

ON COMPLETE CONVERGENCE FOR PARTIAL SUMS
OF INDEPENDENT IDENTICALLY DISTRIBUTED
RANDOM VARIABLES

BY
ANNA KUCZMASZEWSKA AND DOMINIK SZYNAL (LUBLIN)

Abstract. We give the strong law of large numbers of Hsu-Robbins type for a sequence of independent nonidentically distributed random variables.

1. Introduction. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of random variables and $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. A sequence $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ of random variables is said to satisfy the *law of large numbers of Hsu-Robbins type* with a sequence $\{b_n, n \geq 1\}$ of real numbers if for any given $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P[|S_n - b_n| \geq n\varepsilon] < \infty.$$

Necessary and sufficient (or only sufficient) conditions for (1) to hold were discussed in many papers (cf. [1]–[3], [6] and [7]). This paper contains extensions or generalizations of results given in [1]–[3], [5] and [11].

2. Preliminaries. A real-valued function $l(x)$, positive and measurable on $[A, \infty)$ for some $A > 0$, is said to be *slowly varying* if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l(x\lambda)}{l(x)} = 1 \quad \text{for each } \lambda > 0.$$

We need the following lemmas:

LEMMA 1 ([11]). *If $l(x) > 0$ is a slowly varying function as $x \rightarrow \infty$, then*

- (i) $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l(x+u)}{l(x)} = 1$ for each $u > 0$;
- (ii) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{2^k \leq x < 2^{k+1}} \frac{l(x)}{l(2^k)} = 1$;
- (iii) $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^\delta l(x) = \infty$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-\delta} l(x) = 0$ for each $\delta > 0$;

(iv)
$$c_1 2^{kr} l(\varepsilon 2^k) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k 2^{jr} l(\varepsilon 2^j) \leq c_2 2^{kr} l(\varepsilon 2^k)$$
 for every positive r , ε , positive integer k and some positive constants c_1, c_2 ;

(v)
$$c_3 2^{kr} l(\varepsilon 2^k) \leq \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} 2^{jr} l(\varepsilon 2^j) \leq c_4 2^{kr} l(\varepsilon 2^k)$$
 for every $r < 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and a positive integer k with some positive constants c_3, c_4 .

LEMMA 2 ([10]). For every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(2) \quad P[|X - \text{med} X| \geq \varepsilon] \leq 2P[|X^s| \geq \varepsilon]$$

and

$$(3) \quad P[\sup_{j \leq n} |X_j - \text{med} X_j| \geq \varepsilon] \leq 2P[\sup_{j \leq n} |X_j^s| \geq \varepsilon].$$

LEMMA 3 ([4], [9]). Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent random variables with symmetric distributions. Then for every $j = 1, 2, \dots$ and $x > 0$

$$(4) \quad P[|S_n| \geq 3^j x] \leq C_j \sum_{i=1}^n P[|X_i| \geq x] + D_j (P[|S_n| \geq x])^{2^j},$$

where C_j, D_j are positive constants depending only on j .

LEMMA 4 ([10]). Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent random variables with $EX_i = 0, i \geq 1$, and let $r \geq 1$. Then

$$(5) \quad P[\sup_{k \leq n} |S_k| \geq c] \leq c^{-r} E|S_n|^r \quad \text{for any given } c > 0.$$

LEMMA 5. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent random variables. Put $X'_j = X_j I[|X_j| < n^{1/t} \delta]$, $\delta > 0, 1 \leq j \leq n$, where $I[A]$ stands for the indicator of the event A , and let $S'_n = \sum X'_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Suppose that for some $0 < t < 2$

$$(6) \quad |ES'_n|/n^{1/t} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer n_0 such that for $n \geq n_0$

$$(7) \quad P[|S_n| \geq 2n^{1/t} \varepsilon] \leq P[|S'_n - ES'_n| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon] + \sum_{i=1}^n P[|X_i| \geq n^{1/t} \delta].$$

If there exists $EX_i, i \geq 1$, then we often use (6) and (7) with X_j replaced by the centered random variables $X_j - EX_j, j \geq 1$.

