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#### Abstract

The paper concerns the estimation of univariable (multivariate) linear regression parameters based on the independent normally distributed random variables (vectors) with unknown variance ( p . d. covariance matrix).


1. Univariate limear regression parameters. Let $x_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ be independent $N\left(\mu_{i}, \sigma^{2}\right)$, where if $\mu^{\prime}=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=A \beta \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A$ being a known ( $n \times m$ )-matrix of rank $m$, and $\beta$ and $\sigma^{2}$ are unknown parameters.

The best linear unbiased estimate of $\beta$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\beta}=\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1} \cdot A^{\prime} x, \quad x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\beta} \sim N\left(\beta, \sigma^{2}\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Other types of estimates for $\beta$ are obtained using Bayes arguments.
Suppose we have a prior knowledge on $\beta$ and assume that the prior distribution of $\beta$ is $N\left(\beta_{0}, \sigma^{2} \Lambda\right)$ when $\beta_{0}$ is known, and $\sigma^{2}$ and $\Lambda$ may be known or not. Suppose $\sigma^{2}$ and $\Lambda$ are known. Then the distribution of $\beta$, given $x$, is

$$
N\left(\left(\Lambda^{-1}+A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1}\left(\Lambda^{-1} \beta_{0}+A^{\prime} x\right), \sigma^{2}\left(\Lambda^{-1}+A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1}\right)
$$

Hence Bayes estimate of $\beta$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{b}=\left(I+\Lambda A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1} \beta_{0}+\left(\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1} \Lambda^{-1}+I\right)^{-1} \hat{\beta}=\beta_{0}+W \hat{\delta} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\delta}=\hat{\beta}-\beta_{0}, \delta=\hat{\beta}-\beta_{0}$ and $W=\left(I+\left(\Lambda A^{\prime} A\right)^{1}\right)^{-1}$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \beta_{b}=\beta_{0}+W \delta \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{b}=E\left(\beta_{b}-\beta\right)\left(\beta_{b}-\beta\right)^{\prime}=\sigma^{2} W\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1} W^{\prime}+(I-W) \delta \delta^{\prime}(I-W)^{\prime} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Is it possible to find $W$ so that $T=\sigma^{2}\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1}-M_{b}$ is positive semidefinite? This will be possible if we consider $W$ depending on $\sigma^{2}$ and $\delta$. It is possible to write $T$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\sigma^{2} T_{0}-(W-v)\left(\sigma^{2}\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1}+\delta \delta^{\prime}\right)(W-v)^{\prime} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
T_{0}=\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1}-\sigma^{-2} \delta \delta^{\prime}\left\{1-\delta^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{2}\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1}+\delta \delta^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \delta\right\}
$$

and

$$
v=\delta \delta^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{2}\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1}+\delta \delta^{\prime}\right)^{-1}
$$

Since

$$
\left(\sigma^{2}\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1}+\delta \delta^{\prime}\right)^{-1}=\left[A^{\prime} A-A^{\prime} A \delta \delta^{\prime} A^{\prime} A /\left(\sigma^{2}+\delta^{\prime} A^{\prime} A \delta\right)\right] / \sigma^{2}
$$

the above expressions can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0}=\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1}-\delta \delta^{\prime} /\left(\sigma^{2}+\delta^{\prime} A^{\prime} A \delta\right)=(I-v)\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\delta \delta^{\prime} A^{\prime} A /\left(\sigma^{2}+\delta^{\prime} A^{\prime} A \delta\right) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that $T_{0}$ is positive definite. Hence the best choice of $W$ depends on $\delta$ and $\sigma^{2}$, and it is given by $W=v$. This estimate cannot be utilized and hence substituting $\sigma^{2}$ and $\delta$ by $s^{2}$ and $\hat{\delta}$, respectively, where

$$
s^{2}=x^{\prime}\left[I-A\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1} A^{\prime}\right] x /(n-m)=\left(x^{\prime} x-\hat{\beta}^{\prime} A^{\prime} A \hat{\beta}\right) /(n-m)
$$

