

\boxplus -Infinite Divisibility of Free Multiplicative Convolutions with Wigner and Symmetric Arcsin Measures.

Noriyoshi Sakuma

Department of Mathematics, Keio University
JSPS DC1

17th August
12th WORKSHOP:
NON-COMMUTATIVE HARMONIC ANALYSIS
Bedlewo, Poland

Abstract

This talk is based on the recent joint work " \boxplus -Infinite Divisibility of Free Multiplicative Convolutions with Wigner and Symmmetric Arcsin Measures." with V. Pérez-Abreu of CIMAT in Mexico.

- 1 Preliminary
- 2 Motivation from Classical probability theory
- 3 Problem and Basic tool
- 4 Symmetric \boxplus -ID laws
- 5 Type \mathcal{W} laws
- 6 \boxplus -infinitely divisibility of the free multiplicative convolution with arcsine law

Basic notation

- $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$: the set of all probability distributions on \mathbb{R} .

Basic notation

- $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$: the set of all probability distributions on \mathbb{R} .
- $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}_+)$: the set of all probability distributions on \mathbb{R}_+ .

Basic notation

- $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$: the set of all probability distributions on \mathbb{R} .
- $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}_+)$: the set of all probability distributions on \mathbb{R}_+ .
- $\mathcal{C}_\mu^*(z)$: the classical cumulant function of $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ i.e.
$$\mathcal{C}_\mu^*(z) = \log \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{itz} \mu(dx) \right).$$

Basic notation

- $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$: the set of all probability distributions on \mathbb{R} .
- $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}_+)$: the set of all probability distributions on \mathbb{R}_+ .
- $\mathcal{C}_\mu^*(z)$: the classical cumulant function of $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ i.e.

$$\mathcal{C}_\mu^*(z) = \log \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{itz} \mu(dx) \right).$$
- $\mathcal{C}_\mu^\boxplus(z)$: the free cumulant transform of $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$
 i.e. $\mathcal{C}_\mu^\boxplus(z) = zG_\mu^{-1}(z) - 1$ where $G_\mu^{-1}(z)$ is inverse function
 of $G_\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1/(z - x)\mu(dx)$ w.r.t. composition of
 functions.

Basic notation

- $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$: the set of all probability distributions on \mathbb{R} .
- $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}_+)$: the set of all probability distributions on \mathbb{R}_+ .
- $\mathcal{C}_\mu^*(z)$: the classical cumulant function of $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ i.e.

$$\mathcal{C}_\mu^*(z) = \log \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{itz} \mu(dx) \right).$$
- $\mathcal{C}_\mu^\boxplus(z)$: the free cumulant transform of $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$
 i.e. $\mathcal{C}_\mu^\boxplus(z) = zG_\mu^{-1}(z) - 1$ where $G_\mu^{-1}(z)$ is inverse function
 of $G_\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1/(z - x)\mu(dx)$ w.r.t. composition of
 functions.

This is also called the R -transform (by Speicher) of μ .

If μ is bound supported, $\mathcal{C}_\mu^\boxplus(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n z^n$, where $k_n(\mu)$ is free cumulant of μ .

Recall basic facts in Classical probability theory

$\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ is \ast -ID

if $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists \mu_{1/n} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $\mu = \underbrace{\mu_{1/n} \ast \cdots \ast \mu_{1/n}}_{n \text{ times}}$.

$\mathbf{I}^* := \{\ast\text{-ID laws}\}.$

Recall basic facts in Classical probability theory

$\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ is \ast -ID

if $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists \mu_{1/n} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $\mu = \underbrace{\mu_{1/n} \ast \cdots \ast \mu_{1/n}}_{n \text{ times}}$.

$\mathbf{I}^* := \{\ast\text{-ID laws}\}$.