COROLLARY (cf. [2]). Under the assumption of Lemma 5 for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer n_0 such that for $n \geq n_0$

$$(8) \quad P[|S_n| \geq 2n^{1/t} \varepsilon] \leq \varepsilon^{-4} n^{-4/t} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n E|X'_j - EX'_j|^4 + 2 \sum_{j=2}^n \sigma^2 X'_j \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \sigma^2 X'_i \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n P[|X_i| \geq n^{1/t} \delta].$$

3. **Laws of large numbers of Hsu-Robbins type.** The following theorem gives the complete convergence for the partial sums of independent random variables.

THEOREM 1. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent random variables and let $l(x) > 0$ be a slowly varying function as $x \rightarrow \infty$. If for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, some $r \geq 1, 0 < t < 2$ and a nonnegative integer j

$$(i) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) \sum_{i=1}^n P[|X_i| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon / (2 \cdot 3^j)] < \infty,$$

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) (n^{-4/t} \sum_{i=1}^n EX_i^4 I[|X_i| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon])^{2j} < \infty,$$

$$(iii) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) (n^{-4/t} \sum_{m=2}^n EX_m^2 I[|X_m| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon] \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} EX_i^2 I[|X_i| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon])^{2j} < \infty,$$

$$(iv) \quad n^{-2/t} \sum_{i=1}^n EX_i^2 I[|X_i| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon] = O(1),$$

$$(v) \quad n^{-1/t} \sum_{i=1}^n EX_i I[|X_i| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon] = o(1),$$

then

$$(9) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) P\left[\left|\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - b_i)\right| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon\right] < \infty$$

with $b_i = 0$ for $0 < t < 1$ and $b_i = EX_i$ for $1 \leq t < 2$ whenever there exist $EX_i, i \geq 1$, and then (i)-(v) are taken with X_i replaced by $X_i - EX_i$.

Proof. Assume first that $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of symmetrically distributed random variables. Then inequalities (4), (8) and conditions (i)-(iii) give (9).

We shall assume that $EX_k = 0, k \geq 1$, whenever mean values exist. To remove the symmetry assumption we argue as follows. Let $\{X_n^*, n \geq 1\}$ denote the sequence of symmetrized random variables, i.e. $X_k^* = X_k - X_k^*$, $k \geq 1$, where X_k and X_k^* are independent and have the same distribution function. Then, by (i)-(v), we see that

$$(10) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) \sum_{i=1}^n P[|X_i^*| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon / 3^j] \\ \leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) \sum_{i=1}^n P[|X_i| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon / 2 \cdot 3^j] < \infty,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(11) \quad & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) (n^{-4/t} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^s)^4 I[|X_i^s| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon / 3^j])^{2j} \\
& = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) \{ n^{-4/t} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^s)^4 (I[|X_i^s| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon / 3^j, |X_i^*| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon / 3^j] \\
& \quad + I[|X_i^s| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon / 3^j, |X_i^*| > n^{1/t} \varepsilon / 3^j]) \}^{2j} \\
& \leq 2^{2j+1-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) (n^{-4/t} \sum_{i=1}^n E X_i^4 I[|X_i| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon])^{2j} \\
& \quad + 2^{2j-1} \varepsilon^{2j} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) (\sum_{i=1}^n P[|X_i| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon / 3^j])^{2j} < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(12) \quad & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) (n^{-4/t} \sum_{m=2}^n E(X_m^s)^2 I[|X_m^s| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon / 3^j] \\
& \quad \times \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} E(X_i^s)^2 I[|X_i^s| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon / 3^j])^{2j} \\
& = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) \{ n^{-4/t} \sum_{m=2}^n E(X_m^s)^2 (I[|X_m^s| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon / 3^j, |X_m^*| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon / 3^j] \\
& \quad + I[|X_m^s| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon / 3^j, |X_m^*| > n^{1/t} \varepsilon / 3^j]) \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} E(X_i^s)^2 (I[|X_i^s| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon / 3^j, \\
& \quad |X_i^*| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon / 3^j] + I[|X_i^s| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon / 3^j, |X_i^*| > n^{1/t} \varepsilon / 3^j]) \}^{2j} \\
& \leq C \{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) (n^{-4/t} \sum_{m=2}^n E X_m^2 I[|X_m| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon] \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} E X_i^2 I[|X_i| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon])^{2j} \\
& \quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) \sum_{i=1}^n P[|X_i| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon / 3^j] \} < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on j . Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) P[|S_n^s| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon] < \infty.$$