Then, the new proposed estimate of $\beta$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\beta}_{b}=\beta_{0}+\frac{\hat{\delta}^{\prime} A^{\prime} A \hat{\delta}}{s^{2}+\hat{\delta}^{\prime} A^{\prime} A \hat{\delta}} \hat{\delta} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Sometimes it is better to use some other estimate of $\sigma^{2}$ instead of an unbiased estimate and, hence, we shall modify the estimate $\beta_{b}$ given by (1.10) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\beta}_{b l}=\beta_{0}+\frac{\hat{\delta}^{\prime} A^{\prime} A \hat{\delta}}{c s^{2}+\hat{\delta}^{\prime} A^{\prime} A \hat{\delta}} \hat{\delta} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is an appropriate constant. The estimator (1.4) is known as the Ridge estimator of $\beta$ (see [5]), while the estimator (1.11) is known as the empirical Bayes estimator, which is a particular case of those proposed and studied by

Stein [8], Effron and Morris [2], etc. These estimators can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\beta}_{b s}=\beta_{0}+\left(1-\frac{1}{u} r(u)\right) \hat{\delta}, \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=\left(\hat{\delta}^{\prime} A^{\prime} A \hat{\delta}\right) /(n-m) s^{2}$, and $r(u)$ is a function of $u$.
Notice that (1.11) can be obtained from (1.12) by taking

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(u)=c u /(c+(n-m) u) . \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Mulitivariate linear regression parameters. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be independent $p$-dimensional observations and let $x_{i} \sim N\left(\mu_{i}, \Sigma\right)$. Suppose

$$
\mu=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mu_{1}^{\prime}  \tag{2.1}\\
\mu_{2}^{\prime} \\
\cdots \\
\mu_{n}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=A \beta,
$$

$A$ being a known ( $n \times m$ )-matrix of rank $m$. Here $\beta$ and $\Sigma$ are unknown parameters.

Let $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{\prime}$. Then the maximum likelihood estimate of $\beta$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\beta}=\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1} A^{\prime} X \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\beta} a \sim N\left(\beta a, a^{\prime} \Sigma a\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector a.
To obtain other types of estimate for $\beta$, let us assume that $\beta a \sim N\left(\beta_{0} a, a^{\prime} \sum a \Lambda\right)$ for any vector $a$. Then the posterior distribution of $\beta a$, given $X$, is

$$
N\left(\left(\Lambda^{-1}+A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1}\left(\Lambda^{-1} \beta_{0}+A^{\prime} X\right) a, a^{\prime} \Sigma a\left(\Lambda^{-1}+A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1}\right)
$$

for any vector $a$, and hence the Bayes estimate of $\beta$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{b}=\beta_{0}+W \hat{\delta} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\delta} \simeq \hat{\beta}-\beta_{0}, \delta=\beta-\beta_{0}$ and $W=\left(I_{m}+\left(\Lambda A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1}$.
Notice that, for all non-null vectors $a$, the distribution of $\beta_{b} a$ is

$$
N\left(\left(\beta_{0}+W \delta\right) a, a^{\prime} \Sigma a W\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1} W^{\prime}\right)
$$

Now, if $\beta^{\prime}=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)$, then we write $\beta_{*}^{\prime}=\left(\beta_{1}^{\prime}, \beta_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \beta_{m}^{\prime}\right)$. In this notation, $\hat{\beta}_{*} \sim N\left(\beta_{*},\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1} \otimes \Sigma\right)$ and $\beta_{b *} \sim N\left(\left(\beta_{0}+W \delta\right)_{*}, W\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1} W^{\prime} \otimes \Sigma\right)$, where $P \otimes Q$ is the Kronecker product of $P$ and $Q$ and it is defined by $P \otimes Q=\left(p_{i j} Q\right)$ with $P=\left(p_{i j}\right)$. Then the mean square error for $\beta_{b}$ is

$$
M_{b}=E\left(\beta_{b *}-\beta_{*}\right)\left(\beta_{b *}-\beta_{*}\right)^{\prime}=W\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1} W^{\prime} \otimes \Sigma+[(I-W) \delta]_{*}[(I-W) \delta]_{*}^{\prime}
$$

Is it possible to find $W$ so that $T=\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1} \otimes \Sigma-M_{b}$ is positive semidefinite?

