

# Independence in structures and finite satisfiability

Vera Djordjević

# 1 INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  denote the (generic) random graph.

(1)  $G$  has the property that every sentence which is true in  $G$  is true in a finite subgraph of  $G$ ;

we call this the *finite submodel property*;

this is a consequence of the 0-1 law, the proof of which uses a probabilistic argument

The probabilistic argument relies on the fact that whenever  $A$  is a substructure of  $G$  and we remove or add an edge in  $A$  to get  $A'$ , then  $A'$  is also (isomorphic to) a finite substructure of  $G$ .

In this sense edges are *independent* of each other.

$Th(G)$  is  $\aleph_0$ -categorical, supersimple with SU-rank 1 and has trivial forking.

We would like to generalize the result (1) about  $G$  to structures  $M$  (not necessarily graphs) which are  $\aleph_0$ -categorical, have *finite* SU-rank and trivial forking.

It appears like, in order to carry out a probabilistic argument which proves the generalization, we need to assume that some notion of independence between definable relations holds for  $M$ .

## 2 PRELIMINARIES

We assume that  $T$  is a *countable complete simple* theory with elimination of hyperimaginaries.

REMARK: A simple theory which is  $\aleph_0$ -categorical or supersimple has elimination of hyperimaginaries.

Elements, sequences, sets and models/structures that we talk about come from a very saturated "monster model" of  $T$ .

DEFINITION: We say that a structure  $M$  has the *finite submodel property* if whenever  $M \models \varphi$ , then there is a finite substructure  $N \subseteq M$  such that  $N \models \varphi$ .

REMARK: (1) If  $M \models T$  and  $M$  has the finite submodel property, then  $T$  is not finitely axiomatizable.

(2) Suppose that  $M \models T$ ,  $T$  is enumerated as  $(\varphi_i : i < \aleph_0)$  and that  $M$  has the finite submodel property.

Then, for each  $k$ , there is a finite model  $N_k$  of  $\varphi_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_k$ . From a first-order perspective the sequence  $N_0, N_1, N_2, \dots$ , can be seen as better and better finite approximations of  $M$ .

### 3 INDEPENDENT SYSTEMS OF ALGEBRAICALLY CLOSED SETS

**NOTATION:** For every  $n < \aleph_0$ ,  $n$  also denotes the set  $\{0, \dots, n-1\}$  (or  $\emptyset$  if  $n = 0$ ).

Let  $\mathcal{P}(n)$  denote the power set of  $n$  and let  $\mathcal{P}^-(n) = \mathcal{P}(n) - \{n\}$ .

**DEFINITION:** We call  $\{A_s : s \in \mathcal{P}^-(n)\}$  an *independent system of algebraically closed sets* if for all  $s, t \in \mathcal{P}^-(n)$ :

- (1)  $A_s$  is algebraically closed.
- (2) If  $s \subseteq t$  then  $A_s \subseteq A_t$ .
- (3)  $A_s \downarrow_{A_{s \cap t}} A_t$ .
- (4) If  $|s| > 1$  then  $A_s = \text{acl}\left(\bigcup_{t \subset s} A_t\right)$ .

## 4 THE $n$ -EMBEDDING OF TYPES PROPERTY

DEFINITION:

- (i) Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_w : w \in \mathcal{P}^-(n)\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_w : w \in \mathcal{P}^-(n)\}$  be two independent systems of algebraically closed sets. We say that  $\{f_w : w \in \mathcal{P}^-(n)\}$  is an *elementary map* of  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{B}$  if, for every  $w \in \mathcal{P}^-(n)$ ,  $f_w$  is an elementary map from  $A_w$  onto  $B_w$  and if  $v \subseteq w$  then  $f_w$  extends  $f_v$ .
- (ii) We say that  $T$  has the  *$n$ -embedding of types property* if (1) - (4) implies (5), where
- (1)  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_w : w \in \mathcal{P}^-(n)\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_w : w \in \mathcal{P}^-(n)\}$  are independent systems of algebraically closed sets,
  - (2)  $\{f_w : w \in \mathcal{P}^-(n)\}$  is an elementary map of  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{B}$ ,
  - (3)  $\text{rng}(\bar{a}) \cap \text{acl}\left(\bigcup_{w \in \mathcal{P}^-(n)} A_w\right) = \emptyset$ ,
  - (4)  $a \in \text{rng}(\bar{a})$  and  $a \in \text{acl}\left((\text{rng}(\bar{a}) - \{a\}) \cup \bigcup_{w \in \mathcal{P}^-(n)} A_w\right)$  implies that  $a \in \text{acl}(\text{rng}(\bar{a}) - \{a\})$ ,
- and
- (5) There is  $\bar{b}$  and for every  $w \in \mathcal{P}^-(n)$  an elementary map  $g_w : \text{rng}(\bar{a}) \cup A_w \rightarrow \text{rng}(\bar{b}) \cup B_w$  which extends  $f_w$ .
- (iii) We say that  $T$  has the *strong  $n$ -embedding of types property* if (1) - (3) implies (5).