Hence, by the symmetrization inequality

$$P[|S_n/n^{1/t} - \text{med}(S_n/n^{1/t})| \geq \varepsilon] \leq 2P[|S_n^s| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon],$$

we get

$$(13) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) P[|S_n/n^{1/t} - \text{med}(S_n/n^{1/t})| \geq \varepsilon] < \infty.$$

Thus

$$(14) \quad P[|S_n/n^{1/t} - \text{med}(S_n/n^{1/t})| \geq \varepsilon] \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that by (i)–(iii) and (v) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n P[|X_i| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon] &\rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \\ n^{-4/t} \sum_{i=1}^n EX_i^4 I[|X_i| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon] &\rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \\ n^{-4/t} \sum_{m=2}^n EX_m^2 I[|X_m| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon] \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} EX_i^2 I[|X_i| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon] &\rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \\ n^{-4/t} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n EX_i I[|X_i| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon] \right)^4 &\rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the Corollary we get

$$(15) \quad P[|S_n| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon] \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, by (14) we have $\text{med}(S_n/n^{1/t}) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, by (13) we have proved that (9) holds.

Note that for the typical slowly varying function $l(x) = 1, l(x) = \log x$, one can get simpler formulas in Theorem 1.

Using Theorem 1 we can get the following assertions for a sequence $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ of independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables.

COROLLARY 1. *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables and let $l(x) > 0$ be a slowly varying function as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Assume that for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ and some $r \geq 1, 0 < t < 2$, and nonnegative integer j the following relations hold:*

- (i)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-1} l(n) P[|X_1| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon / (2 \cdot 3^j)] < \infty,$$
- (ii)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2+2j(1-4/t)} l(n) (EX_1^4 I[|X_1| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon])^{2j} < \infty,$$
- (iii)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2+2j(2-4/t)} l(n) (EX_1^2 I[|X_1| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon])^{2j+1} < \infty,$$
- (iv)
$$n^{1-2/t} EX_1^2 I[|X_1| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon] = O(1),$$
- (v)
$$n^{1-1/t} E(X_1 - b) I[|X_1 - b| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon] = o(1),$$

where $b = 0$ when $0 < t < 1$, and $b = EX_1$ for $1 \leq t < 2$. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) P[|S_n - nb| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon] < \infty.$$

COROLLARY 2. *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables and let $l(x) > 0$ be a slowly varying function as $x \rightarrow \infty$.*

If for $r > 1$ and $0 < t < 2$

$$E(|X_1|^{rt} I(|X_1|^t)) < \infty,$$

then

$$(16) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) P[|S_n - nb| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon] < \infty,$$

where $b = 0$ when $0 < t < 1$ and $b = EX_1$ for $1 \leq t < 2$.

Moreover, if $l(x) > 0$ is a monotone increasing, slowly varying function as $x \rightarrow \infty$, such that $l(x) \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$E(|X_1|^t I(|X_1|^t)) < \infty, \quad 0 < t < 2,$$

then

$$(17) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} l(n) P[|S_n - nb| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon] < \infty,$$

where b is as above.

Proof of Corollary 2. To prove (16) and (17) it is enough to note that under the assumptions of Corollary 2 the conditions (i)–(v) of Corollary 1 hold.

Indeed, we see that using Lemma 1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-1} l(n) P[|X_1| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon / (2 \cdot 3^j)] \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k (2^{k+1})^{r-1} l(2^{k+1}) P[|X_1| \geq 2^{k/t} \varepsilon / (2 \cdot 3^j)] \\ & \leq 2^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2^k)^r l(2^k) P[|X_1| \geq 2^{(k-1)/t} \varepsilon / (2 \cdot 3^j)] \\ & = 2^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2^k)^r l(2^k) \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} P[2^{(i-1)/t} \varepsilon / (2 \cdot 3^j) \leq |X_1| < 2^{i/t} \varepsilon / (2 \cdot 3^j)] \\ & = 2^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P[2^{(i-1)/t} \varepsilon / (2 \cdot 3^j) \leq |X_1| < 2^{i/t} \varepsilon / (2 \cdot 3^j)] \sum_{k=1}^i (2^k)^r l(2^k) \\ & \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P[2^{(i-1)/t} \varepsilon / (2 \cdot 3^j) \leq |X_1| < 2^{i/t} \varepsilon / (2 \cdot 3^j)] (2^i)^r l(2^i) \\ & \leq C' E|X_1|^{rt} I(|X_1|^t) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (i) for $r \geq 1$, $0 < t < 2$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2+2^j(1-4/t)} l(n) (EX_1^4 I[|X_1| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon])^{2^j} \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2+2^j(1-2/t)} l(n) (EX_1^2 I[|X_1| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon])^{2^j}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < t < 2$, we can take j large enough so that $r-1-2^j/(2/t-1) < 0$.

