

# **On properties of measures on unit interval**

*Grzegorz Plebanek, Piotr Borodulin-Nadzieja*

Raspenava, February 2005

**Definition 1** Family of sets  $\mathcal{K}$  is **countably compact** if for every sequence  $(K_n)_{n \in \omega}$  of sets from  $\mathcal{K}$  such that its every finite intersection is nonempty, we have

$$\bigcap_{n \in \omega} K_n \neq \emptyset.$$

**Definition 2** [Marczewski] Measure  $\mu|_{\Sigma}$  is countably compact if it is inner regular with respect to some countably compact class  $\mathcal{K}$ , it means for every  $E \in \Sigma$  we have

$$\mu(E) = \sup\{\mu(K) : K \in \mathcal{K}, K \subseteq E\}.$$

**Definition 3** Family of sets  $\mathcal{K}$  is **monocompact** if for every **decreasing** sequence  $(K_n)_{n \in \omega}$  of sets from  $\mathcal{K}$  such that its every finite intersection is nonempty, we have

$$\bigcap_{n \in \omega} K_n \neq \emptyset.$$

**Question** Does every measure defined on sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  of  $Borel([0, 1])$  need to be countably compact?

**Theorem** [Fremlin] If  $\Sigma$  is  $\omega_1$ -generated the answer for the above question is **yes**.

**Corollary** (CH) Every measure defined on sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $Borel([0, 1])$  is countably compact.

**Theorem** [G. Plebanek, P.B.N.] Measure  $\mu|_{\Sigma}$  (where  $\Sigma$  as above) is countably compact provided there is a family  $\{B_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \omega_1}$  of analytic sets, such that  $\mu$  is regular with respect to the family of those  $E \in \Sigma$  for which there is  $\alpha < \omega_1$  such that  $E \subseteq B_\alpha$  is closed in  $B_\alpha$ .

**Theorem** [G. Plebanek, P.B.N.] Every measure defined on sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $Borel([0, 1])$  is an image of a monocompact measure.

**Game** For a family of sets  $\mathcal{J}$  we consider game  $BM(\mathcal{J})$  with two players (**Empty**, who starts the game with an element of  $\mathcal{J}$  and **Nonempty**). Players have to choose sets from  $\mathcal{J}$  included in the last move of the adversary. Empty wins if the intersection of game is empty.

**Definition 4** We will say that  $\mu|\Sigma$  is *weakly  $\alpha$ -favourable* if  $\Sigma^+$  is weakly  $\alpha$ -favourable class, it means Nonempty has a winning **strategy** in  $BM(\Sigma^+)$ .

**Definition 5** We will say that  $\mu|\Sigma$  is  *$\alpha$ -favourable* if  $\Sigma^+$  is  $\alpha$ -favourable class, it means Nonempty has a winning **tactic** in  $BM(\Sigma^+)$ .

**Theorem [Fremlin]** Every measure defined on  $\Sigma \subseteq \text{Borel}([0, 1])$  is weakly  $\alpha$ -favourable.

*Proof:*

- for  $n \in \omega$  and  $\psi \in \omega^n$  denote
 
$$V(\psi) = \{x \in \mathcal{N} : x(k) \leq \psi(k) \text{ for all } k < n\};$$
- let  $A_n$  be  $n$ -th move of Nonempty and  $B_n$  -  $n$ -th move of his adversary;
- let  $F_n \in \text{Closed}([0, 1] \times \omega^\omega)$  such that  $\pi(F_n) = A_n$ ;
- Nonempty will construct inductively collection of functions  $(\phi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  from  $\omega^\omega$  such that  $\mu^*(Y_n) > 0$ , where

$$Y_n = \bigcap_{k=0}^n \pi(F_k \cap ([0, 1] \times V(\phi_k|n)))$$

- Nonempty will construct inductively collection of functions  $(\phi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  from  $\omega^\omega$  such that  $\mu^*(Y_n) > 0$ , where

$$Y_n = \bigcap_{k=0}^n \pi(F_k \cap ([0, 1] \times V(\phi_k|n)))$$

and play the measurable hull of  $Y_n$  as  $B_n$ ;

- consider any sequence  $x_n \in Y_n$ , which is convergent (to some  $x \in [0, 1]$ );

- fix  $k \in \omega$ ;

- for every  $n \geq k$  we can find

$$y_n \in F_k \cap ([0, 1] \times V(\phi_k|n))$$

moreover we can assume that  $(y_n)_n$  converges to some  $y \in \omega^\omega$ ;

- then  $(x, y) \in F_k$  and thus  $x \in A_k$  but  $k$  was arbitrary.

**Hierarchy** The following implications occurs within the family of measures considered above:

