

# KACZMARZ ALGORITHM WITH RELAXATION IN HILBERT SPACE

RYSZARD SZWARC AND GRZEGORZ ŚWIDERSKI

ABSTRACT. We study the relaxed Kaczmarz algorithm in Hilbert space. The connection with non relaxed algorithm is examined. In particular we give sufficient conditions when relaxation leads to the convergence of the algorithm independently of the relaxation coefficients.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a linearly dense sequence of unit vectors in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned}x_0 &= \langle x, e_0 \rangle e_0, \\x_n &= x_{n-1} + \langle x - x_{n-1}, e_n \rangle e_n.\end{aligned}$$

The formula is called the Kaczmarz algorithm ([3]).

In this work we fix a sequence of relaxation coefficients  $\lambda = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  so that  $0 < \lambda_n < 2$  for any  $n$ . Then we define

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned}x_0 &= \lambda_0 \langle x, e_0 \rangle e_0, \\x_n &= x_{n-1} + \lambda_n \langle x - x_{n-1}, e_n \rangle e_n.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $Q_n$  denote the orthogonal projection onto the line  $\mathbb{C}e_n$  and let  $P_n = I - Q_n$ . Then (1.1) takes the form

$$(1.2) \quad x_n = x_{n-1} + \lambda_n Q_n(x - x_{n-1}).$$

The last formula can be transformed into

$$(1.3) \quad x - x_n = (I - \lambda_n Q_n)(x - x_{n-1}) = [(1 - \lambda_n)Q_n + P_n](x - x_{n-1}).$$

Define

$$(1.4) \quad R_n = (1 - \lambda_n)Q_n + P_n.$$

Clearly  $R_n$  is a contraction. Iterating (1.3) gives

$$x - x_n = R_n R_{n-1} \dots R_0 x.$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 41A65.

*Key words and phrases*. Kaczmarz algorithm, Hilbert space, Gram matrix, relaxation, tight frame.

We are interested in determining when the algorithm converges, i.e.  $x_n \rightarrow x$  for any  $x$  in the space.

The property is always satisfied in a finite dimensional space and periodic choice of vectors and relaxation coefficients. Indeed, let  $\dim \mathcal{H} < +\infty$  and  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{\lambda_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be  $N$ -periodic. For  $A = R_{N-1} \dots R_1 R_0$  it suffices to show that  $A^n$  tends to zero. We claim that  $\|A\| < 1$ . If not, there is a vector  $x$  such that  $\|Ax\| = \|x\| = 1$ . Then  $\|R_0 x\| \geq \|Ax\| = \|x\|$ , hence  $R_0 x = x$  which implies  $P_0 x = x$ . In the same way  $P_1 x = x, \dots, P_{N-1} x = x$ , which implies that  $x \perp e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{N-1}$ . As the vectors  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$  are linearly dense we get  $x = 0$ . The speed of convergence in finite dimensional case has been studied in [2].

In the infinite dimensional case this work is a natural continuation of [6] where the non relaxed algorithm was studied in detail. In particular convergence was characterized in terms of the Gram matrix of the vectors  $e_n$ .

## 2. MAIN FORMULAS

Define vectors  $g_n$  recursively by

$$(2.1) \quad g_n = \lambda_n e_n - \lambda_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \langle e_n, e_k \rangle g_k.$$

(see [4]). Then by straightforward induction it can be verified that

$$(2.2) \quad x_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \langle x, g_k \rangle e_k.$$

As the images of projections  $P_n$  and  $Q_n$  are mutually orthogonal in view of (1.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - x_n\|^2 &= (1 - \lambda_n)^2 \|Q_n(x - x_{n-1})\|^2 + \|P_n(x - x_{n-1})\|^2 \\ \|x - x_{n-1}\|^2 &= \|Q_n(x - x_{n-1})\|^2 + \|P_n(x - x_{n-1})\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting sidewise gives

$$\|x - x_{n-1}\|^2 - \|x - x_n\|^2 = \lambda_n(2 - \lambda_n) \|Q_n(x - x_{n-1})\|^2$$

By (1.2) we thus get

$$(2.3) \quad \|x - x_{n-1}\|^2 - \|x - x_n\|^2 = \frac{2-\lambda_n}{\lambda_n} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2.$$

