## Lecture 6

- Data, model and inference for multiple regression


## Data for Multiple Regression

- $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is the response variable
- $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i} 1}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i} 2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ip}-1}$ are $p-1$ explanatory variables for cases $i=1$ to $n$


## Multiple Regression Model

- $Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i 1}+\beta_{2} X_{i 2}+\ldots+\beta_{p-1} X_{i p-1}+\xi_{i}$
- $Y_{i}$ is the value of the response variable for the $t^{\text {th }}$ case
- $\beta_{0}$ is the intercept
- $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{p-1}$ are the regression coefficients for the explanatory variables


## Multiple Regression Model (2)

- $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ik}}$ is the value of the $\boldsymbol{k}^{\text {th }}$ explanatory variable for the $i^{\text {th }}$ case
- $\xi_{i}$ are independent normally distributed random errors with mean 0 and variance $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathbf{2}}$


## Many interesting special cases

- $Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i}+\beta_{2} X_{i}^{2}+\ldots+\beta_{p-1} X_{i}^{p-1}+\xi_{i}$
- Xs can be indicator or dummy variables with 0 and 1 (or any other two distinct numbers) as possible values
- Interactions
- $Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i 1}+\beta_{2} X_{i 2}+\beta_{3} X_{i 1} X_{i 2}+\xi_{i}$


## Multiple Regression Parameters

- $\beta_{0}$ the intercept
- $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{p-1}$ the regression coefficients for the explanatory variables
- $\sigma^{2}$ the variance of the error term

\[

\]

## Least Squares

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \beta+\boldsymbol{\xi} \\
& \min (\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X b})^{\prime}(\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X b}) \\
& \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X b}=\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Least Squares Solution

$\mathbf{b}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}$
Fitted (predicted) values

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{\mathbf{Y}}=\mathbf{X b}=\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y} \\
=\mathbf{H Y}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Residuals

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{e} & =\mathbf{Y}-\hat{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& =\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{H} \mathbf{Y} \\
& =(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H}) \mathbf{Y}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H}$ is symetric and idempotent i.e.

$$
(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H})(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H})=(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H})
$$

## Covariance Matrix of residuals

- $\operatorname{Cov}(\mathrm{e})=\sigma^{2}(I-\mathrm{H})(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{H})^{\prime}=\sigma^{2}(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{H})$
- So,
- $\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\sigma^{2}\left(1-\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)$
- $\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{ii}}=\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}^{-1} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right.$
- $X_{i}^{\prime}=\left(1, X_{i 1}, \ldots, X_{i(p-1)}\right)$
- Residuals are usually correlated
- $\operatorname{Cov}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=-\sigma \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ij}}$


## Estimation of $\sigma$

$$
\begin{aligned}
s^{2} & =\frac{\mathbf{e}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}}{n-p} \\
& =\frac{(\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X b})^{\prime}(\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X b})}{n-p} \\
& =\frac{S S E}{d f \mathbf{e}}=M S E \\
s & =\sqrt{s^{2}}=\text { Root } M S E
\end{aligned}
$$

## Distribution of $\mathbf{b}$

- $b=\left(X^{\prime} X\right)^{-1} X^{\prime} Y$
- $\mathbf{Y} \sim N\left(X \beta, \sigma^{2}\right)$
- $E(b)=\left(\left(X^{\prime} X\right)^{-1} X^{\prime}\right) X \beta=\beta$
- $\operatorname{Cov}(b)=\sigma^{2}\left(\left(X^{\prime} X\right)^{-1} X^{\prime}\right)\left(\left(X^{\prime} X\right)^{-1} X^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$
$=\sigma^{2}\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$


## Estimation of variance of

 b- $\mathbf{b} \sim \mathbf{N}\left(\beta, \sigma^{2}\left(X^{\prime} X\right)^{-1}\right)$
- $\sigma^{2}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}$
- Is estimated by
- $\mathrm{s}^{2}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}$


## ANOVA Table

- To organize arithmetic
- Sources of variation are
-Model
-Error
-Total
- SS and df add
-SSM + SSE =SST
$-\mathrm{df} \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{dfE}=\mathrm{dfT}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \mathrm{df} \\
& d f \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{p}-1 \\
& d f \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{p} \\
& d f \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{n}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Mean Squares
MSM $=$ SSM $/ \mathrm{dfM}$
MSE =SSE/dfE
MST $=$ SST/dfT

## Mean Squares (2)

$\mathrm{MSM}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\hat{Y}_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2} /(p-1)$
$\mathrm{MSE}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-\hat{Y}_{i}\right)^{2} /(n-p)$
$\operatorname{MST}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2} /(n-1)$

## ANOVAF test

- $H_{0}: \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=\ldots \beta_{p-1}=0$
- $H_{a}: \beta_{k} \neq 0$, for at least one $k=1, \ldots, p-1$
- Under $\mathrm{H}_{0}, \mathrm{~F} \sim \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{p}-1, \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{p})$
- Reject $H_{0}$ if $F$ is large, use $P$ value