Proposition (Lévy-Khintchine representation)

μ in \mathcal{P} belongs to \mathbf{I}^* if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_\mu^*(t) = & -\frac{1}{2}a_\mu z^2 + ib_\mu z \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{itx} - 1 - itx1_{[-1,1]}(x)) \nu_\mu(dx), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

where $b_\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $a_\mu \geq 0$ and ν_μ , the Lévy measure, is such that $\nu_\mu(\{0\}) = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 \wedge |x|^2) \nu_\mu(dx) < \infty$.

The triplet (a_μ, ν_μ, b_μ) is **unique**.

\ast -ID laws concentrated on \mathbb{R}_+

$$\mathbf{I}_+^* := \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap \mathbf{I}^*.$$

*-ID laws concentrated on \mathbb{R}_+

$$\mathbf{I}_+^* := \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap \mathbf{I}^*.$$

Proposition (Lévy-Khintchine representation on \mathbb{R}_+)

μ in \mathcal{P} belongs to \mathbf{I}_+^* if and only if

$$\mathcal{C}_\mu^*(t) = ib'_\mu z + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{itx} - 1) \nu_\mu(dx), \quad z \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $b_\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and ν_μ , the Lévy measure, is such that $\nu_\mu((-\infty, 0]) = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 \wedge x) \nu_\mu(dx) < \infty$.

The pair (ν_μ, b'_μ) is **unique**.

*-ID laws concentrated on \mathbb{R}_+

$$\mathbf{I}_+^* := \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap \mathbf{I}^*.$$

Proposition (Lévy-Khintchine representation on \mathbb{R}_+)

μ in \mathcal{P} belongs to \mathbf{I}_+^* if and only if

$$\mathcal{C}_\mu^*(t) = ib'_\mu z + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{itx} - 1) \nu_\mu(dx), \quad z \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $b_\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and ν_μ , the Lévy measure, is such that $\nu_\mu((-\infty, 0]) = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 \wedge x) \nu_\mu(dx) < \infty$.

The pair (ν_μ, b'_μ) is **unique**.

We call this representation “regular ” LK-representation for probability measure concentrated on cone.

$$\mathbf{I}_{r+}^* := \{\mu \in \mathbf{I}^* \mid \mu \text{ has RLK rep}\}.$$

Mixture of normal distribution

Z : a r.v. distributed $N(0, 1)$.

$V > 0$: independent of Z .

Mixture of normal distribution

Z : a r.v. distributed $N(0, 1)$.

$V > 0$: independent of Z .

$X := \sqrt{V}Z$.

Mixture of normal distribution

Z : a r.v. distributed $N(0, 1)$.

$V > 0$: independent of Z .

$X := \sqrt{V}Z$.

This is called **variance mixture** of Normal distribution.

Mixture of normal distribution

Z : a r.v. distributed $N(0, 1)$.

$V > 0$: independent of Z .

$X := \sqrt{V}Z$.

This is called **variance mixture** of Normal distribution.

V is infinitely divisible $\Rightarrow X$ is infinitely divisible law.

Mixture of normal distribution

Z : a r.v. distributed $N(0, 1)$.

$V > 0$: independent of Z .

$X := \sqrt{V}Z$.

This is called **variance mixture** of Normal distribution.

V is infinitely divisible $\Rightarrow X$ is infinitely divisible law.

This is called “**type G law**”.

Mixture of normal distribution

Z : a r.v. distributed $N(0, 1)$.

$V > 0$: independent of Z .

$X := \sqrt{V}Z$.

This is called **variance mixture** of Normal distribution.

V is infinitely divisible $\Rightarrow X$ is infinitely divisible law.

This is called “**type G law**”.

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{law of } X}^*(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}x} - 1 \right) \nu_{\sigma}(dx) = \mathcal{K}_{\sigma} \left(\frac{t^2}{2} \right)$$

where ν_{σ} is Lévy measure of $\mathcal{L}(V)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}(z)$ is log-Laplace transform of σ .

Another interpretation of “type G law”

$B(t)$: a standard B.m.