Now taking (2.2) into account results in

$$\|x - x_{n-1}\|^2 - \|x - x_n\|^2 = \frac{2-\lambda_n}{\lambda_n} |\langle x, g_n \rangle|^2.$$

By summing up the last formula we obtain

$$\|x\|^2 - \lim_n \|x - x_n\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2-\lambda_n}{\lambda_n} |\langle x, g_n \rangle|^2.$$

Therefore the algorithm converges if and only if

$$(2.4) \quad \|x\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2-\lambda_n}{\lambda_n} |\langle x, g_n \rangle|^2, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Define

$$h_n = \sqrt{\frac{2-\lambda_n}{\lambda_n}} g_n, \quad f_n = \sqrt{\frac{2-\lambda_n}{\lambda_n}} e_n.$$

Then (2.1) takes the form

$$(2.5) \quad h_n = f_n - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2-\lambda_k} \langle f_n, f_k \rangle h_k.$$

In view of (2.4) the algorithm converges if and only if

$$(2.6) \quad \|x\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle x, h_n \rangle|^2, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The last condition states that  $\{h_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a so called tight frame (see [1], cf. [6]). Equivalently the sequence  $h_n$  is linearly dense and the Gram matrix of the vectors  $h_n$  is a projection.

We are now going to describe the Gram matrix of the vectors  $h_n$  in more detail.

Define the lower triangular matrix  $M_\lambda$  by the formula

$$(2.7) \quad (M_\lambda)_{nk} = \frac{1}{2-\lambda_k} \langle f_n, f_k \rangle, \quad n > k.$$

Thus (2.5) can be rewritten as

$$(2.8) \quad f_n = h_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (M_\lambda)_{nk} h_k$$

Let  $U_\lambda$  be the lower triangular matrix defined by

$$(2.9) \quad (I + U_\lambda)(I + M_\lambda) = I.$$

Denote

$$(U_\lambda)_{nk} = c_{nk}, \quad n > k.$$

Then (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9) imply

$$h_n = f_n + \sum_{k=0}^n c_{nk} f_k.$$

Moreover we get

$$(2.10) \quad \langle h_i, h_j \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^i c_{ik} \sum_{l=0}^j \bar{c}_{jl} \langle f_k, f_l \rangle = \langle (I + U_\lambda) F_\lambda (I + U_\lambda^*) \delta_j, \delta_i \rangle,$$

where  $F_\lambda$  denotes the Gram matrix of the vectors  $f_n$ , i.e.

$$(2.11) \quad (F_\lambda)_{nk} = \langle f_n, f_k \rangle,$$

and  $\delta_i$  is the standard basis in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ . By  $D_{a_n}$  we will denote the diagonal matrix with numbers  $a_n$  on the main diagonal. By definition of the vectors  $f_n$  and by (2.7) we have

$$(2.12) \quad F_\lambda = D_{(2-\lambda_n)\lambda_n} + M_\lambda D_{2-\lambda_n} + D_{2-\lambda_n} M_\lambda^*.$$

We have

**Lemma 2.1.**

$$(2.13) \quad (I + U_\lambda)F_\lambda(I + U_\lambda^*) = I - (D_{1-\lambda_n} + U_\lambda D_{2-\lambda_n})(D_{1-\lambda_n} + D_{2-\lambda_n} U_\lambda^*)$$

*Proof.* The formula follows readily by using the relation

$$M_\lambda U_\lambda = U_\lambda M_\lambda = -M_\lambda - U_\lambda$$

which comes from (2.9).  $\square$

Now we are ready to state one of the main results.

**Theorem 2.2.** *The relaxed Kaczmarz algorithm defined by (1.1) is convergent if and only if the matrix  $V_\lambda := D_{1-\lambda_n} + U_\lambda D_{2-\lambda_n}$  is a partial isometry.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1 the operator  $V_\lambda$  is a contraction. Again by Lemma 2.1 and (2.10) we get

$$\langle h_i, h_j \rangle = \langle (I - V_\lambda V_\lambda^*)\delta_j, \delta_i \rangle.$$

From the discussion after formula (2.6) we know that the algorithm converges if and only if the Gram matrix of the vectors  $h_i$  is a projection. But the latter is equivalent to  $V_\lambda$  being a partial isometry.  $\square$

