# **Fuzzy Integration**

#### Kazimierz Musiał

University of Wrocław (Poland) musial@math.uni.wroc.pl

Common work with B. Bongiorno and L. Di Piazza (Palermo)

Integration, Vector Measures and Related Topics VI Bedlewo, 2014

[a, b] – a bounded closed interval of the real line equipped with Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$ .

 $\mathcal{L}$  – the family of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of [a, b].

 $\mathcal{I}$  – the family of all closed subintervals of [a, b].

If  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , then |I| denotes its length.

A partition in [a, b] is a collection of pairs

 $\mathcal{P} = \{(\mathbf{l}_i, \mathbf{t}_i) : i = 1, ..., p\}$ , where  $\mathbf{l}_i$ , are non-overlapping subintervals of [a, b] and  $\mathbf{t}_i$  are points of [a, b], i = 1, ..., p.

If  $\bigcup_{i=1}^p I_i = [a, b]$  we say that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a partition of [a, b].

If  $\mathbf{t_i} \in \mathbf{l_i}$ , i = 1, ..., p, we say that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a Perron partition in (of) [a, b].

A gauge on [a, b] is a positive function on [a, b].

We say that a partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{(I_i, t_i) : i = 1, ..., p\}$  is  $\delta$ -fine if

$$I_i \subset (t_i - \delta(t_i), t_i + \delta(t_i)), \qquad i = 1, \ldots, p.$$

Given 
$$f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}^n$$
 and a partition  $\mathcal{P}=\{(I_i,t_i):\ i=1,...,p\}$  in  $[a,b]$  we set

$$\sigma(f,\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} |I_i| f(t_i).$$

A function  $g:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be McShane (resp. Henstock) integrable on [a,b] if there exists a vector  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with the following property: for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a gauge  $\delta$  on [a,b] such that

$$||\sigma(\mathbf{g},\mathcal{P}) - \mathbf{w}|| < arepsilon$$
 .

for each  $\delta$ -fine partition (resp. Perron partition)  $\mathcal{P}$  of [a, b]. We set  $(Mc) \int_a^b g(t) dt := w$  (resp.  $(H) \int_a^b g(t) dt := w$ ).

If n=1 instead of Henstock, rather the name Henstock-Kurzweil is used. We denote by  $\mathcal{M}c[a,b]$  (resp.  $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{K}[a,b]$ ) the set of all real valued McShane (resp. Henstock-Kurzweil) integrable functions on [a,b].

A function  $g: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be Pettis integrable if

 $lackbox{1}{} \forall \ y \in \mathbb{R}^n \ \langle y,g 
angle \$  is Lebesgue integrable, and

Then  $(P) \int_A g dt := x_A$ .

McShane, Pettis and Bochner integrability coincide for functions taking values in a finite dimensional space.

 $ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denotes the family of all non-empty compact and convex subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

If  $A, B \in ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$A + B := \{x + y : x \in A, y \in B\}, kA := \{kx : x \in A\}.$$

For every  $A \in ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the **support function** of A is denoted by  $s(\cdot, A)$  and defined by

$$s(x, A) = \sup\{\langle x, y \rangle : y \in A\},\$$

for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

The map  $x \mapsto s(x, A)$  is sublinear on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Each mapping  $\Gamma: [a, b] \to ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is called a multifunction.

 $S^{n-1}$  – the closed unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  $d_H$  – the **Hausdorff distance** on  $ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

$$d_H(A,B) := \max \bigg\{ \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} \|x-y\|, \sup_{b \in B} \inf_{a \in A} \|a-b\| \bigg\}.$$

The space  $ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$  endowed with the Hausdorff distance is a complete metric space.

According to Hörmander's equality (cf. [9], p. 9), for A and B non empty members of  $ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have the equality

$$d_{H}(A, B) = \sup_{x \in S^{n-1}} |s(x, A) - s(x, B)|.$$

- A multifunction  $\Gamma: [a, b] \to ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is said to be *measurable*, if  $\{t \in [a, b] : \Gamma(t) \cap O \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{L}$ , for each open subset O of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- $\Gamma$  is said to be *scalarly measurable* if for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the map  $s(x, \Gamma(\cdot))$  is measurable.
- A multifunction  $\Gamma \colon [a,b] \to ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is said to be scalarly (resp. scalarly Henstock-Kurzweil) integrable on [a,b] if for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the real function  $s(x,\Gamma(\cdot))$  is integrable (resp. Henstock-Kurzweil integrable) on [a,b].

In case of  $ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -valued multifunctions the scalar measurability and the measurability are equivalent.

A function  $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}^n$  is called a *selection* of a multifunction  $\Gamma:[a,b]\to ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if, for every  $t\in[a,b]$ , one has  $f(t)\in\Gamma(t)$ . By  $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{S}_{H}(\Gamma)$ ) we denote the family of all measurable selections of  $\Gamma$  that are Bochner integrable (resp. Henstock integrable).

#### Definition

A measurable multifunction  $\Gamma \colon [a,b] \to ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is said to be *Aumann integrable on* [a,b] if  $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$ . Then we define

$$(A)\!\!\int_a^b \varGamma(t)\,dt := \Bigl\{\int_a^b f(t)\,dt : f \in \mathcal{S}(\varGamma)\Bigr\}.$$

K. Musiał

fuzzy integration

A multifunction  $\Gamma: [a,b] \to ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is said to be *Pettis integrable* on [a,b] if  $\Gamma$  is scalarly integrable on [a,b] and for each  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  there exists a set  $W_A \in ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$s(x, W_A) = \int_A s(x, \Gamma(t)) dt.$$

Then we set (P)  $\int_{\mathbf{A}} \Gamma(\mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t} := \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{A}}$ , for each  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ .

Given  $\Gamma: [a, b] \to ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and a partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{(I_i, t_i) : i = 1, ..., p\}$  in [a, b] we set

$$\sigma(\varGamma,\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{i=1}^p |I_i| \varGamma(t_i).$$

A multifunction  $\Gamma \colon [a,b] \to ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is said to be **Henstock** (resp. **McShane**) **integrable** on [a,b] if there exists a set  $W \in ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with the following property:

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a gauge  $\delta$  on [a, b] such that for each  $\delta$ -fine Perron partition (resp. partition)  $\mathcal{P}$  of [a, b], we have

$$d_H(W, \sigma(\Gamma, \mathcal{P})) < \varepsilon$$
.

Pettis, McShane and Aumann integrals coincide for set-valued functions taking values in  $ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , with the same value of the integrals.

# Theorem 1 (L. Di Piazza and K. Musiał, Monatsh. Math. 148(2006), 119–126)

Let  $\Gamma\colon [a,b]\to {\sf ck}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a scalarly Henstock-Kurzweil integrable multifunction. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\Gamma$  is Henstock integrable;
- (ii) for every  $f \in \mathcal{S}_H(\Gamma)$  the multifunction  $G \colon [a,b] \to \mathsf{ck}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  defined by  $\Gamma(\mathsf{t}) = \mathsf{G}(\mathsf{t}) + \mathsf{f}(\mathsf{t})$  is McShane integrable;
- (iii) there exists  $f \in \mathcal{S}_H(\Gamma)$  such that the multifunction  $G \colon [a,b] \to \mathsf{ck}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  defined by  $\Gamma(t) = G(t) + f(t)$  is McShane integrable;
- (iv) every measurable selection of  $\Gamma$  is Henstock integrable.

During this presentation I consider integrals, where functions are replaced by fuzzy-number valued functions.

Fuzzy Henstock integral has been introduced and studied by Wu and Gong in [17] (Fuzzy Sets and Systems 120 (2001), 523–532) and [18] (1994). It is an extension of the integrals introduced in [12] (M. Matloka, Proc. Polish Symp., Interval and Fuzzy Math. 1989, Poznan 163-170) and in [10] (O. Kaleva, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 24 (1987) 301-317).

The n-dimensional fuzzy number space  $\mathbb{E}^n$  is defined as the set

$$\mathbb{E}^n = \{u \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to [0,1] \colon u \text{ satisfies conditions (1)-(4) below} \}$$
 :

- (1) u is a normal fuzzy set, i.e. there exists  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , such that  $u(x_0) = 1$ ;
- (2) u is a convex fuzzy set, i.e.  $u(tx + (1-t)y) \ge \min\{u(x), u(y)\}\$  for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $t \in [0,1]$ ;
- (3) u is upper semi-continuous (i.e.  $\limsup_{x_k \to x} u(x_k) \le u(x)$ );
- (4) supp  $u = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : u(x) > 0\}$  is compact, where  $\overline{A}$  denotes the closure of A.

For  $r \in (0,1]$  and  $u \in \mathbb{E}^n$  let

$$[u]^r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ u(x) \ge r\}$$

and

$$[u]^0 = \overline{\bigcup_{s \in (0,1]} [u]^s}.$$

In the sequel we will use the following representation theorem (see [1] and [19]).

# Theorem 0 (FSS 29(1989),341-348)

If  $u \in \mathbb{E}^n$ , then

- (i)  $[u]^r \in ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , for all  $r \in [0,1]$ ;
- (ii)  $[u]^{r_2} \subset [u]^{r_1}$ , for  $0 \le r_1 \le r_2 \le 1$ ;
- (iii) if  $(r_k)$  is a nondecreasing sequence converging to r > 0, then

$$[u]^r = \bigcap_{k \ge 1} [u]^{r_k}.$$

Conversely,

if  $\{A_r\colon r\in [0,1]\}$  is a family of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying (i)–(iii), then there exists a unique  $\underline{u}\in \mathbb{E}^n$  such that  $[\underline{u}]^r=A_r$  for  $r\in (0,1]$  and  $[\underline{u}]^0=\overline{\cup_{0< r\leq 1}[\underline{u}]^r}\subset A_0$ .

For each  $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^n$  define  $\widetilde{f}:[a,b] \to \mathbb{E}^n$  by

$$[\widetilde{f}(t)](x) := \chi_{\{f(t)\}}(x) \quad \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ t \in [a, b].$$

We have

$$\forall \ 0 < r \le 1 \ [\widetilde{f}(t)]^r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon [\widetilde{f}(t)](x) \ge r = \{f(t)\}\$$

and

$$[\widetilde{f}(t)]^0 = \overline{\bigcup_{0 < r < 1} [\widetilde{f}(t)]^r} = \{f(t)\}.$$

Define  $D\colon \mathbb{E}^n imes \mathbb{E}^n o R^+ \cup \{0\}$  by the equation

$$D(u, v) = \sup_{r \in [0,1]} d_H([u]^r, [v]^r).$$

( $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{n}}$ , **D**) is a complete metric space (see [1] and [19]). For  $u, v \in \mathbb{E}^n$  and  $k \in R$  the addition and the scalar multiplication are defined respectively by

$$[u + v]^r := [u]^r + [v]^r$$
 and  $[ku]^r := k[u]^r$ .

