Introduction General methods Positive characteristic fields

# PAC differential fields in positive characteristic (joint work with Daniel Max Hoffmann)

Piotr Kowalski

Instytut Matematyczny Uniwersytetu Wrocławskiego

Second Graduate Students' Workshop on Algebra, Logic and Analysis Department of Mathematics and Physics, University of Szczecin, March 24–25, 2022

Introduction General methods Positive characteristic fields

### Plan of the talk

Introduction to PAC fields and PAC structures.

**2** General methods for understanding PAC structures.

**③** PAC fields of positive characteristic.

. . . . . . . .

## PAC fields

- The notion of a PAC (Pseudo Algebraically Closed) field originates from Ax's papers on pseudofinite fields (1960s), since finite fields are "more and more PAC" (Lang-Weil estimates).
- The names "PAC/Pseudo Algebraically Closed" were given by Frey in 1973. A field K is PAC, if each absolutely irreducible variety defined over K has a K-rational point.
- PAC fields show up in different model-theoretic contexts. Our interest comes from the model theory of group actions as explained in the previous talk.

(4月) (3日) (3日)

### PAC structures

- Let *L* be a language.
- We fix a stable *L*-theory T with quantifier elimination.
- Let  $T_{\forall}$  be the theory of *L*-substructures of models of *T* and let  $P \models T_{\forall}$ .
- We say that *P* is *T*-PAC, if any stationary (i.e. "irreducible" in a certain model-theoretic sense) type (consistent collection of formulas) over *P* is finitely satisfiable in *P*.
- We say that *T*-PAC is first-order, if the class of *T*-PAC *L*-structures is elementary (axiomatizable by an *L*-theory).

マヨト イヨト イヨト

## ACF case

- L: language of fields, T = ACF,  $T_{\forall}$ : theory of fields.
- For a field K, stationary types over K are implied by formulas of the form " $x \in V \setminus W$ ", where V, W are K-varieties and V is absolutely irreducible.
- There is a difference between K-variety ({x} is a F<sub>p</sub>(x<sup>p</sup>)-variety) and variety defined over K ({x} is not defined over F<sub>p</sub>(x<sup>p</sup>)) appearing in the definition of the classical PAC.
- Any *T*-PAC structure is definably closed. In particular, ACF-PAC fields are perfect, which need *not* happen for classical PAC fields. Non-perfect classical PAC fields will be recovered as "SCF-PAC" fields.
- Since any set V \ W contains a subset which is K-isomorphic to a K-variety, we get that ACF-PAC fields are exactly perfect PAC fields, and this class is known to be first-order.

Introduction General methods Positive characteristic fields

### Brief history of PAC structures

- Studying PAC structures beyond the case of fields was initiated by Hrushovski in the strongly minimal context.
- Pillay-Polkowska considered PAC in the stable case, there are slight differences with the approach we take here.
- PAC structures also appeared in Afshordel thesis.
- Recently, PAC structures were analized by Hoffmann and also by Dobrowolski-Hoffmann-Lee.



In this part of the talk, I will discuss two general contexts in which PAC structures are quite well-understood.

- Totally transcendental theories.
- Whetherian theories, that is theories with a built-in topology, which nicely interacts with the definable structure.

### Morley rank, Morley irreducibility and PAC

- In this part, we moreover assume that *T* is totally transcendental that is any formula has ordinal Morley rank and finite Morley degree (with respect to *T*).
- Main examples: ACF, DCF<sub>0</sub>, DCF<sub>0,m</sub>, CCM (the theory of compact complex manifolds).
- Let P ⊨ T<sub>∀</sub>. It is well-known that stationary types over P are implied by formulas of Morley degree 1. Hence we recover Hrushovski's definition (given for a strongly minimal T).
- If T = ACF, then formulas of Morley degree 1 correspond to constructible sets having unique component of maximal dimension which is absolutely irreducible. This recovers the classical definition of PAC as well (modulo perfectness).

## DMP and PAC being first-order

- DMP stands for Definable Multiplicity Property, where multiplicity here refers to the Morley degree.
- If T has DMP, then T-PAC is first order.
- ACF (and many other strongly minimal theories) have DMP.
- Freitag showed that  $\mathrm{DCF}_0$  does not have DMP.
- It is open whether CCM has DMP (partial results were obtained by Radin).

イロト イポト イラト イラ

### Uniform topologies

By a Noetherian theory, we mean a pair  $(T, \sum)$ , where T is an L-theory and  $\sum$  consists of L-formulas of the form  $\varphi(x; y)$  (x, y vary) s.t. for any  $M \models T$  and  $A \subseteq M$ , we have the following.

