## PROBABILITY

AND
$\frac{\text { MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS }}{\text { Vol. 30, Fasc. } 1 \text { (2010), pp. 141-152 }}$

## DOMAIN OF ATTRACTION OF GAUSSIAN PROBABILITY OPERATORS IN QUANTUM LIMIT THEORY

BY

KATARZYNA LUBNAUER AND ANDRZEJ LUCZAK (Lódź)


#### Abstract

We characterise the class of probability operators belonging to the domain of attraction of Gaussian limits in the setup which is a slight generalisation of Urbanik's scheme of noncommutative probability limit theorems.
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## 1. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION

In our investigation of the domain of attraction in quantum limit theory we adopt the approach introduced in the fundamental paper [6] which can be briefly described as follows. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space. By a probability operator we mean a positive operator on $\mathcal{H}$ of unit trace. It is well known that such operators are in a one-to-one correspondence with normal states $\rho$ on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and this correspondence is given by the formula

$$
\rho(A)=\operatorname{tr} A T, \quad A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})
$$

The set of all probability operators on $\mathcal{H}$ will be denoted by $\mathfrak{P}$. By $\mathfrak{L}^{1}$ we shall denote the set of all trace-class operators on $\mathcal{H}$, and by $\mathfrak{L}^{2}$ the set of all HilbertSchmidt operators.

Let $z \mapsto V(z)$ be an irreducible projective unitary representation of the group $\mathbb{R}^{2 d}$ on $\mathcal{H}$, satisfying the Weyl-Segal commutation relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(z) V\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\exp \left(\frac{i}{2} \Delta\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\right) V\left(z+z^{\prime}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z, z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 d}, z=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}, y_{d}\right), z^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, y_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{d}^{\prime}, y_{d}^{\prime}\right)$, and

$$
\Delta\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{d}\left(x_{k} y_{k}^{\prime}-y_{k} x_{k}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Fix $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2 d}$. It is easily seen that $\{V(t z): t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a one-parameter unitary group, thus by the Stone theorem there is a selfadjoint operator $R(z)$ on $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
V(t z)=e^{i t R(z)}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

and, consequently,

$$
V(z)=e^{i R(z)}
$$

In [3] the operators $R(z)$ are called canonical observables. Let

$$
R(z)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda E_{z}(d \lambda)
$$

be the spectral representation of $R(z)$. For a probability operator $T$ we define its mean value $m_{1}^{T}(z)$, second moment $m_{2}^{T}(z)$ and variance $\sigma_{T}^{2}(z)$ by the formulae

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{1}^{T}(z) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda \operatorname{tr} T E_{z}(d \lambda) \\
m_{2}^{T}(z) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^{2} \operatorname{tr} T E_{z}(d \lambda) \\
\sigma_{T}^{2}(z) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\lambda-m_{1}^{T}(z)\right)^{2} \operatorname{tr} T E_{z}(d \lambda)=m_{2}^{T}(z)-m_{1}^{T}(z)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(cf. [3], Chapter V, Section 4). Note that the notions defined above correspond to the mean value (expectation), second moment and variance, respectively, of the Borel probability measure $\mu_{z}$ determined by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{z}(\Lambda)=\operatorname{tr} T E_{z}(\Lambda), \quad \Lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A probability operator $T$ is said to have finite variance if for each $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2 d}$, $\sigma_{T}^{2}(z)<\infty$ (equivalently, $m_{2}^{T}(z)<\infty$ ).

For a probability operator $T$ we define its characteristic function $\widehat{T}: \mathbb{R}^{2 d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{T}(z)=\operatorname{tr} T V(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{2 d} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\widehat{T}$ has the following property called $\Delta$-positive definiteness: for arbitrary complex numbers $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$ and vectors $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 d}$

$$
\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} c_{j} \bar{c}_{k} \widehat{T}\left(z_{j}-z_{k}\right) \exp \left(\frac{i}{2} \Delta\left(z_{j}, z_{k}\right)\right) \geqslant 0
$$

'The quantum Bochner's theorem' states that for a complex-valued function $f: \mathbb{R}^{2 d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we have $f=\widehat{T}$ for a certain probability operator $T$ if and only if
$f$ is $\Delta$-positive definite, continuous at the origin and $f(0)=1$ (cf. [3], Chapter V, Section 4).

