PROBABILITY AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS Vol. 6, Fasc. 1 (1985), p. 77-81

# A CLASSIFICATION OF RANDOM MEASURES

## BY

#### NGUYEN NAM HONG (HANOI)

Abstract. Modifying the definition of  $\alpha$ -times ( $0 < \alpha \le \infty$ ) selfdecomposable (selfdec.) distributions on linear spaces due to N. V. Thu, we define  $\alpha$ -times selfdec. random measures (r.m.) on a Polish space. We prove representation theorems for such r.m. and study some related limit problems.

Throughout the paper we preserve the terminology and notation of [2]. Recall some of them. Let  $\sigma$  be a Polish space,  $\mathscr{B}$  — the ring of all bounded Borel subsets of  $\sigma$ ,  $F_c$  — the class of all continuous functions  $f: \sigma \to R_+$ = [0;  $\infty$ ) with compact support and M — the class of all Radon measures on  $\sigma$ . We shall consider M as a Polish space with the vague topology. By a random measure (r.m.) on  $\sigma$  we mean a Borel probability measure on M. By  $M_0$  we denote the class of all infinitely divisible random measures (i.d.r.m.) on  $\sigma$  (cf. [2]).

Let  $L_p$  denote the Laplace transform of an i.d.r.m. P on  $\sigma$ . By virtue of Theorem 6.1 in [2] we get the formula

(1) 
$$-\log L_p(f) = m(f) + \lambda(1 - e^{-\pi f}), \quad f \in \mathscr{F}_c,$$

where  $m \in M$ ,  $\lambda$  is a measure on  $M' = M \setminus \{0\}$  satisfying the condition

(2) 
$$\lambda(1-e^{-\pi B}) < \infty, \quad B \in \mathscr{B}.$$

In what follows  $(m, \lambda)$  will be called *canonical measure* of P and we write  $P = (m, \lambda)$ . Further, by  $L_0$  we denote the class of all measures  $\lambda$  on M' satisfying condition (2).

### N. N. Hong

For every  $\alpha > 0$  and  $k = 0, 1, \dots$  we put

$$r_{\alpha,k} = \binom{\alpha+k-1}{k} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha+1)\dots(\alpha+k-1)} & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)\dots(\alpha+k-1)}{k!} & \text{if } k = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Given a number c > 0 and an r.m. P on  $\sigma$ , we define an r.m.  $T_c P$  on  $\sigma$  by

$$T_c P(E) = P\{\mu: c\mu \in E\}$$

for every Borel subset E of M.

The concept of  $\alpha$ -times selfdec. probability measures on linear spaces was introduced and studied by Thu [5, 6]. In the same way one can define  $\alpha$ times selfdec. r.m. Namely, an r.m. P on  $\sigma$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -times selfdec. if for every  $c \in (0, 1)$  there exists an i.d.r.m.  $P_{\alpha,c}$  such that

(3) 
$$P = \overset{\infty}{\underset{k=0}{*}} T_{ck} A(P_{\alpha,c}; r_{\alpha,k}),$$

where for an i.d.r.m. Q and t > 0 the symbol A(Q; t) denotes  $Q^{*t}$  and \* is the convolution operation.

Further, if (3) holds for some fixed  $c \in (0, 1)$  and  $P_{\alpha,c} \in M_0$ , then we say that P is  $\alpha$ -times c-decomposable (c-dec., cf. [4]).

By  $M_{\alpha}$  (resp.  $M_{\alpha,c}$ ),  $0 < \alpha < \infty$ , we denote the class of all  $\alpha$ -times selfdec. (resp. c-dec.) r.m. on  $\sigma$ . Further, the r.m. in

$$M_{\infty} = \bigcap_{\alpha>0} M_{\alpha}$$
 (resp.  $M_{\infty,c} = \bigcap_{\alpha>0} M_{\alpha,c}$ )

are called completely selfdec. (resp. completely c-dec.).

An r.m.  $P \in M_{\alpha}$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -differentiable if the following limit exists in the weak sense:

$$D^{(\alpha)} P = \lim_{t\to 0} A(P_{\alpha,c}; t^{-\alpha});$$

 $P_{\alpha,c}$  is determined in (3) with  $c = e^{-t}$  (cf. [6]). For every r > 0 and  $B \in \mathscr{B}$  we put  $M_r(B) = \{ \in M : \mu B > r \}$ .