If 
$$f,g:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}^n$$
, then

$$\forall 0 < r \le 1 \ \widetilde{[f(t)]^r} + \widetilde{[g(t)]^r}$$

$$= \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \chi_{\{f(t)\}}(x) \ge r \} + \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \chi_{\{g(t)\}}(x) \ge r \}$$

$$= \{ f(t) + g(t) \} = [\chi_{\{f(t) + g(t)\}}]^r = [f(t) + g(t)]^r$$

$$[\widetilde{f(t)}]^0 + [\widetilde{g(t)}]^0 = \{f(t) + g(t)\} = [\chi_{\{f(t) + g(t)\}}]^0 = [\widetilde{f(t) + g(t)}]^0.$$

A fuzzy-number valued function  $\widetilde{\Gamma} \colon [a,b] \to \mathbb{E}^n$  is said to be **measurable** if for every  $r \in [0,1]$  the set valued function  $[\widetilde{\Gamma}]^r \colon [a,b] \to ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is measurable. (Since the range space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is finite dimensional this is equivalent to the measurability of all support functions  $s(x, [\widehat{\Gamma}(\cdot)]^r)$ ,  $x \in S^{n-1}$ .) From now on we set

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_{r}(t) = [\widetilde{\Gamma}(t)]^{r}$$
.

A fuzzy-number-valued function  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ :  $[a,b] \to \mathbb{E}^n$  is said to be scalarly (resp. scalarly Henstock-Kurzweil) integrable on [a,b] if for all  $r \in [0,1]$  the multifunction  $\widetilde{\Gamma}_r$ :  $[a,b] \to ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is scalarly (resp. scalarly Henstock-Kurzweil) integrable.

A fuzzy-number valued function  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ :  $[a,b] \to \mathbb{E}^n$  is said to be weakly fuzzy Henstock integrable on [a,b], if for every  $r \in [0,1]$  the multifunction  $\widetilde{\Gamma}_r$  is Henstock integrable on [a,b] and there exists a fuzzy number  $\widetilde{A} \in \mathbb{E}^n$  such that for any  $r \in [0,1]$  and for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$s(x, [\widetilde{A}]^r) = (HK) \int_a^b s(x, \widetilde{\Gamma}_r(t)) dt.$$

A fuzzy-number valued function  $\Gamma \colon [a,b] \to \mathbb{E}^n$  is said to be weakly fuzzy Pettis or weakly fuzzy McShane integrable on [a,b], if for every  $r \in [0,1]$  the multifunction  $\widetilde{\Gamma}_r$  is Pettis or McShane integrable on [a,b] and there exists a fuzzy number  $\widetilde{A} \in \mathbb{E}^n$  such that for any  $r \in [0,1]$  and for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$s(x, [\widetilde{A}]^r) = \int_a^b s(x, \widetilde{\Gamma}_r(t)) dt.$$

K. Musiał

A fuzzy-number valued function  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ :  $[a,b] \to \mathbb{E}^n$  is said to be fuzzy Aumann integrable on [a,b] if there exists a fuzzy number  $\widetilde{A} \in \mathbb{E}^n$  such that for every  $r \in [0,1]$  the multifunction  $\widetilde{\Gamma}_r$  is Aumann integrable on [a,b] and  $[\widetilde{A}]^r = (A) \int_a^b \widetilde{\Gamma}_r(t) dt$ . We write  $(FA) \int_a^b \widetilde{\Gamma}(t) dt := \widetilde{A}$ .

#### Remark

Since Pettis, McShane and Aumann integrals coincide for set-valued functions taking values in a finite dimensional space, then also the fuzzy Aumann, the weakly fuzzy Pettis and the weakly fuzzy McShane integrals coincide.

(see [18]) A fuzzy-number-valued function  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ :  $[a,b] \to \mathbb{E}^n$  is said to be **fuzzy Henstock** (resp. **fuzzy McShane**) **integrable on**  $[\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}]$ , if there exists a fuzzy number  $\widetilde{A} \in \mathbb{E}^n$  such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a gauge  $\delta$  on [a,b] such that for every  $\delta$ -fine Perron partition (resp. partition)  $\mathcal{P}$  of [a,b], we have

$$D(\tilde{A},\sigma(\widetilde{\varGamma},\mathcal{P})) = D(\tilde{A},\sum_{i=1}^p |I_i|\widetilde{\varGamma}(t_i)) < \varepsilon.$$

We write 
$$(FH)\int_a^b \widetilde{\Gamma}(t) dt := \widetilde{A}$$
 (resp.  $(FMc)\int_a^b \widetilde{\Gamma}(t) dt := \widetilde{A}$ ).

Using the notion of equi-integrability it is possible to characterize the fuzzy Henstock and the fuzzy McShane integrability.

A family  $\{g_{\alpha}\}$  of real valued functions in  $\mathcal{HK}[a,b]$  (resp.  $\mathcal{Mc}[a,b]$ ) is said to be Henstock-Kurzweil (resp. McShane) equi-integrable on [a,b] whenever for every  $\varepsilon>0$  there is a gauge  $\delta$  on [a,b] such that

$$\sup_{\alpha} \left| \sigma(g_{\alpha}, \mathcal{P}) - (\mathsf{HK}) \!\! \int_{a}^{b} g_{\alpha}(t) \, \mathsf{d}t \right| < \varepsilon \, .$$

$$\left( \operatorname{resp. sup}_{lpha} \left| \sigma(\mathsf{g}_lpha, \mathcal{P}) - \int_\mathsf{a}^\mathsf{b} \mathsf{g}_lpha(\mathsf{t}) \, \mathsf{dt} 
ight| < arepsilon \, . 
ight)$$

for each  $\delta$ -fine Perron partition (resp. partition)  $\mathcal{P}$  of [a, b].

