded stopping times with respect to $\left(F_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$.

Definition 2.1. For a Banach space $E$, the adapted sequence $\left(X_{n}, F_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ of $E$ - valued random variables is called a pramart if $\left(X_{\sigma}-\mathrm{E}^{F_{\sigma}} X_{\tau}\right)$ converges to zero in probability, uniformly in $\tau \geqslant \sigma$, i.e., for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\sigma_{0} \in T$ such that $\sigma_{0} \leqslant \sigma \leqslant \tau$ implies

$$
P\left(\left\{\left\|X_{\sigma}-E^{F_{\sigma}} X_{\tau}\right\|>\varepsilon\right\}\right) \leqslant \varepsilon .
$$

Sucheston stated in 1979 the question whether Chatterji's result for martingales holds true in the case of pramarts. Let us report it exactly:

Problem 2.2. Let $E$ be a Banach space with the Radon-Nikodym property (RNP), and let ( $X_{n}$ ) be an $E$-valued pramart bounded in $L_{1}(E)$-norm. Is $X_{n}$ strongly a.s. convergent?

Let now $E$ be a Banach lattice.
Definition 2.3. The adapted sequence $\left(X_{n}, F_{n}\right)$ of $E$-valued random variables is called a subpramart if $\left(X_{\sigma}-E^{F_{\sigma}} X_{\tau}\right)^{+}$converges to zero in probability, uniformly in $\tau \geqslant \sigma$.

In the real-valued case Millet and Sucheston proved
Theorem 2.4 ([11], p. 96, Th. 3.7). Let ( $X_{n}$ ) be a subpramart which satisfies the following condition:

$$
\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\liminf } \mathrm{E}\left(X_{n}^{+}\right)+\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\liminf } \mathrm{E}\left(X_{n}^{-}\right)<x
$$

Then $X_{n}$ converges $a . s$. to an integrable r.v.
As it is seen from the definitions every submartingale is a subpramart, and for submartingales Heinich proved the following

Theorem 2.5. ([8]). Let E be a Banach lattice with the RNP. Then every positive $L_{1}(E)$-bounded submartingale is a.s. convergent.

Egghe raised a problem which is connected with the problem of Sucheston.
Problem 2.6. (see [6] or [5]). Let $E$ be a Banach lattice with the RNP, and let $\left(X_{n}, F_{n}\right)$ be an $E$-valued positive subpramart with an $L_{1}(E)$-bounded subsequence. Is $X_{n}$ strongly a.s. convergent?

Both problems have been affirmatively solved under additional assumptions.
Theorem 2.7 ([7], p. 360, Th. 2.4, and [10], p. 1043, Th. 3.5). Let E be a Banach space with the $R N P$, and let $\left(X_{n}, F_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ be an $E$-valued pramart for which there is a subsequence $\left(X_{n_{k}}\right)$ which is uniformly integrable. Then $X_{n}$ itself converges strongly a.s.

Theorem 2.8. ([13], Th. 3.1). Let E be a Banach space with an unconditional basis and with the RNP. Let $\left(X_{n}, F_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ be a pramart with and $L_{1}(E)$-bounded subsequence. Then $X_{n}$ converges strongly a.s.

In this paper we prove a pramart convergence theorem for weakly sequentially complete Banach spaces (Theorem 3.6).

Let us recall some known results concerning the strong convergence of subpramarts.

Theorem 2.9. ([6], Cor. 2.8). Let $E$ be a Banach lattice with the RNP. Then every $E$-valued positive subpramart $\left(X_{n}, F_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ is strongly convergent to an integrable r.v., if there is a subsequence $\left(n_{k}\right) \subset N$ such that $\left(X_{n_{k}}\right)_{k \in N}$ is uniformly integrable.

Theorem 2.10 ([13], Th. 2.2). Let $E$ be a Banach lattice with an unconditional basis such that the order is induced by the basis, and let E have the RNP. Then every $E$-valued positive subpramart $\left(X_{n}, F_{n}\right)_{n_{N} N}$ is strongly a.s. convergent to an integrable r.v., if there is a subsequence $\left(X_{n_{k}}\right)_{k \in N}$ which is $L_{1}(E)$-bounded.