$T(t)$: a non-decreasing process (that is called subordinator)
independent with $B(t)$.

Another interpretation of “type G law”

$B(t)$: a standard B.m.

$T(t)$: a non-decreasing process (that is called subordinator)
independent with $B(t)$.

$$\mathcal{L}(B(T(t))) = \mathcal{L}(\sqrt{T(t)}B(1)).$$

Another interpretation of “type G law”

$B(t)$: a standard B.m.

$T(t)$: a non-decreasing process (that is called subordinator)
independent with $B(t)$.

$$\mathcal{L}(B(T(t))) = \mathcal{L}(\sqrt{T(t)}B(1)).$$

One remark:

If $T(t)$ is Lévy process $\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}(T(t))$ is infinitely divisible for any $t \geq 0$. Therefore X is of type G .

Problem

How should we understand “type G law” in free probability world?
We consider two way.

Problem

How should we understand “type G law” in free probability world?

We consider two way.

- The image class of $*$ -type G law by Bercovici–Pata bijection.

Problem

How should we understand “type G law” in free probability world?

We consider two way.

- The image class of $*$ -type G law by Bercovici–Pata bijection.
- Free multiplicative convolutions \boxtimes with Wigner law.

Problem

How should we understand “type G law” in free probability world?

We consider two way.

- The image class of $*$ -type G law by Bercovici–Pata bijection.
- Free multiplicative convolutions \boxtimes with Wigner law.

One remark:

Now we know the S -transform of symmetric law.

- Finite variance case by Rao and Speicher.
- General symmetric case by Arizmendi and Pérez-Abreu.

LK-rep. for I^{\boxplus}

$\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ is \boxplus -ID

if $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists \mu_{1/n} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $\mu = \underbrace{\mu_{1/n} \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \mu_{1/n}}_{n \text{ times}}$.

We denote the class of all \boxplus -ID laws by I^{\boxplus} .

LK-rep. for \mathbf{I}^{\boxplus}

$\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ is \boxplus -ID

if $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists \mu_{1/n} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $\mu = \underbrace{\mu_{1/n} \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \mu_{1/n}}_{n \text{ times}}$.

We denote the class of all \boxplus -ID laws by \mathbf{I}^{\boxplus} .

Proposition (Lévy-Khintchine representation)

μ in \mathcal{P} belongs to \mathbf{I}^{\boxplus} if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{\mu}^{\boxplus}(z) = & a_{\mu} z^2 + b_{\mu} z \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - zx} - 1 - zx 1_{[-1,1]}(x) \right) \nu_{\mu}(dx), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^{-}, \end{aligned}$$

where $b_{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}, a_{\mu} \geq 0$ and ν_{μ} , the Lévy measure, is such that $\nu_{\mu}(\{0\}) = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 \wedge |x|^2) \nu_{\mu}(dx) < \infty$.

As in classical probability, the free triplet $(a_{\mu}, \nu_{\mu}, b_{\mu})$ is *unique*.

Important law in free probability

Semi-circle (in short **SC**) law with mean b and variance a is

$$w_{b,a}(dx) = \frac{1}{2\pi a} \sqrt{4a - (x - b)^2} 1_{[b-2\sqrt{a}, b+2\sqrt{a}]} dx$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{w_{b,a}}^{\boxplus}(z) = az^2 + bz.$$

If $b = 0$ and $a = 1$, we call it **Wigner law**.

Important law in free probability

Semi-circle (in short **SC**) law with mean b and variance a is

$$w_{b,a}(dx) = \frac{1}{2\pi b} \sqrt{4a - (x - b)^2} 1_{[b-2\sqrt{a}, b+2\sqrt{a}]} dx$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{w_{b,a}}^{\boxplus}(z) = az^2 + bz.$$

If $b = 0$ and $a = 1$, we call it **Wigner law**.

