

# **Strategies and tactics in measure games**

*Grzegorz Plebanek, Piotr Borodulin-Nadzieja*

Lecce, December 2005

**Game** For a family of sets  $\mathcal{J}$  we consider game  $BM(\mathcal{J})$  with two players (**Empty**, who starts the game with an element of  $\mathcal{J}$  and **Non-empty**). Players have to choose sets from  $\mathcal{J}$  included in the last move of the adversary. Empty wins if the intersection of the game is empty.

**Strategy** A function  $\sigma: \mathcal{J}^{<\omega} \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}$  is called *winning strategy* for Nonempty in  $BM(\mathcal{J})$  if

$$\bigcap_{n \in \omega} K_n \neq \emptyset,$$

whenever  $(K_n)_{n \in \omega}$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{J}$  such that

$$K_{n+1} \subseteq \sigma(K_0, \dots, K_n)$$

for every  $n$ .

## Topology

If  $(X, \tau)$  is a topological space, we can consider a game  $BM(\tau \setminus \{\emptyset\})$ . It is usually called a *Choquet* game. It is convenient to say that a topological space  $X$  is Choquet if Nonempty has a winning strategy in  $BM(X)$ .

**Theorem** (Oxtoby) A nonempty topological space  $X$  is a Baire space iff Empty has no winning strategy in the game  $BM(X)$

**Corollary** Every Choquet space is Baire.

## Measures

For a measure  $\mu$  on  $\Sigma$  we can consider a game  $BM(\Sigma^+)$ . We will say that a measure  $\mu|_{\Sigma}$  is *weakly  $\alpha$ -favourable* if Nonempty has a winning strategy in  $BM(\Sigma^+)$ .

**Theorem** (Fremlin) Every weakly  $\alpha$ -favourable measure is perfect.

**Explanation** A measure  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  is *perfect* if for every measurable function  $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  and every  $E \in \text{Borel}([0, 1])$  such that  $f^{-1}(E) \in \Sigma$  we can find a borel set  $B \subseteq E$  such that

$$\mu f^{-1}(E) = \mu f^{-1}(B).$$

**Strategy** A function  $\sigma: \mathcal{J}^{<\omega} \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}$  is called *winning strategy* for Nonempty in  $BM(\mathcal{J})$  if

$$\bigcap_{n \in \omega} K_n \neq \emptyset,$$

whenever  $(K_n)_{n \in \omega}$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{J}$  such that

$$K_{n+1} \subseteq \sigma(K_0, \dots, K_n)$$

for every  $n$ .

**Tactic** A function  $\tau: \mathcal{J} \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}$  is called *winning tactic* for Nonempty in  $BM(\mathcal{J})$  if

$$\bigcap_{n \in \omega} K_n \neq \emptyset,$$

whenever  $(K_n)_{n \in \omega}$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{J}$  such that

$$K_{n+1} \subseteq \tau(K_n)$$

for every  $n$ .

**Theorem** (Debs) There is a class  $\mathcal{J}$  for which Nonempty has a winning strategy in  $BM(\mathcal{J})$  but doesn't have any winning tactic.

**Example** Let  $Baire$  be the algebra of subsets of  $[0, 1]$  with the Baire property, and  $\mathcal{M}$  the ideal of meager subsets of  $[0, 1]$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{J}$  the family  $Baire \setminus \mathcal{M}$ .

Nonempty has a winning strategy in  $BM(\mathcal{J})$ .

Nonempty doesn't have a winning tactic in  $BM(\mathcal{J})$ .

**Fact** Nonempty doesn't have a winning tactic in  $BM(\mathcal{J})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a countable base for the topology of  $[0, 1]$ , not containing the empty set. Assume for the contradiction that there is a winning tactic for Nonempty in  $BM(\mathcal{J})$ . Denote it by  $\tau$ .

For every  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  there is a  $V \in \mathcal{U}$  (*good* for  $U$ ) such that for every  $M \in \mathcal{M}$

$$\forall M \in \mathcal{M} \quad \exists N \in \mathcal{M} \quad N \supseteq M \quad V \subseteq^* \tau(U \setminus N).$$

Construct a sequence  $(V_n)_{n \in \omega}$  such that  $V_{n+1}$  is good for  $V_n$  for every  $n$  and  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} V_n$  contains at most one point.

