PROBABILITY AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS Vol. 1, Fasc. 1 (1980), p. 49-57

CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM IN $D[0, \infty)$ FOR BREAKDOWN PROCESSES

WŁADYSŁAW SZCZOTKA (WROCŁAW)

BÝ

Abstract. Main results are given in Theorems 1-3. Theorem 1 asserts that a mixture of independent random elements of (D, d)satisfying the Central Limit Theorem in (D, d) also satisfies the Central Limit Theorem in (D, d). Theorem 2 determines an upper bound for $P\{X(t_1) = X(t_2) \neq X(t)\}$, where $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$, t_2-t_1 is small and X is a breakdown process. Theorem 3 gives sufficient conditions under which a breakdown process satisfies the Central Limit Theorem in (D, d).

1. Introduction. A stochastic process X assuming values 0 and 1 at any time is called the *binary process*. The class of binary processes plays an important role in reliability theory. The assumption that the value 0 is taken on if a component of a system is functioning and the value 1 if the component is failed allows us to use the class of binary processes for describing the behaviour of a system. The first moment at which a system fails is an important characteristic. If the state of a system is described by the number of failed components, i.e. by a sum of binary processes, then such a moment can be defined as the first passage time into some set. Thus an investigation of the asymptotic behaviour of sums of binary processes seems to be important. A subclass of the class of binary processes, called *breakdown processes*, is considered in Section 3. For those processes we give sufficient conditions under which the normalized sums of independent and identically distributed breakdown processes converge in distribution to a Gaussian process.

2. Central Limit Theorem for mixture of independent random elements of (D, d). Denote by D the space of all real-valued right continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ which have left-hand limits in $(0, \infty)$. We consider D with metric

d defined in [5] where it was shown that (D, d) is a complete separable metric space. Let \tilde{D} denote the space of D-valued right continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ which have left-hand limits in $(0, \infty)$. The space \tilde{D} with metric \tilde{d} defined in [6] is a complete separable metric space. By D_0 we denote the set of those elements of D which are non-negative and nondecreasing. Let $(\tilde{D}^m, \tilde{d}^m)$ and (D_0^m, d^m) denote the Cartesian product of m copies of the spaces (\tilde{D}, \tilde{d}) and (D_0, d) , respectively. Define the mapping τ of $\tilde{D} \times D_0$ in \tilde{D} by $\tau(x, v) = x \circ v$, where $(x \circ v)(t) = x(v(t))$ for $x \in \tilde{D}$, $v \in D_0$, $t \ge 0$. In [6] it has been shown that τ is a measurable and continuous mapping on $\tilde{C} \times C_0$, where \tilde{C} and C_0 are subsets of the sets of continuous functions belonging to \tilde{D} and D_0 , respectively. By τ we denote the mapping of $\tilde{D}^m \times D_0^m$ in \tilde{D}^m defined by

$$\tau(x, v) = (\tau(x_1, v_1), \tau(x_2, v_2), \dots, \tau(x_m, v_m)),$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_m) \in \tilde{D}$ and $v = (v_1, v_2, ..., v_m) \in D_0^m$. Hence τ is continuous on $\tilde{C}^m \times C_0^m$, where \tilde{C}^m and C_0^m denote the Cartesian product of *m* copies of \tilde{C} and C_0 , respectively.

A random element Y of (D, d) is said to satisfy the Central Limit Theorem (CLT) in (D, d) if there exists a Gaussian process Z with sample paths in D which is the limit in distribution in (D, d) of the sequence $\{\zeta_n\}$, where

$$\zeta_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(Y_1(t) + Y_2(t) + \ldots + Y_n(t) - EY_1(t) - EY_2(t) - \ldots - EY_n(t) \right),$$

and $Y_1, Y_2, ...$ are independent copies of Y on the same probability space. Let $\delta = (\delta_1, \delta_2, ..., \delta_m)$ be the random vector of \mathbb{R}^m the components δ_i of which take on the values 0 or 1 and $\delta_1 + \delta_2 + ... + \delta_m = 1$. Put $p_i = P\{\delta_i = 1\}$ for $0 < p_i < 1$, i = 1, 2, ..., m.

THEOREM 1(¹). Let $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_m$ be independent random elements of (D, d) satisfying the CLT in (D, d). Then

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta_i Y_i$$

satisfies the CLT in (D, d). Proof. Let

$$\xi_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_{k,i} Y_{k,i}, \quad n \ge 1,$$

where $\{Y_{k,i}, k \ge 1\}$, i = 1, 2, ..., m, and $\{\delta_k = (\delta_{k,1}, \delta_{k,2}, ..., \delta_{k,m}), k \ge 1\}$ are independent sequences of independent copies of $Y_i, i = 1, 2, ..., m$, and of

(¹) Theorem I was suggested by Prof. C. Ryll-Nardzewski.

 $\delta = (\delta_1, \delta_2, ..., \delta_m)$, respectively. Notice that ξ_n has the same distribution as the random element

$$\widetilde{\xi}_n = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{\nu_{n,i}} Y_{k,i},$$

where $v_n = (v_{n,1}, v_{n,2}, ..., v_{n,m})$ is a random vector in \mathbb{R}^m with multinomial distribution, i.e.

$$P\{v_n = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)\} = \frac{n!}{k_1! k_2! \dots k_m!} p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \dots p_m^{k_m},$$

and v_n is independent of $Y_{n,i}$, $i = 1, 2, ..., m, n \ge 1$. Write

A

$$\begin{split} \tilde{Y}_{n,i}(t) &= \mathbb{E} Y_{n,i}(t), \quad t \ge 0, \quad A = (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m), \quad Y_{n,i} = Y_{n,i} - A_i, \\ \tilde{Y}_{n,i}(s) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor n s \rfloor} \bar{Y}_{j,i}, \quad \tilde{v}_{n,i}(s) = \frac{sv_{n,i}}{n}, \quad s \ge 0, \\ \tilde{Y}_n &= (\tilde{Y}_{n,1}, \tilde{Y}_{n,2}, \dots, \tilde{Y}_{n,m}), \quad \tilde{v}_n = (\tilde{v}_{n,1}, \tilde{v}_{n,2}, \dots, \tilde{v}_{n,m}), \\ V_{n,i} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} (v_{n,i} - np_i), \quad V_n = (V_{n,1}, V_{n,2}, \dots, V_{n,m}). \end{split}$$

Note that $\tilde{Y}_{n,i}, \tilde{v}_{n,i}, \tilde{Y}_n, \tilde{v}_n$ are random elements of $(\tilde{D}, \tilde{d}), (D_0, d), (\tilde{D}^m, \tilde{d}^m)$ and (D_0^m, d^m) , respectively, and $A_i \in D, A \in D^m$.

Using Theorem 1 from [2] we infer that $\{\tilde{Y}_{n,i}\}$ converges in distribution in (\tilde{D}, \tilde{d}) to a Gaussian random element \mathscr{W}_i of (\tilde{D}, \tilde{d}) . Furthermore, \mathscr{W}_i is a homogeneous random element of (\tilde{D}, \tilde{d}) with independent increments having continuous paths with probability one and such that $\mathscr{W}_i(0) = 0$ and $\mathscr{W}_i(1)$ has the same distribution as the limit in distribution of

$$\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{Y}_{j,i}\right\}.$$

Hence $\tilde{Y}_n \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{W} = (\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2, ..., \mathcal{W}_m)$ in $(\tilde{D}^m, \tilde{d}^m)$. By the law of large numbers and the Central Limit Theorem for multinomial distribution we have

$$v_n \rightarrow v$$
 a.e. and $V_n \stackrel{D}{\rightarrow} N = (N_1, N_2, ..., N_m)$

where $v(t) = (p_1 t, p_2 t, ..., p_m t)$ and N is a Gaussian random vector in \mathbb{R}^m the expected value of which is the zero vector. From the separability of the metric spaces \tilde{D}^m, D_0^m and \mathbb{R}^m it follows that $(\tilde{Y}_n, \tilde{v}_n, V_n)$ is a random element of $\tilde{D}^m \times D_0^m \times \mathbb{R}^m$ with the product topology. Hence and from properties of τ we obtain

(1)
$$(\tau(\tilde{Y}_n, v_n), V_n) \xrightarrow{D} (\tau(\mathscr{H}, v), N)$$

in $\tilde{D}^m \times R^m$ with the product topology. Since the mapping + is continuous on $\tilde{C} \times D$ (see [6]), so (1) yields

(2)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \tau(\widetilde{Y}_{n,i}, \widetilde{v}_{n,i})(1) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} V_{n,i} A_i \xrightarrow{D} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sqrt{p_i} \mathscr{W}_i(1) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} N_i A_i$$

in (D, d). The left-hand side of (2) is equal to the random element

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{v_{n,i}} \overline{Y}_{j,i} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (v_{n,i} - np_i) A_i$$

which at t is equal to

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\tilde{\xi}_n(t)-\mathrm{E}\tilde{\xi}_n(t)\right).$$

Hence we obtain the assertion of Theorem 1.

