

The ratio and generating function of cogrowth coefficients of finitely generated groups

Ryszard Szwarc*

Abstract

Let G be a group generated by r elements g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r . Among the reduced words in g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r of length n some, say γ_n , represent the identity element of the group G . It has been shown in a combinatorial way that the $2n$ th root of γ_{2n} has a limit, called the cogrowth exponent with respect to generators g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r . We show by analytic methods that the numbers γ_n vary regularly; i.e. the ratio $\gamma_{2n+2}/\gamma_{2n}$ is also convergent. Moreover we derive new precise information on the domain of holomorphy of $\gamma(z)$, the generating function associated with the coefficients γ_n .

Every group G generated by r elements can be realized as a quotient of the free group \mathbb{F}_r on r generators by a normal subgroup N of \mathbb{F}_r , in such a way that the generators of the free group \mathbb{F}_r are sent to the generators of the group G . With the set of generators of \mathbb{F}_r we associate the length function of words in these generators. The cogrowth coefficients $\gamma_n = \#\{x \in N \mid |x| = n\}$ were first introduced by Grigorchuk in [2]. The numbers γ_n measure how big the group G is when compared with \mathbb{F}_r . It has been shown that the quantities $\sqrt[2n]{\gamma_{2n}}$ have a limit denoted by γ , and called the growth exponent of N in \mathbb{F}_r . Since the subgroup N can have at most $2r(2r-1)^{n-1}$ elements of length n , the cogrowth exponent γ can be at most $2r-1$. The famous Grigorchuk result, proved independently by J. M. Cohen in [1], states that the group G is amenable if and only if $\gamma = 2r-1$ (see also [6], [8]).

*This work has been partially supported by KBN (Poland) under grant 2 P03A 030 09.

⁰1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20F05, 20E05

⁰*Key words and phrases*: cogrowth of subgroups, free group, amenable groups

The main result of this note is that the coefficients γ_{2n} satisfy not only the Cauchy n th root test but also the d’Alambert ratio test.

Theorem 1 *The ratio of two consecutive even cogrowth coefficients $\gamma_{2n+2}/\gamma_{2n}$ has a limit. Thus the ratio tends to γ^2 , the square of the cogrowth exponent.*

Proof. Let us denote by g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r the generators of G . Let μ be the measure equidistributed over the generators and their inverses according to the formula

$$\mu = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{q}} \sum_{i=1}^r (g_i + g_i^{-1}),$$

where $q = 2r - 1$. By an easy transformation of [6, Formula (*)] we obtain

$$\frac{z}{1-z^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n z^n = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{q}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu^{*n}(e) \left(\frac{2\sqrt{q}z}{qz^2 + 1} \right)^{n+1}, \quad (1)$$

for small values of $|z|$. Let ϱ denote the spectral radius of the random walk defined by μ ; i.e.

$$\varrho = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\mu^{*2n}(e)}.$$

By $d\sigma(x)$ we will denote the spectral measure of this random walk. Hence

$$\mu^{*n}(e) = \int_{-\varrho}^{\varrho} x^n d\sigma(x). \quad (2)$$

Note that the point ϱ belongs to the support of σ . Combining (1) and (2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z}{1-z^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n z^n &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{q}} \int_{-\varrho}^{\varrho} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n \left(\frac{2\sqrt{q}z}{qz^2 + 1} \right)^{n+1} d\sigma(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{q}} \int_{-\varrho}^{\varrho} \frac{z}{1 - 2\sqrt{q}xz + qz^2} d\sigma(x). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

By the well known formula for the generating function of the second kind Chebyshev polynomials $U_n(x)$ (see [4, (4.7.23), page 82]) where

$$U_n\left(\frac{1}{2}(t + t^{-1})\right) = \frac{t^{n+1} - t^{-n-1}}{t - t^{-1}}, \quad (4)$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{1 - 2\sqrt{q}xz + qz^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n(x)q^{n/2}z^n.$$

Thus

$$\frac{z}{1 - z^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n z^n = z \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n/2} z^n \int_{-\varrho}^{\varrho} U_n(x) d\sigma(x).$$

Therefore for $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\gamma_n = q^{n/2} \int_{-\varrho}^{\varrho} \{U_n(x) - q^{-1}U_{n-2}(x)\} d\sigma(x). \quad (5)$$