Let $B^{\prime}=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{m}\right)$ and $B_{*}=\left(b_{1}^{\prime}, b_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, b_{m}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$. Then, if $T$ is positive semi-definite,

$$
\left(B_{*}\right)^{\prime} T B_{*}=\operatorname{tr} \Sigma B\left\{\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1}-W\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1} W^{\prime}\right\} B^{\prime}-(\operatorname{tr}(I-W) \delta B)^{2}
$$

should be nonnegative for all non-null matrices $\mathbb{B}$. Let us transform:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B & \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1 / 2} B_{1}\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{1 / 2} \\
W & \rightarrow\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1 / 2} W_{1}\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{1 / 2}, \\
\delta & \rightarrow\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1 / 2} \delta_{1} \Sigma^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\left(B_{*}\right)^{\prime} T B_{*}=\operatorname{tr} B_{1}^{\prime} B_{1}\left(I-W_{1} W_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(I-W_{1}\right) \delta_{1} B_{1}\right]^{2}
$$

Now, if we choose $W_{1}=\delta_{1} \delta_{1}^{\prime}\left(I+\delta_{1} \delta_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ or

$$
W_{1}=\delta \Sigma^{-1} \delta^{\prime}\left[\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1}+\delta \Sigma^{-1} \delta^{\prime}\right]^{-1}
$$

then at least it can be verified that $T$ is positive definite for $p=1$ and 2. It would be nice if this were true for any $p$.

Since $\delta$ and $\Sigma$ are unknown quantities, we can substitute $\hat{\delta}$ and $c S$ for their estimates, where $S=X\left[I-A\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1} A^{\prime}\right] X^{\prime} /(n-m)$. Then we can propose for $\beta$ the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\beta}_{b e}=\beta_{0}+\delta\left(c S+\hat{\delta}^{\prime} A^{\prime} A \hat{\delta}\right)^{-1}\left(\hat{\delta}^{\prime} A^{\prime} A \hat{\delta}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a generalization of (1.11). Formula (2.5) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\beta}_{b e}=\beta_{0}+\left(\hat{\delta} S^{-1} \hat{\delta}^{\prime}\right)\left(\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1} c+\hat{\delta} S^{-1} \hat{\delta}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \hat{\delta} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a generalization of Thompson's estimator [9, 10]. As in the univariate situation, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{b S}=\beta_{0}+W \hat{\delta} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W$ is a function of $\hat{\delta} S^{-1} \hat{\delta}^{\prime}$.

## 3. Other types of estimates.

(a) Univariate. Let us base our estimate of $\beta$ (of Section 1), based on the empirical testing, on $H_{0}\left(\beta=\beta_{0}\right)$ VS $H\left(\beta \neq \dot{\beta}_{0}\right)$. Hence the proposed estimate of $\beta$ is

$$
\hat{\beta}_{e m}= \begin{cases}\beta_{0}+f_{1}(u) \hat{\delta} & \text { if } u \leqslant c \\ f_{2}\left(\frac{\hat{\beta}^{\prime} A^{\prime} A \hat{\beta}}{(n-m) s^{2}}\right) \hat{\beta} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $u=\hat{\delta}^{\prime} A^{\prime} A \hat{\delta} /(n-m) s^{2}$ and $c$ is a constant. Here $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are some appropriate functions. Alam [1] used $f_{1}(u)=u /(1+u)$ and $f_{2}(w)=1$, while Upadhyaya and Srivastava [11] used $f_{1}(u)=1-a \exp (-b u)$ and $f_{2}(w)=1$. They obtained mean square errors.
(b) Multivariate. In the model of Section 2 we can propose

$$
\hat{\beta}_{e m}=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\beta_{0}+F_{1}\left(\hat{\delta} S^{-1} \hat{\delta}^{\prime}\right) \hat{\delta} & \text { if } \operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{\delta} S^{-1} \hat{\delta}^{\prime} A^{\prime} A\right) \leqslant c_{\alpha} \text { or } \\
F_{2}\left(\hat{\beta} S^{-1} \hat{\beta}\right) \hat{\beta} & \left|\hat{\delta}^{\prime} A^{\prime} A \hat{\delta}\right| /\left|S+\hat{\delta}^{\prime} A^{\prime} A \hat{\delta}\right| \geqslant c
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are matrix functions of $\hat{\delta} S^{-1} \hat{\delta^{\prime}}$ and $\hat{\beta} S^{-1} \hat{\beta}$, respectively.