Note that the arguments above prove Theorem 1 also for $m = \infty$.

Remark 1. For m = 2 the covariance function r of the limiting Gaussian process is of the form

$$r(s, t) = pr_1(s, t) + (1-p)r_2(s, t) + \eta(s)\eta(t)p(1-p), \quad 0 \le s \le t < \infty,$$

where r_1 and r_2 are the covariance functions of Y_1 and Y_2 , respectively, and $\eta(t) = E Y_1(t) - E Y_2(t), t \ge 0$.

3. Breakdown processes. Let $\{u_n, n \ge 0\}$, $\{v_n, n \ge 1\}$, $\{u'_n, n \ge 1\}$ and $\{v'_n, n \ge 0\}$ be independent sequences of positive random variables which have no atoms at zero and are defined on the same probability space. Assume that u_0 and v'_0 have distribution functions (d.f.'s) G_0 and F_0 , respectively, u_n , u'_n $(n \ge 1)$ have d.f. G and v_n , v'_n $(n \ge 1)$ have d.f. F. Let

Let

$$Z_0 = u_0, \quad Z_n = Z_{n-1} + v_n + u_n, \ n \ge 1,$$

$$Z'_0 = 0, \quad Z'_n = Z'_{n-1} + v'_{n-1} + u'_n, \ n \ge 1.$$

Define the process X_0 setting $X_0(\omega, t) = 1$ if there exists an $n \ge 0$ such that $Z_n(\omega) \le t < Z_n(\omega) + v_{n+1}(\omega)$ and setting $X_0(\omega, t) = 0$ if $t \le u_0(\omega)$ or if there exists an $n \ge 0$ such that $Z_n(\omega) + v_{n+1}(\omega) \le t < Z_{n+1}(\omega)$. Analogically, define the process X_1 setting $X_1(\omega, t) = 1$ if there exists an $n \ge 0$ such that $Z'_n(\omega) + v'_n(\omega)$ and setting $X_1(\omega, t) = 0$ if there exists an $n \ge 0$ such that $Z'_n(\omega) + v'_n(\omega) \le t < Z'_{n+1}(\omega)$. Hence X_0 and X_1 are random elements of (D, d).

Let δ be a random variable taking on the values 1 and 0 with probability p and 1-p, respectively, 0 . Define the process <math>Xsetting $X = \delta X_1 + (1-\delta)X_0$. In reliability theory, X_0 , X_1 and X are known as breakdown processes (see [3], Section 7).

For each $s \ge 0$ define $\gamma(s)$ as the time to the nearest change (to the right of s) of a state of the process X, i.e.

$$y(s) = \inf \{t-s, t > s, X(s) \neq X(t)\}.$$

Similarly, for each $s \ge 0$ define $\gamma_0(s)$ and $\gamma_1(s)$ for X_0 and X_1 , respectively. All those random variables have no atoms at zero.

Let

$$\begin{split} H' &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F^{*n} * G^{*'}, \quad H_{0,0} = G_0 * F * H', \quad H_{0,1} = G_0 * H', \\ H_{1,0} &= F_0 * H', \quad H_{,1} = F_0 * G * H', \quad H_0 = (1-p) H_{0,0} + p H_{1,0}, \\ H_1 &= (1-p) H_{0,1} + p H_{1,1}, \quad H = H_0 + H_1, \end{split}$$

where * denotes the convolution operation of d.f.'s. All the functions are non-negative and non-decreasing.