Here we solve affirmatively the problem of Egghe without any additional assumptions.

In the paper we use a method based on a Kadec-Klee lattice renorming theorem due to Davis, Ghoussoub and Lindenstrauss:

Theorem 2.11 ([2]). A Banach lattice ( $E,\| \|$ ) is order continuous if and only if there is an equivalent lattice norm $\left\|\|_{1}\right.$ on $E$ such that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=N} \subset E, x_{n} \xrightarrow{w} x$ and $\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1} \rightarrow\|x\|_{1}$ imply $\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|_{1} \rightarrow 0$.

It is obvious that if $E$ is separable, then the equivalent norm has the Kadec Klee property with respect to a countable set of functionals.
3. Subpramart and pramart convergence theorems. In order to profit by the Kadec-Klee renorming theorem we have to report two lemmas from [6].

Lemma 3.1.([6], L. 2.2). Let $\left(X_{n}, F_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a positive subpramart with values in a Banach lattice E. Let $x^{\prime} \in\left(E^{\prime}\right)_{+}$be arbitrary. Then $\left(x^{\prime}\left(X_{n}\right), F_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathcal{N}}$ is a positive subpramart.

Definition 3.2 ([6], Def. 2.3). Let $\left(X_{n}^{m}, F_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ be a sequence of real-valued subpramarts. It is called a uniform sequence of subpramarts if $\forall \varepsilon>0 \exists \sigma_{0} \in T$ such that for every $\sigma, \tau \in T, \sigma_{0} \leqslant \sigma \leqslant \tau$,

$$
P\left(\left\{\sup _{m \in N}\left(X_{\sigma}^{m}-E^{F} \sigma X_{\mathrm{r}}^{m}\right) \leqslant \varepsilon\right\}\right) \geqslant 1-\varepsilon .
$$

Lemma 3.3. ([6], L. 2.4). Let $\left(X_{n}^{m}, F_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a uniform sequence of real-valued positive subpramarts. Suppose that there is a subsequence $\left(n_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that:

$$
\sup _{k \in N} \int_{\Omega} \sup _{m \in N} X_{n_{k}}^{m} d P<\infty
$$

Then each subpramart $\left(X_{n}^{m}, F_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ converges a.s. to an integrable r.v. $X_{\propto}^{m}$, and we have:

$$
\sup _{m \in N} X_{n}^{m} \rightarrow \sup _{m \in \mathrm{~N}} X_{\infty}^{m} \text { a.s. for } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

The following lemma reduces our problems to a situation similar to that in Theorem 2.7 and 2.9. The author is very grateful to J. Szulga for a considerable simplification of the original proof of this lemma.

Lemma 3.4. Let $E$ be a Banach space and let $\left(X_{n}, F_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ be an adapted sequence of $E$-valued random variables such that

$$
\sup _{n \in N} \mathrm{E}\left\|X_{n}\right\|<\infty .
$$

Then there exists a subsequence $\left(n_{k}\right)_{k \in N} \subset N$ such that, for every $k$, $X_{n_{k}}=Y_{n_{k}}+Z_{n_{k}}$, where $Y_{n_{k}}$ and $Z_{n_{k}}$ are $F_{n_{k}}$ - measurable, $\left(Y_{n_{k}}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly integrable and $Z_{n_{k}} \rightarrow 0$ a.s. if $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Note that it is enough to find such a subsequence $\left(n_{k}\right)$, where $Z_{n_{k}}$ tends to zero in probability.