Marchenko-Pastur law m_c with parameter $c > 0$

$$m_c(dx)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi x} \sqrt{4c - (x - 1 - c)^2} 1_{[(1-\sqrt{c})^2, (1+\sqrt{c})^2]}(x) dx, & \text{if } c \geq 1, \\ (1 - c)\delta_0(dx) + \frac{1}{2\pi x} \sqrt{4c - (x - 1 - c)^2} 1_{[(1-\sqrt{c})^2, (1+\sqrt{c})^2]}(x) dx, & \text{if } c < 1, \end{cases}$$

It corresponds to classical Poisson law, since it has free triplet $(0, c\delta_{\{1\}}, 0)$.

μ is **1/2- \boxplus -stable distribution** ($\nu(dx) = cx^{-\frac{3}{2}}1_{(0,\infty)}(x)dx$), if the density $f(x)$ is

$$f(x) = \frac{c}{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{(x - b') - \frac{c^2}{4}}}{(x - b')^2} \quad \left(x > \frac{c^2}{4} + b'\right)$$

This is **Beta of 2nd kind distribution** in CPT. (This is in both I^* and I^{\boxplus}).

μ is **1/2- \boxplus -stable distribution** ($\nu(dx) = cx^{-\frac{3}{2}}1_{(0,\infty)}(x)dx$), if the density $f(x)$ is

$$f(x) = \frac{c}{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{(x-b') - \frac{c^2}{4}}}{(x-b')^2} \quad (x > \frac{c^2}{4} + b')$$

This is **Beta of 2nd kind distribution** in CPT. (This is in both I^* and I^{\boxplus}).

μ_s : a **symmetric Beta distribution** (α, β) with parameter $s > 0$ if its density $f(x)$ is

$$f(s) = \frac{1}{2B(\alpha, \beta)\sqrt{s}} |x|^{\alpha-1} (2\sqrt{s} - |x|)^{\beta-1} \quad x \in (-2\sqrt{s}, 2\sqrt{s}).$$

If $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\beta = 3/2$, then we can calculate concrete free cumulant transform

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mu_s}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-sz^2}} - 1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{1-xz} - 1 \right) a(x; s) dx,$$

$$\mu_s = a_s \boxtimes m.$$

Bercovici-Pata bijection

The Bercovici-Pata bijection $\Lambda : \mathbf{I}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{I}^{\boxplus}$.

If $\mu \in \mathbf{I}^*$ has classical triplet (a_μ, ν_μ, b_μ) then $\Lambda(\mu) \in \mathbf{I}^{\boxplus}$ with free triplet (a_μ, ν_μ, b_μ) .

Bercovici-Pata bijection

The Bercovici-Pata bijection $\Lambda : \mathbf{I}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{I}^{\boxplus}$.

If $\mu \in \mathbf{I}^*$ has classical triplet (a_μ, ν_μ, b_μ) then $\Lambda(\mu) \in \mathbf{I}^{\boxplus}$ with free triplet (a_μ, ν_μ, b_μ) .

For $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbf{I}^*$,

- $\Lambda(\mu_1 * \mu_2) = \Lambda(\mu_1) \boxplus \Lambda(\mu_2)$
- Λ preserves affine transformations of distribution and is a homeomorphism w.r.t. weak convergence.

Bercovici-Pata bijection

The Bercovici-Pata bijection $\Lambda : \mathbf{I}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{I}^{\boxplus}$.

If $\mu \in \mathbf{I}^*$ has classical triplet (a_μ, ν_μ, b_μ) then $\Lambda(\mu) \in \mathbf{I}^{\boxplus}$ with free triplet (a_μ, ν_μ, b_μ) .

For $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbf{I}^*$,

- $\Lambda(\mu_1 * \mu_2) = \Lambda(\mu_1) \boxplus \Lambda(\mu_2)$
- Λ preserves affine transformations of distribution and is a homeomorphism w.r.t. weak convergence.