LEMMA 1. For $0 \le s \le t < \infty$, $y \ge 0$ and i = 0, 1 we have

$$P \{\gamma_i(s) \leq y\} = \int_{s}^{s+y} (1 - G(s + y - u)) dH_{i,0}(u) + \int_{s}^{s+y} (1 - F(s + y - u)) dH_{i,1}(u),$$

$$P \{\gamma(s) \leq y\} = \int_{s}^{s+y} (1 - G(s + y - u)) dH_0(u) + \int_{s}^{s+y} (1 - F(s + y - u)) dH_1(u).$$
Proof. Put $p_{i,j}(s, y) = P \{X_i(s) = j, \gamma_i(s) > y\}$ for $i, j = 0, 1$. Note that
$$p_{0,1}(s, y) = P \{ \exists Z_n \leq s < Z_n + v_{n+1}, \gamma_0(s) > y \}$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 0} P \{Z_n \leq s < Z_n + v_{n+1}, Z_n + v_{n+1} - s > y \}$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 0} \int_{0}^{s} P \{u \leq s < u + v_{n+1}, u + v_{n+1} - s > y \} dP \{Z_n \leq u \}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{s} (1 - F(s + y - u)) dH_{0,1}(u).$$

Now

$$p_{0,0}(s, y) = P \{s < Z_0, Z_0 - s > y\} + P \{ \exists Z_n + v_n \leq s < Z_n + v_{n+1} + u_{n+1}, Z_n + v_{n+1} + u_{n+1} - s > y\}$$

= $1 - G_0(s + y) + \sum_{n \ge 0} \int_0^s (1 - G(s + y - u)) dP \{Z_n + v_{n+1} \leq u\}$
= $1 - G_0(s + y) + \int_0^s (1 - G(s + y - u)) dH_{0,0}(u).$

Analogically we obtain

$$p_{1.0}(s, y) = \int_{0}^{s} (1 - G(s + y - u)) dH_{1.0}(u),$$

$$p_{1.1}(s, y) = 1 - F_{0}(s + y) + \int_{0}^{s} (1 - F(s + y - u)) dH_{1.1}(u).$$

Notice that

(3)
$$P \{\gamma_0(s) > y\} = \int_0^s (1 - F(s + y - u)) dH_{0,1}(u) +$$

+ $\int_0^s (1 - G(s + y - u)) dH_{0,0}(u) + 1 - G_0(s + y),$
(4) $P \{\gamma_1(s) > y\} = \int_0^s (1 - F(s + y - u)) dH_{1,1}(u) +$
+ $\int_0^s (1 - G(s + y - u)) dH_{1,0}(u) + 1 - F_0(s + y).$

Setting y = 0 in (3) and (4) and using the fact that

$$P\{\gamma_0(s) > 0\} = P\{\gamma_1(s) > 0\} = 1$$

we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{s} (1 - F(s - u)) dH_{i,1}(u) + \int_{0}^{s} (1 - G(s - u)) dH_{i,0}(u) = \begin{cases} G_{0}(s) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ F_{0}(s) & \text{if } i = 1. \end{cases}$$

Now, putting the so-calculated $G_0(s+y)$ and $F_0(s+y)$ in (3) and (4), respectively, we obtain the assertion of Lemma 1 for i = 0, 1. The second assertion follows from the first one and from the definition of X.

COROLLARY 1. For $0 \le s \le t < \infty$ and i = 0, 1 we have

$$P\left\{\gamma_i(s) \leq t-s\right\} \leq H_i(t) - H_i(s), \quad P\left\{\gamma(s) \leq t-s\right\} \leq H(t) - H(s).$$

Define a function \tilde{F} by

$$\tilde{F}(t) = \max \{G_0(t), F_0(t), G(t), F(t)\}, \quad t \ge 0.$$

LEMMA 2. For $0 \leq t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$ and i = 0, 1 we have

(5)
$$P\{X_i(t_1) = X_i(t_2) \neq X_i(t)\} \leq P\{\gamma_i(t_1) < t - t_1\} \tilde{F}(t_2 - t_1),$$

(6)
$$P\{X(t_1) = X(t_2) \neq X(t)\} \leq P\{\gamma(t_1) < t - t_1\} \tilde{F}(t_2 - t_1).$$

Proof. We prove (5) for i = 0. The other assertions are proved in a similar way.