- A subset V ⊆ M<sup>|x|</sup> is A-closed, if and only if there is a ⊂ A and φ(x; y) ∈ ∑ such that V = φ(M; a).
- The family of A-closed sets constitutes the family of closed sets of a Noetherian A-topology.
- Constructible sets with respect to the *A*-topology coincide with *A*-definable sets (in Cartesian powers of *M*).

イロト イポト イラト イラト

### Properties of Noetherian theories

• Complete types over A are determined by A-closed A-irreducible sets V in the following way:

$$p_V := \{ "x \in C" \mid \operatorname{int}_V (C \cap V) \neq \emptyset \}.$$

- In particular, any Noetherian theory is totally transcendental (so also stable).
- Stationary types correspond to absolutely irreducible (irreducible in all *A*-topologies) closed sets.
- In particular, P is T-PAC iff for any absolutely irreducible P-closed set V and any non-empty relatively P-open U ⊆ V, we have that U(P) ≠ Ø.

イロト イポト イラト イラト

### Examples of Noetherian theories and "Noetherian-PAC"

- ACF (Zariski topology), DCF<sub>0</sub>, DCF<sub>0,m</sub> (Kolchin topology), CCM (Zariski analytic topology).
- For the theory ACF, we recover again the classical definition of PAC (modulo perfectness).
- In the cases of DCF<sub>0</sub>, DCF<sub>0,m</sub>, CCM, the topological description of definable sets of Morley degree one from the case of ACF does not hold anymore. Hence, we get a different (but equivalent) description of PAC structures here.
- This equivalent description of  $PAC-DCF_{0,m}$  was already given by Sanchez-Tressl.
- The notion of PAC-CCM seems to be new. Does it have any meaningful analytic interpretation?

### Definability of irreducibility

- As before: if the topological irreducibility in a Notherian theory *T* is definable, then *T*-PAC is first order.
- The topological irreducibility is definable in ACF.
- Topological irreducibility is also definable in CCM (Radin, originally Campana). In particular, PAC-CCM is first-order.
- It is open whether topological irreducibility is definable for  $\mathrm{DCF}_0$  (Ritt problem).
- But DCF<sub>0</sub>-PAC is still first-order (Pillay-Polkowska). More generally, DCF<sub>0,m</sub>-PAC is first-order (Sanchez-Tressl).

イロト イポト イラト イラト

### Three theories

I will discuss the following three stable theories of fields of positive characteristic. Let p be a prime and e be a positive integer.

- The theory  $\text{SCF}_{p,e}$  of separably closed fields of characteristic p and inseparability degree e (that is:  $[K : K^p] = p^e$ ).
- The theory  $\text{SCF}_{p,\infty}$  of separably closed fields of characteristic p and infinite inseparability degree.
- The theory DCF<sub>p</sub> of differentially closed fields of characteristic p.

## Set-up for $SCF_{p,e}$

L = L<sub>λ,b</sub>; the language of fields with constants for a *p*-basis (b<sub>1</sub>,..., b<sub>e</sub> s.t. after applying *p*-polynomials, we get a basis of K over K<sup>p</sup>) and unary λ-functions (the coefficients with respect to the above basis of K over K<sup>p</sup>).

SCF<sub>p.e</sub>

- T = SCF<sub>p,e</sub>: the L<sub>λ,b</sub>-theory of separably closed fields of characteristic p and inseparability degree e.
- *T* is stable and has elimination of quantifiers and elimination of imaginaries.
- *T*<sub>∀</sub>: the theory of fields of characteristic *p* and inseparability degree *e*.
- Side comment: there is a " $\lambda$ -topology" here, but it is not Noetherian.



## Description of PAC-SCF<sub>p,e</sub> fields

• Afshordel stated that the notion of PAC-SCF<sub>p,e</sub> fields coincide with the notion of (classically) PAC fields of characteristic p and inseparability degree e.

• It is quite easy to show and we immediately get that PAC-SCF<sub>p,e</sub> is first-order.

## $\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{SCF}_{p,e} \\ \mathbf{SCF}_{p,\infty} \\ \mathrm{DCF}_p \end{array}$

## Set-up for $SCF_{p,\infty}$

- L = L<sub>λ</sub>; the language of fields with multi-variable λ-functions (arguments contain *p*-independent elements). We can not use constant symbols for *p*-bases!
- T = SCF<sub>p,∞</sub>: the L<sub>λ</sub>-theory of separably closed fields of characteristic p and infinite inseparability degree.
- *T* is stable and has elimination of quantifiers, but no elimination of imaginaries.
- $T_{\forall}$ : the theory of fields of characteristic *p*.

## Description of PAC-SCF<sub> $p,\infty$ </sub> fields

 Afshordel: PAC-SCF<sub>p,∞</sub> fields are (classical) PAC fields of characteristic p and infinite inseparability degree.