## 4. Bias and mean square error.

(a) Univariate. First of all we shall consider the estimate $\hat{\beta}_{b e}=\beta_{0}$ $+f(u) \hat{\delta}$, where $f(u)$ is a function of $u=\left(\hat{\delta}^{\prime} A^{\prime} A \hat{\delta}\right) /(n-m) s^{2}$. We observe that $\hat{\delta}$ and $(n-m) s^{2}$ are here independently distributed, $\hat{\delta} \sim N\left(\delta, \sigma^{2}\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1}\right)$ and $(n-m) s^{2} / \sigma^{2} \sim \chi_{n-m}^{2}$. Let $w=\left(\hat{\delta}^{\prime} A^{\prime} A \hat{\delta}\right) / \sigma^{2}$ and $v=(n-m) s^{2} / \sigma^{2}$. The problem is to find

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\hat{\delta} \mid w, v) \quad \text { and } \quad E\left(\hat{\delta} \hat{\delta}^{\prime} \mid w, v\right) . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain these results, we observe that the density of $\hat{\delta}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 \pi)^{-m / 2} \sigma^{-m}\left|A^{\prime} A\right|^{1 / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}(\hat{\delta}-\delta)^{\prime} A^{\prime} A(\hat{\delta}-\delta)\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the density of $w$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(w \mid m, \lambda)=e^{-\lambda / 2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda / 2)^{j}}{j!} \frac{w^{(m+2 j-2) / 2} e^{-w / 2}}{2^{(m+2 j) / 2} \Gamma(m / 2+j)} \quad \text { for } 0<w<\infty \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(w \mid m, \lambda)=g(w \mid m, 0) a(w \mid m, \lambda) \tag{4.3a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=\delta^{\prime} A^{\prime} A \delta / \sigma^{2}$ and $g(w \mid m, 0)$ is obtained from (4.3) by putting $\lambda=0$. Hence, the density of $\hat{\delta}$, given $w$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{1-m / 2}\left(\pi \sigma^{2}\right)^{-m / 2}\left|A^{\prime} A\right|^{1 / 2} \Gamma(m / 2)\{a(w \mid m, \lambda)\}^{-1} \exp \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \delta^{\prime} A^{\prime} A \hat{\delta}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $z=\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{1 / 2} \hat{\delta} / \sigma \sqrt{w}$ and $\mu=\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{1 / 2} \delta / \sigma \sqrt{\lambda}$. Then (4.4) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{a(w \mid m, \lambda)\}^{-1} \exp \left(\sqrt{w \lambda} \mu^{\prime} z\right)[d z] \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z^{\prime} z=\mu^{\prime} \mu=1$ and $[d z]$ is a unit invariant Haar over $O(1, m)$
$=\left\{z: z^{\prime} z=1\right\}$. The distribution given by (4.5) is known as Fisher - von Mises distribution and studied by various authors (e.g. [4, 6, 12]).

Let $C$ be an orthogonal matrix the first row vector of which is $\mu$. Then $\mathrm{Cz}=y$ gives $[d z]=[d y]$, and the density of $y$, given $w$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{a(w \mid m, \lambda)\}^{-1} \exp \left(\sqrt{w \lambda} y_{1}\right)[d y] \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
[d y]=\frac{\Gamma(m / 2)}{\pi^{m / 2}} \frac{d y}{\left|y_{i}\right|} \quad \text { over } y^{\prime} y=1 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.6) and (4.7) it is easy to show that $y_{1}$ and $\left\{y_{i} / \sqrt{1-y_{1}^{2}}\right.$ for $i=2,3, \ldots, m\}$ are independently distributed, the distribution of $y_{1}$, given $w$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\dot{y}_{1}\right)=\left\{B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{m-1}{2}\right) a(w \mid m, \lambda)\right\}^{-1} \exp \left(\sqrt{w \lambda} y_{1}\right)\left(1-y_{1}^{2}\right)^{(m-3) / 2} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $y_{1}^{2} \leqslant 1$,
and the joint density of $l_{i}=y_{i} / \sqrt{1-y_{1}^{2}}$ for $i=2,3, \ldots, m$ is similar to (4.7) by replacing $m$ by $m-1$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
E l_{i}=0, E l_{i} l_{j}=0 \quad \text { for } i \neq j=2,3, \ldots, m \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E l_{i}^{2}=(m-1)^{-1} \quad \text { for } i=2,3, \ldots, m \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
E\left(y_{1} \mid w\right)=\int_{-1}^{1} y_{1} h\left(y_{1}\right) d y_{1}
$$