Example

- μ is $N(m, \sigma^2) \Rightarrow \Lambda(\mu)$ is $SC(m, \sigma)$.
- μ is $Po(\lambda) \Rightarrow \Lambda(\mu)$ is $\text{fP}(\lambda)$.
- μ is stable law $\Rightarrow \Lambda(\mu)$ is \boxplus -stable law.

Remark on regular rep.

A probability distribution $\sigma \in \mathbf{I}_+^{\boxplus}$ is **(free) regular**, if its LK-representation is given by

$$\mathcal{C}_\sigma^{\boxplus}(z) = b_\sigma z + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left(\frac{1}{1 - zx} - 1 \right) \nu_\sigma(dx), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^-, \quad (1)$$

where $b_\sigma \geq 0$, $\nu_\sigma((-\infty, 0]) = 0$ and $\int_0^\infty (1 \wedge x) \nu_\sigma(dx) < \infty$.
Not all nonnegative \boxplus -ID distributions are regular.

Example

Remark on regular rep.

A probability distribution $\sigma \in \mathbf{I}_+^{\boxplus}$ is **(free) regular**, if its LK-representation is given by

$$\mathcal{C}_\sigma^{\boxplus}(z) = b_\sigma z + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left(\frac{1}{1 - zx} - 1 \right) \nu_\sigma(dx), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^-, \quad (1)$$

where $b_\sigma \geq 0$, $\nu_\sigma((-\infty, 0]) = 0$ and $\int_0^\infty (1 \wedge x) \nu_\sigma(dx) < \infty$.
Not all nonnegative \boxplus -ID distributions are regular.

Example

- the standard SC law and translate it by 2.

Remark on regular rep.

A probability distribution $\sigma \in \mathbf{I}_+^{\boxplus}$ is **(free) regular**, if its LK-representation is given by

$$\mathcal{C}_\sigma^{\boxplus}(z) = b_\sigma z + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left(\frac{1}{1 - zx} - 1 \right) \nu_\sigma(dx), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^-, \quad (1)$$

where $b_\sigma \geq 0$, $\nu_\sigma((-\infty, 0]) = 0$ and $\int_0^\infty (1 \wedge x) \nu_\sigma(dx) < \infty$.

Not all nonnegative \boxplus -ID distributions are regular.

Example

- the standard SC law and translate it by 2.
- the $1/2$ - \boxplus stable law with enough small shift part.

Remark on regular rep.

A probability distribution $\sigma \in \mathbf{I}_+^{\boxplus}$ is **(free) regular**, if its LK-representation is given by

$$\mathcal{C}_\sigma^{\boxplus}(z) = b_\sigma z + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left(\frac{1}{1 - zx} - 1 \right) \nu_\sigma(dx), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^-, \quad (1)$$

where $b_\sigma \geq 0$, $\nu_\sigma((-\infty, 0]) = 0$ and $\int_0^\infty (1 \wedge x) \nu_\sigma(dx) < \infty$.
Not all nonnegative \boxplus -ID distributions are regular.

Example

- the standard SC law and translate it by 2.
- the $1/2$ - \boxplus stable law with enough small shift part.

We denote by $\mathbf{I}_{r+}^{\boxplus}$ the class of all regular distribution in \mathbf{I}^{\boxplus} .

Induced measure

For a general measure μ on \mathbb{R} ,

$$\mu^{(p)}(E) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_E(x^p) \mu(dx).$$

Induced measure

For a general measure μ on \mathbb{R} ,

$$\mu^{(p)}(E) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_E(x^p) \mu(dx).$$

Likewise, For a general measure μ on \mathbb{R}_+ ,

$$\mu^{(1/2)+}(E) := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} 1_E(\sqrt{x}) \mu(dx),$$

and

$$\mu^{(1/2)-}(E) := \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+} 1_E(-\sqrt{x}) \mu(dx)$$

Induced measure

For a general measure μ on \mathbb{R} ,

$$\mu^{(p)}(E) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_E(x^p) \mu(dx).$$

Likewise, For a general measure μ on \mathbb{R}_+ ,

$$\mu^{(1/2)+}(E) := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} 1_E(\sqrt{x}) \mu(dx),$$

and

$$\mu^{(1/2)-}(E) := \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+} 1_E(-\sqrt{x}) \mu(dx)$$

Example

$w^{(2)}(dx) = m(dx)$. This means if X is SC then X^2 is fP law.