The sequence  $(V_n)_{n \in \omega}$  is a framework of the play for which Nonempty's tactic fails.

We will say that a measure  $\mu|\Sigma$  is *weakly  $\alpha$ -favourable* if Nonempty has a winning strategy in  $BM(\Sigma^+)$ .

We will say that a measure  $\mu|\Sigma$  is  *$\alpha$ -favourable* if Nonempty has a winning tactic in  $BM(\Sigma^+)$ .

**Problem** Is every weakly  $\alpha$ -favourable measure  $\alpha$ -favourable?

countably compact  $\implies$   $\alpha$ -favourable  $\implies$  weakly  $\alpha$ -favourable  $\implies$  perfect

**Definition** Family of sets  $\mathcal{K}$  is *countably compact* if for every sequence  $(K_n)_{n \in \omega}$  of sets from  $\mathcal{K}$  such that its every finite intersection is non-empty, we have

$$\bigcap_{n \in \omega} K_n \neq \emptyset.$$

**Definition** (Marczewski) Measure  $\mu|_{\Sigma}$  is countably compact if it is inner regular with respect to some countably compact class  $\mathcal{K}$ , it means for every  $E \in \Sigma$  we have

$$\mu(E) = \sup\{\mu(K) : K \in \mathcal{K}, K \subseteq E\}.$$

**Question** Does every measure defined on sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  of  $Borel([0, 1])$  need to be countably compact?

**Theorem** (Fremlin) Every measure defined on  $\Sigma \subseteq Borel([0, 1])$  is weakly  $\alpha$ -favourable.

**Theorem** (Plebanek, PBN) A measure  $\mu|_{\Sigma}$  (where  $\Sigma$  as above) is countably compact provided there is a family  $\{B_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha < \omega_1}$  of analytic sets, such that  $\mu$  is regular with respect to the family of those  $E \in \Sigma$  for which there is  $\alpha < \omega_1$  such that  $E \subseteq B_{\alpha}$  is closed in  $B_{\alpha}$ .

**Theorem** (Plebanek, PBN) Every measure defined on sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $Borel([0, 1])$  is an image of a monocompact measure.

**Theorem** (Fremlin) Every measure defined on  $\Sigma \subseteq \text{Borel}([0, 1])$  is weakly  $\alpha$ -favourable.

- for  $n \in \omega$  and  $\psi \in \omega^n$  denote

$$V(\psi) = \{x \in \mathcal{N} : x(k) \leq \psi(k) \text{ for all } k < n\};$$

- let  $A_n$  be  $n$ -th move of Nonempty and  $B_n$  -  $n$ -th move of his adversary;
- let  $F_n \in \text{Closed}([0, 1] \times \omega^\omega)$  such that  $\pi(F_n) = A_n$ ;
- Nonempty will construct inductively collection of functions  $(\phi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  from  $\omega^\omega$  such that  $\mu^*(Y_n) > 0$ , where

$$Y_n = \bigcap_{k=0}^n \pi(F_k \cap ([0, 1] \times V(\phi_k|n)))$$

- Nonempty will construct inductively collection of functions  $(\phi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  from  $\omega^\omega$  such that  $\mu^*(Y_n) > 0$ , where

$$Y_n = \bigcap_{k=0}^n \pi(F_k \cap ([0, 1] \times V(\phi_k|n)))$$

and play the measurable hull of  $Y_n$  as  $B_n$ ;

- consider any sequence  $x_n \in Y_n$ , which is convergent (to some  $x \in [0, 1]$ );

- fix  $k \in \omega$ ;

- for every  $n \geq k$  we can find

$$y_n \in F_k \cap ([0, 1] \times V(\phi_k|n))$$

moreover we can assume that  $(y_n)_n$  converges to some  $y \in \omega^\omega$ ;

- then  $(x, y) \in F_k$  and thus  $x \in A_k$  but  $k$  was arbitrary.

## References:

- D. H. Fremlin, *Weakly  $\alpha$ -favourable measure spaces*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 165 (2000).
- G. Debs, *Stratégies gagnantes dans certains jeux topologiques*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 126 (1985).
- G. Plebanek, PBN, *On compactness of measures on Polish spaces*, *Illinois Journal of Mathematics* 42 (2005), no 2.