K. Musiał

fuzzy integration

## Proposition 0

Let  $\Gamma: [a,b] \to ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a Henstock-Kurzweil (McShane) scalarly (resp. scalarly) integrable multifunction. Then the following are equivalent:

- (j)  $\Gamma$  is Henstock (resp. McShane) integrable on [a, b];
- (jj) the collection  $\{s(x, \Gamma(\cdot)): x \in S^{n-1}\}$  is Henstock-Kurzweil (resp. McShane) equi-integrable.

### Proposition 1

Let  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ :  $[a,b] \to \mathbb{E}^n$  be a Henstock-Kurzweil (McShane) scalarly (resp. scalarly) integrable fuzzy-number-valued function. Then the following are equivalent:

- (j)  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$  is fuzzy Henstock (resp. McShane) integrable on [a, b];
- $(jj) \ \ \text{the collection} \ \left\{ \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}, \widetilde{\varGamma}_{\mathbf{r}}(\boldsymbol{\cdot})): \ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathsf{n}-1} \ \ \text{and} \ \ 0 \leq \mathbf{r} \leq 1 \right\}$  is Henstock-Kurzweil (resp. McShane) equi-integrable.

#### Proof.

 $(j) \Rightarrow (jj)$ . According to Hörmander's equality and the definition of the metric D in  $\mathbb{E}^n$  we have

Introduction

$$D\left(\widetilde{A}, \sum_{i=1}^{p} |I_i| \widetilde{\Gamma}(t_i)\right) = \sup_{r \in [0,1]} d_H([\widetilde{A}]^r, \sum_{i=1}^{p} |I_i| \widetilde{\Gamma}_r(t_i))$$

$$= \sup_{r \in [0,1]} \sup_{x \in S^{n-1}} \left| s(x, [\widetilde{A}]^r) - \sum_{i=1}^{p} s(x, \widetilde{\Gamma}_r(t_i)) |I_i| \right|$$

$$= \sup_{r \in [0,1]} \sup_{x \in S^{n-1}} \left| \int_a^b s(x, \widetilde{\Gamma}_r(t)) dt - \sum_{i=1}^{p} s(x, \widetilde{\Gamma}_r(t_i)) |I_i| \right|.$$

Thus, the implication holds true.

If  $\{A_r\colon\ r\in[0,1]\}$  is a family of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying the conditions

- (i)  $A_r \in ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , for all  $r \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (ii)  $A_{r_2} \subset A_{r_1}$ , for  $0 \le r_1 \le r_2 \le 1$ ;
- $\label{eq:converging} \mbox{ (iii) if (r_k) is a nondecreasing sequence converging to } r>0, \\ \mbox{ then }$

$$\mathbf{A}_{r} = \bigcap_{k > 1} \mathbf{A}_{r_{k}}.$$

Then there exists a unique  $u \in \mathbb{E}^n$  such that

$$[u]^r = A_r$$
 for  $r \in (0,1]$ 

and

$$[u]^0 = \overline{\cup_{0 < r < 1} [u]^r} \subset A_0.$$

 $(jj)\Rightarrow (j)$ . [HK-version] Let us fix  $r\in [0,1]$ . Since the collection  $\left\{s(x,\widetilde{\Gamma}_r(\cdot)):\ x\in S^{n-1}\right\}$  is Henstock-Kurzweil equi-integrable, by Proposition 0 there exists  $A_r\in ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that for each  $x\in S^{n-1}$ 

$$s(x, A_r) = (HK) \int_a^b s(x, \widetilde{\Gamma}_r(t)) dt, \qquad (1)$$

Now we are going to prove that the family  $\{A_r: r \in [0,1]\}$  satisfies properties (i)–(iii) of Theorem 0.

Since  $A_r \in ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$  it remains to prove only (ii) and (iii).

Let  $0 \le r_1 \le r_2 \le 1$ . By Theorem 0 we have  $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{r_2}(t) \subset \widetilde{\Gamma}_{r_1}(t)$ , for each  $t \in [a,b]$ . Therefore

$$s(x, A_{r_2}) = (HK) \int_a^b s(x, \widetilde{\Gamma}_{r_2}(t)) dt$$

$$\leq (HK) \int_a^b s(x, \widetilde{\Gamma}_{r_1}(t)) dt = s(x, A_{r_1}),$$

for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Then, as a consequence of the separation theorem for convex sets, we also infer the inclusion  $A_{r_2} \subset A_{r_1}$  and property (ii) is satisfied.

If  $(r_k)$  is a nondecreasing sequence converging to r > 0, then for each  $t \in [a, b]$  we have

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_r(t) = \bigcap_{k>1} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{r_k}(t).$$

Consequently (see [16, Proposition 1])

$$s(x, \widetilde{\Gamma}_r(t)) = \lim_k s(x, \widetilde{\Gamma}_{r_k}(t)),$$

for each  $t \in [a, b]$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

By hypothesis, for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the sequence of real valued functions  $\left(s(x,\widetilde{\Gamma}_{r_k}(\cdot))\right)$  is Henstock-Kurzweil equi-integrable. So we have (see [15])

$$s(x, A_r) = (HK) \int_a^b s(x, \widetilde{\Gamma}_r(t)) dt$$

$$= \lim_k (HK) \int_a^b s(x, \widetilde{\Gamma}_{r_k}(t)) dt = \lim_k s(x, A_{r_k}) = s(x, \bigcap_{k \ge 1} A_{r_k}),$$

Since above equalities hold for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we obtain  $A_r = \bigcap_{k \ge 1} A_{r_k}$  and property (iii) is satisfied.