$a^{(2)}(dx) = a^+(dx)$.

Result 1

Theorem

$\mu \in \mathbf{I}_s^{\boxplus}$ if and only if there is $\sigma \in \mathbf{I}_{r+}^{\boxplus}$ such that

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mu}^{\boxplus}(z) = \mathcal{C}_{\sigma}^{\boxplus}(z^2). \quad (2)$$

Moreover, the relation between the Lévy measures of μ and σ is

$$\nu_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\nu_{\sigma}^{(1/2)+} + \nu_{\sigma}^{(1/2)-} \right) \quad (3)$$

and

$$\nu_{\sigma} = \nu_{\mu}^{(2)}. \quad (4)$$

Free type G laws

The Lévy measure of a \ast -type G distribution μ is of the form

$$\nu_{\mu}(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \varphi(x, s) \rho_{\mu}(ds) dx \quad (5)$$

for some Lévy measure ρ_{μ} of a distribution in \mathbf{I}_+^* and $\varphi(x, s)$ is the Gaussian density of mean zero and variance s .

Free type G laws $:= \Lambda(\{\ast\text{-type } G\})$

by Arizmendi, Barndorff-Nielsen and Pérez-Abreu to appear in Rev. Braz. Probab. Statist.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[e^{i\sqrt{V}Z}] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[e^{i\sqrt{V}Z}|V]] \\&= \mathbb{E}[e^{-\frac{1}{2}Vt^2}] \\&= \mathcal{K}_V\left(\frac{t^2}{2}\right) \\&= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2s} - 1\right) \rho(ds) \\&= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{-itx} - 1) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2s}} dx \rho(ds) \\&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{-itx} - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2s}} \rho(ds) dx\end{aligned}$$

Proposition

Let μ be a free type G distribution with Lévy measure ν_μ . Let $\sigma \in \mathbf{I}_{r+}^\boxplus$ with Lévy measure ν_σ obtained from

$$\nu_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \left(\nu_\sigma^{(1/2)+} + \nu_\sigma^{(1/2)-} \right). \quad (6)$$

Then

$$\mathcal{C}_\mu^\boxplus(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{m} \boxtimes \varphi_s}^\boxplus(z) \rho_\mu(ds) \quad (7)$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_\sigma^\boxplus(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{m} \boxtimes \varphi_s^{(2)}}^\boxplus(z) \rho_\mu(ds) \quad (8)$$

If V is Poisson, $\Lambda(\text{law of } \sqrt{V}Z) = \mathbf{m} \boxtimes \varphi_1$.

New classes mixture of wigner law and type W laws

Definition

μ is mixture of wigner if $\exists \bar{\sigma} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ s.t. $\mu = \bar{\sigma} \boxtimes \mathbf{w}$.

New classes mixture of wigner law and type \mathcal{W} laws

Definition

μ is mixture of wigner if $\exists \bar{\sigma} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ s.t. $\mu = \bar{\sigma} \boxtimes \mathbf{w}$.

μ is of type \mathcal{W} if $\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{P}_+$ s.t. $\mu = \bar{\sigma} \boxtimes \mathbf{w}$ is symmetric \boxplus -ID law.

New classes mixture of wigner law and type \mathcal{W} laws

Definition

μ is mixture of wigner if $\exists \bar{\sigma} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ s.t. $\mu = \bar{\sigma} \boxtimes \mathbf{w}$.