and, since $\int y_{1}\left(1-y_{1}^{2}\right)^{(m-3) / 2} d y_{1}=-(m-1)^{-1}\left(1-y_{1}^{2}\right)^{(m-1) / 2}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left(y_{1} \mid w\right)=\left(\sqrt{w \lambda} /(m-1) E\left(1-y_{1}^{2}\right)\right)  \tag{4.11}\\
= & (\sqrt{w \lambda} / m) a(w \mid m+2, \lambda) / a(w \mid m, \lambda), \tag{4.11a}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(y_{i} y_{1} \mid w\right)=E\left\{y_{1}\left(1-y_{1}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} l_{i} \mid w\right\}=0 \quad \text { for } i \neq 1 \tag{4.12a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(y_{i} y_{j} \mid w\right)=E\left\{l_{i} l_{j}\left(1-y_{1}^{2}\right) \mid w\right\}=0 \quad \text { for } i \neq j=2,3, \ldots, m, \tag{4.12b}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(4.12c) $E\left(y_{i}^{2} \mid w\right)=E\left\{l_{i}^{2}\left(1-y_{i}^{2}\right) \mid w\right\}=(m-1) a(w \mid m+2, \lambda) / a(w \mid m, \lambda)$.

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(y \mid w)=e_{1}(\sqrt{w \lambda} / m) a(w \mid m+2, \lambda) / a(w \mid m, \lambda) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(y y^{\prime} \mid w\right)=e_{1} e_{1}^{\prime}(1-b)+m^{-1} b I \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{1}^{\prime}=(1,0, \ldots, 0)$ and $b=a(w \mid m+2, \lambda) / a(w \mid m, \lambda)$.
Now we are in a position to give expressions for $E(\hat{\delta} \mid w)$ and $E\left(\hat{\delta} \hat{\delta}^{\prime} \mid w\right)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
E(\hat{\delta} \mid w) & =\sigma \sqrt{w}\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1 / 2} C^{\prime} E(y \mid w)  \tag{4.15}\\
& =\delta(w / m) a(w \mid m+2, \lambda) / a(w \mid m, \lambda)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(\hat{\delta} \hat{\delta}^{\prime} \mid w\right)=\sigma^{2}\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1}(w / m) b+w(1-b) \delta \delta^{\prime} / \lambda \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the density (4.3) and (4.3a) of $w$, we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(w \mid m+2, \lambda)=g(w \mid m, \lambda)(w / m) a(w \mid m+2, \lambda) / a(w \mid m, \lambda) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \hat{\beta}_{b e}=\beta_{0}+E f(w / v) \hat{\delta}=\beta_{0}+\delta E f\left(w^{*} / v\right) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(\hat{\beta}_{b e}-\beta\right)\left(\hat{\beta}_{b e}-\beta\right)^{\prime} & =\sigma^{2}\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1} E\left\{f\left(w^{*} / v\right)\right\}^{2}-2 \delta \delta^{\prime} E f\left(w^{*} / v\right)+  \tag{4.19}\\
& +\left(\delta \delta^{\prime} / \lambda\right)\left[E\{f(w / v)\}^{2} w-m E\left\{f\left(w^{*} / v\right)\right\}^{2}\right]+\delta \delta^{\prime},
\end{align*}
$$

where $w^{*}$ is distributed as noncentral $\chi^{2}$ with $m+2$ degrees of freedom and noncentral parameter $\lambda$, while $w$ is distributed as noncentral $\chi^{2}$ with $m$ degrees of freedom and noncentral parameter $\lambda$. Thus, the mean square error matrix is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{b}=E\left(\hat{\beta}_{b e}-\beta\right)\left(\hat{\beta}_{b e}-\beta\right)^{\prime}=a_{1} \sigma^{2}\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1}+a_{2} \delta \delta^{\prime} \tag{4.19a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}=E\left\{f\left(w^{*} / v\right)\right\}^{2}=E\left(f\left(F^{*}\right)\right)^{2}$ and $a_{2}=1-m\left(a_{1} / \lambda\right)-2 E\left(f\left(F^{*}\right)\right)+$ $+\lambda^{-1} E\{f(w / v)\}^{2} w$ and $F^{*}=w^{*} / v$.