For every positive integer $m$ set

$$
g_{X}^{m}(t)=\sup _{n \geqslant m ; \| X} \int_{n}\left\|>t \mid=X_{n}\right\| d P
$$

Since $g_{X}^{1}(t)$ is nonincreasing and nonnegative, then there is a positive real number $\alpha$ such that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g_{X}^{1}(t)=\alpha
$$

Thus there is an increasing sequence $\left(t_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathcal{N}}$ such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{k}=\infty$, and

$$
\alpha \leqslant g_{X}^{1}\left(t_{k}\right)<\alpha-1 / k .
$$

It is obvious that also $\forall m \in N \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g_{X}^{m}(t)=\alpha$, hence

$$
\forall m \forall k \quad g_{X}^{m}\left(t_{k}\right) \geqslant \alpha
$$

There is then an increasing sequence of positive integers $\left(n_{k}\right)_{k \in N}$ such that

$$
\int_{\left\{\left\|X_{n_{k}}\right\|>t_{k}\right\}}\left\|X_{n_{k}}\right\| d P>\alpha-1 / k
$$

Set

$$
Y_{n_{k}}=X_{n_{k}} \mathrm{I}_{\left(\left\|x_{n_{k}}\right\| \leqslant t_{k}\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad Z_{n_{k}}=X_{n_{k}} \mathrm{I}_{\left(\left\|x_{n_{k}}\right\|>t_{k}\right)}
$$

Then $Y_{n_{k}}$ and $Z_{n_{k}}$ are $F_{n_{k}}$-measurable.
Since

$$
P\left(\left\|Z_{n_{k}}\right\| \geqslant \varepsilon\right) \leqslant P\left(\left\|X_{n_{k}}\right\|>t_{k}\right) \leqslant 1 / t_{k} \sup _{n \in N} \mathrm{E}\left\|X_{n}\right\|,
$$

$Z_{n_{k}}$ tends to zero in probability.
In order to prove that $\left(Y_{n_{k}}\right)_{k \in N}$ is uniformly integrable it is enough to show that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{k \in N} \int_{\left.t\left\|Y_{n_{k}}\right\|>t\right)}\left\|Y_{n_{k}}\right\| d P=0
$$

Since

$$
g(t)=\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\| \| Y_{n_{k}} \|>t_{i}}\left\|Y_{n_{k}}\right\| d P
$$

is a nonincreasing function, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} g\left(t_{i}\right)$. But

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(t_{i}\right) & =\sup _{k \geqslant i} \int_{\left\{n_{i}<\left\|X_{n_{k}}\right\| \leqslant t_{k}\right\}}\left\|X_{n_{k}}\right\| d P \\
& =\sup _{k \geqslant i}\left(\int_{:\left\|X_{n_{k}}\right\|>t_{i}!}\left\|X_{n_{k}}\right\| d P-\int_{\left\|x_{n_{k}}\right\|>t_{k}!}^{\left.\left\|X_{n_{k}}\right\| d P\right)}\right. \\
& \leqslant \sup _{k \geqslant i}\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{i}-\alpha+\frac{1}{k}\right)=\frac{2}{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} g\left(t_{i}\right)=0$.
Now we are in a position to solve the problem of Egghe.
Theorem 3.5. Let $E$ be a Banach lattice with the $R N P$, and let $\left(X_{n}, F_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ be an $E$-valued positive subpramart such that

$$
\sup _{k \in N} \mathrm{E}\left\|X_{n_{k}}\right\|<\infty
$$

for some subsequence $\left(X_{n_{k}}\right)_{k \in \mathcal{N}}$.
Then $X_{n}$ is strongly a.s. convergent.
Proof. By Lemma 3.4 we can assume that $X_{n_{k}}=Y_{n_{k}}+Z_{n_{k}}$, where $\left(Y_{n_{k}}\right)_{k \in N}$ is uniformly integrable and $Z_{n_{k}}$ tends to zero a.s.

According to Lemma 3.1, $x^{\prime}\left(X_{n}\right)$ is for every $x^{\prime} \in\left(E^{\prime}\right)_{+}$a real-valued subpramart and, by Theorem 2.4, there is an r.v. $f_{x^{\prime}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}\left(X_{n}\right) \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} f_{x^{\prime}} \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x^{\prime}\left(Z_{n_{k}}\right)_{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$ a.s., then $x^{\prime}\left(Y_{n_{k}}\right)_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{x^{\prime}}$ a.s. and, by the uniform integrability, in the $L_{1}$-norm.