Therefore according to Theorem 0 there exists a unique  $A \in \mathbb{E}^n$  such that

$$[\widetilde{\mathsf{A}}]^r = \mathsf{A}_r \quad \text{for } r \in (0,1] \text{ and } [\widetilde{\mathsf{A}}]^0 = \overline{\bigcup_{s \in (0,1]} [\widetilde{\mathsf{A}}]^s} \subset \mathsf{A}_0.$$

If  $\varepsilon>0$  is fixed and a gauge  $\delta$  corresponds to the uniform equi-integrability, then taking into account  $(1)[s(x,A_r)=(HK)\int_a^b s(x,\widetilde{\varGamma}_r(t))\,dt]$  and the definition of the distance D we get

$$D\left(\widetilde{A}, \sum_{i=1}^{p} |I_i| \, \widetilde{\Gamma}(t_i)\right)$$

$$= \sup_{r \in [0,1]} d_H([\widetilde{A}]^r, \sum_{i=1}^{p} |I_i| \, \widetilde{\Gamma}_r(t_i)) \leq \delta.$$

and hence the fuzzy Henstock integrability of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  on [a,b] with the fuzzy Henstock integral equal to  $\tilde{A}$ .

As a direct consequence of Proposition 1 we have the following characterization of the fuzzy Henstock and fuzzy McShane integrability:

## Corollary 1

A fuzzy-number-valued function  $\Gamma\colon [a,b]\to \mathbb{E}^n$  is fuzzy Henstock (resp. fuzzy McShane) integrable on [a,b] if and only if it is weakly fuzzy Henstock (resp. weakly fuzzy McShane) integrable on [a,b] and the collection  $\left\{s(x,\widetilde{\Gamma}_r(\cdot)):\ x\in S^{n-1}\ \text{ and }\ 0\leq r\leq 1\right\}$  is Henstock-Kurzweil (resp. McShane) equi-integrable.

Using the above Proposition one can show that the family of all weakly fuzzy Henstock (resp. McShane ) integrable functions is wider than the family of all fuzzy Henstock (resp. McShane) integrable fuzzy-number-valued functions.

At first it may look strange since we are in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the Henstock (resp. McShane) integral of  $ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -valued multifunctions defined with the help of support functions coincides with that defined with the help of the Hausdorff distance.

In particular, for each  $0 \le r \le 1$  the family  $\{s(x,\widetilde{\Gamma}_r(\cdot)): x \in S^{n-1}\}$  is Henstock-Kurzweil (resp. McShane) equi-integrable.

But it is known that an infinite union of equi-integrable families may be not equi-integrable. Thus, the fuzzy approach may change the situation.

In fact, in the example below we show even more. We prove that there exists a weakly fuzzy McShane integrable fuzzy-number-valued function on [0,1] that is not fuzzy Henstock integrable (hence also not fuzzy McShane integrable).

# Example

It is enough to show that such a function exists for n=1. Define  $g_m=\chi_{[1-2^{-m},1]},\ m=1,2,...$  where  $\chi_B$  denotes the characteristic function of the set B, and let  $f_k=\sum_{m=1}^k g_m,\ k=1,2,...$ 

Remark that  $f_k(t) \leq f_{k+1}(t)$ , for  $t \in [0,1]$ , and set  $\mathcal{O}_r(t) = [0,f_k(t)]$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_r = [0,1-\frac{2^{-k}}]$ , for  $(k+1)^{-1} < r \leq k^{-1}$ ,  $t \in [0,1]$  and  $k \in N$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_0(t) = \overline{\bigcup_{r \in (0,1]} \mathcal{O}_r(t)}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_0 = [0,1]$ . It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{O}_r(t)$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_r$  satisfy conditions (i)–(iii) of

Theorem 0, for any  $t \in [0,1]$ . Then, from Theorem 0 it is possible to define a function  $\widetilde{\Gamma} \colon [0,1] \to E^1$  and a fuzzy number  $\widetilde{A}$  such that  $\widetilde{\Gamma}_r(t) = \mathcal{O}_r(t)$  and  $\widetilde{\Gamma}_r(t) = \mathcal{O}_r(t)$  and

 $[A]^r = \mathcal{Q}_r$  for all  $0 < r \le 1$  and all  $\underset{\sim}{t} \in [0,1]$ .

The fuzzy-number-valued function  $\Gamma$  is weakly fuzzy McShane integrable but not fuzzy Henstock integrable.

### Decomposition Theorem

Let  $\widetilde{\Gamma} \colon [a,b] \to \mathbb{E}^n$  be a fuzzy-number valued function on [a,b]. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (A)  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$  is fuzzy Henstock integrable;
- (B) For every Henstock integrable function  $f \in \mathcal{S}_H(\widetilde{\varGamma}_1)$  the fuzzy-number valued function  $\widetilde{G} \colon [a,b] \to \mathbb{E}^n$  defined by  $\widetilde{\varGamma}(t) = \widetilde{G}(t) + \widetilde{f}(t)$  (where  $\widetilde{f}(t) = \chi_{\{f(t)\}}$ ) is fuzzy McShane integrable on [a,b] and

$$\left[ (FH) \int_{a}^{b} \widetilde{\Gamma}(t) dt \right]^{r} = \left[ (FMc) \int_{a}^{b} \widetilde{G}(t) dt \right]^{r} + (H) \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt,$$
(2)

for every  $r \in [0, 1]$ ;

# Decomposition Theorem, cont.

(C) There exists a Henstock integrable function  $f \in \mathcal{S}_H(\Gamma_1)$  such that the fuzzy-number valued function  $\widetilde{G} \colon [a,b] \to \mathbb{E}^n$  defined by  $\widetilde{\Gamma}(t) = \widetilde{G}(t) + \widetilde{f}(t)$  is fuzzy McShane integrable on [a,b] and

$$\left[ (FH) \int_a^b \widetilde{\Gamma}(t) dt \right]^r = \left[ (FMc) \int_a^b \widetilde{G}(t) dt \right]^r + (H) \int_a^b f(t) dt,$$
for every  $r \in [0, 1]$ .