SCF<sub>p.∞</sub>

• Proving that caused some difficulties and the following came to our rescue.

### Theorem (Tamagawa's Theorem)

Let V be an absolutely irreducible affine variety over a PAC field K of characteristic p > 0. Suppose that  $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in K[V]$  are p-independent in K(V) and  $p^m \leq [K : K^p]$ . Then, there is  $a \in V(K)$  such that  $f_1(a), \ldots, f_m(a)$  are p-independent in K.

• Using Tamagawa's Theorem, we can prove Afshordel's statement above.

## $\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{SCF}_{p,e} \\ \mathrm{SCF}_{p,\infty} \\ \mathrm{DCF}_p \end{array}$

## Set-up for $DCF_p$

- $L = L_{\lambda_0,D}$ ; the language of differential fields with an extra unary function symbol  $\lambda_0$  interpreted on K as the inverse of Frobenius on  $K^p$  and 0 elsewhere.
- $T = DCF_p$ : the theory of differentially closed fields of characteristic p (Shelah, Wood).
- *T* is stable and has elimination of quantifiers, but no elimination of imaginaries.
- $T_{\forall}$ : the theory of differential fields of characteristic *p*.



### Geometric axioms

### Geometric axioms of $DCF_0$ (Pierce-Pillay)

(K, D) is a differential field of characteristic 0 and for each pair of affine K-varieties (V, W) such that  $W \subseteq \tau^{D}(V)$  ("D-twisted" tangent bundle) and the projection  $\pi : W \to V$  is dominant, there is  $a \in V(K)$  such that  $D_{V}(a) \in W(K)$ .

- $\bullet$  Using these axioms, Pillay and Polkowska showed that  ${\rm DCF}_0\mbox{-}{\rm PAC}$  is first-order.
- Our strategy is similar here. We use geometric axioms of DCF<sub>p</sub>, I proposed almost 20 years ago.
- There is one extra difficulty here: admissible tuples. A tuple  $(V; f_1, \ldots, f_n)$  is admissible, if V is a K-variety and  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in K(V) \setminus K(V)^p$ .

 $SCF_{p,e}$  $SCF_{p,\infty}$  $DCF_p$ 

## Axioms for $DCF_p$ -PAC

#### Theorem (Hoffmann, K.)

A differential field (K, D) of characteristic p is  $DCF_p$ -PAC iff for each affine K-varieties (V, W) and each  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in K(V)$  s.t.

• W is absolutely irreducible,

• 
$$W \subseteq \tau^D(V)$$
,

- the projection  $\pi: W \to V$  is dominant,
- an extra "equalizer condition" corresponding to separability,
- the tuple (W;  $f_1 \circ \pi, \ldots, f_n \circ \pi$ ) is admissible;

there is  $x \in V(K)$  such that  $f_1(x), \ldots, f_k(x)$  are not p-th powers in K and  $D_V(x) \in W(K)$ .

Admissibility is first-order by Tamagawa, so  $\mathrm{DCF}_p$ -PAC is first-order.

## Model theory of finite group actions

Assume now that G is a group and let G-T<sub>∀</sub> be the theory of actions of G (by L-automorphisms) on L-substructures of T.

DCF<sub>n</sub>

- If  $G T_{\forall}$  has a model companion, then we call it G T and say that G T exists.
- Previous talk: G finite, T-PAC first-order implies G-T exists.
- Hence, for a finite G, we get:
  - **G**-CCM: supersimple of finite SU-rank.
  - G-SCF<sub>p,e</sub>: analyzed with Hoffmann already (strictly simple) in the context of model theory of finite group scheme actions.
  - **3** G-SCF<sub> $p,\infty$ </sub>: to be analyzed.
  - G-DCF<sub>p</sub>: to be analyzed.

## Question

 $\mathrm{DCF}_p$  results above can be widely generalized to "local" Moosa-Scanlon operators, or, more generally,  $\mathcal{B}$ -operators introduced by Gogolok and myself.

#### Question

Assume that T is stable and has quantifier elimination. Is the class of T-PAC structures (always) elementary?

DCF<sub>n</sub>

- Positive answer to this question implies that for a finite group *G* and *T* as above, the theory *G*-*T* exists. This is a question of Hoffmann.
- So far, we can not cover the theories DCF<sub>p,m</sub> (several commuting derivations in positive characteristic).



## Beyond stability

- If *T* is not stable, it is not clear (at least to me) what a "right" definition of *T*-PAC should be.
- One should test some simple and NIP theories, possibly with finite group actions.
- A theory of particular interest: ACVF, that is the theory of algebraically closed valued fields.
- It is planned further research.