Therefore, for every $A \in F_{\infty}=\sigma\left(\bigcup_{n} F_{n}\right), \int_{A} x^{\prime}\left(Y_{n_{k}}\right) d P$ is convergent, and so $\left(\int_{A} Y_{n_{k}} d P\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is weakly convergent Cauchy sequence.

Since $E$ does not contain any $c_{0}$-space, then (by Th. 1.c. 4 in [9]) $E$ is weakly sequentially complete; and so $\int_{A} Y_{n_{k}} d P$ is weakly convergent for every $A \in F_{\infty}$.

Let

$$
\mu(A)=w-\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A} Y_{n_{k}} d P .
$$

Since $\mu$ is of bounded variation, by the Caratheodory, Hahn and Kluvanek extension theorem (see [3]) $\mu$ is a countable additive measure on $F_{\infty}$. Moreover, $\mu$ is $P$-continuous. By the RNP there exists an r.v. $X=L_{1}\left(\Omega, F_{\infty}, P ; E\right)$ such that

$$
\mu(A)=\int_{A} X d P \quad \text { for every } A \in F_{\infty},
$$

hence

$$
\forall x^{\prime} \in\left(E^{\prime}\right)_{+} \forall A \in F_{\infty} \quad \int_{A} x^{\prime}\left(Y_{n_{k}}\right) d P{\underset{k}{ } \rightarrow \infty}^{\int_{A}} x^{\prime}(X) d P
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\int_{A} x^{\prime}\left(Y_{n_{k}}\right) d P \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} \int_{A} f_{x^{\prime}} d P
$$

so we get

$$
\int_{A} x^{\prime}(X) d P=\int_{A} f_{x^{\prime}} d P \quad \text { for every } A \in F_{\infty}
$$

and, since $x^{\prime}(X)$ and $f_{x^{\prime}}$ are $F_{\infty}$-measurable, $x^{\prime}(X)=f_{x^{\prime}}$ a.s. for every $x^{\prime} \in\left(E^{\prime}\right)_{+}$. From (*) we have $x^{\prime}\left(X_{n}\right) \rightarrow x_{n \in N}^{\prime}(X)$ a.s. for every $x^{\prime} \in\left(E^{\prime}\right)_{+}$.

Since $E$ has the RNP, $E$ is order continuous and, by Theorem 2.11, there is an equivalent norm $\left\|\|_{1}\right.$ on $E$ which has the Kadec-Klee property. Let $D$ be a countable subset of $\left(E^{\prime}\right)_{+}$such that for every $x \in E_{+}$

$$
\|x\|_{1}=\sup _{x^{\prime} \in D} x^{\prime}(x)
$$

Then $\left(x^{\prime}\left(X_{n}\right), F_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ is a uniform sequence of positive subpramarts, and by Lemma 3.3

$$
\left\|X_{n}\right\|_{1} \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow}\|X\|_{1} \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

Finally, by the Kadec-Klee property of $\left(E,\| \|_{1}\right)$ we get

$$
X_{n \rightarrow \infty} X \quad \text { strongly a.s. }
$$

Theorem 3.6. Let E be a weakly sequentially complete Banach space with the $R N P$, and let $\left(X_{n}, F_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ be an $E$-valued pramart such that

$$
\sup _{k \in N} \mathrm{E}\left\|X_{n_{k}}\right\|<\infty
$$

for some subsequence $\left(X_{n_{k}}\right)$. Then $X_{n}$ is strongly a.s. convergent.
Proof. According to Lemma 3.4, we can assume that $X_{n_{k}}=Y_{n_{k}}+Z_{n_{k}}$, where $\left(Y_{n_{k}}\right)_{k \in N}$ is uniformly integrable and $Z_{u_{k}} \rightarrow 0$ a.s., $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Since we consider a sequence of strongly measurable functions which are separable valued, we can assume that $E$ is separable. By the classical Kadec renorming theorem, there is an equivalent norm $\left\|\|_{1}\right.$ on $E$ with the Kadec-Klee property. Moreover, there exists a countable set $\mid D \subset\left\{x^{\prime} \in E^{\prime}\left\|\mid x^{\prime}\right\| \leqslant 1\right\}$ such that for every $x \in E$