### 3. RELAXED VERSUS NON RELAXED ALGORITHM

For a constant sequence  $\lambda \equiv 1$  let  $M = M_1$  and  $U = U_1$ . From the definition of  $M_\lambda$  we get

$$(3.1) \quad M_\lambda = D_{\sqrt{\lambda_n(2-\lambda_n)}} M D_{\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_n}{2-\lambda_n}}}.$$

We would like to have similar relation for  $V_\lambda$  (see Thm 2.2). Clearly for  $\lambda \equiv 1$  we have  $V_1 = U$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be diagonal matrices with nonzero elements on the main diagonal. Let  $M, \widetilde{M}, U$  and  $\widetilde{U}$  be lower triangular matrices so that  $\widetilde{M} = D_1 M D_2$  and*

$$(I + M)(I + U) = I, \quad (I + \widetilde{M})(I + \widetilde{U}) = I.$$

Then

$$\tilde{U} = D_1 U [I + (I - D_1 D_2) U]^{-1} D_2.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$M = -U(I + U)^{-1}, \quad \tilde{U} = -\tilde{M}(I + \tilde{M})^{-1}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U} &= -D_1 M D_2 (I + D_1 M D_2)^{-1} = -D_1 M (I + D_1 D_2 M)^{-1} D_2 \\ &= D_1 U (I + U)^{-1} [I - D_1 D_2 U (I + U)^{-1}]^{-1} D_2 \\ &= D_1 U [(I + U) - D_1 D_2 U]^{-1} D_2 = D_1 U [I + (I - D_1 D_2) U]^{-1} D_2 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 3.2.** *We have*

$$(3.2) \quad V_\lambda := D_{1-\lambda_n} + U_\lambda D_{2-\lambda_n} = (A_\lambda + B_\lambda U)(B_\lambda + A_\lambda U)^{-1},$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad A_\lambda = D \frac{1-\lambda_n}{\sqrt{\lambda_n(2-\lambda_n)}}, \quad B_\lambda = D \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_n(2-\lambda_n)}}.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$D_1 = D \sqrt{\lambda_n(2-\lambda_n)}, \quad D_2 = D \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_n}{2-\lambda_n}}.$$

By (3.1) we have  $M_\lambda = D_1 M D_2$ . We can apply Lemma 3.1 to get

$$U_\lambda = D_1 U [I + (I - D_1 D_2) U]^{-1} D_2.$$

Observe that  $D_1 D_2 = D_{\lambda_n}$  and  $D_2 D_{2-\lambda_n} = D_1$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} V_\lambda &= I - D_1 D_2 + D_1 U [I + (I - D_1 D_2) U]^{-1} D_1 \\ &= \{D_1^{-1} (I - D_1 D_2) [I + (I - D_1 D_2) U] + D_1 U\} [I + (I - D_1 D_2) U]^{-1} D_1 \\ &= \{(D_1^{-1} - D_2) + [D_1^{-1} (I - D_1 D_2)^2 + D_1] U\} [D_1^{-1} + (D_1^{-1} - D_2) U]^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The proof will be finished once we notice that

$$D_1^{-1} - D_2 = A_\lambda, \quad D_1^{-1} = B_\lambda, \quad (I - D_1 D_2)^2 + D_1^2 = I.$$

□

Basing on Proposition 3.2 we can derive a simple formula for  $V_\lambda^* V_\lambda$  in terms of  $U$  and  $U^*$ .

**Main Theorem 3.3.** *Assume the sequence  $\lambda_n$  satisfies  $\varepsilon \leq \lambda_n \leq 2 - \varepsilon$  for any  $n \geq 0$ . Then*

$$I - V_\lambda^* V_\lambda = (B_\lambda + U^* A_\lambda)^{-1} (I - U^* U) (B_\lambda + A_\lambda U)^{-1}$$

where  $A_\lambda$  and  $B_\lambda$  are defined in (3.3). In particular the relaxed algorithm is convergent for any sequence  $\lambda_n$  with  $\varepsilon \leq \lambda_n \leq 2 - \varepsilon$  if  $U^* U = I$ .