Since $U_{2n}(-x) = U_{2n}(x)$ we get

$$\gamma_{2n} = q^n \int_0^{\varrho} \{U_{2n}(x) - q^{-1}U_{2n-2}(x)\} d\tilde{\sigma}(x), \quad (6)$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}(A) = \sigma(A) + \sigma(-A)$ for $A \subset (0, \varrho]$ and $\tilde{\sigma}(\{0\}) = \sigma(\{0\})$. Let

$$I_n = \int_0^{\varrho} \{U_{2n}(x) - q^{-1}U_{2n-2}(x)\} d\tilde{\sigma}(x).$$

By [3, Corollary 2] we have $\varrho > 1$. Hence we can split the integral I_n into two integrals: the first $I_{n,1}$ over the interval $[0, \varrho_0]$ and the second $I_{n,2}$ over $[\varrho_0, \varrho]$, where $\varrho_0 = (1 + \varrho)/2$. By (4) we have $|U_m(x)| \leq (m + 1)$ for $x \in [0, 1]$ and

$$|U_m(x)| \leq (m + 1)[x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1}]^m \quad \text{for } x \geq 1.$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n,1} &\leq 2(2n + 1) \left(\varrho_0 + \sqrt{\varrho_0^2 - 1} \right)^{2n} \int_0^{\varrho_0} d\tilde{\sigma}(x) \\ &\leq 2(2n + 1) \left(\varrho_0 + \sqrt{\varrho_0^2 - 1} \right)^{2n}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Let's turn to estimating the integral $I_{n,2}$ over $[\varrho_0, \varrho]$. By (4) one can easily check that

$$\left| U_n(x) - \frac{(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})^{n+1}}{2\sqrt{x^2 - 1}} \right| = o(1) \quad \text{when } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

uniformly on the interval $[\varrho_0, \varrho]$. Hence

$$\left| U_{2n}(x) - q^{-1}U_{2n-2}(x) - (x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})^{2n-1} \frac{(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})^2 - q^{-1}}{2\sqrt{x^2 - 1}} \right| = o(1),$$

when n tends to infinity, uniformly in the interval $[\varrho_0, \varrho]$. This implies

$$I_{n,2} \approx \tilde{I}_{n,2} = \int_{\varrho_0}^{\varrho} (x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})^{2n} \frac{(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})^2 - q^{-1}}{2\sqrt{x^2 - 1}(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})} d\tilde{\sigma}(x). \quad (8)$$

Since the endpoint ϱ belongs to the support of $\tilde{\sigma}$, we get

$$\tilde{I}_{n,2}^{1/2n} \longrightarrow \varrho + \sqrt{\varrho^2 - 1}. \quad (9)$$

By combining this with (7) and (8) we obtain

$$I_n = I_{n,1} + I_{n,2} = \tilde{I}_{n,2}(1 + o(1)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (10)$$

In view of (9) the integral $\tilde{I}_{n,2}$ tends to infinity. Thus by (6) and (10) we have

$$\frac{\gamma_{2n+2}}{\gamma_{2n}} \approx q \frac{\tilde{I}_{n+1,2}}{\tilde{I}_{n,2}}.$$

Lemma 1 ([7]) *Let $f(x)$ be a positive and continuous function on $[a, b]$, and μ be a finite measure on $[a, b]$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_a^b f(x)^{n+1} d\mu(x)}{\int_a^b f(x)^n d\mu(x)} = \max\{f(x) \mid x \in \text{supp } \mu\}.$$

Applying Lemma 1 and using the fact that ϱ belongs to the support of $\tilde{\sigma}$ gives

$$\frac{\gamma_{2n+2}}{\gamma_{2n}} \rightarrow q \left\{ \varrho + \sqrt{\varrho^2 - 1} \right\}^2. \quad (11)$$

□

Theorem 2 *The generating function $\gamma(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n z^n$ can be decomposed into a sum of two functions $\gamma^{(0)}(z)$ and $\gamma^{(1)}(z)$ such that $\gamma^{(0)}(z)$ is analytic in the open disc of radius $q^{-1/2}$ (where $q = 2r - 1$), while $\gamma^{(1)}(z)$ is analytic in the whole complex plane after removing the two real intervals $[-\gamma q^{-1}, -\gamma^{-1}]$ and $[\gamma^{-1}, \gamma q^{-1}]$. Moreover, $\gamma^{(1)}$ satisfies the functional equation*

$$\frac{z\gamma^{(1)}(z)}{1 - z^2} = \frac{(q/z)\gamma^{(1)}(q/z)}{(q/z)}.$$

Proof. By (3) we have

$$\gamma(z) = (1 - z^2) \int_{-\varrho}^{\varrho} \frac{1}{1 - 2\sqrt{q}xz + qz^2} d\sigma(x).$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(0)}(z) &= (1 - z^2) \int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{1 - 2\sqrt{q}xz + qz^2} d\sigma(x), \\ \gamma^{(1)}(z) &= (1 - z^2) \int_{1 < |x| \leq \varrho} \frac{1}{1 - 2\sqrt{q}xz + qz^2} d\sigma(x). \end{aligned}$$