In the case $rt \geq 2$ the assumption $E|X_1|^{rt} I(|X_1|^t) < \infty$ implies $E|X_1|^2 < \infty$ and we see that to prove (ii) it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2+2^j(1-2/t)} l(n) < \infty.$$

The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2+2^j(1-2/t)} l(n)$ converges iff (cf. [8])

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2^k)^{r-1+2^j(1-2/t)} l(2^k) < \infty,$$

and this inequality holds by using Lemma 1 for j such that $r-1-2^j(2/t-1) < 0$.

In the case $rt < 2$ we have

$$EX_1^2 I[|X_1| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon] = E|X_1|^{rt} |X_1|^{2-rt} I[|X_1| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon] \leq C n^{2t-r} E|X_1|^{rt}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2+2^j(1-2/t)} l(n) (EX_1^2 I[|X_1| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon])^{2j} \\ \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2+2^j(1-r)} l(n) (E|X_1|^{rt})^{2j} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

(because $\sum (2^k)^{r-1+2^j(1-r)} l(2^k) < \infty$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and j such that $r-1+2^j(1-r) < 0$), which completes the proof of (ii) for $r \geq 1, 0 < t < 2$.

The proof of (iii) is similar to that of (ii).

Now we prove that under the assumptions of Corollary 2 the condition (iv) holds.

If $rt \geq 2$, then $EX_1^2 I[|X_1| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon] < \infty$, and

$$n^{1-2/t} EX_1^2 I[|X_1| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon] = O(1).$$

If $rt < 2$, then

$$n^{1-2/t} EX_1^2 I[|X_1| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon] = \varepsilon^{2-rt} n^{1-r} E|X_1|^{rt} = O(1).$$

Thus we have proved that (iv) holds.

The condition (v) will be proved in two steps.

If $r = 1$, then for $0 < t < 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} n^{1-1/t} |EX_1 I[|X_1| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon]| &\leq n^{1-1/t} (l(\varepsilon^n))^{-1} (n^{1/t} \varepsilon)^{1-t} E|X_1|^t I(|X_1|^t) \\ &\leq C E|X_1|^t l(|X_1|^t) / l(n) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

If $1 \leq t < 2$, then there exists EX_1 , and we can put $EX_1 = 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} n^{1-1/t} |EX_1 I[|X_1| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon]| &= n^{1-1/t} |EX_1 I[|X_1| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon]| \\ &\leq n^{1-1/t} (n^{1/t} \varepsilon) (l(n\varepsilon^t))^{-1} E|X_1|^t I(|X_1|^t) \\ &\leq C (l(n))^{-1} E|X_1|^t I(|X_1|) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

If $r > 1$, then for $0 < t < 1$ we have: in the case $rt < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} n^{1-1/t} |EX_1 I[|X_1| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon]| &= n^{1-1/t} (n^{1/t} \varepsilon)^{1-r} E|X_1|^{rt} \\ &\leq \varepsilon^{1-r} n^{1-r} E|X_1|^{rt} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and in the case $rt \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} n^{1-1/t} |EX_1 I[|X_1| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon]| &= n^{1-1/t} |EX_1 I[|X_1| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon]| \\ &\leq n^{1-1/t} (n^{1/t} \varepsilon)^{1-r} E|X_1|^{rt} = \varepsilon^{1-r} n^{1-1/t} E|X_1| \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

