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Abstract. We study the integrability of Banach space valued strongly measurable functions defined on $[0,1]$. In the case of functions $f$ given by $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n} \chi_{E_{n}}$, where $x_{n}$ are points of a Banach space and the sets $E_{n}$ are Lebesgue measurable and pairwise disjoint subsets of $[0,1]$, there are well known characterizations for Bochner and Pettis integrability of $f$. The function $f$ is Bochner integrable if and only if the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n}\right|$ is absolutely convergent. Unconditional convergence of the series is equivalent to Pettis integrability of $f$. In this paper we give some conditions for variational Henstock integrability of a certain class of such functions.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we study the variational Henstock integrability of strongly measurable functions. It is well known (cf. [5], Lemma 5.1) that each strongly measurable Banach valued function, defined on a measurable space, can be written as $f=g+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n} \chi_{E_{n}}$, where $g$ is a bounded strongly measurable function, $x_{n}$ are vectors of the given Banach space and $E_{n}$ are measurable and pairwise disjoint sets. As each bounded strongly measurable function is Bochner integrable, it is enough to study
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integrability only for functions of the form $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n} \chi_{E_{n}}$. In the case of Bochner and Pettis integrals, a necessary and sufficient condition for integrability of a function given by $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n} \chi_{E_{n}}$ is, respectively, the absolute and the unconditional convergence of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n}\right|$ (see Theorem A). In the case of Kurzweil-Henstock or variational Henstock integrals, in general the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n}\right|$ is only conditionally convergent. So the conditions for integrability depend on the order of the terms $x_{n}\left|E_{n}\right|$. In [1], [3] and [4] conditions for the Kurzweil-Henstock integrability of functions of the form $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n} \chi_{E_{n}}$ are given. Here we go a bit further in this investigation. We give another characterization of the Kurzweil-Henstock integrability (see Theorem 3.1). The main results are Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 4.1. In the latter, a necessary and sufficient condition for the variational Henstock integrability of a special type of such functions is given. It needs a particular order of the sets $E_{n}$.

## 2. BASIC FACTS

Let $[0,1]$ be the unit interval of the real line equipped with the usual topology and Lebesgue measure. If a set $E \subset[0,1]$ is Lebesgue measurable, then $|E|$ denotes its Lebesgue measure. $\mathcal{I}$ denotes the family of all closed subintervals of $[0,1]$.

A partition in $[0,1]$ is a finite collection of pairs $\mathcal{P}=\left\{\left(I_{1}, t_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(I_{p}, t_{p}\right)\right\}$, where $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{p}$ are nonoverlapping subintervals of $[0,1]$ and $t_{i} \in I_{i}, i=1, \ldots, p$. If $\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} I_{i}=[0,1]$, we say that $\mathcal{P}$ is a partition of $[0,1]$. A gauge on $E \subset[0,1]$ is a positive function on $E$. For a given gauge $\delta$, we say that a partition $\left\{\left(I_{1}, t_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(I_{p}, t_{p}\right)\right\}$ is $\delta$-fine if $I_{i} \subset\left(t_{i}-\delta\left(t_{i}\right), t_{i}+\delta\left(t_{i}\right)\right), i=1, \ldots, p$.

Throughout this paper, $X$ is a Banach space with dual $X^{*}$. We recall the following definitions:

Definition 2.1. A function $f:[0,1] \rightarrow X$ is said to be Kurzweil-Henstock integrable (or simply KH-integrable) on $[0,1]$ if there exists $w \in X$ with the following property:

For every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a gauge $\delta$ on $[0,1]$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{p} f\left(t_{i}\right)\left|I_{i}\right|-w\right\|<\varepsilon
$$

for each $\delta$-fine partition $\left\{\left(I_{1}, t_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(I_{p}, t_{p}\right)\right\}$ of $[0,1]$. We set $(\mathrm{KH}) \int_{0}^{1} f:=w$.

Definition 2.2. A function $f:[0,1] \rightarrow X$ is said to be variationally Henstock integrable (briefly vH -integrable) on $[0,1]$, if there exists an additive function $F$ : $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow X$, satisfying the following condition:

Given $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a gauge $\delta$ such that if $\mathcal{P}=\left\{\left(I_{i}, t_{i}\right): i=1, \ldots, p\right\}$ is a $\delta$-fine partition in $[0,1]$, then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{p}\left\|f\left(t_{i}\right)\left|I_{i}\right|-F\left(I_{i}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon
$$

It is obvious that each vH -integrable function is KH -integrable. It is also well known that in the case of real-valued functions the variational Henstock and the Kurzweil-Henstock integrals are equivalent.