(iv) We say that  $T$  has the  *$n$ -embedding of types property for real types* (or *strong  $n$ -embedding of types property for real types*) if whenever  $\bar{a}$  is a sequence of real elements (i.e. elements of sort '=' ) and (1) - (4) hold (or (1) - (3) hold), then (5) holds.

## 5 EXAMPLES

(1) Every stable theory has the strong  $n$ -embedding of types property for every natural number  $n$ .

This is a consequence of the fact that every type (in a stable theory) over an algebraically closed set is stationary.

(2) The complete theory of the (generic) random graph has the strong  $n$ -embedding of types property for every natural number  $n$ .

(3) Let  $T_{tf}$  be the complete theory of the (generic) tetrahedron-free 3-hypergraph. Then  $T_{tf}$  is  $\aleph_0$ -categorical, simple with SU-rank 1 and has trivial forking, but does not have the  $n$ -embedding of types property for any  $n \geq 3$ .

## 6 INDEPENDENT STRUCTURES

Let  $T$  be a complete simple theory with elimination of hyperimaginaries.

Also, assume that there is  $m < \aleph_0$  such that no function symbol in the language of  $T$  has arity greater than  $m$ .

**DEFINITION:**

(i)  $T$  is *1-based* if for all sets  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  are independent over  $\text{acl}(A) \cap \text{acl}(B)$ .

(ii)  $T$  has *trivial forking* if whenever  $A \not\perp_B C_1 C_2$ , then  $A \not\perp_B C_i$  for  $i = 1$  or for  $i = 2$ .

**DEFINITION:**

(i) We say that  $T$  is *independent* if it is  $\aleph_0$ -categorical, simple with finite SU-rank, has trivial forking and has the  $n$ -embedding of types property for every  $n < \aleph_0$ .

(ii) A structure  $M$  is independent if its complete theory is independent.

**REMARK:**  $\aleph_0$ -categoricity, finite SU-rank and trivial forking implies 1-basedness.

## 7 MAIN THEOREM

**THEOREM:** If  $M$  is an independent structure then  $M$  has the finite submodel property.

**REMARK:** A stable structure  $M$  is independent if and only if it is  $\aleph_0$ -stable,  $\aleph_0$ -categorical and every strongly minimal set definable in  $M^{\text{eq}}$  has trivial pregeometry (given by the algebraic closure operator).

The class of *stable* independent structures has been studied by Lachlan, and it includes all stable finitely homogeneous structures, also studied and classified by Lachlan.

## 8 UNSTABLE EXAMPLES

(1) The random graph is an independent structure, with SU-rank 1.

(2) An example with SU-rank greater than 1:

Let  $1 \leq k < \aleph_0$ .

Let the vocabulary of the language  $L$  be  $\{=, E_0, \dots, E_k, R\}$  and let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the class of all finite  $L$ -structures  $A$  such that  $E_0, \dots, E_k$  are interpreted as equivalence relations, where  $E_{i+1}$  refines  $E_i$  for each  $i < k$ , and  $R$  is interpreted as a symmetric and irreflexive binary relation.

It is easy to verify that  $\mathcal{K}$  has the hereditary property and amalgamation property, which implies that  $\mathcal{K}$  has the joint embedding property (since no function symbols are present), so  $\mathcal{K}$  has a so-called Fraïssé-limit  $M$ .

**CLAIM:**  $M$  is an independent structure with SU-rank  $k + 1$ .

## 9 ROUGH PROOF SKETCH OF MAIN THEOREM

Let  $M$  be independent. (We want to show that  $M$  has the finite submodel property.)