Equivalently,

$$(FH)\int_a^b\widetilde{\varGamma}(t)\,dt=(FMc)\int_a^b\widetilde{G}(t)\,dt+(H)\!\!\int_a^bf(t)\,dt.$$

 $(C)\Rightarrow (A)$ . Assume that  $\widetilde{\Gamma}(t)=\widetilde{G}(t)+\widetilde{f}(t)$ , where  $\widetilde{G}$  is a fuzzy-number valued function fuzzy McShane integrable on [a,b] and f is an Henstock integrable function  $f\in\mathcal{S}_H([\widetilde{\Gamma}]^1)$ . Then according to Proposition 1 we have that the collection

$$\mathbb{B}:=\left\{s(x,\widetilde{G}_r(\cdot)):\;x\in S^{n-1}\;\;\mathrm{and}\;\;0\leq r\leq 1\right\}$$

is McShane equi-integrable. Therefore by the equality

$$s(x, \widetilde{\Gamma}_r(t)) = s(x, \widetilde{G}_r(t)) + \langle x, f(t) \rangle,$$

we infer that the collection

$$\left\{ \mathsf{s}(\mathsf{x}, \widetilde{\varGamma}_\mathsf{r}(\cdot)): \; \mathsf{x} \in \mathsf{S}^{\mathsf{n}-1} \; \text{ and } \; 0 \leq r \leq 1 \right\}$$

is Henstock-Kurzweil equi-integrable. And applying once again Proposition 1 we obtain the fuzzy Henstock integrability of  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ .  $\square$ 

It is quite easy to define  $\widetilde{G}$  and f. It is however not so simple to show that  $\widetilde{G}$  is fuzzy McShane integrable.

Before proving the Decomposition Theorem we need some preliminary results. It is well known that if  $g:[a,b]\to R$  is a non negative Henstock-Kurzweil integrable function, then g is McShane integrable. So one could expect that if  $\mathbb A$  is a family of non negative Henstock-Kurzweil equi-integrable functions, then  $\mathbb A$  is also McShane equi-integrable. At the moment we don't know if this is true, however under additional suitable conditions next theorem gives the expected McShane equi-integrability. The idea of our proof is taken from Fremlin [6, Theorem 8].

# Proposition 2

Let  $S \neq \emptyset$  be an arbitrary set and let

 $\mathbb{A} = \{g_{\alpha} \colon [a,b] \to [0,\infty) \colon \alpha \in S\}$  be a family of functions satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) A is Henstock-Kurzweil equi-integrable;
- (b)  $\mathbb{A}$  is totally bounded in the  $L^1$  norm;
- (c) A is pointwise bounded.

Then the family  $\mathbb{A}$  is McShane equi-integrable.

We need yet the following fact that is a very special case of a general theorem proved in [13, Theorem 3.3].

### Proposition 3

Let  $G: [a,b] \to ck(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a Pettis integrable multifunction whose support functions are non negative. Then the set

$$\mathbb{S} = \left\{ s(x, G(\cdot)) : x \in S^{n-1} \right\}$$

is compact in  $L^1[a, b]$ .

**Proof.** Let  $M_G(E)$  be the Pettis integral of G on the set  $E \in \mathcal{L}$ . Moreover, let  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset S^{n-1}$  be an arbitrary sequence and let  $\{x_{n_k}\}_k$  be a subsequence converging to  $x_0$ . We have then

$$\lim_k \int_E s(x_{n_k} - x_0, G(t)) dt = \lim_k s(x_{n_k} - x_0, M_G(E)) = 0 \quad \text{for every } E \in \mathcal{L}$$

and the convergence of the sequence  $\{s(x_{n_k} - x_0, M_G(E))\}_k$  is uniform on  $\mathcal{L}$ , because  $M_G(E) \subseteq M_G(\Omega)$ , for every  $E \in \mathcal{L}$ . Thus, the sequence  $\{s(x_{n_k}, G)\}_k$  is convergent in  $L_1(\mu)$  to  $s(x_0, G)$  (cf. [14, Proposition II.5.3]).

**Proof of the Decomposition Theorem.**  $(A) \Rightarrow (B)$ . Since  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$  is fuzzy Henstock integrable, then for each  $r \in [0,1]$  the set function  $\widetilde{\Gamma}_r$  is Henstock integrable. So, according to Theorem 1,  $\mathcal{S}_H(\widetilde{\Gamma}_1) \neq \emptyset$ .

Let us fix  $f \in \mathcal{S}_H(\Gamma_1)$  and **define** a fuzzy-number valued function  $\widetilde{f}: [a,b] \to \mathbb{E}^n$  as follows:  $\widetilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{t}) = \chi_{\{f(\mathbf{t})\}}$ , for each  $t \in [a,b]$ .