μ is of type \mathcal{W} if $\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{P}_+$ s.t. $\mu = \sigma \boxtimes \mathbf{w}$ is symmetric \boxplus -ID law.

Of course the class is subset of all symmetric laws.

How large is these classes?

How to characterize?

New classes mixture of wigner law and type \mathcal{W} laws

Definition

μ is mixture of wigner if $\exists \bar{\sigma} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ s.t. $\mu = \bar{\sigma} \boxtimes \mathbf{w}$.

μ is of type \mathcal{W} if $\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{P}_+$ s.t. $\mu = \sigma \boxtimes \mathbf{w}$ is symmetric \boxplus -ID law.

Of course the class is subset of all symmetric laws.

How large is these classes?

How to characterize?

Not all symmetric distributions are multiplicative mixtures of the Wigner law, this is the case of the arcsine symmetric distribution \mathbf{a} on $(-1, 1)$, as shown by the following result.

Proposition

Let \mathbf{a} be arcsine distribution on $(-1, 1)$. There does not exist $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+$ such that $\mathbf{a} = \lambda \boxtimes \mathbf{w}$.

Main result

Theorem

Let $\bar{\sigma} \in \mathcal{P}_+$.

$$\mu = \bar{\sigma} \boxtimes \mathbf{w} \in I_{sym}^{\boxplus} \iff \sigma = \bar{\sigma} \boxtimes \bar{\sigma} \in I_{r,+}^{\boxplus}.$$

Moreover, $\mu^{(2)} = \mathbf{m} \boxtimes \sigma$.

Main result

Theorem

Let $\bar{\sigma} \in \mathcal{P}_+$.

$$\mu = \bar{\sigma} \boxtimes \mathbf{w} \in I_{sym}^{\boxplus} \iff \sigma = \bar{\sigma} \boxtimes \bar{\sigma} \in I_{r,+}^{\boxplus}.$$

Moreover, $\mu^{(2)} = \mathbf{m} \boxtimes \sigma$.

This is much stronger statement than in classical probability. In classical case, we have only necessary condition!

Compare to classical case. $X^2 = VZ^2$ is always \ast -ID.

How is $\sigma = \bar{\sigma} \boxtimes \bar{\sigma}$?

We cite one distribution class by W. Młotkowski.

Fuss Catalan number

$$A_0(p, r) = 1$$

$$A_m(p, r) = \frac{r}{m!} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (mp + r - i) \quad \text{if } m \geq 1.$$

$\mu_{(p,r)}$: a probability measure with the moments $A_m(p, r)$.

How is $\sigma = \bar{\sigma} \boxtimes \bar{\sigma}$?

We cite one distribution class by W. Młotkowski.

Fuss Catalan number

$$A_0(p, r) = 1$$

$$A_m(p, r) = \frac{r}{m!} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (mp + r - i) \quad \text{if } m \geq 1.$$

$\mu_{(p,r)}$: a probability measure with the moments $A_m(p, r)$.

Example

Take $p = 2$ and $r = 1$, then it is fP law.

Proposition (W. Młotkowski)

- (a) The free cumulant sequence of $\mu_{(p,r)}$ is $\{A_m(p-r, r)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$.
- (b) If $0 \leq 2r \leq p$ and $r+1 \leq p$ then $\mu_{(p,r)}$ is \boxplus -ID.
- (c) $\mu_{(p_1,r)} \boxtimes \mu_{(1+p_2,1)} = \mu_{(p_1+rp_2,r)}$ for $r \neq 0$.

Proposition (W. Młotkowski)

- (a) The free cumulant sequence of $\mu_{(p,r)}$ is $\{A_m(p-r, r)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$.
- (b) If $0 \leq 2r \leq p$ and $r+1 \leq p$ then $\mu_{(p,r)}$ is \boxplus -ID.
- (c) $\mu_{(p_1,r)} \boxtimes \mu_{(1+p_2,1)} = \mu_{(p_1+rp_2,r)}$ for $r \neq 0$.