It is immediately seen that for an arbitrary probability operator $T$ and an arbitrary $z_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 d}$ the function

$$
\mathbb{R}^{2 d} \ni z \mapsto \exp \left(i\left\langle z_{0}, z\right\rangle\right) \widehat{T}(z)
$$

is the characteristic function of some probability operator.
Formula (1.3) for $T \in \mathfrak{L}^{1}$ defines a map which extends uniquely to a linear isometry from $\mathfrak{L}^{2}$ onto the space of all complex-valued functions $f$ square integrable with respect to Lebesgue measure and with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{2}=\left(\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 d}}|f(z)|^{2} d z\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

(cf. [3], Chapter V, Section 3, Theorem 3.2).
Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be the set of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators $T$ for which $\widehat{T}$ vanishes at infinity. We define the convolution $\star$ in $\mathfrak{A}$ by setting

$$
\widehat{T_{1} \star T_{2}}=\widehat{T}_{1} \widehat{T}_{2}
$$

Moreover, we put $\|T\|=\|\widehat{T}\|$. Then

$$
\left\|T_{1} \star T_{2}\right\| \leqslant\left\|T_{1}\right\|\left\|T_{2}\right\|
$$

and, consequently, the convolution algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is a Banach algebra without unit. The following inclusions hold true:

$$
\mathfrak{P} \subset \mathfrak{L}^{1} \subset \mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{L}^{2}
$$

(cf. [6]).

## 2. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The general scheme of quantum limit theorems introduced in [6] is as follows. For a triangular array $\left\{T_{k n}: k=1, \ldots, k_{n} ; n=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ of probability operators, a norming array $\left\{a_{k n}: k=1, \ldots, k_{n} ; n=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ of positive numbers, and a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ of elements from $\mathbb{R}^{2 d}$ we form probability operators $S_{n}$ defined by the characteristic functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{S}_{n}(z)=\exp \left(i\left\langle z_{n}, z\right\rangle\right) \prod_{k=1}^{k_{n}} \widehat{T}_{k n}\left(a_{k n} z\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{2 d} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The norming constants $a_{k n}$ should satisfy the assumption of admissibility, which means that the maps

$$
\mathbb{R}^{2 d} \ni z \mapsto \prod_{k=1}^{k_{n}} \widehat{T}_{k}\left(a_{k n} z\right)
$$

are the characteristic functions of some probability operators for each $n$ and any probability operators $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}$. Now, if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{S}_{n}(z)=\widehat{S}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{2 d}
$$

for some function $\widehat{S}$, then from the quantum Bochner's theorem it follows that $\widehat{S}$ is the characteristic function of some uniquely determined probability operator $S$. In this case $S$ is called the limit operator. In [6] the class of limit operators was described under the assumption of uniform infinitesimality of the operators from $\mathfrak{A}$ given by the functions $\left\{\widehat{T}_{k n}\left(a_{k n} \cdot\right): k=1, \ldots, k_{n} ; n=1,2, \ldots\right\}$, analogously to the case of the classical infinitely divisible limit laws, while in the paper [5] for the case $d=1$ norming by arbitrary $2 \times 2$ matrices was considered. We shall be concerned with a quantum counterpart of the classical stable limit laws, i.e. we assume that $k_{n}=n$ and $T_{1 n}=\ldots=T_{n n}=T$ for some probability operator $T$. As for norming we adopt the above-mentioned more general approach and as the norming matrices we take matrices $A_{n}$ of the form

$$
A_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{1}^{(n)} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0  \tag{2.2}\\
0 & a_{1}^{(n)} & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & a_{d}^{(n)} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & a_{d}^{(n)}
\end{array}\right]
$$

