PROBABILITY
AND
MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS
Vol. 39, Fasc. 2 (2019), pp. 299–314
doi:10.19195/0208-4147.39.2.4

#### ON THE EXACT DIMENSION OF MANDELBROT MEASURE

DΥ

#### NAJMEDDINE ATTIA (TUNISIA)

Abstract. We develop, in the context of the boundary of a supercritical Galton–Watson tree, a uniform version of the argument used by Kahane (1987) on homogeneous trees to estimate almost surely and simultaneously the Hausdorff and packing dimensions of the Mandelbrot measure over a suitable set  $\mathcal{J}$ . As an application, we compute, almost surely and simultaneously, the Hausdorff and packing dimensions of the level sets  $E(\alpha)$  of infinite branches of the boundary of the tree along which the averages of the branching random walk have a given limit point.

**2010** AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 11K55; Secondary: 60G57.

Key words and phrases: Mandelbrot measure, Hausdorff dimension.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAINS RESULTS

Let  $(N,W_1,W_2,\ldots)$  be a random vector taking values in  $\mathbb{N}_+\times\mathbb{R}_+^{*\mathbb{N}_+}$ . Then consider  $\{(N_{u0},W_{u1},W_{u2},\ldots)\}_{u\in\bigcup_{n\geqslant 0}\mathbb{N}_+^n}$ , a family of independent copies of this random vector indexed by the finite sequences  $u=u_1\ldots u_n, n\geqslant 0, u_i\in\mathbb{N}^*$  (n=0 corresponds to the empty sequence denoted by  $\emptyset$ ). Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be the Galton–Watson tree with defining element  $\{N_u\}$ : we have  $\emptyset\in\mathbb{T}$ , and if  $u\in\mathbb{T}$  and  $i\in\mathbb{N}_+$ , then ui, the concatenation of u and i, belongs to  $\mathbb{T}$  if and only if  $1\leqslant i\leqslant N_u$ . Similarly, for each  $u\in\bigcup_{n\geqslant 0}\mathbb{N}_+^n$ , denote by  $\mathbb{T}(u)$  the Galton–Watson tree rooted at u and defined by  $\{N_{uv}\}, v\in\bigcup_{n\geqslant 0}\mathbb{N}_+^n$ .

For each  $u\in\bigcup_{n\geqslant 0}\mathbb{N}^n_+$  we denote by |u| its length, i.e. the number of letters of u, and by [u] the cylinder  $u\cdot\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}_+}_+$ , i.e. the set of  $t\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}_+}_+$  such that  $t_1t_2\dots t_{|u|}=u$ . If  $t\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}_+}_+$ , we put  $|t|=\infty$ , and the set of prefixes of t consists of  $\{\emptyset\}\cup\{t_1t_2\dots t_n:n\geqslant 1\}\cup\{t\}$ . Also we set  $t_{|n}=t_1\dots t_n$  if  $n\geqslant 1$  and  $t_{|0}=\emptyset$ .

The probability space over which the previous random variables are built is denoted by  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ , and the expectation with respect to  $\mathbb{P}$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{E}$ .

We assume that  $\mathbb{E}(N) > 1$  so that the Galton–Watson tree is supercritical. Without loss of generality, we also assume that the probability of extinction equals zero, so that  $\mathbb{P}(N \ge 1) = 1$ .

The boundary of T is the subset of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}_+}_+$  defined as

$$\partial \mathsf{T} = \bigcap_{n\geqslant 1} \bigcup_{u\in \mathsf{T}_n} [u],$$

where  $T_n = T \cap \mathbb{N}_+^n$ . The set  $\mathbb{N}_+^{\mathbb{N}_+}$  is endowed with the standard ultrametric distance

$$d_1:(s,t)\mapsto \exp(-|s\wedge t|),$$

where  $s \wedge t$  stands for the longest common prefix of s and t, and with the convention that  $\exp(-\infty) = 0$ . The set  $\partial T$  endowed with the induced distance is almost surely (a.s.) compact.

For the sake of simplicity we will assume throughout that the logarithmic moment generating function

$$\tau(q) = \log \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} W_i^q \right)$$

is finite over  $\mathbb{R}.$  Then, we define, for  $u\in\bigcup_{n\geqslant 0}\mathbb{N}^n_+,$  the random variable

$$W_{q,u} = \frac{W_u^q}{\mathbb{E}(\sum_{i=1}^N W_i^q)} = W_u^q e^{-\tau(q)}.$$

Consider the set

$$J = \{ q \in \mathbb{R} : \ \tau(q) - q\tau'(q) > 0 \} = \{ q \in \mathbb{R} : \ \tau^*(\tau'(q)) > 0 \},$$

where  $\tau^*$  is the Legendre transform of the function  $\tau$  defined, for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , as

$$\tau^*(\alpha) = \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} (\tau(q) - q\alpha).$$

Let

$$\Omega^1_{\gamma} = \operatorname{int} \big\{ q : \mathbb{E} \big[ \big| \sum_{i=1}^N W_i^q \big|^{\gamma} \big] < \infty \big\}, \quad \Omega^1 = \bigcup_{\gamma \in (1,2]} \Omega^1_{\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{J} = J \cap \Omega^1.$$

Then, for  $n \ge 1$  and  $u \in \mathbb{N}^n_+$ , we define the sequence  $(Y_p(q,u))_{p \ge 1}$  as

$$Y_p(q, u) = \sum_{v \in \mathsf{T}_p(u)} \prod_{k=1}^n W_{q, uv_1 \dots v_k};$$

when  $u = \emptyset$ , this quantity will be denoted by  $Y_n(q)$ , and when n = 0, its value equals one.

Since, for all  $q \in \mathcal{J}$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} W_{q,i}\right) = 1, \\ \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} W_{q,i} E \log W_{q,i}\right) = q\tau'(q) - \tau(q) < 0, \\ \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} W_{q,i}\right) \log^{+}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} W_{q,i}\right)\right) < \infty, \end{cases}$$

it follows that  $(Y_p(q,u))$  converges to a positive limit Y(q,u) with probability one, while the limit exists and vanishes if the condition is violated. This fact was proven by Kahane in [14] when N is constant and by Biggins in [5] in general. Then, we can associate the Mandelbrot measure defined on the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal C$  generated by the cylinders of  $\mathbb N_+^{\mathbb N_+}$  as

(1.1) 
$$\mu_q([u]) = \begin{cases} W_{q,u_1} W_{q,u_2} \dots W_{q,u_1\dots u_n} Y(q,u) & \text{if } u \in \mathsf{T}_n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and supported on  $\partial T$ . Moreover, under the property  $E(Y(q)\log^+Y(q))<\infty$ , hence in particular when  $E(Y(q)^h)<\infty$  for some h>1, where  $Y(q)=Y(q,\emptyset)$ , we have, following [14], [16], [4], for all  $q\in\mathcal{J}$ , a.s., for  $\mu_q$ -almost every  $t\in\partial T$ ,

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \mu_q([t_{|n}])}{-n} \geqslant \tau(q) - q\tau'(q).$$

Hence, for all  $q \in \mathcal{J}$ , a.s., the lower Hausdorff dimension of  $\mu_q$  is

$$\underline{\dim}\,\mu_q \geqslant \tau(q) - q\tau'(q),$$

see Section 6 for the definition.