For the various particular functions $f$, (4.19) or (4.19a) can be calculated explicitly. This is left to the reader.
(b) Multivariate. The estimate of $\beta$ can be written in two different forms according to $m>p$ or $m<p$. For $m<p$ we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\beta}_{l b e}=\beta_{l 0}+G\left(\hat{\delta}_{1} V^{-1} \hat{\delta}_{1}^{\prime}\right) \hat{\delta}_{1} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $m>p$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\beta}_{l b e}=\beta_{l 0}+\hat{\delta}_{1} G_{0}\left(V^{-1} \hat{\delta}_{1}^{\prime} \hat{\delta}_{1}\right) \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\beta}_{l b e}=\sqrt{A^{\prime} A} \hat{\beta}_{l b e} \Sigma^{-1 / 2}, \beta_{l 0}=\sqrt{A^{\prime} A} \beta_{0} \Sigma^{-1 / 2}, V=\Sigma^{-1 / 2} S \Sigma^{-1 / 2}(n-m)$ and $\hat{\delta}_{1}=\sqrt{A^{\prime} A} \hat{\delta} \Sigma^{-1 / 2}$.

The distribution of $V$ is Wishart with $n-m$ degrees of freedom, $\hat{\delta}_{1} \sim N_{m, p}\left(\delta_{1}, I_{m}, I_{p}\right)$ and they are independent.

It is extremely difficult to obtain the mean and mean square matrix for the elements of $\hat{\beta}_{\text {lbe }}$ defined in (4.20) and (4.21). We shall only consider the situation where $m=1$ and $p>1$. For this purpose the estimate given in (4.20) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\beta}_{l b e}=\beta_{l 0}+g\left(\hat{\delta}_{1} V^{-1} \hat{\delta}_{1}^{\prime}\right) \hat{\delta}_{1} \tag{4.21a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\delta}_{1}, \beta_{l 0}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{l b e}$ are row vectors and $g$ is a scalar function $\hat{\delta}_{1} V^{-1} \hat{\delta}_{1}^{\prime}$. Since $\hat{\delta}_{1}$ and $V$ are independently distributed, we shall use the orthogonal transformation $C V C^{\prime}=V_{1}$, where the first row of $C$ is $\hat{\delta}_{1} / \sqrt{\hat{\delta}_{1}} \hat{\delta}_{1}^{\prime}$. Then $V_{1}^{-1}$ $=C V^{-1} C^{\prime}$ and if $V_{1}^{-1}=\left(v^{i j}\right), v^{11}=\hat{\delta}_{1} V^{-1} \hat{\delta}_{1}^{\prime} / \hat{\delta}_{1} \hat{\delta}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $1 / v^{11}=v \sim \chi_{n-p}^{2}$, then (4.21a) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\beta}_{l b e}=\beta_{l 0}+g\left(\hat{\delta}_{1} \hat{\delta}_{1}^{\prime} / v\right) \hat{\delta}_{1} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is exactly similar to the estimate considered in Section 4 (a). Using (4.18), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(\widehat{\beta}_{l b e}\right)=\beta_{l 0}+E g\left(w^{*} / v\right) \delta_{1} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
v \sim \chi_{n-p}^{2}, w^{*} \sim \chi_{p+2}^{2}(\lambda), \lambda=\delta_{1} \delta_{1}^{\prime} \text { and } \delta_{1}=\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{1 / 2} \delta \Sigma^{-1 / 2}
$$

Further, by (4.19a), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=E\left(\hat{\beta}_{l b e}-\beta_{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(\hat{\beta}_{l b e}-\beta_{1}\right)=a_{1} I+a_{2} \delta_{1}^{\prime} \delta_{1} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}=E\left(g\left(w^{*} / v\right)\right)^{2}, a_{2}=1-p\left(a_{1} / \lambda\right)-2 E g\left(w^{*} / v\right)+\lambda^{-1} E\{g(w / v)\}^{2} w, w$ $\sim \chi_{p}^{2}(\lambda), w^{*} \sim \chi_{p+2}^{2}(\lambda)$ and $v \sim \chi_{n-p}^{2}$.

Other situations are left to the reader.
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