As in the scalar case we put the assumption of admissibility of the matrices $A_{n}$, which means that for each $n$ and any probability operators $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}$ the function

$$
\mathbb{R}^{2 d} \ni z \mapsto \prod_{k=1}^{n} \widehat{T}_{k}\left(A_{n} z\right)=\prod_{k=1}^{n} \widehat{T}_{k}\left(a_{1}^{(n)} x_{1}, a_{1}^{(n)} y_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}^{(n)} x_{d}, a_{d}^{(n)} y_{d}\right)
$$

is the characteristic function of some probability operator. In this case the limit operator $S$ will be said to belong to the domain of attraction of the probability operator $T$.

To justify this approach let us look at the fundamental notion of the (multidimensional) Schrödinger pair of canonical observables. Define in the Hilbert space $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ operators $p_{k}^{(0)}$ and $q_{k}^{(0)}, k=1, \ldots, d$ (called momentum and position operators, respectively) by the formulae

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(p_{k}^{(0)} \psi\right)\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=\left(D_{k} \psi\right)\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \\
& \left(q_{k}^{(0)} \psi\right)\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=-i x_{k} \psi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D_{k}$ denotes the $k$-th partial derivative. The operators $p_{k}^{(0)}$ and $q_{k}^{(0)}$ are unbounded densely defined and selfadjoint; moreover, they satisfy the commutation relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[p_{k}^{(0)}, p_{j}^{(0)}\right]=\left[q_{k}^{(0)}, q_{j}^{(0)}\right]=0, \quad\left[p_{k}^{(0)}, q_{j}^{(0)}\right]=-i \delta_{k j} \mathbf{1} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for operators $A, B$ on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$

$$
[A, B]=A B-B A
$$

and 1 stands for the identity operator (observe that since $p_{k}^{(0)}$ and $q_{k}^{(0)}$ are densely defined, relations (2.3) are assumed to hold only on a dense subspace of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ ).

The pair $\left(p^{(0)}, q^{(0)}\right)=\left(\left(p_{1}^{(0)}, q_{1}^{(0)}\right), \ldots,\left(p_{d}^{(0)}, q_{d}^{(0)}\right)\right)$ is called the Schrödinger pair of canonical observables. Putting, for $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}, y_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 d}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}, y_{d}\right)=\exp \left\{i \sum_{k=1}^{d}\left(x_{k} p_{k}^{(0)}+y_{k} q_{k}^{(0)}\right)\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we easily see that $z \mapsto V^{(0)}(z)$ is a projective unitary representation of the group $\mathbb{R}^{2 d}$ on $\mathcal{H}$, satisfying the Weyl-Segal commutation relations (1.1). Now, if $T^{(0)}$ is a probability operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ (we use a superscript ${ }^{(0)}$ when referring to the space $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ ), then its characteristic function at the point $A_{n} z$ for $A_{n}$ given by the formula (2.2) equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{T}^{(0)}\left(A_{n} z\right) & =\operatorname{tr} T^{(0)} V^{(0)}\left(A_{n} z\right)=\operatorname{tr} T^{(0)} \exp \left\{i \sum_{k=1}^{d} a_{k}^{(n)}\left(x_{k} p_{k}^{(0)}+y_{k} q_{k}^{(0)}\right)\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{tr} T^{(0)} \exp \left\{i \sum_{k=1}^{d}\left[x_{k}\left(a_{k}^{(n)} p_{k}^{(0)}\right)+y_{k}\left(a_{k}^{(n)} q_{k}^{(0)}\right)\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

which corresponds to the passing from the multidimensional canonical pair $\left(\left(p_{1}^{(0)}, q_{1}^{(0)}\right), \ldots,\left(p_{d}^{(0)}, q_{d}^{(0)}\right)\right)$ to the pair

