EXPLANATIONS

1. Transfers and the resulting partial sums in the Revised Budget 99/2000.

   1.1. Mobilities.

   Only M.III.6 and the first visit in M.III.3.a were accomplised (joint cost 2048,94 ECU instead of planned 2 200 ECU). The planned for mobilities sum in ouctomes III, VI, VII was 21 100 ECU. The remaining 19 051,06 ECU are tranferred for the next year. The contracted for 99/2000 sum for mobilities is 9 100 ECU. This gives 28 151,06 ECU. In the Revised Budget 99/2000 the sum 28 000 ECU is planned for mobilities. The rest: 151,06 ECU is shifted to Organisational Grant 99/2000.

   Total mobility grant 99/2000 is **28 000 ECU**

   1.2. Organisational Grant.

   In III.3. (academic tasks-syllabi) from the planned 2 700 ECU the sum 2 200 ECU (consisting of 2 100 ECU for syllabi writing, and 100 ECU shifted and spent for equipment) was spent. The remaining 500 ECU of academic tasks funds is shifted to the next year.

   In III.6. (academic tasks-lecture notes) from the planned 2 140 ECU the sum 1 740 ECU was used. The remaining 400 ECU of academic tasks funds is shifted to the next year.

   In III.8 (printing), III.9 (books). and VII.2 (books) it remains, respectively 749,50 ECU+3,52 ECU+4,94 ECU=757,96 ECU which is transferred to the next year. The sum 749,50 ECU=600,50 ECU (materials)+150 ECU (administrative tasks)

   There is also difference in 3.4 of Organisational Grant (other costs) planned 48,54 ECU minus spent 19,25 ECU gives 29,29 ECU transferred to the next year.

   Finally, the Organisational Grant the sum 1 687,25 ECU is transferred to the next year.

   In addition the bank profit is transferred to the Organisational Grant of the next year 415,76 ECU

   Total sum transfered to the Organisational Grant in 99/2000 is therefore 1 687,25+415,76=2 103,01 ECU

   The total sum obtained for the Organisational Grant in 99/2000 (this transfer + contracted+transfered from mobilities-see 1.1.) equals 2 103,01+12 200 + 151,06=14 454,07 ECU

2. Total

   The total sum in the Revised Budget 99/2000 equals 28 000 ECU (mobilities) plus 14 454,07 ECU (Organisational Grant) that is **42 454,07 ECU**.

3. Explanation of rates in the conventions for academicians. The rates differ slightly for two reasons. The first one is that they were fixed in the polish currency and during the year the rates in ECU depended on the exchange rates used. The second one is that some of the tasks were agreed to be realized under slightly reduced rates.

4. Explanation on the exchange rates used. All the JEP costs were covered by the main account of the Wroclaw Univeristy in polish currency, then the University charged the JEP account, but netto without VAT, using the actual exchange rate for ECU. This method is very useful for the JEP finances since, for example, we could purchase the plannned equipment in time even not having at this moment full coverage on the JEP account. That way we bought a part of equipment using "borrowed" money, however not being charged in any way for this situation. The lack of this coverage was caused by a delayed transfer of the second rate from Munich to Wroclaw (actually the second rate reached Wroclaw University in the second week of January).

5 Explanation on the attached letter JEP-(N-12000s-3 years) from Brussels.

   We attach the letter as required in our report. We were able to use all of the equipment money planned for the 98/99 year therefore there was no need to use additional forms, and we used the regular forms which take into account a possibility of transfers of the mobility grant. We did not transfer any equipment funds, that way our report has a regular form the same as used for the last year.