Define random variables s_n and S_n by $s_{2n} = u_n$, $s_{2n+1} = v_{n+1}$ and $S_n = s_0 + s_1 + \ldots + s_{n-1}$, $n \ge 1$. Let

$$N(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s_0 > t, \\ \max \{k: S_{k-1} \leq t\} & \text{if } s_0 \leq t. \end{cases}$$

If $X_0(t_1) = X_0(t_2) \neq X(t)$ for $t_1 < t < t_2$, then $N(t_1) < N(t) < N(t_2)$. Thus

(7)
$$P\{X_0(t_1) = X_0(t_2) \neq X_0(t)\} \leq P\{S_{N(t_1)} < t, S_{N(t)} < t_2, N(t) - N(t_1) > 0\}$$
$$= \int_0^{t-t_1} P\{S_{N(t)} < t_2, N(t) - N(t_1) > 0 \mid S_{N(t_1)} - t_1 = u\} dP\{S_{N(t_1)} - t_1 \leq u\}.$$

Since $S_{N(t_1)} - t_1 = \gamma_0(t_1)$, the right-hand side of (7) is equal to

$$\int_{0}^{t-t_{1}} P\{S_{N(t)} < t_{2}, N(t) - N(t_{1}) > 0 \mid \gamma_{0}(t_{1}) = u\} dP\{\gamma_{0}(t_{1}) \leq u\}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t-t_{1}} P\{S_{N(t)} - S_{N(t_{1})} < t_{2} - t_{1} - u, N(t) - N(t_{1}) > 0 \mid \gamma_{0}(t_{1}) = u\} dP\{\gamma_{0}(t_{1}) \leq u\}.$$

Note that the integrand does not exceed

$$(8) \quad P\{S_{N(t)} - S_{N(t_1)} < t_2 - t_1, N(t) - N(t_1) > 0 | \gamma_0(t_1) = u\} \\ = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1=0}^{k-1} P\{S_k - S_{k_1} < t_2 - t_1 | N(t) = k, N(t_1) = k_1, \gamma_0(t_1) = u\} \times \\ \times P\{N(t) = k, N(t_1) = k_1 | \gamma_0(t_1) = u\} \\ \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1=0}^{k-1} \tilde{F}(t_2 - t_1) P\{N(t) = k, N(t_1) = k_1 | \gamma_0(t_1) = u\} \leqslant \tilde{F}(t_2 - t_1).$$

Hence in view of (7) and (8) we obtain (5) for i = 0. THEOREM 2. If

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\tilde{F}(t)t^{-\alpha}<\infty \quad \text{for some } \alpha>0,$$

then for each c > 0 there exists a number b such that for $t_1 \le t \le t_2$ and i = 0, 1 the following inequalities hold:

(9)
$$P\{X_i(t_1) = X_i(t_2) \neq X_i(t)\} \leq b(\tilde{H}_i(t_2) - \tilde{H}_i(t_1))^{2\beta_1},$$

(10)
$$P\{X(t_1) = X(t_2) \neq X(t)\} \leq b(\tilde{H}(t_2) - \tilde{H}(t_1))^{2\beta_1},$$

where $\beta_1 = \min(1, \alpha)$, $\tilde{H}_i(t) = H_i(t) + t$, $\tilde{H}(t) = H(t) + t$.

Proof. There exist numbers u and $b_1, u \leq c$, such that $\tilde{F}(t) \leq b_1 t^{\alpha}$ for $t \leq u$. Hence for c there exists a constant b_2 such that $\tilde{F}(t) \leq b_2 t^{\alpha}$ for

 $t \leq c$. By Lemma 2 and Corollary 1, for $0 \leq t_1 \leq t \leq t_2 \leq c$ we have (11) $P\{X_i(t_1) = X_i(t_2) \neq X_i(t)\} \leq b_2(H_i(t_2) - H_i(t_1))(t_2 - t_1)^{\alpha}$.

Now there exists a number b such that the right-hand side of (11) does not exceed

$$b(H_i(t_2) - H_i(t_1)(t_2 - t_1))^{\beta_1} \leq b(\tilde{H}_i(t_2) - H_i(t_1))^{2\beta_1}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

4. CLT for breakdown processes. From the definition of $X = \delta X_1 + + (1-\delta)X_0$ and Theorem 1 we get

COROLLARY 2. If X_1 and X_0 satisfy the CLT in (D, d), then X satisfies the CLT in (D, d).

The following technical lemma will be used in the sequel:

LEMMA 3. If u and v are random variables such that $|u| \leq 2$, $|v| \leq 2$, $Eu^2 \leq a$, $Ev^2 \leq a$ and $Eu^2v^2 \leq a^{2\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$, then

 $\mathbf{E}(u-\mathbf{E}u)^2(v-\mathbf{E}v)^2 \leq Aa^{\beta},$

where $\beta = \min(2\alpha, 3/2)$, $A = 90 B^{\beta_0 - \beta}$, $\beta_0 = 2 \max(1, \alpha)$, and B is such that B > 1 and 16 a/B < 1.