$$
\left(\left(a_{1}^{(n)} p_{1}^{(0)}, a_{1}^{(n)} q_{1}^{(0)}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{d}^{(n)} p_{d}^{(0)}, a_{d}^{(n)} q_{d}^{(0)}\right)\right)
$$

i.e., each of the component pairs $\left(p_{k}^{(0)}, q_{k}^{(0)}\right)$ being normed by possibly different numbers $a_{k}^{(n)}, k=1, \ldots, d$. It is worth noting that in the pioneering paper [1] on quantum limit theorems, the central limit theorem was formulated just in the language of canonical pairs, though solely in the case $d=1$ and with the classical scalar norming $a_{1}^{(n)}=1 / \sqrt{n}$.

Coming back to our setup, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{S}_{n}(z) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left(i\left\langle z_{n}, z\right\rangle\right)\left[\widehat{T}\left(a_{1}^{(n)} x_{1}, a_{1}^{(n)} y_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}^{(n)} x_{d}, a_{d}^{(n)} y_{d}\right)\right]^{n} \\
& =\widehat{S}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}, y_{d}\right), \quad z=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}, y_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 d}
\end{aligned}
$$

It was proved in [4] that then the limit operator $S$ must be Gaussian, i.e. $\widehat{S}$ is the characteristic function of a Gaussian probability distribution on $\mathbb{R}^{2 d}$. In the classical commutative situation various sufficient conditions on belonging to the
domain of attraction of a Gaussian law have been obtained - the most celebrated being that of finite variance as in the Lindeberg-Lévy central limit theorem. It turns out that in the quantum case this condition is also necessary. Namely, we shall prove the following

THEOREM 2.1. Let $T$ be an arbitrary probability operator on $\mathcal{H}$. Then $T$ belongs to the domain of attraction of a Gaussian probability operator if and only if $T$ has finite variance.

## 3. PROOFS

We begin with a simple lemma which gives a description of the characteristic function of Gaussian probability operators in a particular case.

Lemma 3.1. Let

$$
f(z)=\exp \left(-\frac{a}{2}\|z\|^{2}\right)
$$

for some $a>0$. Then $f$ is the characteristic function of some Gaussian probability operator if and only if $a \geqslant 1 / 2$.

Proof. Observe that $f$ is the characteristic function of a Gaussian probability measure with the covariance matrix $Q=a I$. From [3], Chapter V, Sections 4 and 5 (see also [6]) it follows that an arbitrary positive-definite $2 d \times 2 d$ matrix $Q$ is the covariance matrix of a Gaussian probability operator if and only if the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle Q z, z\rangle+\left\langle Q z^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right\rangle \geqslant \Delta\left(z, z^{\prime}\right), \quad z, z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 d} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which in our case amounts to saying that

$$
a\left(\|z\|^{2}+\left\|z^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\right) \geqslant \Delta\left(z, z^{\prime}\right), \quad z, z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 d}
$$

The inequality above may be rewritten in the form

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{d}\left(a x_{k}^{2}+a y_{k}^{2}+a x_{k}^{\prime 2}+a y_{k}^{\prime 2}-x_{k} y_{k}^{\prime}+y_{k} x_{k}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 0, \quad x_{k}, y_{k}, x_{k}^{\prime}, y_{k}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

It is easily seen that this inequality is satisfied if and only if for each $k=1, \ldots, d$ and arbitrary $x_{k}, y_{k}, x_{k}^{\prime}, y_{k}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
a x_{k}^{2}+a y_{k}^{2}+a x_{k}^{\prime 2}+a y_{k}^{\prime 2}-x_{k} y_{k}^{\prime}+y_{k} x_{k}^{\prime} \geqslant 0
$$

which, in turn, is equivalent to the positive definiteness of the matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
0 & a & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & a & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & a
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since the eigenvalues of this matrix are equal to $a \pm \frac{1}{2}$, the conclusion follows.

We also have the following simple property of the covariance matrix of a Gaussian probability operator.

LEMMA 3.2. Let $Q$ be the covariance matrix of a Gaussian probability operator. Then $Q$ is non-singular.
$\operatorname{Proof}$. Indeed, assume that $Q z^{\prime}=0$ for some $0 \neq z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 d}$. Then for each fixed $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2 d}$ and an arbitrary $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have on account of (3.1)

$$
\langle Q z, z\rangle=\langle Q z, z\rangle+\left\langle Q\left(t z^{\prime}\right),\left(t z^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \geqslant \Delta\left(z, t z^{\prime}\right)=t \Delta\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)
$$

which is clearly impossible.
The following proposition provides estimation on the coefficients of the norming matrices.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ be an admissible sequence of matrices of the form (2.2). Then

$$
a_{k}^{(n)} \geqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \quad \text { for each } k=1, \ldots, d
$$

Proof. Let $T_{1}=\ldots=T_{n}=T$ be Gaussian probability operators with the characteristic function

$$
\widehat{T}(z)=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{4}\|z\|^{2}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{k=1}^{n} \widehat{T}_{k}\left(A_{n} z\right) & =\left[\widehat{T}\left(a_{1}^{(n)} x_{1}, a_{1}^{(n)} y_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}^{(n)} x_{d}, a_{d}^{(n)} y_{d}\right)\right]^{n} \\
& =\exp \left[-\frac{n}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{d} a_{k}^{(n) 2}\left(x_{k}^{2}+y_{k}^{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a Gaussian probability operator with covariance matrix

$$
Q=\frac{n}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{1}^{(n) 2} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{1}^{(n) 2} & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & a_{d}^{(n) 2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & a_{d}^{(n) 2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now the inequality (3.1) takes the form

$$
\frac{n}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{d} a_{k}^{(n) 2}\left(x_{k}^{2}+y_{k}^{2}+x_{k}^{\prime 2}+y_{k}^{\prime 2}\right) \geqslant \sum_{k=1}^{d}\left(x_{k} y_{k}^{\prime}-y_{k} x_{k}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Putting $x_{1}^{\prime}=-x_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}=y_{1}, x_{k}=y_{k}=x_{k}^{\prime}=y_{k}^{\prime}=0$ for $k=2, \ldots, d$ we obtain

$$
n a_{1}^{(n) 2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}\right) \geqslant 2 x_{1} y_{1}
$$

which means that the matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
n a_{1}^{(n) 2} & -1 \\
-1 & n a_{1}^{(n) 2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

is positive definite. Consequently,

$$
n^{2} a_{1}^{(n) 4} \geqslant 1, \quad \text { i.e. } \quad a_{1}^{(n)} \geqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} .
$$

By the same token we obtain the required inequalities for $k=2, \ldots, d$.
The next lemma is a known classical result from the theory of domains of attraction (cf. [2], Chapter IX, Section 8).

Lemma 3.3. Let $\nu$ be a probability measure belonging to the domain of attraction of a Gaussian law, i.e. there are constants $b_{n}>0, c_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left(i t c_{n}\right)\left[\hat{\nu}\left(b_{n} t\right)\right]^{n}=\exp \left(i t m-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} t^{2}\right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},
$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma>0$. If $b_{n} \geqslant 1 / \sqrt{n}$, then $\nu$ has finite variance, i.e.

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^{2} \nu(d \lambda)<\infty .
$$

Proof. We shall follow [2]. First, note that the theory of limit laws yields $b_{n} \rightarrow 0$. Fix an arbitrary $x>0$ and write

$$
U(x)=\int_{-x}^{x} \lambda^{2} \nu(d \lambda) .
$$

According to formula (8.12) in [2], Chapter IX, Section 8, Theorem 1a, we have

$$
n b_{n}^{2} U\left(\frac{x}{b_{n}}\right) \rightarrow c
$$

for some constant $c$. (We warn the reader that there is a difference in the notation employed in [2] and here, namely, we use $b_{n}$ for what in [2] is denoted by $1 / a_{n}$ and $c_{n}$ for what in [2] is denoted by $b_{n}$.) Since

$$
n b_{n}^{2} \geqslant 1,
$$

we get

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^{2} \nu(d \lambda)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-x / b_{n}}^{x / b_{n}} \lambda^{2} \nu(d \lambda)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} U\left(\frac{x}{b_{n}}\right)<\infty
$$

Now we are in a position to prove our theorem.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. Necessity. Assume that for some probability operator $T$, a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ of vectors from $\mathbb{R}^{2 d}$ and a sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ of admissible matrices of form (2.2) we have
(3.2) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left(i\left\langle z_{n}, z\right\rangle\right)\left[\widehat{T}\left(a_{1}^{(n)} x_{1}, a_{1}^{(n)} y_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}^{(n)} x_{d}, a_{d}^{(n)} y_{d}\right)\right]^{n}$

$$
=\widehat{S}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}, y_{d}\right)
$$

for each $z=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}, y_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 d}$. Since $S$ is Gaussian, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{S}(z)=\exp \left(i\left\langle z_{0}, z\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\langle Q z, z\rangle\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $z_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 d}$ and covariance matrix $Q$. Let $\mu_{z}$ be the probability measure defined by the formula (1.2). Our aim consists in showing that $\mu_{z}$ has finite second moment. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{T}(t z)=\operatorname{tr} T V(t z)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i t \lambda} \operatorname{tr} T E_{z}(d \lambda)=\widehat{\mu}_{z}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $z=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}, y_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 d}$, and put

$$
\bar{z}_{1}=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right), \bar{z}_{2}=\left(0,0, x_{2}, y_{2}, 0, \ldots, 0\right), \ldots, \bar{z}_{d}=\left(0, \ldots, 0, x_{d}, y_{d}\right)
$$

Assume for a while that for each $k=1, \ldots, d, \bar{z}_{k} \neq 0$. We have on account of (3.2)-(3.4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\widehat{\mu}_{\bar{z}_{k}}\left(a_{k}^{(n)} t\right)\right]^{n} \exp \left(i t\left\langle z_{n}, \bar{z}_{k}\right\rangle\right) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\widehat{T}\left(a_{k}^{(n)} t \bar{z}_{k}\right)\right]^{n} \exp \left(i\left\langle z_{n}, t \bar{z}_{k}\right\rangle\right) \\
& =\exp \left(i t\left\langle z_{0}, \bar{z}_{k}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2} t^{2}\left\langle Q \bar{z}_{k}, \bar{z}_{k}\right\rangle\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 it follows that all the measures $\mu_{\bar{z}_{k}}$ for $k=$ $1, \ldots, d$ have finite second moments,

$$
m_{2}\left(\mu_{\bar{z}_{k}}\right)<\infty .
$$

Of course, the same is true if $\bar{z}_{k}=0$, because then $\mu_{\bar{z}_{k}}$ is the Dirac measure concentrated at zero.

By the commutation relations (1.1), the unitary groups $\{V(t z): t \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $\left\{V\left(t_{1} \bar{z}_{1}\right): t_{1} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{V\left(t_{d} \bar{z}_{d}\right): t_{d} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ form a commuting system of operators; moreover,

$$
\begin{align*}
\exp (i t R(z))=V(t z)=V\left(t \bar{z}_{1}\right) & \cdot \ldots \cdot V\left(t \bar{z}_{d}\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
& =\exp \left(i t R\left(\bar{z}_{1}\right)\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot \exp \left(i t R\left(\bar{z}_{d}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows that there is a spectral measure $F$ and Borel functions $f, f_{k}, k=1, \ldots, d$, such that

$$
R(z)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\lambda) F(d \lambda), \quad R\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{k}(\lambda) F(d \lambda)
$$

and the equality (3.5) yields

$$
f(\lambda)=f_{1}(\lambda)+\ldots+f_{d}(\lambda)
$$

Furthermore, substituting $t=f(\lambda)$ we obtain

$$
R(z)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\lambda) F(d \lambda)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t(f \circ F)(d t)
$$