*Step 1:* We find, using results from [D2], a structure  $N$  which is definable in  $M^{\text{eq}}$  (without parameters) such that  $(N, \text{acl}_N)$  is a pregeometry and  $M \subseteq \text{acl}_{M^{\text{eq}}}(N)$ .

*Step 2:* We show, with the help of results from [D1], that  $N$  has the finite submodel property.

This is where the  $n$ -embedding of types property is used as well as a probabilistic argument, which is part of the proof of the main theorems in [D1], one of which we apply here.

*Step 3:* A "transfer theorem" from [D2] roughly says that if  $M \subseteq \text{acl}_{M^{\text{eq}}}(N)$  and  $N$  has the finite submodel property then also  $M$  has it.

Thus we can conclude, from steps 1 and 2, that  $M$  has the finite submodel property.

[D1] *The finite submodel property and  $\omega$ -categorical expansions of pregeometries*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic, 139 (2006) 201-229.

[D2] *Finite satisfiability and  $\aleph_0$ -categorical structures with trivial dependence*, J. Symb. Logic, 71 (2006) 810-830.

## 10 THE COMPLETE $n$ -AMALGAMATION PROPERTY

Suppose that  $T$  is simple with elimination of hyperimaginaries.

**DEFINITION** (Kim, Kolesnikov, Tsuboi):

Let  $\{A_w : w \in \mathcal{P}^-(n)\}$  be an independent system of algebraically closed sets. We say that  $\{p_w(\bar{x}_w) : w \in \mathcal{P}^-(n)\}$ , where  $p_w(\bar{x}_w) \in S(A_w)$  for each  $w \in \mathcal{P}^-(n)$ , is a *coherent system of types over*  $\{A_w : w \in \mathcal{P}^-(n)\}$  if the following hold:

- (1) If  $C_w$  realizes  $p_w$  then  $C_w \supset A_w$  (so  $\bar{x}_w$  is an infinite sequence of variables).
- (2) If  $w \subseteq v$  then  $\bar{x}_w \subseteq \bar{x}_v$  and  $p_w \subseteq p_v$ .
- (3) For every  $w \in \mathcal{P}^-(n)$  there is a bijection  $f_w : C_w \rightarrow \bar{x}_w$  such that if  $C_w^\emptyset = f_w^{-1} \circ f_\emptyset(C_\emptyset)$ , then
- (4)  $C_w = \text{acl}(A_w \cup C_w^\emptyset)$  and  $C_w^\emptyset \downarrow_{A_\emptyset} A_w$  (for every  $w \in \mathcal{P}^-(n)$ ).

**DEFINITION** (Kim, Kolesnikov, Tsuboi):

We say that  $T$  has the *complete  $n$ -amalgamation property* if for every  $k < n$  we have:

If  $\{A_w : w \in \mathcal{P}^-(k)\}$  be an independent system of algebraically closed sets and  $\{p_w(\bar{x}_w) : w \in \mathcal{P}^-(k)\}$  is a coherent system over  $\{A_w : w \in \mathcal{P}^-(k)\}$ , then there is  $C_k$  which realizes every  $p_w$  and and  $C_k^\emptyset \downarrow_{A_\emptyset} \bigcup_{i \in k} A_{\{i\}}$ .

**REMARK:** The complete 3-amalgamation property follows from the independence theorem.

## 11 EXAMPLES AND A RELATIONSHIP

(1) The complete theory of the (generic) random graph has the complete  $n$ -amalgamation property for every natural number  $n$ .

(2) There is a stable theory which does not have the complete 4-amalgamation property. (See: De Piro, Kim, Young, *The type-definable group configuration under the generalized type amalgamation*.)

**THEOREM:** Suppose that  $T$  is simple with SU-rank 1. Let  $n \geq 3$ .

(i) If  $T$  has the complete  $n + 1$ -amalgamation property, then  $T$  has the  $k$ -embedding of types property for *real types* for every  $k \leq n$ .

(ii) If  $T$  has trivial forking and the complete  $n + 1$ -amalgamation property, then  $T$  has the *strong*  $k$ -embedding of types property for every  $k \leq n$ .

For a study of the (complete)  $n$ -amalgamation property, see:

Kim, Kolesnikov, Tsuboi, *Generalized amalgamation and  $n$ -simplicity*.