If $1 \leq t < 2$, then there exists EX and

$$\begin{aligned} n^{1-1/t} |EX_1 I[|X_1| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon]| &= n^{1-1/t} |EX_1 I[|X_1| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon]| \\ &\leq (\varepsilon)^{1-r} n^{1-r} E|X_1|^{rt} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved that (16) holds if $r > 1$ and (17) holds if $r = 1$. Note that by Corollary 2 we have the following direct consequences:

COROLLARY 3. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables and let $\alpha > 1/2$, $\varrho > 1/\alpha$. If $E|X_1|^\varrho < \infty$, then for any given $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(18) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha\varrho-2} P[|S_n - nb| \geq n^\alpha \varepsilon] < \infty,$$

where $b = 0$ if $\varrho < 1$ and $b = EX_1$ if $\varrho \geq 1$.

COROLLARY 4. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables and let $0 < t < 2$. If $E|X_1|^t \log^+ |X_1| < \infty$, then for any given $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(19) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \log(n) P[|S_n - nb| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon] < \infty,$$

where $b = 0$ if $0 < t < 1$ and $b = EX_1$ if $1 \leq t < 2$.

Remark. Note that (18) is also true for $\varrho = 1/\alpha$, and (19) for $t \geq 2$. These facts can be proved in the same way as it has been done in [3].

Finally, we have the following result:

COROLLARY 5. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with $EX_1 = b$. If $E|X_1|^r < \infty$, $r > 1$, then for any given $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} P[|S_n - nb| \geq n\varepsilon] < \infty.$$

By the condition (v) of Theorem 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) P[\max_{m \leq n} |S_m| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon] \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) \left\{ P[\max_{m \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^m X_i I[|X_i| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon] \right| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon] + \sum_{i=1}^n P[|X_i| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) \{ P[\max_{m \leq n} |\sum_{i=1}^m (X_i I[|X_i| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon] - EX_i I[|X_i| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon])| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon] + \sum_{i=1}^n P[|X_i| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon] \} \quad \text{for } n \geq n_0.$$

THEOREM 2. Under the assumptions (i)–(v) of Theorem 1 for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ and some $r \geq 1$ and $0 < t < 2$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) P[\max_{k \leq n} |S_k - b_k| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon] < \infty$$

with $b_k = 0$ for $0 < t < 1$ and $b_k = \sum EX_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and $1 \leq t < 2$ whenever there exists $EX_i, i \geq 1$, and then (i)–(v) are taken with X_i replaced by $X_i - EX_i$.

Now we see that one gets a stronger result than (9).

THEOREM 3. Under the assumptions (i)–(v) of Theorem 1 for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ and some $r \geq 1$ and $0 < t < 2$,

$$(20) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) P[\sup_{k \geq n} k^{-1/t} |\sum_{i=1}^k (X_i - b_i)| \geq \varepsilon] < \infty$$

with $b_i = 0$ for $0 < t < 1$ and $b_i = EX_i, i \geq 1$, for $1 \leq t < 2$ whenever there exists $EX_i, i \geq 1$, and then (i)–(v) are taken with X_i replaced by $X_i - EX_i$.

Proof. Note that using Lemma 1 (iv) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) P[\sup_{m \geq n} m^{-1/t} |S_m| \geq \varepsilon] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2^k)^{r-1} l(2^k) P[\sup_{n \geq 2^{k-1}} n^{-1/t} |S_n| \geq \varepsilon] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2^k)^{r-1} l(2^k) \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} P[\max_{2^{m-1} \leq n < 2^m} n^{-1/t} |S_n| \geq \varepsilon] \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P[\max_{2^{m-1} \leq n < 2^m} n^{-1/t} |S_n| \geq \varepsilon] \sum_{k=1}^m (2^k)^{r-1} l(2^k) \\ & \leq C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (2^m)^{r-1} l(2^m) \{ P[\max_{2^{m-1} \leq n < 2^m} n^{-1/t} |\sum_{i=1}^n X_i I[|X_i| < 2^{m/t} \varepsilon]| \geq \varepsilon] \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^{2^m} P[|X_i| \geq 2^{m/t} \varepsilon/2] \}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, by (v),