The Mandelbrot measure  $\mu_q$  is naturally considered when studying the multifractal analysis of some random sets (see [10], [19], [1]–[3], [7]). By exploiting the simultaneous construction of the Mandelbrot measure  $\mu_q$ ,  $q \in \mathcal{J}$ , and using a uniform version of the argument applied by Kahane in [13] on homogeneous trees, we get the following result.

THEOREM 1.1. With probability one, for all  $q \in \mathcal{J}$ ,  $\underline{\dim} \mu_q \geqslant \tau(q) - q\tau'(q)$ .

As an application we study, for  $q \in \mathcal{J}$ , the set  $E(\tau'(q))$  associated with the branching random walk with  $(X_i = \log(W_i))_{1 \le i \le N}$  (see Section 4). Since, with probability one, for all  $q \in \mathcal{J}$ , the set  $E(\tau'(q))$  is supported by  $\mu_q$  and its packing dimension is smaller than  $\tau^*(\tau'(q))$  (see Proposition 2.7 in [2]), we get

a.s., 
$$\forall q \in \mathcal{J}, \ \overline{\mathrm{Dim}} \, \mu_q \leqslant \tau(q) - q\tau'(q),$$

where  $\overline{\text{Dim}} \mu_q$  is the upper packing dimension of  $\mu_q$  (see Section 6 for the definition). As a consequence, we infer that the measures are exact dimensional.

COROLLARY 1.1. With probability one, for all  $q \in \mathcal{J}$ ,

$$\dim \mu_q = \operatorname{Dim} \mu_q = \tau(q) - q\tau'(q),$$

where dim  $\mu_q$  and Dim  $\mu_q$  denote the Hausdorff and packing dimensions of  $\mu_q$ , respectively.

REMARK 1.1. These results are known (see [1], [3]). Using a uniform version of a percolation argument, we will give a new proof of the sharp lower bounds for the lower Hausdorff dimension of these measures.

#### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Given an increasing sequence  $\{A_n\}_{n\geqslant 1}$  of sub- $\sigma$ -fields of A and a sequence of random functions  $\{P_n(t,\omega)\}_{n\geqslant 1}$   $(t\in\partial T)$  such that

- 1.  $P_n(t) = P_n(t, \omega)$  are non-negative and independent processes;  $P_n(\cdot, \omega)$  is Borelian for almost all  $\omega$ ;  $P_n(t, \cdot)$  is  $\mathcal{A}_n$ -mesurable for each t;
  - 2.  $\mathbb{E}(P_n(t)) = 1$  for all  $t \in \partial \mathsf{T}$ .

Such a sequence  $\{P_n\}$  is called a *sequence of weights* adopted to  $\{A_n\}$ . Let

$$Q_n(t) = Q_n(t, \omega) = \prod_{k=1}^n P_k(t, \omega).$$

For any  $n \ge 1$  and any positive Radon measure  $\sigma$  on  $\partial T$  (we write  $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}^+(\partial T)$ ), we consider the random measures  $Q_n \sigma$  defined as

$$Q_n\sigma(A) = \int\limits_A Q_n(t)d\sigma(t) \quad (A \in \mathcal{B}(\partial \mathsf{T})),$$

where  $\mathcal{B}(\partial \mathsf{T})$  is the Borel field on  $\partial \mathsf{T}$ . For all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\partial \mathsf{T})$ ,  $Q_n \sigma(A)$  is a positive martingale so it converges almost surely. Also, for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}^+(\partial \mathsf{T})$ , the random measure  $Q_n \sigma$  converges weakly, almost surely, to the random measure  $Q\sigma$ .

There are two possible extreme cases. The first one is that  $Q_n\sigma(\partial \mathsf{T})$  converges almost surely to zero, i.e.  $Q\sigma=0$  a.s. In this case, we say that Q degenerates on  $\sigma$  or  $\sigma$  is said to be Q-singular. The second one is that  $Q_n\sigma(\partial \mathsf{T})$  converges in  $L^1$  so that  $\mathbb{E}\big(Q_n(\sigma)(\partial \mathsf{T})\big)=\sigma(\partial \mathsf{T})$ . In this case we say that Q fully acts on  $\sigma$  or  $\sigma$  is said to be Q-regular.

THEOREM 2.1. Let  $\alpha$  be a positive number such that  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(\partial \mathsf{T}) < \infty$ , where  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}$  denotes the  $\alpha$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure. Let 0 < h < 1 and C > 0. Suppose

(2.1) 
$$\sup_{t \in \bar{B}} (Q_n(t)^h) \leqslant C|B|^{(1-h)\alpha}$$

for all balls B and some n = n(B) depending on B. Then Q is completely degenerate, that is,  $Q\sigma = 0$  a.s. for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}^+(\partial T)$ .

This provides a good tool to verify the Q-singularity of  $\sigma$ . Indeed, if a measure is not killed, it means that it has a lower Hausdorff dimension at least  $\alpha$ .

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

For each  $\beta \in (0,1]$ , let  $W_{\beta}$  be a random variable taking the value  $1/\beta$  with probability  $\beta$  and the value 0 with probability  $1-\beta$ . Then, let  $\{W_{\beta,u}\}_{u\in\bigcup_{n\geqslant 0}\mathbb{N}^n_+}$  be a family of independent copies of  $W_{\beta}$ . Denote by  $(\Omega_{\beta}, \mathcal{A}_{\beta}, \mathbb{P}_{\beta})$  the probability space on which this family is defined.

We naturally extend to  $(\Omega_{\beta} \times \Omega, \mathcal{A}_{\beta} \otimes \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P}_{\beta} \otimes \mathbb{P})$  the random variables  $W_{\beta,u}$  and the random vectors  $(N_{u0}, W_{u1}, \ldots)$  as

$$W_{\beta,u}(\omega_{\beta},\omega) = W_{\beta,u}(\omega_{\beta})$$

and

$$(N_{u0}(\omega_{\beta},\omega),W_{u1}(\omega_{\beta},\omega),\dots) = (N_{u0}(\omega),W_{u1}(\omega),\dots),$$

so that the families  $\{W_{\beta,u}\}_{u\in\bigcup_{n\geqslant 0}\mathbb{N}^n_+}$  and  $\{(N_{u0},W_{u1},\ldots)\}_{u\in\bigcup_{n\geqslant 0}\mathbb{N}^n_+}$  are independent.