$$
\|x\|_{1}=\sup _{x^{\prime} \in D}\left|x^{\prime}(x)\right|
$$

For every $x^{\prime} \in E^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\left(X_{n}\right)$ is a real-valued pramart. By Theorem 2.4, there is an r.v. $f_{x^{\prime}}$ such that $x^{\prime}\left(X_{n}\right) \rightarrow f_{x^{\prime}}$ a.s.

Next, as in the proof of Theorem 3.5, we get that for every $A \in F_{\infty}$

$$
\left(\int_{A} Y_{n_{k}} d P\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}
$$

is a weakly convergent Cauchy sequence. By the weak completeness of $E,\left(\int_{A} Y_{n_{k}} d P\right)_{k \in N}$ is weakly convergent.

Afterwards, continuing as in the proof of Theorem 3.5, we get an r.v. $X$ such that $\forall x^{\prime} \in E^{\prime} x^{\prime}\left(X_{n}\right) \rightarrow x^{\prime}(X)$ a.s.; hence also $\left|x^{\prime}\left(X_{n}\right)\right| \rightarrow\left|x^{\prime}(X)\right|$ a.s., where $\left|x^{\prime}\left(X_{n}\right)\right|$ is a real-valued positive subpramart. By Lemma 3.3

$$
\left\|X_{n}\right\|_{1}=\sup _{x^{\prime} \in D}\left|x^{\prime}\left(X_{n}\right)\right| \rightarrow \sup _{x^{\prime} \in D}\left|x^{\prime}(X)\right|=\|X\|_{1}
$$

and by the classical Kadec renorming theorem $X_{n} \rightarrow X$ a.s.
4. Decomposition of subpramarts. In this section we discuss an extension to Banach lattices of the following subpramart decomposition theorem of Millet Sucheston:

Theorem 4.1 ([11], p. 93, Prop. 3.3). Let $\left(X_{n}, F_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a real-valued positive integrable adapted sequence. Then $\left(X_{n}\right)$ is a subpramart if and only if there is a positive submartingale $\left(R_{n}, F_{n}\right)$ such that for every positive integer $n, R_{n} \leqslant X_{n}$ a.s., and

$$
\lim _{\tau \boxminus T}\left(X_{\tau}-R_{\tau}\right)=0 \text { in probability } .
$$

We consider now a Banach lattice $E$ with an unconditional basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$, such that the lattice order is induced by the basis, i.e.,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x^{i} e_{i} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y^{i} e_{i} \quad \text { iff } \quad \forall i \in N \quad x^{i} \leqslant y^{i}
$$

where

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x^{i} e_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y^{i} e_{i} \in E .
$$

Remark 4.2. On every Banach space $E$ with an unconditional basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ there is a norm \| $\|_{1}$ equivalent to the original one, and such that for this norm the basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{\text {teN }}$ is strictly hyperorthogonal ([12], Theorem 20.3). $\left(E,\| \|_{1}\right)$ is a Banach lattice with the order induced by the basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i \in N}$.

The following lemma is easy to verify.
Lemma 4.3. Let $E$ be a Banach lattice with the order induced by a basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Let $\left\{e^{i}\right\}_{i \in N}$ be a biorthogonal sequence of functionals and set

$$
D=\left\{x^{\prime} \in E^{\prime} \mid\left\|x^{\prime}\right\| \leqslant 1, x^{\prime}=\sum_{i \in S} x_{i} e^{i}, S \subset N, x_{i} \in Q_{+}\right\}
$$

where $Q_{+}$is the set of positive rational numbers, and $S$ is finite.
Then $D$ is countable and

$$
\forall x \in E_{+} \quad\|x\|=\sup _{x^{\prime} \in D} x^{\prime}(x)
$$

The next lemma may be interesting in itself as it shows a large class of submartingales which are pramarts.