## Study of CS students

- Study of computer science majors at Purdue
- Large drop out rate
- Can we find predictors of success
- Predictors must be available at time of entry into program
- Can wictors must be available at time


## Data available

- GPA after three semesters
- High school math grades
- High school science grades
- High school English grades
- SAT Math
- SAT Verbal
- Gender (of interest for other reasons)


## ANOVA Table

Source SS df MS F Model SSM dfM MSM MSM/MSE Error SSE dfE MSE Total SST dfT (MST)

## Example

```
cs<-read.table ('csdata.dat', col.names=c("id", "gpa", "hsm", "hss", "hse", "satm", "satv", "gen")) ;
reg1<-lm(gpa~hsm+hss+hse, cs);
Anova (reg1) ;
summary (reg1);
```



## Hypothesis Tested by F

$\cdot \mathrm{H}_{0}: \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=\ldots \beta_{\mathrm{p}-1}=0$
$\cdot \mathrm{F}=\mathrm{MSM} / \mathrm{MSE}$
$\cdot$ Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ if the P value is $\leq .05$
-What do we conclude ?

## $\mathbf{R}^{2}$

- The squared multiple regression correlation ( $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ ) gives the proportion of variation in the response variable explained by the explanatory variables included in the model
- It is usually expressed as a percent
- It is sometimes called the coefficient of multiple determination


## $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ (2)

- $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{S S M} / \mathrm{SST}$, the proportion of variation explained
- $R^{2}=1$ - (SSE/SST), 1 - the proportion of variation not explained
- $F=\left[\left(R^{2}\right) /(p-1)\right] /\left[\left(1-R^{2}\right) /(n-p)\right]$
- The P-value for the F significance test tells us one of the following:
-there is no evidence to conclude that any of our explanatory variables can help us to model the response variable using this kind of model ( $\mathrm{P} \geq .05$ )
- one or more of the explanatory variables in our model is potentially useful for predicting the response variable in a linear model ( $\mathrm{P} \leq .05$ )


## Stat 512 Class 14

- Review multiple linear regression
- data
- Model

Inference for multiple regression (continued)
Diagnostics and remedies

## Data for Multiple Regression

- $Y_{i}$ is the response variable
- $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i} 1}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i} 2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ip}-1}$ are $p-1$ explanatory variables for cases $i=1$ to $n$
- $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i} 1}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i} 2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ip}-1}$ is the data for case $i$, where $i=1$ to $n$
- $Y / X$ is the data


## Multiple Regression Model

- $Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i 1}+\beta_{2} X_{i 2}+\ldots+\beta_{p-1} X_{i p-1}+\xi_{i}$
- $Y_{i}$ is the value of the response variable for the $i^{\text {th }}$ case
- $\beta_{0}$ is the intercept
- $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{p-1}$ are the regression coefficients for the explanatory variables


## Multiple Regression Model (2)

- $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ik}}$ is the value of the $\boldsymbol{k}^{\text {th }}$ explanatory variable for the $i^{\text {th }}$ case
- $\xi_{\mathrm{i}}$ are independent normally distributed random errors with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^{2}$


## Model in Matrix Form

```
Y = X
\beta+\xi
```

nx1 nxp px1 nx1

$$
\xi \sim \mathrm{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2} \mathbf{I}\right)
$$

$\mathbf{Y} \sim \mathbf{N}\left(\mathbf{X} \beta, \sigma^{2} \mathbf{I}\right)$

## Least Squares Solution

$$
\mathbf{b}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}
$$

## Estimation of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathbf{2}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s^{2}=\text { MSE } \\
& s=\text { Root MSE }
\end{aligned}
$$

## ANOVA F test

- $H_{0}: \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=\ldots \beta_{p-1}=0$
- $H_{a}: \beta_{k} \neq 0$, for at least one $k=1, \ldots, p-1$
- Under $\mathrm{H}_{0}, \mathrm{~F} \sim \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{p}-1, \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{p})$
- Reject $H_{0}$ if $F$ is large, using $P$ value we reject if $P$ leq 0.05


## $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$

- $\mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{S S M} / \mathbf{S S T}$, the proportion of variation explained by the explanatory variables


## Inference for individual regression coefficients

- $\mathbf{b} \sim \mathbf{N}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}\right)$
- $S^{2}{ }_{b}=\mathbf{s}^{2}\left(X^{\prime} X\right)^{-1}$
- $s^{2}\left(b_{i}\right)=S_{b}^{2}(i, i)$
- CI: $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}} \pm \mathrm{t}^{*} \mathrm{~s}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$, where $\mathrm{t}^{*}=\mathrm{t}(.975, \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{p})$
- Significance test for $\mathrm{H}_{0 \mathrm{i}}: \beta_{i},=0$ uses the test statistic $t=b_{i} / s\left(b_{i}\right)$, df $=d f E=n-p$, and the P -value computed from the $\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{p})$ distribution