Proof. Calculating $(u-Eu)^2 (v-Ev)^2$, taking the expectation and next using the Schwarz inequality, we see that $E (u-Eu)^2 (v-Ev)^2$ does not exceed

(12)
$$Eu^2v^2 + 3Eu^2Ev^2 + 2Ev^2(Eu^4)^{1/2} + 2Eu^2(Ev^4)^{1/2} + 4(Eu^2Ev^2Eu^4Ev^4)^{1/2}.$$

By assumptions we infer that (12) does not exceed

$$a^{2\alpha} + 7a^2 + 16a^{3/2} \leq B^{\beta_0} \left[\left(\frac{a}{B} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{7a}{B} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{16a}{B} \right)^{3/2} \right]$$
$$\leq B^{\beta_0} \left[\left(\frac{a}{B} \right)^{\beta} + \left(\frac{7a}{B} \right)^{\beta} + \left(\frac{16a}{B} \right)^{\beta} \right] \leq Aa^{\beta} B^{\beta_0 - \beta}.$$

This completes the proof.

THEOREM 3. If

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \tilde{F}(t) t^{-\alpha} < \infty \quad \text{for some } \alpha > \frac{1}{2}$$

and H_0 and H_1 are continuous, then X_0 and X_1 satisfy the CLT in (D, t). Proof. Note that the following equalities are true for $0 \le t_1 \le t$ $\le t_2 < \infty$ and i = 0, 1:

(13)
$$E(X_i(t) - X_i(t_1))^2 = P\{X_i(t_1) \neq X_i(t)\} \leq P\{\gamma_i(t_1) < t - t_1\},$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left(X_i(t) - X_i(t_1) \right)^2 \left(X_i(t_2) - X_i(t) \right)^2 = P \left\{ X_i(t_1) = X_i(t_2) \neq X_i(t) \right\}.$$

By (13) and Corollary 1 we have

$$E(X_{i}(t) - EX_{i}(t) - X_{i}(t_{1}) + EX_{i}(t_{1}))^{2} \leq E(X_{i}(t) - X_{i}(t_{1}))^{2} \leq H_{i}(t) - H_{i}(t_{1}).$$

Now Lemma 3 yields, for $0 \leq t_{1} \leq t \leq t_{2} \leq c$,
$$E(X_{i}(t) - EX_{i}(t) - X_{i}(t_{1}) + EX_{i}(t_{1}))^{2} (X_{i}(t_{2}) - EX_{i}(t_{2}) - X_{i}(t) + EX_{i}(t))^{2} \leq A(H_{i}(t_{2}) + t_{2} - H_{i}(t_{1}) - t_{1})^{\beta},$$

where A depends on c and $\beta = \min(2\alpha, 3/2)$. Now using Theorem 2 from [4] we infer that X_i (i = 0, 1) satisfy the CLT in D[0, c], c > 0, with the Skorohod topology. Hence, in view of Theorem 3' in [5], we conclude that X_i (i = 0, 1) satisfy the CLT in (D, d). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Using the arguments analogical to those in [1] (see p. 151-153) it can be shown that if F_0 , G_0 , F and G are continuous, then X does not satisfy the CLT in D endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta in $[0, \infty)$. It is a consequence of the fact that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(X_1+X_2+\ldots+X_n),$$

with X_i being independent copies of X, is a random element of (D, d) but not a random element of D with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta in $[0, \infty)$.

The author wishes to thank Professor C. Ryll-Nardzewski for his helpful comments and Dr. T. Byczkowski for discussions and critical reading of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

[1] P. Billingsley, Convergence of probability measures, John Wiley, New York 1968.

- [2] T. Byczkowski and T. Inglot, The invariance principle for vector-valued random elements (to appear).
- [3] D. R. Cox, Renewal theory, New York 1963.
- [4] M. G. Hahn, Central Limit Theorems in D[0, 1], Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 44 (1978), p. 89-101.
- [5] T. Lindvall, Weak convergence of probability measures and random functions in the function space $D[0, \infty)$, J. Appl. Probability 10 (1973), p. 109-121.

[6] W. Whitt, Some useful functions for functional limit theorems (to appear).

Institute of Mathematics, Wrocław University pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland

Received on 22. 6. 1979

en en fan de fan de fan de fan de skelende skelende skelende skelende skelende skelende skelende skelende skel