

The relative Drinfeld commutant of a fusion category, orbifold subfactors and α -induction

Yasu Kawahigashi

the University of Tokyo/Kavli IPMU (WPI)



東京大学
THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO



A relative version of the quantum double

The Drinfeld center is well understood in the context of Longo-Rehren subfactors. We now study its **relative** version, the relative Drinfeld commutant.

It is also known the Drinfeld center automatically involves **orbifold subfactors** for fusion subcategories of modular tensor categories. We study its relative version. Outline of the talk:

- 1 The Drinfeld center and subfactors
- 2 The relative Drinfeld commutant and subfactors
- 3 α -induction and the Drinfeld center
- 4 α -induction and the relative Drinfeld commutant
- 5 The relative Drinfeld commutant and orbifold subfactors

A fusion category and braiding

Let M be a factor and consider a finite set $\Delta = \{X_i\}_{i=0}^n$ of irreducible M - M bimodules. We have a relative tensor product \otimes_M which is associative. Suppose a relative tensor product $X_i \otimes_M X_j$ decomposes within Δ . Also suppose X_0 is the identity bimodule and we have the conjugate bimodule \bar{X}_i within Δ . Such Δ is said to be a **fusion category**.

In general, we have no relation between $X_i \otimes_M X_j$ and $X_j \otimes_M X_i$. If we have a **nice** isomorphism between them in some compatible way, we say Δ has a **braiding**. It automatically comes with an opposite braiding. If the original braiding and the opposite one are really different, we say that the braiding is **nondegenerate**.

The Drinfeld center and subfactors

Let \mathcal{C} be a unitary fusion category. We may and do assume that it is realized as a full subcategory of the category of finite index endomorphisms of a type III factor M . Let $\{\lambda_i\}$ be a set of representatives of irreducible sectors in \mathcal{C} .

We then have the **Longo-Rehren subfactor**

$M \otimes M^{\text{opp}} \subset R$ so that we have

$$[\bar{\iota}] = \bigoplus_i [\lambda_i \otimes \lambda_i^{\text{opp}}], \text{ where } \iota \text{ is the inclusion map.}$$

Then it is known that the fusion category of R - R sectors arising from this subfactor has a nondegenerate braiding. (So it is a **modular tensor category**.) The passage from \mathcal{C} to this modular tensor category is known as the **Drinfeld center** construction.

A half-braiding and the Drinfeld center

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category as before and consider a (possibly reducible) object σ of \mathcal{C} . If a set of intertwiners $\{\mathcal{E}_\sigma(\lambda_i)\}_i$ with $\mathcal{E}_\sigma(\lambda_i) \in \text{Hom}(\sigma\lambda_i, \lambda_i\sigma)$ satisfies a certain type of a **braiding-fusion equation** with respect to λ_i , we say it is a **half-braiding**.

Pairs of σ and its half-braiding give a fusion category and it turns out that this coincides with the fusion category of the R - R sectors arising from the Longo-Rehren subfactor $M \otimes M^{\text{opp}} \subset R$. This give a concrete description and a conceptual understanding of the Drinfeld center. This also leads to why we have a modular tensor category for the Drinfeld center.

The Drinfeld center and the tube algebra

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category as before. We define Ocneanu's **tube algebra** $\text{Tube}(\mathcal{C})$ by setting it to be $\bigoplus_{i,j,k} \text{Hom}(\lambda_i \lambda_j, \lambda_j \lambda_k)$ as a linear space and putting a structure of a finite dimensional C^* -algebra on it.

Then it turns out that the minimal central projections of this algebra correspond to the irreducible R - R sectors of the Longo-Rehren subfactor $M \otimes M^{\text{opp}} \subset R$.

It is generally hard to compute the R - R sectors, but this method based on the tube algebra is effective in actual computations. For example, Izumi computed the Drinfeld center of a fusion category arising from the **Haagerup subfactor**.

A half-braiding and the relative Drinfeld commutant

Let \mathcal{D} be a fusion category and \mathcal{C} its full subcategory. Let $\{\lambda_i\}$ be a set of representatives of irreducible sectors in \mathcal{C} as before. Consider a (possibly reducible) object σ of \mathcal{D} with intertwiners $\{\mathcal{E}_\sigma(\lambda_i)\}_i$ satisfying the same conditions as before. (Now the braiding-fusion equation is with respect to λ_i .)

This defines a **relative** half-braiding of \mathcal{D} with respect to \mathcal{C} . In this way, we obtain the **relative Drinfeld commutant** $\mathcal{C}' \cap \mathcal{D}$ of \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{D} . It turns out this has a natural structure of a fusion category. This also has a description using the Longo-Rehren subfactor arising from \mathcal{C} , using also sectors from \mathcal{D} .

The relative Drinfeld commutant and the relative tube algebra

Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D}$ be fusion categories as before. We define a **relative version of the tube algebra** $\mathbf{Tube}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ by setting it to be $\bigoplus_{i,j,k} \mathbf{Hom}(\mu_i \lambda_j, \lambda_j \mu_k)$, where $\{\mu_i\}$ is a set of representatives of irreducible objects of \mathcal{D} , as a linear space and putting a structure of a finite dimensional C^* -algebra on it in the same way as before.