The expectation with respect to  $\mathbb{P}_{\beta} \otimes \mathbb{P}$  will also be denoted by  $\mathbb{E}$ . For  $n \geqslant 1$  and  $\beta \in (0,1]$ , we set  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma \left( (N_u, W_{u1}, W_{u2}, \ldots) : u \in \bigcup_{k=0}^n \mathbb{N}_+^{k-1} \right)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\beta,n} = \sigma \left( (W_{\beta,u1}, W_{\beta,u2}, \ldots) : u \in \bigcup_{k=0}^n \mathbb{N}_+^{k-1} \right)$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{F}_0$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\beta,0}$  the trivial  $\sigma$ -field.

If  $\beta \mathbb{E}(N) > 1$ , the random variables

$$N_{\beta,u}(\omega_{eta},\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_u(\omega)} \mathbf{1}_{\{eta^{-1}\}} (W_{eta,ui}(\omega_{eta}))$$

define a new supercritical Galton–Watson process with which the trees  $\mathsf{T}_{\beta,n}\subset\mathsf{T}_n$  and  $\mathsf{T}_{\beta,n}(u)\subset\mathsf{T}_n(u), u\in\bigcup_{n\geqslant 0}\mathbb{N}^n_+, n\geqslant 1$ , are associated, as well as the infinite tree  $\mathsf{T}_{\beta}\subset\mathsf{T}$  and the boundary  $\partial\mathsf{T}_{\beta}\subset\partial\mathsf{T}$  conditional on non-extinction.

For  $u \in \bigcup_{n\geqslant 0} \mathbb{N}^n_+$ ,  $1\leqslant i\leqslant N(u)$ , and  $q\in \mathcal{J}$  we define

$$W_{\beta,a,ui} = W_{\beta,ui}W_{a,ui}$$
.

For  $q\in\mathcal{J},$   $\beta\mathbb{E}(N)>1,$   $n\geqslant0$  and  $u\in\bigcup_{n\geqslant0}\mathbb{N}^n_+,$  we define

$$Y_n(\beta, q, u) = \sum_{v_1 \dots v_n \in \mathsf{T}_n(u)} \prod_{k=1}^n W_{\beta, q, u \cdot v_1 \dots v_k}.$$

When  $u = \emptyset$ , this quantity will be denoted by  $Y_n(\beta, q)$ , and when n = 0, its value equals one.

**3.1.** A family of measures indexed by  $\mathcal{J}$ . For  $\beta \in \left(\mathbb{E}(N)^{-1},1\right]$  and  $\epsilon>0$  we set

$$\mathcal{J}_{\beta,\epsilon} = \{ q \in \mathcal{J} : \tau^*(\tau'(q)) > -\log \beta + \epsilon \}.$$

Notice that  $\tau^*(\tau'(q))$  takes values between zero and  $\tau(0) = \log(E(N))$  over  $\mathcal{J}$ . Then

(3.1) 
$$\mathcal{J} = \bigcup_{\beta \in (\mathbb{E}(N)^{-1}, 1], \epsilon > 0} \mathcal{J}_{\beta, \epsilon}.$$

The following propositions will be established in Section 5.

PROPOSITION 3.1. (1) For all  $u \in \bigcup_{n \geqslant 0} \mathbb{N}^n_+$ , the sequence of continuous functions  $Y_n(\cdot, u)$  converges uniformly, almost surely and in  $L^1$  norm, to a positive limit  $Y(\cdot, u)$  on  $\mathcal{J}$ .

(2) With probability one, for all  $q \in \mathcal{J}$ , the mapping

(3.2) 
$$\mu_q([u]) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^n W_{q,u_1...u_k}\right) Y(q,u)$$

defines a positive measure on  $\partial T$ .

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let  $\beta \in (0,1]$  such that  $\beta \mathbb{E}(N) > 1$ . Then, for all  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_+^*$ :

- (1) the sequence of continuous functions  $Y_n(\beta, \cdot)$  converges uniformly, almost surely and in  $L^1$  norm, to a positive limit  $Y(\beta, \cdot)$  on  $\mathcal{J}_{\beta, \epsilon}$ ;
  - (2) the sequence of continuous functions

$$q \mapsto \widetilde{Y}_n(\beta, q) = \sum_{u \in \mathsf{T}_n} \left( \prod_{k=1}^n W_{\beta, u_1 \dots u_k} \right) \mu_q([u])$$

converges uniformly, almost surely and in  $L^1$  norm, toward  $Y(\beta, \cdot)$  on  $\mathcal{J}_{\beta,\epsilon}$ .

**3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_+^*$  and  $\beta \in (0,1]$  such that  $\beta \mathbb{E}(N) > 1$ . For every  $t \in \partial \mathsf{T}$  and  $\omega_\beta \in \Omega_\beta$  set

$$Q_{\beta,n}(t,\omega_{\beta}) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} W_{\beta,t_{|k}},$$

so that for  $q \in \mathcal{J}_{\beta,\epsilon}$ ,  $\widetilde{Y}_n(\beta,q)$  is the total mass of the measure  $Q_{\beta,n}(t,\omega_\beta) \cdot \mathrm{d}\mu_q^\omega(t)$ . Now, Proposition 3.2 claims that there exists a measurable subset A of  $\Omega \times \Omega_\beta$  of full probability in the set of those  $(\omega,\omega_\beta)$  such that  $(\mathsf{T}_{\beta,n})_{n\geqslant 1}$  survives and for all  $(\omega,\omega_\beta)\in A$ , for all  $q\in\mathcal{J}_{\beta,\epsilon}$ ,  $\widetilde{Y}_n(\beta,q)$  does not converge to zero. Moreover, since the branching number of the tree T is  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost surely equal to the constant  $\mathbb{E}(N)$  and  $\beta\mathbb{E}(N)>1$ , conditional on T, the  $\mathbb{P}_\beta$ -probability of non-extinction of  $(\mathsf{T}_{\beta,n})_{n\geqslant 1}$  is positive ([17], Theorem 6.2). Thus, the projection of A to  $\Omega$  has