We recall the following classical result for the Bochner and Pettis integrals:
Theorem A ([2], page 55). Let $f=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n} \chi_{E_{n}}$, where $x_{n} \in X$ and the sets $E_{n}$ are Lebesgue measurable and pairwise disjoint subsets of $[0,1]$. Then
(1) $f$ is Pettis integrable if and only if the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n}\right|$ is unconditionally convergent;
(2) $f$ is Bochner integrable if and only if the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n}\right|$ is absolutely convergent.
In both cases $\int_{E} f=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n} \cap E\right|$, for every measurable set $E$.

## 3. Kurzweil-Henstock integrability

In [1], Theorem 1, a necessary condition for the Kurzweil-Henstock integrability of the function $f=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n} \chi_{E_{n}}$ is given. Here we prove that the condition is also sufficient.

Theorem 3.1. Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow X$ be defined by $f=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n} \chi_{E_{n}}$, where $x_{n} \in X$ and the sets $E_{n}$ are Lebesgue measurable and pairwise disjoint. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(A) $f$ is Kurzweil-Henstock integrable with

$$
(\mathrm{KH}) \int_{I} f(t) \mathrm{d} t=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n} \cap I\right|,
$$

for every interval $I \in \mathcal{I}$;
(B) for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exist a gauge $\delta$ and $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that given a $\delta$-fine partition $\left\{\left(I_{1}, t_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(I_{p}, t_{p}\right)\right\}$ of $[0,1]$ and given $s>r>k_{0}$ we have

$$
\left\|\sum_{k=r}^{s} x_{k}\left|\bigcup_{t_{j} \in E_{k}} I_{j}\right|\right\|<\varepsilon
$$

Proof. $(\mathrm{B}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{A})$ was proved in [1].
$(\mathrm{A}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{B})$ We assume that $f$ is Kurzweil-Henstock integrable with

$$
(\mathrm{KH}) \int_{0}^{1} f(t) \mathrm{d} t=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n}\right| .
$$

According to [3], Theorem 2, for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a gauge $\delta$ on $[0,1]$ such that if $\mathcal{P}:=\left\{\left(i_{1}, t_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(I_{p}, t_{p}\right)\right\}$ is a $\delta$-fine partition of $[0,1]$, then there exists $n_{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{n} x_{k}\left(\left|\bigcup_{t_{i} \in E_{k}} I_{i}\right|-\left|E_{k}\right|\right)\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \text { for all } n>n_{\mathcal{P}}
$$

Since the series $=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n}\right|$ is convergent, there is $n_{1}>n_{\mathcal{P}}$ such that if $s>r>n_{1}$, then

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=r}^{s} x_{i}\left|E_{i}\right|\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{3} .
$$

Hence, if $s>r>n_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{k=r}^{s} x_{k}\left|\bigcup_{t_{j} \in E_{k}} I_{j}\right|\right\| \leqslant & \left\|\sum_{k=1}^{s} x_{k}\left|\bigcup_{t_{j} \in E_{k}} I_{j}\right|-\sum_{k=1}^{s} x_{k}\left|E_{k}\right|\right\| \\
& +\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{r-1} x_{k}\left|\bigcup_{t_{j} \in E_{k}} I_{j}\right|-\sum_{k=1}^{r-1} x_{k}\left|E_{k}\right|\right\|+\left\|\sum_{i=r}^{s} x_{i}\left|E_{i}\right|\right\|<\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Variational Henstock integrability

The aim of this section is to formulate conditions for the variational Henstock integrability of a certain class of strongly measurable functions.

Proposition 4.1. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ be a decreasing sequence converging to zero such that $a_{1}=1$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$ be arbitrary and define $f:[0,1] \rightarrow X$ by $f=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n} \chi_{E_{n}}$, where each $E_{n} \subseteq\left[a_{n+1}, a_{n}\right)$ is Lebesgue measurable. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n}\right|$ is convergent;
(ii) $f$ is vH -integrable;
(iii) $f$ is KH-integrable.

In each case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (vH) } \int_{I} f=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n} \cap I\right| \quad \text { for every } I \in \mathcal{I} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n} \cap I\right|$ is uniformly convergent on $\mathcal{I}$.
Proof. (i) $\underset{\infty}{\Rightarrow}$ (ii) Assume that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n}\right|$ is convergent. Notice then that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n} \cap I\right|$ is convergent for every $I \in \mathcal{I}$. Let $F(I)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n} \cap I\right|$. Now we show that $f$ is vH -integrable. Without loss of generality we may assume that $f(0)=0$.