Lemma 4.4. Let $E$ be a Banach lattice with the $R N P$, and let $\left(R_{n}, F_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ be an $E$ valued positive $L_{1}$-bounded submartingale. Then $\left(R_{n}, F_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a pramart.

Proof. Let $R_{n}=M_{n}-Z_{n}$ be the Riesz decomposition of $R_{n}$, where $\left(M_{n}, F_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ is a martingale and $\left(Z_{n}, F_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ is a positive potential (see [4]).

Since, for every increasing sequence of stopping times $\left(\tau_{k}\right) \subset T, R_{\tau_{k}}$ is a positive $L_{1}$-bounded submartingale, then (by Theorem 2.5) $R_{\tau_{k}}$ is convergent in probability, and so $\left(R_{r}\right)_{\tau \in T}$ also converges in probability. Similarly, by the well known Chatterji theorem, $\left(M_{\tau}\right)_{\tau \in T}$ is convergent in probability. Thus $\left(z_{\tau}\right)_{\tau \in T}$ converges in probability. Moreover, $\lim _{\tau \in T} Z_{\tau}=0$, as $\underset{\tau \in T}{w-\lim _{\tau}} Z_{\tau}=0$.

Since

$$
\forall \sigma, \tau \in T, \quad \tau \geqslant \sigma \Rightarrow 0 \leqslant E^{F_{\sigma}} R_{\tau}-R_{\sigma}=Z_{\sigma}-E^{F_{\sigma}} Z_{\tau} \leqslant Z_{\sigma}
$$

then $\sup _{\tau \geqslant \sigma}\left\|E^{F_{\sigma}} R_{\tau}-R_{\sigma}\right\| \leqslant\left\|Z_{\sigma}\right\|$, and so $R_{n}$ is a pramart.
Theorem 4.5. Let E be a Banach lattice with the order induced by an unconditional basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i \in N}$, and with the RNP. Let $\left(X_{n}, F_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an $E$-valued positive integrable adapted sequence. Then $X_{n}$ is a subpramart iff there exists a positive submartingale $\left(R_{n}, F_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathcal{N}}$ such that $\forall n \in N \quad R_{n} \leqslant X_{n}$ a.s., and $\lim _{\tau \in T}\left(X_{\tau}-R_{\tau}\right)=0$, in probability.

Proof. Necessity. Let $\left\{e^{i}\right\}_{i \in N}$ be the biorthogonal sequence of functionals. For $n, i \in N$ set $X_{n}^{i}=e^{i}\left(X_{n}\right)$. Then $\left(X_{n}^{i}, F_{n}\right)$ is (for every $i \in N$ ) a real - valued positive subpramart; and as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 (see [11])

$$
r_{n}^{i}=\inf _{\tau \geqslant n} \mathrm{E}^{F_{n}} X_{\tau}^{i}
$$

is a submartingale such that $\forall n \in N r_{n}^{i} \leqslant X_{n}^{i}$ a.s., and $\lim _{\tau \in T}\left(X_{\tau}^{i}-r_{\tau}^{i}\right)=0$, in probability.

Set

$$
R_{n}=\bigwedge_{\tau, n} E^{F_{n}} X_{\tau}
$$

Then

$$
R_{n}=\bigwedge_{\tau \geqslant n} E^{F_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \dot{X}_{\tau}^{i} e_{i}=\bigwedge_{\tau \geqslant n} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(E^{F_{n}} X_{\tau}^{i}\right) e_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \inf _{\tau \geqslant n}\left(E^{F_{n}} X_{\tau}^{i}\right) e_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_{n}^{i} e_{i} .
$$

Since, for every $i, r_{n}^{i}$ is a submartingale, then $R_{n}$ is also a submartingale. Moreover, $0 \leqslant R_{n} \leqslant X_{n}$ a.s. $\forall n \in N$, and it is easy to verify that if $R_{n}^{\prime}$ is a submartingale such that $R_{n}^{\prime} \leqslant X_{n}$, then $R_{n}^{\prime} \leqslant R_{n}$.

