Newelski 60 meeting Będlewo, December 2022 A stable analogue of Szemerédi regularity

Ehud Hrushovski

Independent systems.

A simplicial complex is a downward-closed set of subsets of [n].

T any theory; $A(0) \leq M \models T, p_1, \ldots, p_n$ commuting invariant types over acl(A(0)).

An S system: $(A(u) : u \in S)$ substructures of M; $A(u) \subset A(v)$ if $u \subset v$.

Here: An *independent system*:

 $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \models p_1 \otimes \dots \otimes p_n | A_0;$ $\{a_i : i \in u\} \subset A(u) \subset acl(A_0, a_i : i \in u)$ and for |u| > 2,

$$A(u) \perp^{a}_{\{A(v):v < u\}} \{A(v) : \neg(u \le v))\}$$

(Galois independence.)

An S-system is regular if: for any S' < S, independent S'-system B, and embedding $A_{S'} \rightarrow B_{S'}$, the system A + B remains independent.

Some points of reference:

Shelah, main gap; A_u elementary submodels. Independence: each A(u) is stably independent from $\{A(v): \neg(u \leq v)\}$ over $\{A(v): v < u\}$.

The case:

$$A(u) = acl(\{a_i : i \in u\})$$

For T=ACF (e.g.), this is an independent system. This is key to proving simplicity of pseudo-finite fields.

Let $S \subset P([n])$ be a simplicial complex. Define S + S the *doubling* of S, a subcomplex of $\pm[n]$: $|u| = \{|i| : i \in u\}$

$$DS := \{ u \subset \{\pm\} \times [n] : |u| \in S, \& \neg \exists i \in [n] \ (i \in u \land -i \in u). \}$$

 $D(A_S)$: described by a partial type: an independent system, with each $A_u \cong A_{|u|}$.

Theorem 1. Let $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \models p_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes p_n$.

- (a) Let $c \in acl(a_1, ..., a_n)$. Then there exists a regular P(n)-system $A(u) \subset acl(a_i : i \in u)$ with A(u) f.g. over A_0 , and $c \in A([n])$.
- (b) Let A be an independent P(n)-system. Then A is regular iff the doubling D(A) is unique (a complete type).

(a): a "finitized Löwenheim-Skolem", top down. (b, 'only if'): using induction, reduce to S = P(n), with result known for $P(n)^-$; form an S-system B_S , $B(u) = \bigcup A(u') : u' \in S + S, u' \cap [n] \subseteq u$; this extends A over $P(n)^-$.

We will concentrate on the 'if' direction in (b).

Proof for n = 1: tp(a) is stationary iff tp(a, b) is uniquely determined, where a, b are independent, tp(a) = tp(b). **Proof for** n = 2

Towards $n \ge 3$

Note that P(n) and DP(n) are n - 1-dimensional.

The geometric realization of P(n) is an n-1-simplex. DP(n) can be presented as the boundary of the convex hull of $\bigcup_{i \in [n]} \{\pm e_i\}$, where e_i is the *i*'th unit vector $(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus DP(n) is homeomrphic to an n-1-sphere.



•

Hence for any Abelian group C,

$$H^{n-1}(DP(n), C) \cong C$$

In particular if $C \neq 0$ then $H^{n-1}(DP(n), C) \neq 0$.

Since any n - 1-face of $u \in DP(n)_{n-1}$ belongs to just two facets, The group $H^{n-1}(DP(n), C)$ can be defined as $C^{DP(n)_n}$ modulo the subgroup generated by all $\delta_u - \delta_{u'}$, where $u, u' \in DP(n)_n$ and |u - u'| = n - 1.

Proof for $n \ge 3$

Assume A is not regular; we have to show that D(A) is not unique. We will define an invariant of D(A) in $H^{n-1}(D(P(n)))$.

We may assume the restriction of A to any horn $H_i = \{u : i \in u\}$ is unique. (Otherwise work over a_i and use induction to prove reducibility of DX.)