Let $\varepsilon>0$. Since the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n}\right|$ is convergent, there is $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $s \geqslant n \geqslant K$,

$$
\left\|\sum_{k=n}^{s} x_{k}\left|E_{n}\right|\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{4} .
$$

Moreover, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\delta_{n}:\left[a_{n+1}, a_{n}\right] \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a gauge such that if $\mathcal{P}=\left\{\left(I_{i}, t_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, p\right\}$ is a $\delta_{n}$-fine partition of $\left[a_{n+1}, a_{n}\right]$, then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{p}\left\|f\left(t_{i}\right)\left|I_{i}\right|-F\left(I_{i}\right)\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}}
$$

We may assume that $\delta_{n+1}\left(a_{n+1}\right)=\delta_{n}\left(a_{n+1}\right)$.
Define $\delta(t)$ on $[0,1]$ as follows:

$$
\delta(t)= \begin{cases}\delta_{n}(t) & \text { if } t \in\left(a_{n+1}, a_{n}\right) \\ \min \left\{\delta_{n}\left(a_{n}\right), \delta_{n-1}\left(a_{n}\right)\right\} & \text { if } t=a_{n} \\ a_{K} & \text { if } t=0\end{cases}
$$

Let us consider now a $\delta$-fine partition $\mathcal{P}=\left\{\left(I_{i}, t_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, p\right\}$ of $[0,1]$ and the corresponding sum

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{p}\left\|f\left(t_{i}\right)\left|I_{i}\right|-F\left(I_{i}\right)\right\|
$$

If $q \geqslant K$ is the largest integer such that $I_{1} \subset\left[0, a_{q}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|f\left(t_{1}\right)\left|I_{1}\right|-F\left(I_{1}\right)\right\| & =\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n} \cap I_{1}\right|\right\|  \tag{4.2}\\
& =\left\|\sum_{k=q}^{\infty} x_{k}\left|E_{k} \cap I_{1}\right|\right\|=\left\|x_{q}\left|E_{q} \cap I_{1}\right|+\sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} x_{k}\left|E_{k}\right|\right\| \\
& \leqslant\left\|x_{q}\right\|\left|E_{q} \cap I_{1}\right|+\left\|\sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} x_{k}\left|E_{k}\right|\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left\|f\left(t_{i}\right)\left|I_{i}\right|-F\left(I_{i}\right)\right\| \\
&=\left\|f\left(t_{1}\right)\left|I_{1}\right|-F\left(I_{1}\right)\right\| \\
&+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t_{i} \in\left(a_{n+1}, a_{n}\right]}\left\|f\left(t_{i}\right)\left|I_{i} \cap\left[a_{n+1}, a_{n}\right]\right|-F\left(I_{i} \cap\left[a_{n+1}, a_{n}\right]\right)\right\| \\
& \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}}=\varepsilon,
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the vH -integrability of $f$ and equality (4.1) for $I=[0,1]$.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) If $f$ is KH-integrable, its primitive $F(t)=(\mathrm{vH}) \int_{0}^{t} f$ is continuous on $[0,1]$. Let $F(I)$ be the additive interval function associated to $F(t)$. We have

$$
F([0,1])=\sum_{k=1}^{n} F\left(\left[a_{k+1}, a_{k}\right)\right)+F\left(\left[0, a_{n+1}\right]\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\left|E_{k}\right|+F\left(\left[0, a_{n+1}\right]\right)
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, the convergence of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n}\right|$ follows.
In the same way, setting $F_{I}(t):=(\mathrm{vH}) \int_{\alpha}^{t} f$ if $t \in I=[\alpha, \beta]$, we obtain (4.1).
Now we are going to prove that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n} \cap I\right|$ is uniformly convergent on $\mathcal{I}$.