(1) $\mu_{(2,1)} = \mu_{(3/2,1)} \boxtimes \mu_{(3/2,1)}$

$\mu_{(2,1)}$ is \boxtimes -2divisible. $\mu_{(3/2,1)}$ is not \boxplus -ID but $\mu_{(2,1)}$ is **free regular** \boxplus -ID.

Proposition (W. Młotkowski)

- (a) The free cumulant sequence of $\mu_{(p,r)}$ is $\{A_m(p-r, r)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$.
- (b) If $0 \leq 2r \leq p$ and $r+1 \leq p$ then $\mu_{(p,r)}$ is \boxplus -ID.
- (c) $\mu_{(p_1,r)} \boxtimes \mu_{(1+p_2,1)} = \mu_{(p_1+rp_2,r)}$ for $r \neq 0$.

$$(1) \mu_{(2,1)} = \mu_{(3/2,1)} \boxtimes \mu_{(3/2,1)}$$

$\mu_{(2,1)}$ is \boxtimes -2divisible. $\mu_{(3/2,1)}$ is not \boxplus -ID but $\mu_{(2,1)}$ is **free regular** \boxplus -ID.

$$(2) \mu_{(3/2,1)} = \mu_{(5/4,1)} \boxtimes \mu_{(5/4,1)}.$$

Both $\mu_{(3/2,1)}$ and $\mu_{(5/4,1)}$ are not \boxplus -ID.

Example

- *Wigner law.*
- *Symmetric \boxplus -stable law (Cauchy law etc.).*
- *Symmetric Beta $(1/2, 3/2)$.*

Type AS laws

Definition

For $\mu \in I_{sym}^{\boxplus}$, if there exists some $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+$ such that $\mu = \lambda \boxtimes \mathbf{a}$, we call μ type AS.

Type AS laws

Definition

For $\mu \in I_{sym}^{\boxplus}$, if there exists some $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+$ such that $\mu = \lambda \boxtimes \mathbf{a}$, we call μ type AS .

Remark

This class contains type \mathcal{W} laws because $\mathbf{w} = \overline{\mathbf{m}_2} \boxtimes \mathbf{a}$. We characterize this class like the class type \mathcal{W} .

Type AS laws

Definition

For $\mu \in I_{sym}^{\boxplus}$, if there exists some $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+$ such that $\mu = \lambda \boxtimes \mathbf{a}$, we call μ type AS.

Remark

This class contains type \mathcal{W} laws because $\mathbf{w} = \overline{m_2} \boxtimes \mathbf{a}$. We characterize this class like the class type \mathcal{W} .

Theorem

μ is type AS with λ iff there exists some $\sigma \in I_{r,+}^{\boxplus}$ such that $\lambda \boxtimes \lambda = m_2 \boxtimes \sigma$.

About type AS

Remark

In the above theorem, if $\sigma \in \mathcal{I}_{r+}^{\boxplus}$ is \boxtimes -2 divisible, $\lambda = \overline{m_2} \boxtimes \overline{\sigma}$.

About type AS

Remark

In the above theorem, if $\sigma \in \mathcal{I}_{r+}^{\boxplus}$ is $\boxtimes 2$ divisible, $\lambda = \overline{m_2} \boxtimes \overline{\sigma}$.

Example

- If μ is distributed as symmetric beta $(1/2, 3/2)$, μ is free type AS . This is because $\mu = \mathbf{m} \boxtimes \mathbf{a}$.
- If μ is semicircle Marchenko-Pastur distribution (i.e. $\mu = \mathbf{w} \boxtimes \mathbf{m}$) is free type AS .

$$S_{\mu}(z) = S_{\mathbf{a}}(z)S_{\mathbf{m}}(z)\frac{1}{\sqrt{z+2}},$$

Thank you for your attention.