The following theorem is an analogon of Theorem 2.1 in [4] and its proof will be omitted:

THEOREM 1. The following statements are equivalent:

(i) The infinite convolution  $\underset{k=0}{\overset{\omega}{\ast}} T_{ck} A(P; r_{\alpha,k})$  is weakly convergent.

- (ii)  $\int_{M_1(B)} \log^{\alpha} \mu BP(d\mu) < \infty, B \in \mathscr{B}.$
- (iii)  $\int_{M_1(B)} \log^{\alpha} \mu B \lambda(d\mu) < \infty, B \in \mathcal{B}.$

Let  $M_{0,\alpha}$  denote the class of all  $P \in M_0$  satisfying condition (ii) of Theorem 1. Further, by  $L_{0,\alpha}$  we denote the class of all  $\lambda \in L_0$  such that  $P = (0, \lambda) \in M_{0,\alpha}$ .

THEOREM 2. The following statements are equivalent:

(i)  $P \in M_{\alpha}$ .

(ii)  $P \in M_{\alpha}$  and  $\{A(P_{\alpha,c}; t^{-\alpha}), t > 0, c = e^{-t}\}$  is relatively compact in the weak sense.

(iii) There exist an  $m_{\alpha} \in M$  and a  $\lambda_{\alpha} \in L_{0,\alpha}$  such that

$$-\log L_p(f) = m_\alpha(f) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{\infty} T_{e^{-t}} \lambda_\alpha (1 - e^{-\pi f}) t^{\alpha - 1} dt, \quad f \in \mathscr{F}_c.$$

(iv) P is  $\alpha$ -differentiable and  $D^{(\alpha)} P \in M_{0,\alpha}$ .

Proof. Suppose first that (i) holds, i.e.  $P \in M_{\alpha}$ . By an elementary argument we get  $1 - e^{-cy} \ge c(1 - e^{-y})$  for every  $c \in (0, 1)$  and y > 0. Consequently,

$$L_{\mathbf{P}}(f) \leq \{L_{\mathbf{P}_{a,c}}(f)\}^{(1-c)^{-a}}, \quad f \in \mathscr{F}_{c}.$$

By the last inequality and Lemma 4.5 in [2] we can show that

$$\{A(P_{\alpha,c}; t^{-\alpha}), t > 0, c = e^{-t}\}$$

is relatively compact, which proves (ii).

Now we assume that (ii) holds. Let  $P_{\alpha} = (m_{\alpha}, \lambda_{\alpha})$  be a limit point of  $A(P_{\alpha,c}; t^{-\alpha})$  as  $t \to 0$ . By Theorem 2, X.9, in [1] and by the fact that

(4) 
$$r_{\alpha,k} = \frac{1}{k! \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{\alpha+k-1} dt$$

it follows that  $m_{\alpha} = m$  and

(5) 
$$\lambda(1-e^{-\pi f}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\infty} T_{e^{-t}} \lambda_{\alpha}(1-e^{-\pi f}) t^{\alpha-1} dt, \quad f \in \mathscr{F}_{c},$$

which implies (iii).

Finally, if (iii) holds, then by (4), (5) and Theorem 2, X.9, in [1] it follows that

(6) 
$$\lambda = \lim_{s \to 0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r_{\alpha,k} T_{e^{-ks}}(s^{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha}).$$

Putting, for t > 0,  $t_n = t/2^n$ ,  $c_n = e^{-t_n}$  and

$$\lambda_{t,n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r_{\alpha,k} T_{c_n}^k(t_n^{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, ...,$$

#### N. N. Hong

we get

$$P_{t,n} = (m_{\alpha}, \lambda_{t,n}) \in M_{\alpha,c_{\alpha}}$$

Note that, for every  $c \in (0, 1)$ ,  $M_{\alpha,c}$  is closed in the weak topology and  $M_{\alpha,c}$  is contained in  $M_{\alpha,c^2}$ . Then (6) together with (7) imply that  $P \in M_{\alpha,e^{-t}}$ . Since t > 0 is arbitrary, we conclude that  $P \in M_{\alpha}$ . Hence (ii) holds.

It is easy to show that  $P_{\alpha} = (m_{\alpha}, \lambda_{\alpha})$  is uniquely limit point of  $A(P_{\alpha,c}; t^{-\alpha})$  as  $t \to 0$ . Thus (iv) is proved.