## Example

- Dwaine Studios operates portrait studios in 21 cities
- Y is sales
- $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ is number of persons aged 16 and under
- $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ is per capita disposable income
- $\mathrm{n}=21$ cities


## R code

dwst<-read.table('ch06fi05.txt', col.names=c("young", "income",

```
"sales"));
```

reg<-lm(sales~young+income,
dwst);
summary (reg)

|  | Est | Std | t | p-val |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Int | -68.86 | 60.02 | -1.15 | 0.2663 |
| young | 1.45 | 0.21 | 6.87 | $2 e-06$ |
| income | 9.37 | 4.06 | 2.31 | 0.0333 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Residual standard error: | 11.01 |  |  |  |
| on 18 degrees of freedom |  |  |  |  |
| Multiple R-squared: 0.9167, |  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted R-squared: 0.9075 |  |  |  |  |
| F-statistic: | 99.1 on 2 and 18 |  |  |  |
| DF, p-value: | $1.921 e-10$ |  |  |  |

## confint (reg)

|  | $2.5 \%$ | $97.5 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Int | -194.9480130 | 57.233867 |
| young | 1.0096226 | 1.899497 |
| income | 0.8274411 | 17.903560 |

## Theory for $E\left(Y_{h}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)=\mu_{h}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\prime} \beta \\
& \hat{\mu}_{h}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\prime} \mathrm{b} \\
& \sigma^{2}\left(\hat{\mu}_{h}\right)=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\prime} \sum_{b} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{h}}=\sigma^{2} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{h}} \\
& s^{2}\left(\hat{\mu}_{h}\right)=s^{2} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{h}} \\
& C I: \hat{\mu}_{h} \pm s\left(\hat{\mu}_{h}\right) \mathrm{t}_{(0.975, \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{p})}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Estimation of $E\left(Y_{h}\right)$

- $X_{h}$ is now a vector
- $\left(1, X_{h 1}, X_{h 2}, \ldots, X_{h 1}\right)^{\prime}$
- We want an point estimate and a confidence interval for the subpopulation mean corresponding to $X_{h}$


## Estimation of $E\left(Y_{h}\right)(C L M)$

predict.lm(reg,
interval='confidence');

## $E\left(Y_{h}\right)$ Cl Output

## fit lwr upr

1 187.1841 179.1146 195.2536
2154.2294146 .7591161 .6998
$3 \quad 234.3963224 .7569244 .0358$
$4 \quad 153.3285146 .5361160 .1210$
$5 \quad 161.3849152 .0778170 .6921$

## Prediction of $\mathbf{Y}_{h}$

- $X_{h}$ is now a vector
- $\left(1, X_{h 1}, X_{h 2}, \ldots, X_{h 1}\right)^{\prime}$
- We want a prediction for $Y_{h}$ with an interval that expresses the uncertainty in our prediction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \text { Theory for } \mathbf{Y}_{\mathrm{h}} \\
& \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{h}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\prime} \beta+\xi \\
& \hat{\mathrm{Y}}_{\mathrm{h}}=\hat{\mu}_{h}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\prime} \mathrm{b}
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \sigma^{2}(\text { pred })=\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\mathrm{Y}}_{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)=\operatorname{Var} \hat{\mathrm{Y}}_{\mathrm{h}}+\sigma^{2} \\
& =\sigma^{2}\left(1+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& s^{2}(\text { pred })=s^{2}\left(1+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \\
& C I: \hat{\mu}_{h} \pm s(\text { pred }) \mathrm{t}(0.975, \mathrm{n-p})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Prediction of $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathrm{h}}(\mathrm{PI})$

predict. lm(reg,
interval='prediction');

## Prediction Intervals

 Output|  | fit | lwr | upr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 187.1841 | 162.6910 | 211.6772 |
| 2 | 154.2294 | 129.9271 | 178.5317 |
| 3 | 234.3963 | 209.3421 | 259.4506 |
| 4 | 153.3285 | 129.2260 | 177.4311 |
| 5 | 161.3849 | 136.4566 | 186.3132 |

## Diagnostics (2)

- Are the residuals approximately normal?
- Look at a histogram
- Normal quantile plot
- Is the variance constant?
- Plot the squared residuals vs anything that might be related to the variance (e.g. residuals vs predicted)


## Diagnostics

- Look at the distribution of each variable
- Look at the relationship between pairs of variables
- Plot the residuals versus
- Each explanatory variable
- Time


## Remedial measures

- Transformations such as Box-Cox
- Analyze without outliers

| Scatter Plot Matrix |
| :---: |
| pairs(~gpa+satm+satv,cs) |
|  |