Now define  $\widetilde{G}: [a,b] \to \mathbb{E}^n$  setting  $\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{t}) := \widetilde{\Gamma}(\mathbf{t}) - \widetilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{t})$ . To prove that  $\widetilde{G}(t)$  is fuzzy McShane integrable on [a,b], by Proposition 1 it is enough to show that the collection

$$\mathbb{B} := \left\{ s(x, \widetilde{G}_r(\cdot)) : \ x \in S^{n-1} \ \text{ and } \ 0 \le r \le 1 \right\}$$

is McShane equi-integrable.

At the beginning we are going to prove that  $\mathbb{B}$  fulfils the hypotheses of Proposition 2.

Since arGamma is fuzzy Henstock integrable, it follows from Proposition 1 that the family of functions

$$\left\{ s(x, \widetilde{\Gamma}_r(\cdot)) : x \in S^{n-1} \text{ and } 0 \le r \le 1 \right\}$$

is Henstock-Kurzweil equi-integrable.

Moreover, for each  $r \in [0,1]$  the set-function  $\widetilde{\varGamma}_r$  is Henstock integrable and

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_r(t) = \widetilde{G}_r(t) + f(t), \quad \text{for each } t \in [a, b].$$
 (4)

Then, for  $r \in [0,1], \ t \in [a,b]$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$s(x, \widetilde{G}_r(t)) = s(x, \widetilde{\Gamma}_r(t)) - \langle x, f(t) \rangle.$$

Now applying Theorem 1 to each set-function  $\widetilde{\varGamma}_r$ , we obtain that, for every  $r\in [0,1]$ , the set function  $\widetilde{G}_r$  is Pettis integrable. Since the function f is Henstock integrable, we infer that the family  $\mathbb B$  is Henstock-Kurzweil equi-integrable.

We observe that all support functions of  $G_r(t)$  are non negative. Consequently, if  $0 \le r_1 \le r_2 \le 1$ , then  $\widetilde{G}_{r_2}(t) \subset \widetilde{G}_{r_1}(t) \subset \widetilde{G}_0(t)$ , and

$$0 \leq s(x, \widetilde{G}_{r_2}(t)) \leq s(x, \widetilde{G}_{r_1}(t)) \leq s(x, \widetilde{G}_0(t)), \tag{5}$$

for every  $x \in S^{n-1}$  and  $t \in [a, b]$ . So the family  $\mathbb{B}$  is pointwise bounded. It remains to show that  $\mathbb{B}$  is also totally bounded in  $L^1[a,b]$ .

#### Claim 1.

If  $g_r(x) := \int_0^1 s(x, \widetilde{G}_r(t)) dt$ , for each  $x \in S^{n-1}$  and  $r \in [0, 1]$ , then for each r the function  $g_r$  is continuous and the family  $\{g_r : r \in [0, 1]\}$  is norm relatively compact in  $C(S^{n-1})$ , the space of real continuous functions on  $S^{n-1}$ .

**Proof.** Given  $x, y \in S^{n-1}$  and  $r \in [0, 1]$ , we have for  $x \neq y$ 

$$|g_{r}(x) - g_{r}(y)| \leq \int_{a}^{b} \left| s(x, \widetilde{G}_{r}(t)) - s(y, \widetilde{G}_{r}(t)) \right| dt$$

$$\leq \int_{a}^{b} \left[ s\left(x - y, \widetilde{G}_{r}(t)\right) + s\left(y - x, \widetilde{G}_{r}(t)\right) \right] dt$$

$$\leq \|x - y\| \int_{a}^{b} \left[ s\left(\frac{x - y}{\|x - y\|}, \widetilde{G}_{r}(t)\right) + s\left(\frac{y - x}{\|x - y\|}, \widetilde{G}_{r}(t)\right) \right] dt$$

$$\leq \|x - y\| \int_{a}^{b} \left[ s\left(\frac{x - y}{\|x - y\|}, \widetilde{G}_{0}(t)\right) + s\left(\frac{y - x}{\|x - y\|}, \widetilde{G}_{0}(t)\right) \right] dt$$

$$\leq 2\|x - y\| \sup_{\|z\| \leq 1} \int_{a}^{b} s(z, \widetilde{G}_{0}(t)) dt$$

But, since  $\widetilde{G}_0$  is Pettis integrable, we have  $\sup_{\|z\|\leq 1}\int_a^b s(z,\widetilde{G}_0(t))\ dt < \infty$  (cf. [5, Theorem 5.5]). It follows that  $g_r$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition. Consequently the family  $\{g_r\colon r\in[0,1]\}$  is equicontinuous. Moreover, since  $0\leq g_r(x)\leq g_0(x)$  for each  $r\in[0,1]$  and each  $x\in[a,b]$ , from Ascoli's theorem follows that the family  $\{g_r\colon r\in[0,1]\}$  is norm relatively compact in  $C(S^{n-1})$ .

#### Claim 2.

 $\mathbb{B}$  is totally bounded in  $L_1[a, b]$ .