For $u \in P(n)^-$ have b_u , that we may take Galois over a_u ; and $tp(A[n]/A_{< n})$ does not imply tp(A[n]/B).

Let $G^i = Aut(b^i/A)$ where $b^i = B([n] - \{i\})$. Let $H = Aut(B/A) \le \prod_i G^i$. So $H \ne \prod_i G^i$.

Lemma. Let G^1, \ldots, G^n be groups, $n \ge 3$, and $H \le \prod_{i \in [n]} G^i$ Assume $\pi_u(H) = \prod_{i \in u} G^i$ for $u \in \binom{n}{n-1}$. Then there exists a (unique!) abelian group C with surjective homomorphisms $j_i : G^i \to C$, such that

$$H = \{(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \in G : \Sigma_i j_i(x_i) = 0\}$$

Proof. We may assume $N_1 =: \{x \in G_1 : (x, 1, \dots, 1) \in H\} = 1$. To see that G^1 is abelian, let $x, y \in G^1$. Then $(x, 1, 1, \dots, 1, x')$ and $(y, y', 1, \dots, 1)$ are in H, for some $x' \in G_n$ and $y' \in G_2$. Taking commutators, we see that $([x, y], 1, \dots, 1) \in H$ so $[x, y] \in N_1$.

Thus we may assume all $G^i = C$; for $v \in B_{n-1}$, b_v lies in an A(v)-definable C-torsor T_v ; let $T_{[n]} = \prod_v T_v/H$; then T is again a C-torsor, and by Galois theory, it has a point $t = t(A([n]) \text{ defined over } A([n]) \cup B.$

Now let us move to D(A). Choose elements b'_v of each T_v , $v \in D(A)_{n-1}$. Then for $a \in D(A)_n$, $t(a) - (b'_v)_{v \in \binom{a}{n-1}} \in C$. This gives a function from $D(P(n))_n$ to C. Changing the choice of b'_v matters only up to a coboundary. Hence we get a well-defined element of $H^{n-1}(D(P(n)) = C$. But where this come from?

Regularity: partition version.

Consider formulas ϕ with a partition of their variables: $\phi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. The *boundary* of ϕ :

$$\phi^{-}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n (\exists x_i)\phi$$

,

Definition. $\phi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is $(\epsilon$ -) partition-regular if it is statistically independent (relative to ϕ^-) from any stronger boundary formula. (up to ϵ .)

Theorem (Szemeredi, Gowers, Rödl-Skokan). 1. Any $\phi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ can be partitioned into finitely any ϵ -regular formulas.

2. Counting criterion for regularity: take new variables x_{-1}, \ldots, x_{-n} . ϕ is regular iff the 2^n formulas $\phi(x_{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_{\pm n})$ are statistically independent, relative to their boundaries.

Here is a precise and ϵ -free statement of (1).

Theorem. Let μ be a definable measure, with Fubini. Let M be a model. Then

$$B(u) := L_M(x_i : i \in u)$$

form an independent system of measure algebras.

(Independence = for the stable theory of atomlessBoolean algebras with a finitely additive map to [0, 1].(Ben-Yaacov))

Can be proved by methods of Tao, Towsner.

But (1) is apparently of relatively little use without the 'counting criterion' (2). (cf. Gowers norms.)

(2) is proved using analysis (Cauchy-Schwartz iterated n-1 times.)

Corollary (Expansions). If (T', μ') expands (T, μ) , and ϕ is partition-regular with respect to (T, μ) , then ϕ is partition-regular with respect to (T', μ') .

An AG analogue of regularity

Basic observation:

o-minimality: A definable X has many subsets, or maps into a finite set (but very few finite covers.) strong minimality: X has very few subsets, but many finite covers (maps from a definable set, with finite fibers.) Serre, Grothendieck (implicitly Weil for curves) use this *topologically* to construct *l*-adic cohomology. Here we work measure-theoretically.