*Proof.* Both operators  $A_\lambda$  and  $B_\lambda$  are bounded as soon as the coefficients  $\lambda_n$  stay away from 0 and 2. Moreover the operator  $B_\lambda + A_\lambda U$  is invertible as

$$B_\lambda + A_\lambda U = B_\lambda(I + D_{1-\lambda_n}U), \quad \|D_{1-\lambda_n}\| \leq 1 - \varepsilon < 1.$$

Notice that

$$B_\lambda^2 - A_\lambda^2 = I.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} V_\lambda^* V_\lambda &= (B_\lambda + U^* A_\lambda)^{-1} (A_\lambda + U^* B_\lambda) (A_\lambda + B_\lambda U) (B_\lambda + A_\lambda U)^{-1} \\ &= (B_\lambda + U^* A_\lambda)^{-1} [B_\lambda^2 + U^* A_\lambda^2 U + U^* A_\lambda B_\lambda + A_\lambda B_\lambda U + U^* U - I] (B_\lambda + A_\lambda U)^{-1} \\ &= (B_\lambda + U^* A_\lambda)^{-1} [(B_\lambda + U^* A_\lambda) (B_\lambda + A_\lambda U) + U^* U - I] (B_\lambda + A_\lambda U)^{-1} \\ &= I + (B_\lambda + U^* A_\lambda)^{-1} (U^* U - I) (B_\lambda + A_\lambda U)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Finally we get

$$I - V_\lambda^* V_\lambda = (B_\lambda + U^* A_\lambda)^{-1} (I - U^* U) (B_\lambda + A_\lambda U)^{-1}$$

□

**Corollary 3.4.** *Assume  $0 < |\lambda_n - 1| < 1 - \varepsilon$  for any  $n \geq 0$ . The relaxed algorithm is convergent if and only if  $U^* U = I$ .*

*Proof.* By (3.2) the operator  $V_\lambda$  is one-to-one as  $\lambda_n \neq 1$ . Assume the relaxed algorithm is convergent. Then  $V_\lambda$  is a partial isometry. Hence  $V_\lambda^* V_\lambda = I$  as  $V_\lambda$  is one-to-one. By Theorem 3.3 we get  $U^* U = I$ . The converse implication is already included in Theorem 3.3. □

**Remark.** The assumption  $U^* U = I$  is stronger than  $U$  being a partial isometry. According to [5] it states that the Kaczmarz algorithm is convergent even if we drop finitely many vectors from the sequence  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ .

**Remark.** The assumption  $\varepsilon < \lambda_n < 2 - \varepsilon$  is necessary in general for convergence of relaxed Kaczmarz algorithm. Indeed, assume the opposite, i.e.  $|\lambda_{n_k} - 1| \rightarrow 1^-$  for an increasing subsequence  $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of natural numbers. By extracting a subsequence we may assume

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - |\lambda_{n_k} - 1|) < 1.$$

In particular we have  $\lambda_{n_k} \neq 1$ . In two dimensional space  $\mathbb{C}^2$  let

$$e_n = \begin{cases} (1, 0) & \text{for } n = n_k \\ (0, 1) & \text{for } n \neq n_k \end{cases}.$$

Then for  $x = (1, 0)$  we have

$$x_{n_l} = \left[ 1 - \prod_{k=1}^l (1 - \lambda_{n_k}) \right] x.$$

But the product  $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda_{n_k})$  does not tend to zero under assumptions (3.4).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] O. Christensen, *An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.
- [2] F. Deutsch, H. Hundal, *The Rate of Convergence for the Method of Alternating Projections, II*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 205 (1997) 381–405.
- [3] S. Kaczmarz, *Approximate solution of systems of linear equations*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Lett. A, 35 (1937), 355–357 (in German); English transl.: Internat. J. Control 57(6) (1993), 1269–1271.
- [4] S. Kwapien, J. Mycielski, *On the Kaczmarz algorithm of approximation in infinite-dimensional spaces*, Studia Math. 148 (2001), 75–86.
- [5] R. Haller, R. Szwarc, *Kaczmarz algorithm in Hilbert space*, Studia Math. 169.2 (2005), 123–132.
- [6] R. Szwarc, *Kaczmarz algorithm in Hilbert space and tight frames*, Appl. Comp. Harmonic Analysis 22 (2007), 382–385.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WROCLAW, 50-384 WROCLAW, POLAND  
E-mail address: szwarc2@gmail.com

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WROCLAW, 50-384 WROCLAW, POLAND  
E-mail address: gswider@math.uni.wroc.pl