We can identify the minimal central projections in the relative tube algebra $\mathbf{Tube}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ with representatives of the irreducible objects of the relative Drinfeld commutant $\mathcal{C}' \cap \mathcal{D}$. They again naturally correspond to generalized R - R sectors arising from the Longo-Rehren subfactor.

α -induction

Let $N \subset M$ be a finite index subfactor and suppose its dual canonical endomorphism is an object of a modular tensor category \mathcal{C} of endomorphisms of N . For an object λ in \mathcal{C} , we can define an **endomorphism** α_λ^\pm of M using the **braiding** of \mathcal{C} . (Longo-Rehren, Xu, Ocneanu, Böckenhauer-Evans-K)

A typical situation this arises is an inclusion of completely rational local conformal nets $\{A(I) \subset B(I)\}_{I \subset S^1}$. Then the modular tensor category \mathcal{C} is the one of the **DHR sectors** of $\{A(I)\}$. The α^\pm -induction produces fusion categories \mathcal{D}^\pm and their intersection \mathcal{D}^0 gives the category of the DHR sectors of $\{B(I)\}$. The fusion categories \mathcal{D}^\pm generate a larger fusion category \mathcal{D} .

The Drinfeld center and α -induction

Let \mathcal{C} be the modular tensor category as above and $\mathcal{D}^0, \mathcal{D}^\pm, \mathcal{D}$ be as above arising from the α -induction. We have computed the Drinfeld centers of $\mathcal{D}^0, \mathcal{D}^\pm, \mathcal{D}$ as follows. (Böckenhauer-Evans-K)

The Drinfeld center of \mathcal{D}^0 is trivially $\mathcal{D}^0 \boxtimes \mathcal{D}^{0,\text{opp}}$, because \mathcal{D}^0 is a modular tensor category. The Drinfeld center of \mathcal{D}^\pm is $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}^{0,\text{opp}}$, which is the main non-trivial result. The Drinfeld center of \mathcal{D} is easily seen to be $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}^{\text{opp}}$, because \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are **Morita equivalent** and \mathcal{C} is a modular tensor category. The second result is called the **boundary-bulk duality** in another context of α -induction for anyon condensation.

The relative Drinfeld commutant and α -induction

Let \mathcal{C} be the modular tensor category as above and $\mathcal{D}^0, \mathcal{D}^\pm, \mathcal{D}$ be as above arising from the α -induction applied to a subfactor. Then we compute the relative Drinfeld commutants for $\mathcal{D}^0 \subset \mathcal{D}^\pm \subset \mathcal{D}$ as follows.

The relative Drinfeld commutant $(\mathcal{D}^+)' \cap \mathcal{D}$ is given by $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}^-$. The relative Drinfeld commutant $(\mathcal{D}^0)' \cap \mathcal{D}^+$ is given by $\mathcal{D}^+ \boxtimes \mathcal{D}^0$. The relative Drinfeld commutant $(\mathcal{D}^0)' \cap \mathcal{D}$ is given by $\mathcal{D}^+ \boxtimes \mathcal{D}^-$. These are identifications as **fusion categories**. The last one is the most subtle.

Note that $\mathcal{C}' \cap \mathcal{C}$ is a full fusion **subcategory** of $\mathcal{C}' \cap \mathcal{D}$, which is of course compatible with the above.

The relative Drinfeld commutants for A_{2n+1}

Let \mathcal{D} be the fusion category corresponding to the WZW-model $SU(2)_{2n}$. We label the irreducible sectors as $0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n$. Let \mathcal{C} be its fusion subcategory generated by $0, 2, 4, \dots, 2n$. We consider the relative Drinfeld commutant $\mathcal{C}' \cap \mathcal{D}$.

We show that the irreducible sectors of $\mathcal{C}' \cap \mathcal{D}$ are labeled with (i, j) with $i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n$ together with the **identification** $(i, j) = (2n - i, 2n - j)$ and **splitting** of (n, n) into two irreducible sectors $(n, n)_+$ and $(n, n)_-$ of the same dimension. We already have an **orbifold subfactor** here.

When we further look at the Drinfeld center, we see only **half** of the sectors.

The relative Drinfeld commutant for E_8

We now consider the fusion category \mathcal{D} whose irreducible sectors correspond to the vertices of E_8 . This is the category \mathcal{D}^\pm arising from the α -induction for the conformal embedding $SU(2)_{28} \subset (G_2)_1$. Let \mathcal{C} be the fusion subcategory of \mathcal{D} generated by the even vertices of the bipartite graph E_8 . We compute the relative Drinfeld commutant $\mathcal{C}' \cap \mathcal{D}$.

Now the irreducible sectors of $\mathcal{C}' \cap \mathcal{D}$ are labeled with (i, j) with $i = 0, 2$ and $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 28$ together with identification $(i, j) = (i, 28 - j)$ and splittings of $(0, 14)$ into two irreducible sectors $(0, 14)_+$ and $(0, 14)_-$ of the same dimension and $(2, 14)$ into two irreducible sectors $(2, 14)_+$ and $(2, 14)_-$.