Since $F$ is uniformly continuous, there is $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $I \subset\left[0, a_{n_{0}}\right]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n} \cap I\right|\right\|=\|F(I)\| \leqslant \varepsilon \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and $m>n_{0}$, then applying (4.1) and (4.3), we have the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| F(I)-\sum_{n=1}^{m} & x_{n}\left|E_{n} \cap I\right| \| \\
\leqslant & \left\|F\left(I \cap\left[0, a_{m}\right]\right)-\sum_{n=1}^{m} x_{n}\left|E_{n} \cap I \cap\left[0, a_{m}\right]\right|\right\| \\
& +\left\|F\left(I \cap\left[a_{m}, 1\right]\right)-\sum_{n=1}^{m} x_{n}\left|E_{n} \cap I \cap\left[a_{m}, 1\right]\right|\right\| \\
\leqslant & \left\|F\left(I \cap\left[0, a_{m}\right]\right)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n} \cap I \cap\left[0, a_{m}\right]\right|\right\| \\
& +\left\|\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n} \cap I \cap\left[0, a_{m}\right]\right|\right\| \\
& +\left\|F\left(I \cap\left[a_{m}, 1\right]\right)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n} \cap I \cap\left[a_{m}, 1\right]\right|\right\| \\
& +\left\|\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n} \cap I \cap\left[a_{m}, 1\right]\right|\right\| \\
(\stackrel{4.1)}{=} & \left\|\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n} \cap I \cap\left[0, a_{m}\right]\right|\right\|+\left\|\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n} \cap I \cap\left[a_{m}, 1\right]\right|\right\| \\
= & \left\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\left|E_{n} \cap I \cap\left[0, a_{m}\right]\right|\right\| \stackrel{(4.3)}{\leqslant} \varepsilon \text { for every } I \in \mathcal{I} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equality follows from the fact that $E_{n} \cap\left[a_{m}, 1\right]=\emptyset$ if $n>m$.
Reordering the sets $E_{n}$ in a suitable way, we obtain the following more general result:

Theorem 4.1. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ be decreasing sequences converging to zero such that $a_{1}=1$ and $a_{n+1} \leqslant b_{n} \leqslant a_{n}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$ be arbitrary and define $f:[0,1] \rightarrow X$ by $f=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_{k} \chi_{E_{k}}$, where $\left\{E_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a sequence of pairwise disjoint Lebesgue measurable sets of positive measure with the following properties:
(j) $\lim _{k} \operatorname{diam}\left(E_{k}\right)=0$;
(jj) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $\left\{E_{k}: E_{k} \subset\left[a_{n+1}, a_{n}\right]\right\}$ is split into two disjoint collections (one of them may be empty):

$$
\left\{E_{2 n-1, p_{i}}: \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \sup E_{2 n-1, p_{i+1}} \leqslant \inf E_{2 n-1, p_{i}}\right\} \subset\left[a_{n+1}, b_{n}\right]
$$

and

$$
\left\{E_{2 n, q_{i}}: \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \inf E_{2 n, q_{i+1}} \geqslant \sup E_{2 n, q_{i}}\right\} \subset\left[b_{n}, a_{n}\right] ;
$$

(jjj) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, \lim _{i} d_{H}\left(\left\{a_{n+1}\right\}, E_{2 n-1, p_{i}}\right)=0=\lim _{i} d_{H}\left(\left\{a_{n}\right\}, E_{2 n, q_{i}}\right)$, where $d_{H}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Hausdorff distance between two sets.
Let $c_{2 n-1, i}(I):=x_{n}\left|E_{2 n-1, p_{i}} \cap I\right|$ and $c_{2 n, i}(I):=x_{n}\left|E_{2 n, q_{i+1}} \cap I\right|, n \in \mathbb{N}$. We order the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_{k}\left|E_{k} \cap I\right|$ in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i, n+1-i}(I) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the following conditions are equivalent:
(a) the series (4.4) is uniformly convergent on the family $\mathcal{I}$;
(b) $f$ is vH -integrable;
(c) $f$ is KH-integrable.

In each case

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{vH}) \int_{I} f=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i, n+1-i}(I) \quad \text { for every } I \in \mathcal{I} \text {. } \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that if for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one has $\left\{E_{n_{p_{i}}}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right.$, for all $\left.i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}=\emptyset$, then $a_{n+1}=b_{n}$, and if $\left\{E_{n_{q_{i}}}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right.$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}\}=\emptyset$, then $a_{n}=b_{n}$. We may assume also that each interval $\left[a_{n+1}, a_{n}\right]$ contains infinitely many sets $E_{k}$ and $f(0)=0$.
(a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) It follows from Proposition 4.1 that if for every $I \in \mathcal{I}$ the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i, n+1-i}(I)$ is convergent, then $f$ is vH -integrable on every interval $\left[a_{n+1}, b_{n}\right]$ and $\left[b_{n}, a_{n}\right]$. Consequently, $f$ is vH-integrable on $\left[a_{n+1}, a_{n}\right]$ and

$$
(\mathrm{vH}) \int_{a_{n+1}}^{a_{n}} f=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i, n+1-i}\left(\left[a_{n+1}, a_{n}\right]\right) .
$$