 $\mathbb{P}\text{-probability one and there exists a measurable subset } \Omega(\beta,\epsilon) \text{ of } \Omega \text{ such that } \mathbb{P}\big(\Omega(\beta,\epsilon)\big) = 1 \text{ and for all } \omega \in \Omega(\beta,\epsilon), \text{ there exists } \Omega_{\beta}^{\omega} \subset \Omega_{\beta} \text{ of positive probability such that for all } \omega \in \Omega(\beta,\epsilon), \text{ for all } q \in \mathcal{J}_{\beta,\epsilon}, \text{ for all } \omega_{\beta} \in \Omega_{\beta}^{\omega}, \widetilde{Y}_{n}(\beta,q) \text{ does not converge to zero. In terms of the multiplicative chaos theory developed in [12], this means that for all <math>\omega \in \Omega(\beta,\epsilon)$  and  $q \in \mathcal{J}_{\beta,\epsilon}$ , the set of those  $\omega_{\beta}$  such that the multiplicative chaos  $\big(Q_{\beta,n}(\cdot,\omega)\big)_{n\geqslant 1}$  has not killed  $\mu_{q}$  on the compact set  $\partial T$  has a positive  $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}$ -probability. Now, the good property of  $\big(Q_{\beta,n}(\cdot,\omega)\big)_{n\geqslant 1}$  is

$$\mathbb{E}_{\beta} \left( \sup_{t \in B} \left( Q_{\beta, n}(t) \right)^h \right) = e^{n(1-h)\log(\beta)} = (|B|)^{-(1-h)\log(\beta)}$$

for any  $h \in (0,1)$  and any ball B of generation n in  $\partial T$ , where |B| stands for the diameter of B and  $\mathbb{E}_{\beta}$  stands for the expectation with respect to  $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}$ . Thus, we can apply Theorem 3 of [12] and claim that for all  $\omega \in \Omega(\beta,\epsilon)$  and all  $q \in \mathcal{J}_{\beta,\epsilon}$ , no piece of  $\mu_q$  is carried by a Borel set of Hausdorff dimension less than  $-\log(\beta)$ .

Let  $\Omega' = \bigcap_{\beta \in (\mathbb{E}(N)^{-1},1] \cap \mathbb{Q}_+^*, \epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_+^*} \Omega(\beta,\epsilon)$ . This set is of  $\mathbb{P}$ -probability one. Let  $q \in \mathcal{J}$ . By (3.1), there exists a sequence of points  $(\beta_n,\epsilon_n) \in (\mathbb{E}(N)^{-1},1] \times \mathbb{Q}_+^*$  such that  $\tau(q) - q\tau'(q) > -\log(\beta_n) + \epsilon_n/2$  for all  $n \geqslant 1$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} -\log(\beta_n) = \tau(q) - q\tau'(q)$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$  and  $q \in \bigcap_{n \geqslant 1} \mathcal{J}_{\beta_n,\epsilon_n}$ . Consequently, the previous paragraph implies that for all  $\omega \in \Omega'$ ,

$$\underline{\dim}(\mu_q^{\omega}) \geqslant \limsup_{n \to \infty} -\log(\beta_n) = \tau(q) - q\tau'(q).$$

# 4. APPLICATION

Let  $(N,X_1,X_2,\ldots)$  be a random vector taking values in  $\mathbb{N}_+\times(\mathbb{R})^{\mathbb{N}_+}$ . Then consider  $\{(N_u,X_{u1},X_{u2},\ldots)\}_{u\in\bigcup_{n\geqslant 0}\mathbb{N}_+^n}$  a family of independent copies of the vector  $(N,X_1,X_2,\ldots)$  indexed by the set of finite words over the alphabet  $\mathbb{N}_+$ . We assume that  $\mathbb{E}(N)>1$  and  $\mathbb{P}(N\geqslant 1)=1$ . Suppose that, for all  $u\in \mathsf{T},X_u$  is integrable and the sequences  $(X_u)_{u\in\bigcup_{n\geqslant 0}\mathbb{N}_+^n}$  are i.i.d. Given  $t\in\partial\mathsf{T}$ , by the strong law of large numbers, we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty}n^{-1}S_n(t)=\mathbb{E}(X_1)$  almost surely, where  $S_n(t)=\sum_{k=1}^nX_{t_1...t_k}$ . Since  $\partial\mathsf{T}$  is not countable, the following question naturally arises: are there some  $t\in\partial\mathsf{T}$  so that  $\lim_{n\to\infty}n^{-1}S_n(t)=\alpha\neq\mathbb{E}(X_1)$ ? Multifractal analysis is a framework adapted to answer this question. Consider the set  $\mathcal{I}$  of those  $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$E(\alpha) = \left\{ t \in \partial \mathsf{T} : \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{u_1...u_k} = \alpha \right\} \neq \emptyset.$$

These level sets can be described geometrically through their Hausdorff dimensions. They have been studied by many authors, see [10], [19], [1]–[3], [7]; all these papers also deal with the multifractal analysis of associated Mandelbrot measure (see also [14], [21], [16] for the study of Mandelbrot measures dimension).

Take, for  $u\in\bigcup_{n\geqslant 0}\mathbb{N}^n_+$ , the random variable  $W_u=e^{X_u}$  and set

$$I = \{ \tau'(q); \ q \in \mathcal{J} \}.$$

THEOREM 4.1. With probability one, for all  $\alpha \in I$ , the multifractal formalism holds at  $\alpha$ , i.e.,

$$\dim E(\alpha) = \operatorname{Dim} E(\alpha) = \tau^*(\alpha);$$

in particular,  $E(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ .

Proof. A simple covering argument yields, with probability one, for all  $\alpha \in I$ ,  $\operatorname{Dim} E(\alpha) \leqslant \tau^*(\alpha)$  (see, for example, Proposition 2.7 in [2]). In addition, consider the Mandelbrot measure  $\mu_q, q \in \mathcal{J}$ , defined by (1.1). It is known (see, for example, Corollary 2.5 in [1]) that with probability one,  $\mu_q\big(E\big(\tau'(q)\big)\big)=1$ . In addition, according to Theorem 1.1, we have, with probability one, for all  $q \in \mathcal{J}, \underline{\dim} \, \mu_q \geqslant \tau(q) - q\tau'(q)$ . We deduce the result from the mass distribution principle (Theorem 6.2 below).

REMARK 4.1. This result has been proved in [3] when N is not random, and in the weaker form, for each fixed  $\alpha \in I$ , almost surely  $\dim E(\alpha) = \tau^*(\alpha)$  in [10], [19], [7], when N is random.

REMARK 4.2. Using the Cauchy formula, we can prove Theorem 1.1 (see [1]). Then our result gives a new approach to estimate, almost surely and simultaneously, the lower Hausdorff dimension of the Mandelbrot measure over  $\mathcal{J}$ .