*Proof.* Let us fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . It follows from Claim 1 that the family  $\{g_r \colon r \in [0,1]\}$  is totally bounded in  $C(S^{n-1})$ . That is there exist reals  $r_1, \ldots, r_m \in [0,1]$  such that

$$\forall r \in [0,1] \exists i \leq m : \|g_r - g_{r_i}\|_{C(S^{n-1})} < \varepsilon/2.$$

$$\left(g_r(x) := \int_0^1 s(x, \widetilde{G}_r(t)) dt\right)$$

But

$$||g_r - g_{r_i}||_{C(S^{n-1})} = \sup_{x \in S^{n-1}} \left| \int_a^b s(x, \widetilde{G}_r(t)) dt - \int_a^b s(x, \widetilde{G}_{r_i}(t)) dt \right|$$

$$= \sup_{x \in S^{n-1}} \left| \int_a^b \left[ s(x, \widetilde{G}_r(t)) - s(x, \widetilde{G}_{r_i}(t)) \right] dt \right|$$

$$= \sup_{x \in S^{n-1}} \int_a^b \left| s(x, \widetilde{G}_r(t)) - s(x, \widetilde{G}_{r_i}(t)) \right| dt,$$

where the final equality follows from (5). Consequently, we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} \left| s(x, \widetilde{G}_{r}(t)) - s(x, \widetilde{G}_{r_{i}}(t)) \right| dt < \varepsilon/2, \quad \text{for every } x \in S^{n-1}.$$

But from Proposition 3 we know that for each  $i \leq m$  the family  $\left\{s(x,\widetilde{G}_{r_i}): x \in S^{n-1}\right\}$  is totally bounded in  $L_1[a,b]$ . Hence, there are points  $\{x_{1i},\ldots,x_{p_i}\}\subset S^{n-1}$  such that if  $x\in S^{n-1}$  is arbitrary, then

$$\int_a^b \left| s(x,\widetilde{G}_{r_i}(t)) - s(x_{ji},\widetilde{G}_{r_i}(t)) \right| \ dt < \varepsilon/2, \quad \text{for a certain } j \leq p_i.$$

It follows that the set  $\left\{s(x_{ji},\widetilde{G}_{r_i}(\cdot)): j \leq p_i, i \leq m\right\}$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -mesh of  $\mathbb B$  in the norm of  $L_1[a,b]$ .

Then the collection  $\mathbb B$  is McShane equi-integrable and, applying once again Proposition 1, we get that  $\widetilde G$  is fuzzy McShane integrable on [a,b].

L

The equality

$$\left[ (\mathsf{FH}) \int_{\mathsf{a}}^{\mathsf{b}} \widetilde{\widetilde{\Gamma}}(\mathsf{t}) \, \mathsf{d} \mathsf{t} \right]^{\mathsf{r}} = \left[ (\mathsf{FMc}) \int_{\mathsf{a}}^{\mathsf{b}} \widetilde{\mathsf{G}}(\mathsf{t}) \, \mathsf{d} \mathsf{t} \right]^{\mathsf{r}} + (\mathsf{H}) \int_{\mathsf{a}}^{\mathsf{b}} \mathsf{f}(\mathsf{t}) \, \mathsf{d} \mathsf{t}$$
 follows at once from equality 
$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_r(t) = \widetilde{G}_r(t) + f(t), \quad \text{for each} \ \ t \in [\mathsf{a}, \mathsf{b}].$$
 The implication  $(B) \Rightarrow (C)$  is obvious.

- [1] P. Diamond and P. Kloeden, Characterization of compact subsets of fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 29 (1989), no. 3, 341–348.
- [2] L. Di Piazza and K. Musiał, Set-valued Kurzweil-Henstock-Pettis integral, Set-Valued Analysis 13(2005), 167-179.
- [3] L. Di Piazza and K. Musiał, A decomposition theorem for compact-valued Henstock integral, Monatsh. Math. 148 (2), (2006), 119–126.
- [4] L. Di Piazza and K. Musiał, A decomposition of Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis integrable multifunctions, Vector Measures, Integration and Related Topics (Eds.) G.P. Curbera, G. Mockenhaupt, W.J. Ricker, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications Vol. 201 (2010) pp. 171-182 Birkhauser Verlag.

- [5] K. El Amri and C. Hess, On the Pettis integral of closed valued multifunctions, Set–Valued Anal. 8 (2000), 329–360.
- [6] D. H. Fremlin, The Henstock and McShane integrals of vector-valued functions, Illinois J. Math. 38 (1994), no. 3, 471–479.
- [7] R. A. Gordon, The Integrals of Lebesgue, Denjoy, Perron, and Henstock, Graduate Studies in Math. vol. 4 (1994), AMS.
- [8] R. Henstock, Theory of integration, Butterworths, London (1963).
- [9] S. Hu and N.S. Papageorgiou, Handbook of Multivalued Analysis I, (1997), Kluwer Academic Publ.
- [10] O. Kaleva, Fuzzy integral equations, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 24 (1987) 301-317.



- [12] M. Matloka, On fuzzy integral, Proc. Polish Symp., Interval and Fuzzy Math. 1989, Poznan 163-170.
- [13] K. Musiał, Pettis integration of multifunctions with values in arbitrary Banach spaces, J. Convex Analysis. 18 (2011), 769-810.
- [14] J. Neveu, Bases Mathématiques du calcul des probabilités, Masson et CIE, Paris, 1964.
- [15] S. Schwabik and Y. Guoju, Topics in Banach space integration. Series in Real Analysis, 10. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2005.

- [16] Y. Sonntag, Scalar Convergence of Convex Sets, JMAA 164 (1992), 219–241.
- [17] C. Wu and Z. Gong, On Henstock integrals of interval-valued and fuzzy-number-valued functions, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 115 (2000), 377–391.
- [18] C. Wu and Z. Gong, On Henstock integrals of fuzzy-valued functions (I), Fuzzy Sets and Systems 120 (2001), 523–532.
- [19] C. Wu, M. Ma and J. Fang, Structure theory of fuzzy analysis, Guizhou Scientific publication, Guiyang, China (1994).