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P\left[\max_{2^{m-1} \leq n < 2^m} n^{-1/t} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i I[|X_i| < 2^{m/t} \varepsilon] \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \\
 & \leq P\left[\max_{2^{m-1} \leq n < 2^m} n^{-1/t} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i I[|X_i| < 2^{m/t} \varepsilon] - EX_i I[|X_i| < 2^{m/t} \varepsilon]) \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \\
 & \quad + P\left[\max_{2^{m-1} \leq n < 2^m} n^{-1/t} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n EX_i I[|X_i| < 2^{m/t} \varepsilon] \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \\
 & \leq P\left[\max_{2^{m-1} \leq n < 2^m} n^{-1/t} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i I[|X_i| < 2^{m/t} \varepsilon] - EX_i I[|X_i| < 2^{m/t} \varepsilon]) \right| \geq \varepsilon \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

for $m \geq m_0$.

Therefore, using (5) and (6) we get for $m \geq m_0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P\left[\max_{2^{m-1} \leq n < 2^m} n^{-1/t} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i I[|X_i| < 2^{m/t} \varepsilon] \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \\
 & \leq P\left[\max_{2^{m-1} \leq n < 2^m} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i I[|X_i| < 2^{m/t} \varepsilon] \right| \geq 2^{(m-1)/t} \varepsilon \right] \\
 & \leq C \cdot (2^m)^{-4/t} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^m} E|X'_j - EX'_j|^4 + 2 \sum_{j=2}^{2^m} \sigma^2 X'_j \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \sigma^2 X'_i \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, by the considerations similar to those in the proof of Theorem 1 we can deduce (20).

COROLLARY 6. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables and let $l(x) > 0$ be a slowly varying function as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose that the conditions (i)–(v) of Corollary 1 are satisfied with $r > 1$. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} l(n) P[\sup_{k \geq n} k^{-1/t} |S_k - kb| \geq \varepsilon] < \infty.$$

Remark. If $E|X_1|^r < \infty$, $r > 1$, $0 < t < 2$, $l(x) = 1$, we obtain the classical result (cf. [3]).

Let us consider now the case $r = 1$.

THEOREM 4. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent random variables and let $l(x) > 0$ be a slowly varying function as $x \rightarrow \infty$ such that for any positive integer k

$$(21) \quad \sum_{j=1}^k l(2^j) \leq ck \cdot l(2^k).$$

If for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, $0 < t < 2$, and nonnegative integer j

$$(i) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} l(n) \log(n) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n P[|X_i| \geq n^{1/t} \varepsilon / (2 \cdot 3^j)] < \infty,$$

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} l(n) \log(n) (n^{-4/t} \sum_{i=1}^n EX_i^4 I[|X_i| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon])^{2^j} < \infty,$$

$$(iii) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} l(n) \log(n) (n^{-4/t} \sum_{m=2}^n EX_m^2 I[|X_m| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon] \\ \times \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} EX_i^2 I[|X_i| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon])^{2^j} < \infty,$$

$$(iv) \quad n^{-2/t} \sum_{i=1}^n EX_i^2 I[|X_i| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon] = O(1),$$

$$(v) \quad n^{-1/t} \sum_{i=1}^n EX_i I[|X_i| < n^{1/t} \varepsilon] = o(1),$$

then

$$(22) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} l(n) P[\sup_{k \geq n} k^{-1/t} |\sum_{i=1}^k (X_i - b_i)| \geq \varepsilon] < \infty$$

with $b_i = 0$ for $0 < t < 1$ and $b_i = EX_i$ for $1 \leq t < 2$ whenever there exists EX_i , and then (i)-(v) are taken with X_i replaced by $X_i - EX_i$.