'measure' $|Y/X| \leftrightarrow degree of Y \to X.$

Dualize the definition of regularity.

For a simplicial complex $S \subset P(n)$, an S-variety X is just a variety X_u for $u \in S$, and a dominant morphism $p_{u,v}: X_u \to X_v$ when $v \subset u$. We assume $X_u \to \prod_{i \in U} X_i$ is dominant and finite. We say that X is irreducible if the fiber product

$$\widehat{X} := \{ x \in \Pi_u X_u : p_{u,v}(x_u) = x_v \}$$

has a unique maximal-dimensional component. Given a P(n)-variety X, let DX be the DP(n)-variety with $X_w := X_{|w|}$.

Theorem. Let X be a P(n)-variety. If DX is irreducible, so is any $X \times_{X^-} Y$, Y an irreducible $P(n)^-$ -variety over $X^- := X|P(n)^-$. (Special case for ACF of Theorem 1). **Corollary** (Expansions (dual)). Assume T', p'_1, \ldots, p'_n expands T, p_1, \ldots, p_n , and for $a_1, \ldots, a_n \models p'_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes p'_n$, T' adds no structure to $acl_T(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ over a_1, \ldots, a_n , i.e.

 $Aut(acl_{T'}(a_1,\ldots,a_n)) \twoheadrightarrow Aut(acl_T(a_1,\ldots,a_n))$

If A_S is regular for T, it is regular for T'.

Pseudo-finite bridge

The AG (or stable) regularity was defined by *analogy* with combinatorial regularity; but in the setting of pseudo-finite fields they can be directly compared; a generic auto-morphism turns *covers* into *partitions*. This can be used to present definable regularity over pseudo-finite fields (Tao, Chevalier-Levi.)

 $\pi: Y \to X$ a Galois cover; X = Y/G. X a variety over a pseudo-finite field $F = Fix(\sigma)$. $Y_h = \{y \in Y : \sigma(y) = h(y)\}$ $X_h = \pi(Y_h)$ partitions X according to conjugacy classes of G.

Geometric doubling \rightarrow combinatorial doubling .

GVF's, Bedlewo 2017 (invitation, apology and update)

$$GVF_{k(t)} = Th_{\forall}(k(t)^{alg}, +, \cdot, ht_n)_{n=1,2,\cdots}$$

$$GVF_{\mathbb{Q}} \subseteq Th_{\forall}(\mathbb{Q}^{alg}, +, \cdot, ht_n)$$

Equality? See poster by Michał Szachniewicz.

theorem. Any quantifier-free GVF type on a curve X over F is determined by:

- 1. The height (of the first nontrivial coordinate).
- 2. the F-adelic qf type. (values of F-adelic formulas.), and
- 3. the Néron character NW_p.

An extension holds for any smooth projective variety X. It allows defining a *canonical extension* of a qf type over F to one over a GVF $K \ge F$. (1)-formulas over F. (2)canonical extension of local types. (3) in Hilbert spaces. (4) mass zero to any exceptional divisor strictly over K. https://people.maths.ox.ac.uk/hrushovski/GVFs/ GVF3.pdf **Corollary.** Let $F = F \leq K$. Formulas on X over K are uniform limits of :

- algebraically bounded, finite formulas.
- formulas over F
- adelic formula $R_t(c, b, x)$ over K.
- formulas (x, c) giving values of the canonical bilinear map A × J, with c ∈ J(K^{alg})

To spell out the uniformity: for any such ϕ and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a combination ψ of the three above forms, such that for any GVF structure L on K(X) extending the given GVF structure on K, $|\phi - \psi|(K) < \epsilon$.

theorem. The theory GVF is qf stable.

I.e.: if (a_i, b_i) is a qf-indiscernible sequence and ϕ a formula, then $\phi(a_1, b_2) = \phi(b_1, a_2)$. revived by: Karim Adiprasito, Itai Ben Yaacov, H.