### 5. PROOF OF PROPOSITIONS 3.1 AND 3.2

Define, for  $(q, p, \beta) \in \mathcal{J} \times [1, \infty) \times (0, 1]$ , the function

$$\varphi_{\beta}(p,q) = \exp(\tau(pq) - p\tau(q) + (1-p)\log\beta).$$

LEMMA 5.1. For all nontrivial compact  $K \subset \mathcal{J}_{\beta,\epsilon}$  there exists a real number  $1 < p_K < 2$  such that for all 1 we have

$$\sup_{q \in K} \varphi_{\beta}(p_K, q) < 1.$$

Proof. Let  $q \in \mathcal{J}_{\beta,\epsilon}$ ; we have  $\frac{\partial \varphi_{\beta}}{\partial p}(1^+,q) < 0$  and there exists  $p_q > 1$  such that  $\varphi_{\beta}(p_q,q) < 1$ . Therefore, in a neighborhood  $V_q$  of q, we have  $\varphi_{\beta}(p_q,q') < 1$  for all  $q' \in V_q$ . If K is a nontrivial compact of  $\mathcal{J}_{\beta,\epsilon}$ , it is covered by a finite number of such  $V_{q_i}$ . Let  $p_K = \inf_i p_{q_i}$ . If  $1 and <math>\sup_{q \in K} \varphi_{\beta}(p,q) \geqslant 1$ , there exists  $q \in K$  such that  $\varphi_{\beta}(p,q) \geqslant 1$ , and  $q \in V_{q_i}$  for some i. By log-convexity of the mapping  $p \mapsto \varphi_{\beta}(p,q)$  and the fact that  $\varphi_{\beta}(1,q) = 1$ , since  $1 , we have <math>\varphi_{\beta}(p,q) < 1$ , which is a contradiction.

LEMMA 5.2. For all compact  $K \subset \mathcal{J}$ , there exists  $\tilde{p}_K > 1$  such that

$$\sup_{q \in K} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} W_{i}^{q}\right)^{\tilde{p}_{K}}\right) < \infty.$$

Proof. Since K is compact and the family of open sets  $J \cap \Omega^1_{\gamma}$  increases to  $\mathcal{J}$  as  $\gamma$  decreases to one, there exists  $\gamma \in (1,2]$  such that  $K \subset \Omega^1_{\gamma}$ . Take  $\tilde{p}_K = \gamma$ . The conclusion comes from the fact that the function  $q \mapsto \mathbb{E} \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^N W_i^q \right)^{\tilde{p}_K} \right)$  is continuous over  $\Omega^1_{\tilde{p}_K}$ .

LEMMA 5.3 (Biggins [6]). If  $\{X_i\}$  is a family of integrable and independent complex random variables with  $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = 0$ , then  $\mathbb{E}\left|\sum X_i\right|^p \leqslant 2^p \sum \mathbb{E}|X_i|^p$  for  $1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2$ .

The same lines as in Lemma 2.11 in [1], we get the following lemma.

LEMMA 5.4. Let  $(N,V_1,V_2,\ldots)$  be a random vector taking values in  $\mathbb{N}_+ \times \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}_+}$  and such that  $\sum_{i=1}^N V_i$  is integrable and  $\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N V_i\right)=1$ . Consider a sequence  $\{(N_u,V_{u1},V_{u2},\ldots)\}_{u\in\bigcup_{n\geqslant 0}\mathbb{N}_+^n}$  of independent copies of  $(N,V_1,\ldots,V_N)$ . We define the sequence  $(Z_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$  by  $Z_0=1$  and for  $n\geqslant 1$ 

$$Z_n = \sum_{u \in \mathsf{T}_n} \left( \prod_{k=1}^n V_{u_{|k}} \right).$$

Let  $p \in (1,2]$ . There exists a constant  $C_p$  depending on p only such that for all  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(|Z_n - Z_{n-1}|^p) \leqslant C_p \left( \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N |V_i|^p\right) \right)^{n-1} \left( \mathbb{E}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^N V_i\right|^p\right) + 1 \right).$$

Proof of Proposition 3.2. (1) Recall that the uniform convergence result uses an argument developed in [6]. Fix a compact  $K \subset \mathcal{J}_{\beta,\epsilon}$ . By Lemma 5.2 we can fix a compact neighborhood K' of K and  $\widetilde{p}_{K'} > 1$  such that

$$\sup_{q \in K'} \mathbb{E} \Big( \big( \sum_{i=1}^N W_i^q \big)^{\tilde{p}_{K'}} \Big) < \infty.$$

By Lemma 5.1, we can fix  $1 < p_K \le \min(2, \tilde{p}_{K'})$  such that  $\sup_{q \in K} \varphi_\beta(p_K, q) < 1$ . Then for each  $q \in K$ , there exists a neighborhood  $V_q \subset \mathbb{C}$  of q whose projection to  $\mathbb{R}$  is contained in K' and such that for all  $u \in \mathsf{T}$  and  $z \in V_q$ , the random variable

$$W_{\beta,z,u} = W_{\beta,u} \frac{e^{z \log W_u}}{\mathbb{E}\left(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} e^{z \log W_i}\right)}$$

is well defined, and we have

$$\sup_{z \in V_q} \varphi_{\beta}(p_K, z) < 1,$$

where for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ 

$$\varphi_{\beta}(p_K, z) = \beta^{1-p_K} \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N |e^{z \log W_i}|^{p_K}\right) \left| \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N e^{z \log W_i}\right) \right|^{-p_K}.$$

By extracting a finite covering of K from  $\bigcup_{q \in K} V_q$ , we find a neighborhood  $V \subset \mathbb{C}$  of K such that  $\sup_{z \in V} \varphi_\beta(p_K, z) < 1$ . Since the projection of V to  $\mathbb{R}$  is included in K' and the mapping  $z \mapsto \mathbb{E} \big( \sum_{i=1}^N e^{z \log W_i} \big)$  is continuous and does not vanish on V, by considering a smaller neighborhood of K included in V if necessary, we can assume that

$$A_{V} = \sup_{z \in V} \mathbb{E}(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{z \log W_{i}}\right|^{p_{K}}) \left|\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{z \log W_{i}}\right)\right|^{-p_{K}} + 1 < \infty.$$

Now, for  $u \in T$ , we define the analytic extension of  $Y_n(\beta, q, u)$  to V given by

$$Y_n(\beta, z, u) = \sum_{v \in \mathsf{T}_n(u)} \prod_{k=1}^n W_{\beta, z, uv_1 \dots v_k}.$$

We denote also  $Y_n(\beta, z, \emptyset)$  by  $Y_n(\beta, z)$ . Now, applying Lemma 5.4 with  $V_i = W_{\beta,z,i}$ , we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(|Y_n(\beta, z) - Y_{n-1}(\beta, z)|^{p_K}) \leq C_{p_K} \left( \mathbb{E}(\sum_{i=1}^N |V_i|^{p_K}) \right)^{n-1} \left( \mathbb{E}(|\sum_{i=1}^N V_i|^{p_K}) + 1 \right).$$