For $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ the expression $1 - 2\sqrt{q}xz + qz^2$ vanishes only on the circle of radius $q^{-1/2}$. Thus $\gamma^{(0)}(z)$ has the desired property. For $1 < |x| \leq \varrho$ the expression $1 - 2\sqrt{q}xz + qz^2$ vanishes only on the intervals

$$\left[-\frac{\varrho + \sqrt{\varrho^2 - 1}}{\sqrt{q}}, -\frac{\varrho - \sqrt{\varrho^2 - 1}}{\sqrt{q}} \right], \quad \left[\frac{\varrho - \sqrt{\varrho^2 - 1}}{\sqrt{q}}, \frac{\varrho + \sqrt{\varrho^2 - 1}}{\sqrt{q}} \right].$$

By (11) we have that $\gamma = q^{1/2}(\varrho + \sqrt{\varrho^2 - 1})$. This shows that $\gamma^{(1)}$ is analytic where it has been required.

The functional equation follows immediately from the formula

$$\frac{z\gamma^{(1)}(z)}{1 - z^2} = \int_{1 < |x| \leq \varrho} \frac{1}{z^{-1} - 2\sqrt{q}x + qz} d\sigma(x).$$

□

Remark. Combining (6) and (10) yields

$$\gamma_{2n} = q^n \left\{ \int_{\varrho_0}^{\varrho} (x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})^{2n} \frac{(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})^2 - q^{-1}}{2\sqrt{x^2 - 1}(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})} d\tilde{\sigma}(x) + o(1) \right\}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} h(\varrho_0) &:= \frac{(\varrho_0 + \sqrt{\varrho_0^2 - 1})^2 - q^{-1}}{2\sqrt{\varrho_0^2 - 1}(\varrho_0 + \sqrt{\varrho_0^2 - 1})} \geq \frac{(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})^2 - q^{-1}}{2\sqrt{x^2 - 1}(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})}, \\ &\quad \frac{(\varrho + \sqrt{\varrho^2 - 1})}{\varrho} x \geq x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in view of (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{2n} &\leq q^n \left\{ h(\varrho_0) \frac{(\varrho + \sqrt{\varrho^2 - 1})^{2n}}{\varrho^{2n}} \int_0^e x^{2n} d\tilde{\sigma}(x) + o(1) \right\} \\ &= q^n h(\varrho_0) \left\{ (\varrho + \sqrt{\varrho^2 - 1})^{2n} \frac{\mu^{*2n}(e)}{\varrho^{2n}} + o(1) \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally we obtain

$$\frac{\gamma_{2n}}{\gamma^{2n}} \frac{\varrho^{2n}}{\mu^{*2n}(e)} = \frac{\gamma_{2n}}{\mu^{*2n}(e)} \left\{ \frac{\varrho}{\sqrt{q}(\varrho + \sqrt{\varrho^2 - 1})} \right\}^{2n} \leq h(\varrho_0) + o(1).$$

We conjecture that the opposite estimate also holds; i.e. the quantity on the left hand side is bounded away from zero, by a positive constant depending only on ϱ . This conjecture can be checked easily if the measure σ is smooth in the neighbourhood of ϱ and the density has zero of finite order at ϱ .

Acknowledgement. For a long time I thought Theorem 1 follows from the following statement.

If $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is analytic in the complex plane except the half line $[1, +\infty)$, then the ratio a_{n+1}/a_n converges to 1.

I am grateful to Jacek Zienkiewicz from my Department for constructing a fine counterexample to this statement.

References

- [1] J. M. Cohen, *Cogrowth and amenability of discrete groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **48** (1982), 301–309.
- [2] R. I. Grigorchuk, *Symmetrical random walks on discrete groups*, in “Multicomponent Random Systems,” ed. R. L. Dobrushin, Ya. G. Sinai, pp. 132–152, Nauka, Moscow 1978 (English translation: Advances in Probability and Related Topics, Vol. 6, pp. 285–325, Marcel Dekker 1980).
- [3] H. Kesten, *Full Banach mean values on countable groups*, Math. Scand. **7** (1959), 149–156.

- [4] G. Szegő, “Orthogonal Polynomials,” AMS Colloquium Publications, vol. 23, Providence, Rhode Island, 4th ed., 1975.
- [5] R. Szwarc, *An analytic series of irreducible representations of the free group*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **38** (1988), 87–110.
- [6] R. Szwarc, *A short proof of the Grigorchuk–Cohen cogrowth theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1989), 663–665.
- [7] S. Wagon, *Elementary problem E 3226*, Amer. Math. Monthly **94** (1987), 786–787.
- [8] W. Woess, *Cogrowth of groups and simple random walks*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **41** (1983), 363–370.

Institute of Mathematics
Wrocław University
pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4
50-384 Wrocław, Poland
e-mail: `szwarc@math.uni.wroc.pl`