Let $D$ be as in Lemma 4.3. Since

$$
\lim _{\tau \in T}\left(X_{\tau}^{i}-r_{\tau}^{i}\right)=0
$$

in probability, and $r_{n}^{i}=e^{i} R_{n}^{i}$, then

$$
\forall x^{\prime} \in D \quad \lim _{\tau \in T} x^{\prime}\left(X_{\tau}-R_{\tau}\right)=0 \quad \text { in probability. }
$$

In order to prove that

$$
\lim _{\tau \in T}\left(X_{\tau}-R_{\tau}\right)=0 \quad \text { in probability }
$$

it is enough to show that for every increasing sequence of stopping times $\tau_{n}$

$$
\left(X_{\tau_{n}}-R_{\tau_{n}}\right) \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow 0} 0 \text { a.s. }
$$

By Lemma 4.4, $R_{\tau_{n}}$ is a pramart, hence $\left(X_{\tau_{n}}-R_{\tau_{n}}\right)_{n \in \mathcal{N}}$ is a positive subpramart, and, for $x^{\prime} \in D, x^{\prime}\left(X_{\tau_{n}}-R_{\tau_{n}}\right)$ is a uniform sequence of subpramarts. Using Lemma 3.3 we get

$$
\left\|X_{\tau_{n}}-R_{\tau_{n}}\right\|=\sup _{x^{\prime} \in D} x^{\prime}\left(X_{\tau_{n}}-R_{\tau_{n}}\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Sufficiency. Assume that there exists a submartingale ( $R_{n}^{\prime}, F_{n}$ ) such that $R_{n}^{\prime} \leqslant X_{n}$ and

$$
\lim _{\tau \in T}\left(X_{\tau}-R_{\tau}^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad \text { in probability } .
$$

Since $R_{n}^{\prime} \leqslant R_{n} \leqslant X_{n}$, we have

$$
\lim _{\tau \in T}\left(X_{\tau}-R_{\tau}\right)=0, \quad \text { in probability } .
$$

But

$$
X_{\sigma}-E^{F_{\sigma}} X_{\tau} \leqslant X_{\sigma}-R_{\sigma}
$$

thus $X_{n}$ is a subpramart.
As the following example shows, the above decomposition, i.e., the "necessity" part of the previous theorem, fails even for the Banach lattice $L_{2}([0,1])$ and for a constant sequence of trivial $\sigma$-algebras.

Example 4.6. We consider $L_{2}([0,1])$ as a Banach lattice with the natural pointwise order. Let, for $n \in N, F_{n}=\{\Phi, \Omega\}$.

Set $A_{n}=\left[k / 2^{m},(k+1) / 2^{m}\right)$ for $n=2^{m}+k$, where $m=0,1,2, \ldots, k=0$, $1, \ldots, 2^{m}-1$, and set $X_{n}=\mathbb{1}_{A_{n}^{c}}$. Then $\left(X_{n s} F_{n}\right)_{m \in N}$ is an $L_{2}$-valued positive order bounded pramart as it is deterministic norm-convergent sequence. Note that

$$
R_{n}=\bigwedge_{m \geqslant n} E^{F_{n}} X_{m}=\bigwedge_{m \geqslant n} X_{m}=\bigwedge_{m \geqslant n} \mathbb{1}_{A_{m}^{c}}=\mathbb{1}_{m \geqslant n}^{\cap_{m}^{c}}=0
$$

Let $\left(R_{n}^{\prime}, F_{n}\right)$ be a submartingale such that $R_{n}^{\prime} \leqslant X_{n}$. Then $R_{n}^{\prime} \leqslant R_{n}$, and $X_{n}-R_{n}^{\prime} \geqslant X_{n}-R_{n}=X_{n}$.

However $X_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{1} \rightarrow \infty$ strongly. Thus $\left(X_{n}-R_{n}\right) \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\nrightarrow} 0$.
The above example has been given to me by J. Szulga (oral comunication).
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