Notice that  $\mathbb{E} \big( \sum_{i=1}^N |V_i|^{p_K} \big) = \varphi_{\beta}(p_K, z)$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{E}(|Y_n(\beta, z) - Y_{n-1}(\beta, z)|^{p_K}) \leqslant C_{p_K} A_V \sup_{z \in V} \varphi(p_K, z)^{n-1}.$$

With probability one, the functions  $z \in V \mapsto Y_n(\beta, z), n \ge 0$ , are analytic. Fix a closed disc  $D(z_0, 2\rho) \subset V$ . Theorem 6.1 below implies

$$\sup_{z \in D(z_0, \rho)} |Y_n(\beta, z) - Y_{n-1}(\beta, z)| \leq 2 \int_{[0, 1]} |Y_n(\beta, \zeta(\theta)) - Y_{n-1}(\beta, \zeta(\theta))| d\theta,$$

where, for  $\theta \in [0,1]$ ,  $\zeta(\theta) = z_0 + 2\rho e^{i2\pi\theta}$ . Furthermore, Jensen's inequality and

Fubini's theorem give

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{z\in D(z_{0},\rho)}|Y_{n}(\beta,z)-Y_{\beta,n-1}(z)|^{p_{K}}\right)$$

$$\leqslant \mathbb{E}\left(\left(2\int_{[0,1]}|Y_{n}(\beta,\zeta(\theta))-Y_{n-1}(\beta,\zeta(\theta))|d\theta\right)^{p_{K}}\right)$$

$$\leqslant 2^{p_{K}}\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{[0,1]}|Y_{n}(\beta,\zeta(\theta))-Y_{n-1}(\beta,\zeta(\theta))|^{p_{K}}d\theta\right)$$

$$\leqslant 2^{p_{K}}\int_{[0,1]}\mathbb{E}|Y_{n}(\beta,\zeta(\theta))-Y_{n-1}(\beta,\zeta(\theta))|^{p_{K}}d\theta$$

$$\leqslant 2^{p_{K}}C_{p_{K}}A_{V}\sup_{z\in V}\varphi_{\beta}(p_{K},z)^{n-1}.$$

Since  $\sup_{z \in V} \varphi_{\beta}(p_K, z) < 1$ , it follows that

$$\sum_{n\geqslant 1} \left\| \sup_{z\in D(z_0,\rho)} |Y_n(\beta,z) - Y_{n-1}(\beta,z)| \right\|_{p_K} < \infty.$$

This implies that  $z \mapsto Y_n(\beta, z)$  converge uniformly, almost surely and in  $L^{p_K}$  norm over the compact  $D(z_0, \rho)$ , to a limit  $z \mapsto Y(\beta, z)$ . This also implies that

$$\|\sup_{z\in D(z_0,\rho)}Y(\beta,z)\|_{p_K}<\infty.$$

Since K can be covered by finitely many such discs  $D(z_0,\rho)$ , we get the uniform convergence, almost surely and in  $L^{p_K}$  norm, of the sequence  $(q \in K \mapsto Y_n(\beta,q))_{n\geqslant 1}$  to  $q \in K \mapsto Y(\beta,q)$ . Moreover, since  $\mathcal{J}_{\beta,\epsilon}$  can be covered by a countable union of such compact K, we get the simultaneous convergence for all  $q \in \mathcal{J}_{\beta}$ . The same holds simultaneously for all the functions  $q \in \mathcal{J}_{\beta} \mapsto Y_n(\beta,q,u)$ ,  $u \in \bigcup_{n\geqslant 0} \mathbb{N}^n_+$ , because  $\bigcup_{n\geqslant 0} \mathbb{N}^n_+$  is countable.

To complete the proof of (1), we must show that a.s.,  $q \in K \mapsto Y(\beta,q)$  does not vanish. Without loss of generality we suppose that K = [0,1]. If I is a dyadic closed subinterval of [0,1], we denote by  $E_I$  the event  $\{\exists \ q \in I : Y(\beta,q) = 0\}$ . Let  $I_0,I_1$  stand for two dyadic subintervals of I in the next generation. The event  $E_I$  being a tail event of probability zero or one, if we suppose that  $P(E_I) = 1$ , there exists  $j \in \{0,1\}$  such that  $P(E_{I_j}) = 1$ . Suppose now that  $P(E_K) = 1$ . The previous remark allows us to construct a decreasing sequence  $I_0$  of dyadic subintervals of  $I_0$  such that  $I_0$  such that  $I_0$  be the unique element of  $I_0$  since  $I_0$  is continuous, we have  $I_0$  be the unique element of  $I_0$  since  $I_0$  is continuous, we have  $I_0$  so  $I_0$  in  $I_0$  in  $I_0$  in  $I_0$  is contradicts the fact that  $I_0$  so  $I_0$  is converges to  $I_0$  in  $I_0$  in

(2) Here we develop, in the context of the boundary of a supercritical Galton–Watson tree, a uniform version of the argument used by Kahane in [13] on homogeneous trees, and written in complete rigor in [24]. Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and a compact set

K in  $\mathcal{J}_{\beta,\epsilon}$ . Denote by E the separable Banach space of the real-valued continuous functions over K endowed with the supremum norm.

For  $n \ge m \ge 1$  and  $q \in K$  let

$$Z_{m,n}(\beta,q) = \sum_{u \in T_m} Y_{n-m}(q,u) \prod_{k=1}^m W_{\beta,q,u_1...u_k}.$$

Notice  $Z_{n,n}(\beta,q)=Y_n(\beta,q)$ . Moreover, since  $Y_n(\beta,\cdot)$  converges almost surely and in  $L^1$  norm to  $Y(\beta,\cdot)$  as  $n\to\infty, Y_n(\beta,\cdot)$  belongs to  $L^1_E=L^1_E(\Omega_\beta\times\Omega,\mathcal{A}_\beta\times\mathcal{A},\mathbb{P}_\beta\times\mathbb{P})$  (where we use the notation of Section V-2 in [20]), so that the continuous random function  $\mathbb{E}\big(Z_{n,n}(\beta,q)|\mathcal{F}_{\beta,m}\otimes\mathcal{F}_n\big)$  is well defined by Proposition V-2-5 in [20]; also, for any fixed  $q\in K$ , we can deduce from the definitions and the independence assumptions that

$$Z_{m,n}(\beta,q) = \mathbb{E}(Z_{n,n}(\beta,q)|\mathcal{F}_{\beta,m}\otimes\mathcal{F}_n)$$

almost surely. By Proposition V-2-5 in [20] again, since  $g \in E \mapsto g(q)$  is a continuous linear form over E, we thus have

$$Z_{m,n}(\beta,q) = \mathbb{E}(Z_{n,n}(\beta,\cdot)|\mathcal{F}_{\beta,m}\otimes\mathcal{F}_n)(q)$$

almost surely. By considering a dense countable set of q in K, we can conclude that the random continuous functions  $Z_{m,n}(\beta,\cdot)$  and  $\mathbb{E}\big(Z_{n,n}(\beta,\cdot)|\mathcal{F}_{\beta,m}\otimes\mathcal{F}_n\big)$  are equal almost surely.