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} l(n) P[\sup_{m \geq n} m^{-1/t} |S_m| \geq \varepsilon] \\ & \leq 2^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} l(2^k) P[\sup_{n \geq 2^{k-1}} n^{-1/t} |S_n| \geq \varepsilon] \\ & \leq 2^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} l(2^k) \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} P[\max_{2^{m-1} \leq n < 2^m} n^{-1/t} |S_n| \geq \varepsilon] \\ & \leq 2^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P[\max_{2^{m-1} \leq n < 2^m} n^{-1/t} |S_n| \geq \varepsilon] \sum_{k=1}^m l(2^k) \\ & \leq C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} ml(2^m) \{P[\max_{2^{m-1} \leq n < 2^m} n^{-1/t} |\sum_{i=1}^n X_i I[|X_i| < 2^{m/t} \varepsilon]| \geq \varepsilon] \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^{2^m} P[|X_i| \geq 2^{m/t} \varepsilon/2]\} \\ & = Ct(\log 2)^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} l(2^m) \log 2^{m/t} \{P[\max_{2^{m-1} \leq n < 2^m} n^{-1/t} |\sum_{i=1}^n X_i I[|X_i| < 2^{m/t} \varepsilon]| \geq \varepsilon] \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^{2^m} P[|X_i| \geq 2^{(m+1)/t} \varepsilon/2]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by the considerations similar to those in the proof of Theorems 1 and 3 we get (22).

COROLLARY 7. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables and let $l(x) > 0$ be a slowly varying function satisfying (21). Assume that for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, $0 < t < 2$, and a nonnegative integer j the following conditions hold:

- (i)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} l(n)P[|X_1| \geq n^{1/t}\varepsilon/(2 \cdot 3^j)] < \infty,$$
- (ii)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2j(1-4/t)-1} l(n) \log(n) (EX_1^4 I[|X_1| < n^{1/t}\varepsilon])^{2j} < \infty,$$
- (iii)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2j(2-4/t)-1} l(n) \log(n) (EX_1^2 I[|X_1| < n^{1/t}\varepsilon])^{2j+1} < \infty,$$
- (iv)
$$n^{1-2/t} EX_1^2 I[|X_1| < n^{1/t}\varepsilon] = O(1),$$
- (v)
$$n^{1-1/t} E(X_1 - b) I[|X_1 - b| < n^{1/t}\varepsilon] = o(1),$$

where $b = 0$, when $0 < t < 1$ and $b = EX_1$ for $1 \leq t < 2$. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} l(n) P[\sup_{k \geq n} k^{-1/t} |S_k - kb| \geq \varepsilon] < \infty.$$

Hence we can deduce the following result (cf. [3]):

COROLLARY 8. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables. If $E(|X_1|^t \log^+ |X_1|) < \infty$ for $0 < t < 2$, then for any given $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} P[\sup_{k \geq n} k^{-1/t} |S_k - kb| \geq \varepsilon] < \infty,$$

where b was defined earlier.

Acknowledgments. We are very grateful to the referee for his helpful comments allowing us to improve the previous version of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. E. Baum and M. Katz, *Convergence rates in the law of large numbers*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1965), pp. 108–123.
- [2] R. Duncan and D. Szynal, *A note on the weak and Hsu–Robbins law of large numbers*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Math. 32 (1984), pp. 729–735.
- [3] A. Gut, *Complete convergence and convergence rates for randomly indexed partial sums with an application to some first passage times*, Acta Math. Hungar. 42 (1983), pp. 225–232.
- [4] J. Hoffmann–Jørgensen, *Sums of independent Banach space valued random variables*, Studia Math. 52 (1974), pp. 159–186.
- [5] I. V. Hrushchova, *On convergence rates in the laws of large numbers for weighted sums of identically distributed random variables*, Teor. Veroyatnost. i Mat. Statist. 17 (1977), pp. 141–153.

- [6] P. L. Hsu and H. Robbins, *Complete convergence and the law of large numbers*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 33 (1947), pp. 25–31.
- [7] M. Katz, *The probability in the tail of a distribution*, Ann. Math. Statist. 34 (1963), pp. 312–318.
- [8] K. Knopp, *Theorie und Anwendung der unendlichen Reihen*, Springer, Berlin 1947.
- [9] A. Kuczmaszewska and D. Szynal, *On the Hsu–Robbins law of large numbers for subsequences*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Math. 36 (1988), pp. 69–79.
- [10] M. Loève, *Probability Theory*, 3rd ed., Van Nostrand, Princeton 1963.
- [11] B. Zhidong and S. Chun, *The complete convergence for partial sums of i.i.d. random variables*, Sci. Sinica Ser. A 28 (1985), pp. 1261–1277.

Institute of Mathematics
Maria Curie-Skłodowska University
ul. Nowotki 10
20-031 Lublin, Poland

Received on 21. 4. 1988;
revised version on 9. 2. 1989
