

# On panel-regular lattices in 2-dimensional buildings

Jan Essert

We consider lattices in reductive groups of rank 2 over a local, non-Archimedean field  $k$ . By Margulis' Arithmeticity, every lattice in such a group is arithmetic, i.e. can be constructed algebraically. But these lattices can also be studied via their actions on the associated 2-dimensional affine buildings.

For affine buildings, the only geometric constructions of lattices known to the author are the one by Cartwright-Mantero-Steger-Zappa for vertex-transitive lattices, as well as several constructions for chamber-transitive lattices leading to the classification of all chamber-transitive lattices by Kantor-Liebler-Tits. In this talk, we will present a new geometric method to construct panel-regular lattices in 2-dimensional buildings.

For buildings of type  $\tilde{A}_2$ , every vertex link is a projective plane. We first note that any vertex stabilizer in such a lattice must act point- and line-regularly on this plane. Such groups are called *Singer groups*. We can prove the following classification.

**Theorem 1** *If  $\Gamma$  acts panel-regularly on a building  $X$  of type  $\tilde{A}_2$ , there is an explicit presentation of  $\Gamma$  in terms of Singer groups of the vertex link projective plane and so-called difference sets of these Singer groups.*

*Conversely, given three Singer groups and three ordered difference sets, we can give an explicit construction of the associated lattice and building  $X$ .*

This can be proved as follows. We use the given data to construct a complex of groups, whose local developments are projective planes. By a theorem of Bridson and Haefliger, this complex is then developable and its universal cover is a building of type  $\tilde{A}_2$  by a recognition theorem due to Charney and Lytchak.

By using cyclic Singer groups, we obtain a class of lattices with very simple presentations. However, it is still unclear whether the associated buildings are classical or exotic. By adapting a technique of Cartwright-Mantero-Steger-Zappa, we show that these buildings cannot be associated to  $\mathrm{PSL}_3(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

**Theorem 2** *For every prime power  $q$  and for any three choices of ordered difference sets  $D_i = \{d_j^i : 0 \leq j \leq q\}$ , the group*

$$\Gamma = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 : x_1^{q^2+q+1} = x_2^{q^2+q+1} = x_3^{q^2+q+1} = 1, x_1^{d_j^1} x_2^{d_j^2} x_3^{d_j^3} = x_1^{d_k^1} x_2^{d_k^2} x_3^{d_k^3} \quad \forall j, k \rangle$$

*is a cocompact lattice in a building  $X$  of type  $\tilde{A}_2$ . The building  $X$  is not associated to  $\mathrm{PSL}_3(k)$  for any field  $k$  with characteristic zero.*

Finally, a very important feature of this construction is that it extends to buildings of type  $\tilde{B}_2$  by using structural results on Singer quadrangles developed by Shult, K. Thas and de Winter. It might be possible to extend the construction to buildings of type  $\tilde{G}_2$ , provided the existence of Singer hexagons.