Similarly, since for each  $q \in K$  the martingale  $(Y_n(\beta, q), \mathcal{F}_{\beta,n} \otimes \mathcal{F}_n)$  converges to  $Y(\beta, q)$  almost surely and in  $L^1$ , and  $Y(\beta, \cdot) \in L^1_E$ , by using Proposition V-2-5 in [20] again we can get almost surely (5.1)

$$Z_{n,n}(eta,\cdot) = \mathbb{E}ig(Y(eta,\cdot)|\mathcal{F}_{eta,n}\otimes\mathcal{F}_nig), ext{ hence } Z_{m,n}(eta,\cdot) = \mathbb{E}ig(Y(eta,\cdot)|\mathcal{F}_{eta,m}\otimes\mathcal{F}_nig).$$

Moreover, it follows from Proposition 3.2(1) and the definition of  $\mu_q([u])$  that  $Z_{m,n}(\beta,\cdot)$  converges almost surely uniformly and in  $L^1$  norm, as  $n\to\infty$ , to  $\widetilde{Y}_m(\beta,\cdot)$ . This and (5.1) yield, by Proposition V-2-6 in [20],

$$\widetilde{Y}_m(\beta,\cdot) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Z_{m,n}(\beta,\cdot) = \mathbb{E}\Big(Y(\beta,\cdot)|\mathcal{F}_{\beta,m} \otimes \sigma\Big(\bigcup_{n \ge 1} \mathcal{F}_n\Big)\Big)$$

and finally

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\widetilde{Y}_m(\beta,\cdot)=\mathbb{E}\Big(Y(\beta,\cdot)|\sigma\big(\bigcup_{m\geqslant 1}\mathcal{F}_{\beta,m}\big)\otimes\sigma\big(\bigcup_{n\geqslant 1}\mathcal{F}_n\big)\Big)=Y(\beta,\cdot)$$

almost surely (since, by construction,  $Y(\beta,\cdot)$  is  $\sigma(\bigcup_{m\geqslant 1}\mathcal{F}_{\beta,m})\otimes\sigma(\bigcup_{n\geqslant 1}\mathcal{F}_n)$ -measurable), where the convergences hold in the uniform norm. Moreover, since  $\mathcal{J}_{\beta,\epsilon}$  can be covered by a countable union of such compact K, we get the simultaneous convergence for all  $q\in\mathcal{J}_{\beta,\epsilon}$ .

Proof of Proposition 3.1. The proof of the first point is similar to the proof of Proposition 3.2(1) ( $\beta = 1$ ). The second point is a consequence of the branching property:

$$Y_{n+1}(q,u) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} W_{q,ui} Y_n(q,ui).$$

# 6. APPENDICES APPENDIX 1 — CAUCHY FORMULA

DEFINITION 6.1. Let  $D(\zeta, r)$  be a disc in  $\mathbb C$  with center  $\zeta$  and radius r. The set  $\partial D$  is the boundary of D. Let  $g \in \mathcal C(\partial D)$  be a continuous function on  $\partial D$ . We define the *integral* of g on  $\partial D$  as

$$\int\limits_{\partial D}g(\zeta)d\zeta=2i\pi r\int\limits_{[0,1]}g\big(\zeta(t)\big)e^{i2\pi t}dt,$$

where  $\zeta(t) = \zeta + re^{i2\pi t}$ .

THEOREM 6.1. Let D = D(a, r) be a disc in  $\mathbb{C}$  with radius r > 0, and f be a holomorphic function in a neighborhood of D. Then, for all  $z \in D$ 

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\partial D} \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{\zeta - z}.$$

It follows that

(6.1) 
$$\sup_{z \in D(a,r/2)} |f(z)| \leq 2 \int_{[0,1]} |f(\zeta(t))| dt.$$

# APPENDIX 2 — MASS DISTRIBUTION PRINCIPLE

THEOREM 6.2 (Falconer [9]). Let  $\nu$  be a positive and finite Borel probability measure on a compact metric space (X,d). Assume that  $M\subseteq X$  is a Borel set such that  $\nu(M)>0$  and

$$M \subseteq \left\{ t \in X, \liminf_{r \to 0^+} \frac{\log \nu \big(B(t,r)\big)}{\log r} \geqslant \delta \right\}.$$

Then the Hausdorff dimension of M is bounded from below by  $\delta$ .

# APPENDIX 3 — HAUSDORFF AND PACKING MEASURES AND DIMENSIONS

Given a subset K of  $\mathbb{N}_+^{\mathbb{N}_+}$  endowed with a metric d making it  $\sigma$ -compact,  $g: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  a continuous non-decreasing function near zero and such that g(0) = 0,

and E a subset of K, the *Hausdorff measure* of E with respect to the gauge function g is defined as

$$\mathcal{H}^g(E) = \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \inf \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} g(\operatorname{diam}(U_i)) \right\},$$

the infimum being taken over all the countable coverings  $(U_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  of E by subsets of K of diameters less than or equal to  $\delta$ .

If  $s \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$  and  $g(u) = u^s$ , then  $\mathcal{H}^g(E)$  is also denoted by  $\mathcal{H}^s(E)$  and called the *s-dimensional Hausdorff measure* of E. Then, the *Hausdorff dimension* of E is defined as

$$\dim E = \sup\{s > 0 : \mathcal{H}^s(E) = \infty\} = \inf\{s > 0 : \mathcal{H}^s(E) = 0\},\$$

with the convention  $\sup \emptyset = 0$  and  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ .

Packing measures and dimensions are defined as follows. Given g and  $E \subset K$  as above, one first defines

$$\overline{\mathcal{P}}^g(E) = \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \sup \big\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} g(\operatorname{diam}(B_i)) \big\},$$

the supremum being taken over all the packings  $\{B_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  of E by balls centered on E and with diameter smaller than or equal to  $\delta$ . Then, the *packing measure* of E with respect to the gauge g is defined as

$$\mathcal{P}^g(E) = \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \inf \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\mathcal{P}}^g(E_i) \right\},$$

the infimum being taken over all the countable coverings  $(E_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  of E by subsets of E of diameters less than or equal to E. If E is also denoted by E and called the E-dimensional measure of E. Then, the packing dimension of E is defined as

$$Dim E = \sup\{s > 0 : \mathcal{P}^s(E) = \infty\} = \inf\{s > 0 : \mathcal{P}^s(E) = 0\},\$$

with the convention  $\sup \emptyset = 0$  and  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ . For more details the reader is referred to [9].