Now let $F(I)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i, n+1-i}(I)$ for every $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and let $\varepsilon>0$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a gauge $\delta_{n}:\left[a_{n+1}, a_{n}\right] \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ with the property that for each $\delta_{n}$-partition $\left.\left\{\left(J_{1}, s_{1}\right), \ldots, J_{p}, s_{p}\right)\right\}$ of $\left[a_{n+1}, a_{n}\right]$ one has

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left\|f\left(s_{j}\right)\left|J_{j}\right|-(\mathrm{vH}) \int_{J_{j}} f\right\| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+2}}
$$

Taking $\min \left\{\delta_{n+1}\left(a_{n+1}\right), \delta_{n}\left(a_{n+1}\right)\right\}$, one may assume that $\delta_{n+1}\left(a_{n+1}\right)=\delta_{n}\left(a_{n+1}\right)$.

Let $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $k \geqslant k_{0}$ yields

$$
\left\|\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i, n+1-i}(I)\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad \text { for every } I \in \mathcal{I}
$$

Then, let $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that all sets $E_{j}$ built into some $c_{i, n+1-i}(I)$ with $n \leqslant k_{0}$ are contained in $\left(a_{n_{0}}, 1\right]$.

Define $\delta(t)$ on $[0,1]$ as follows:

$$
\delta(t)= \begin{cases}\delta_{n}(t) & \text { if } t \in\left[a_{n+1}, a_{n}\right], n \in \mathbb{N} \\ a_{n_{0}} & \text { if } t=0\end{cases}
$$

Let $\mathcal{P}=\left\{\left(I_{i}, t_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, p\right\}$ be a $\delta$-fine partition of $[0,1]$ and let us consider the sum

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{p}\left\|f\left(t_{i}\right)\left|I_{i}\right|-F\left(I_{i}\right)\right\|
$$

Without loss of generality, one may assume that the right end point of $I_{1}$ is equal to a point $a_{m}$ with $m>n_{0}$.

It follows that

$$
\sum_{j=2}^{p}\left\|f\left(s_{j}\right)\left|J_{j}\right|-(\mathrm{vH}) \int_{J_{j}} f\right\| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|f(0)\left|J_{1}\right|-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i, n+1-i}\left(J_{1}\right)\right\| \\
& =\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i, n+1-i}\left(J_{1}\right)\right\|=\left\|\sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i, n+1-i}\left(J_{1}\right)\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $f$ is vH -integrable.
(c) $\Rightarrow$ (a) Assume the KH-integrability of $f$ and let $\varepsilon>0$ and $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $I \subset\left[0, a_{n_{0}}\right]$ yields $\|F(I)\|<\varepsilon / 2$. In virtue of Proposition 4.1, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i, n+1-i}(J)$ is uniformly convergent to $F(J)$ on the family $\mathcal{I} \cap\left[a_{n_{0}}, 1\right]$. Let $k_{0}>n_{0}$ be such that if $m>k_{0}$, then $E_{m} \cap\left(a_{n_{0}}, 1\right]=\emptyset$ and

$$
\left\|F\left(J \cap\left[a_{n_{0}}, 1\right]\right)-\sum_{n=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i, n+1-i}\left(J \cap\left[a_{n_{0}}, 1\right]\right)\right\| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text { for every } J \in \mathcal{I} .
$$

If $I \in \mathcal{I}$ is fixed and $m>k_{0}$, then

$$
\left\|\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i, n+1-i}\left(I \cap\left[0, a_{n_{0}}\right]\right)\right\|=\left\|F\left(I \cap\left[0, a_{n_{0}}\right]\right)\right\| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

and so, taking into account (4.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|F\left(I \cap\left[0, a_{n_{0}}\right]\right)-\sum_{n=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i, n+1-i}\left(I \cap\left[0, a_{n_{0}}\right]\right)\right\| \\
& \leqslant\left\|F\left(I \cap\left[0, a_{n_{0}}\right]\right)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i, n+1-i}\left(I \cap\left[0, a_{n_{0}}\right]\right)\right\| \\
&+\left\|\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i, n+1-i}\left(I \cap\left[0, a_{n_{0}}\right]\right)\right\| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result, if $m>k_{0}$, then

$$
\left\|F(I)-\sum_{n=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i, n+1-i}(I)\right\| \leqslant \varepsilon \quad \text { for every } I \in \mathcal{I}
$$

which proves the uniform convergence of the series (4.5) on $\mathcal{I}$.
Remark 4.1. In the same way as Theorem 4.1 was deduced from Proposition 4.1, one can obtain subsequent generalizations of Theorem 4.1.
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