It is clear that (iv) implies (i). Theorem 2 is thus proved.

Let  $S_{\infty}$  denote the class of all finite convolutions of stable m.s. on  $\sigma$  and their cluster points.

**THEOREM 3.** The following statements are equivalent:

(i)  $P \in M_{\infty}$ .

(ii)  $P \in S_{\infty}$ .

(ii) There exist an  $m \in M$ , a subset K of  $(0, 1] \times M'$  and a probability measure  $\lambda_{\infty}$  on K such that

$$-\log L_{\mathbf{P}}(f) = m(f) + \int_{K} [\mu(f)]^{w} \lambda_{\infty}(dwd\mu), \quad f \in \mathcal{F}_{c}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 1 in [3] one can show that (ii) implies (ii). It is clear that (ii) implies (i). We shall prove that (i) implies (iii). Suppose that  $P = (m, \lambda) \in M_{\infty}$ . Let  $L_{\infty}$  be the set of all measures  $\lambda' \in L_0$  such that  $P' = (0, \lambda') \in M_{\infty}$ . By the arguments similar to those given in the proof of Proposition 11.5 in [7] one can show that  $L_{\infty}$  is the union of its caps (see [7], Section 11). Suppose that  $\lambda$  is in a cap C of  $L_{\infty}$ . Note that if  $R_+ l$  is an extreme ray of  $L_{\infty}$  (see [7], Section 11), then l is a canonical measure of a stable r.m. on  $\sigma$ . By Theorem 1 in [3] and Proposition 11.1 in [7] the extreme non-zero points of C are of the form  $l_{w,\mu}$  with  $w \in (0, 1], \mu \in M'$ , such that  $l_{w,\mu}(1-e^{-\pi f}) = [\mu(f)]^w$ ,  $f \in F_c$ . By Choquet's theorem ([7], Section 3) there exists a probability measure  $l_{\infty}$  on the set  $e \times C$  of all extreme points of C such that

$$\lambda(1-e^{-\pi f})=\int_{e\times C}l(1-e^{-\pi f})l_{\infty}(dl),\quad f\in\mathscr{F}_{c}.$$

Let  $\varphi$  be the mapping from  $(0, 1] \times M'$  into the set of all canonical measures of stable r.m. on  $\sigma$ , determined by the formula

$$\varphi(w, \mu)(1-e^{-f}) = [\mu(f)]^w, \quad f \in \mathscr{F}_c.$$

Put  $k = \varphi^{-1}(a \times C)$  and  $\lambda_{\infty} = l_{\infty} \varphi^{-1}$ . We get (iii). The proof of Theorem 3 is completed.

Now, by a minor changing the proof of Theorem 5.1 in [5], one can prove the following

80

(7)

#### A classification of random measures

THEOREM 4. (i) Every  $M_{\alpha}$  ( $0 < \alpha \leq \infty$ ) is closed under convolution operation shifts changes of scales and passages to weak limits. (ii) For any  $0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq \infty$ ,

 $M_{\beta} \subset M_{\alpha}, \quad M_{\beta} = \bigcap_{0 < \gamma < \beta} M_{\gamma}, \quad M_{\alpha} = \overline{\bigcup_{\gamma > \alpha} M_{\gamma}},$ 

where the bar denotes the closure in the weak topology.

Acknowledgement. The author thanks Dr. Nguyen Van Thu for his kindness and encouragement.

#### REFERENCES

[1] W. Feller, An introduction to probability theory and applications, Vol. II, New York 1966.

[2] O. Kallenberg, Random measures, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin 1976.

- [3] Nguyen Van Thu, Stable random measures, Acta Math. Vietnamica 4.1 (1979), p. 71-75.
- [4] Multiply c-decomposable probability measures on Banach spaces, Institute of Mathem, Hanoi 1982 (preprint).
- [5] An alternative approach to multiply selfdecomposable probability measures in Banach spaces (to appear).
- [6] Franctional calculus in probability, Prob. Math. Statistics 3.2 (1984), p. 173-189.

[7] R. R. Phelps, Lectures on Choquet's theorem, Toronto-New York-London 1966.

Institute of Mathematics Box 631, Bo-Ho, Hanoi Vietnam

Received on 20. 11. 1983