If  $\mu$  is a positive and finite Borel measure supported on K, then its *lower Hausdorff and packing dimensions* are defined as

$$\underline{\dim}(\mu) = \inf \{ \dim F : F \text{ Borel}, \ \mu(F) > 0 \},$$
  
$$Dim(\mu) = \inf \{ Dim F : F \text{ Borel}, \ \mu(F) > 0 \},$$

and its upper Hausdorff and packing dimensions are defined as

$$\overline{\dim}(\mu) = \inf \{ \dim F : F \text{ Borel}, \ \mu(F) = \|\mu\| \},$$
  
$$\overline{\dim}(\mu) = \inf \{ \dim F : F \text{ Borel}, \ \mu(F) = \|\mu\| \}.$$

We have (see [8], [11])

$$\underline{\dim}(\mu) = \operatorname{ess inf}_{\mu} \lim_{r \to 0^{+}} \frac{\log \mu(B(t,r))}{\log(r)},$$

$$\underline{\operatorname{Dim}}(\mu) = \operatorname{ess inf}_{\mu} \lim_{r \to 0^{+}} \frac{\log \mu(B(t,r))}{\log(r)}$$

and

$$\overline{\dim}(\mu) = \operatorname{ess\ sup}_{\mu} \ \underset{r \to 0^{+}}{\liminf} \frac{\log \mu \big(B(t,r)\big)}{\log (r)},$$
 
$$\overline{\dim}(\mu) = \operatorname{ess\ sup}_{\mu} \ \underset{r \to 0^{+}}{\limsup} \frac{\log \mu \big(B(t,r)\big)}{\log (r)},$$

where B(t,r) stands for the closed ball of radius r centered at t. If  $\underline{\dim}(\mu) = \overline{\dim}(\mu)$  (resp.  $\underline{\mathrm{Dim}}(\mu) = \overline{\mathrm{Dim}}(\mu)$ ), this common value is denoted by  $\dim \mu$  (resp.  $\underline{\mathrm{Dim}}(\mu)$ ), and if  $\dim \mu = \underline{\mathrm{Dim}}\mu$ , one says that  $\mu$  is *exact dimensional*.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. Attia, On the multifractal analysis of the branching random walk in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , J. Theoret. Probab. 27 (4) (2014), pp. 1329–1349.
- [2] N. Attia and J. Barral, Hausdorff and packing spectra, large deviations, and free energy for branching random walks in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , Comm. Math. Phys. 331 (1) (2014), pp. 139–187.
- [3] J. Barral, Continuity of the multifractal spectrum of a random statistically self-similar measure, J. Theoret. Probab. 13 (4) (2000), pp. 1027–1060.
- [4] J. Barral, *Generalized vector multiplicative cascades*, Adv. in Appl. Probab. 33 (4) (2001), pp. 874–895.
- [5] J. D. Biggins, Martingale convergence in the branching random walk, J. Appl. Probab. 14 (1) (1977), pp. 25–37.
- [6] J. D. Biggins, Uniform convergence of martingales in the branching random walk, Ann. Probab. 20 (1) (1992), pp. 137–151.
- [7] J. D. Biggins, B. M. Hambly, and O. D. Jones, *Multifractal spectra for random self-similar measures via branching processes*, Adv. in Appl. Probab. 43 (1) (2011), pp. 1–39.
- [8] C. D. Cutler, *Connecting ergodicity and dimension in dynamical systems*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 10 (3) (1990), pp. 451–462.
- [9] K. Falconer, Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications, second edition, Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, 2003.
- [10] K. J. Falconer, *The multifractal spectrum of statistically self-similar measures*, J. Theoret. Probab. 7 (3) (1994), pp. 681–702.
- [11] A. H. Fan, Sur les dimensions de mesures, Studia Math. 111 (1) (1994), pp. 1–17.
- [12] J.-P. Kahane, Sur le chaos multiplicatif, Ann. Sci. Math. Québec 9 (2) (1985), pp. 105-150.
- [13] J.-P. Kahane, *Positive martingales and random measures*, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B 8 (1) (1987), pp. 1–12.
- [14] J.-P. Kahane and J. Peyrière, Sur certaines martingales de Benoit Mandelbrot, Adv. Math. 22 (2) (1976), pp. 131-145.
- [15] Q. Liu, Sur une équation fonctionnelle et ses applications: Une extension du théorème de Kesten-Stigum concernant des processus de branchement, Adv. in Appl. Probab. 29 (2) (1997), pp. 353-373.

- [16] Q. Liu and A. Rouault, On two measures defined on the boundary of a branching tree, in: Classical and Modern Branching Processes (Minneapolis, MN, 1994), K. B. Athreya and P. Jagers (Eds.), Springer, New York 1997, pp. 187–201.
- [17] R. Lyons, Random walks and percolation on trees, Ann. Probab. 18 (3) (1990), pp. 931–958.
- [18] R. Lyons, A simple path to Biggins' martingale convergence for branching random walk, in: Classical and Modern Branching Processes (Minneapolis, MN, 1994), K. B. Athreya and P. Jagers (Eds.), Springer, New York 1997, pp. 217–221.
- [19] G. M. Molchan, Scaling exponents and multifractal dimensions for independent random cascades, Comm. Math. Phys. 179 (3) (1996), pp. 681–702.
- [20] J. Neveu, Martingales à temps discret, Masson et Cie, éditeurs, Paris 1972.
- [21] J. Peyrière, Calculs de dimensions de Hausdorff, Duke Math. J. 44 (3) (1977), pp. 591-601.
- [22] R. T. Rockafellar, Convex Analysis, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.
- [23] B. von Bahr and C.-G. Esseen, Inequalities for the rth absolute moment of a sum of random variables,  $1 \le r \le 2$ , Ann. Math. Statist. 36 (1965), pp. 299–303.
- [24] E. C. Waymire and S. C. Williams, Multiplicative cascades: Dimension spectra and dependence, in: Proceedings of the Conference in Honor of Jean-Pierre Kahane (Orsay, 1993), J. Fourier Anal. Appl. (1995), Special Issue, pp. 589–609.

Najmeddine Attia Faculté des Sciences de Monastir Avenue de l'environnement 5000 Monastir, Tunisia *E-mail*: najmeddine.attia@gmail.com

> Received on 12.7.2017; revised version on 22.2.2018