where

$$
(f \circ F)(\Lambda)=F\left(f^{-1}(\Lambda)\right), \quad \Lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
R(z)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda E_{z}(d \lambda)
$$

and the uniqueness of the spectral decomposition yields the equality

$$
E_{z}=f \circ F
$$

By the same token we obtain the equalities

$$
E_{\bar{z}_{k}}=f_{k} \circ F, \quad k=1, \ldots, d
$$

Consequently, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{2}\left(\mu_{z}\right) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{2} \operatorname{tr} T E_{z}(d t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{2} \operatorname{tr} T(f \circ F)(d t) \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^{2}(\lambda) \operatorname{tr} T F(d \lambda)
\end{aligned}
$$

and analogously

$$
m_{2}\left(\mu_{\bar{z}_{k}}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{k}^{2}(\lambda) \operatorname{tr} T F(d \lambda), \quad k=1, \ldots, d
$$

Finally, we have

$$
f^{2}(\lambda)=\left[f_{1}(\lambda)+\ldots+f_{d}(\lambda)\right]^{2} \leqslant d\left[f_{1}^{2}(\lambda)+\ldots+f_{d}^{2}(\lambda)\right]
$$

yielding

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{2}\left(\mu_{z}\right) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^{2}(\lambda) \operatorname{tr} T F(d \lambda) \leqslant \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \sum_{k=1}^{d} f_{k}^{2}(\lambda) \operatorname{tr} T F(d \lambda) \\
& =d \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{k}^{2}(\lambda) \operatorname{tr} T F(d \lambda)=d \sum_{k=1}^{d} m_{2}\left(\mu_{\bar{z}_{k}}\right)<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof of the necessity.
Sufficiency. A proof of sufficiency is essentially contained in [1], however, since the setup of [1] is different from the one adopted in our work and since some considerations about centring should be taken into account, we present a short proof. Let $T$ be a probability operator having finite variance. Take

$$
a_{1}^{(n)}=\ldots=a_{d}^{(n)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

Then the sequence of norming matrices $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ reduces to the sequence of numbers $\{1 / \sqrt{n}\}$, and from [6], Proposition 2.5, it follows that this sequence is admissible (this can also be checked straightforwardly, namely, it is to be verified that the function

$$
\mathbb{R}^{2 d} \ni z \mapsto \prod_{k=1}^{n} \widehat{T}_{k}\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}\right)
$$

is $\Delta$-positive definite for arbitrary probability operators $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}$ ). For an arbitrary $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2 d}$, as before, let $\mu_{z}$ be the probability measure defined by the formula (1.2). The mean value of $\mu_{z}$ equals $m_{1}^{T}(z)$; moreover, it is pointed out in [3], Chapter V, Section 4, that $m_{1}^{T}$ is a linear function of $z$, which can be checked using the known formula for moments of a probability measure:

$$
m_{1}^{T}(z)=-\left.i \frac{d}{d t} \widehat{\mu}_{z}(t)\right|_{t=0}=-\left.i \frac{d}{d t} \widehat{T}(t z)\right|_{t=0}=-\left.i \frac{d}{d t} \operatorname{tr} T V(t z)\right|_{t=0}
$$

Consequently, there are vectors $z_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 d}$ such that

$$
\left\langle z_{n}, z\right\rangle=-m_{1}^{T}(z) \sqrt{n} \quad \text { for each } z \in \mathbb{R}^{2 d}
$$

We have

$$
\exp \left(i t\left\langle z_{n}, z\right\rangle\right) \widehat{T}\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} z\right)=\exp \left(-i t m_{1}^{T}(z) \sqrt{n}\right) \widehat{\mu}_{z}\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)
$$

From the classical Lindeberg-Lévy central limit theorem it follows that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left(-i t m_{1}^{T}(z) \sqrt{n}\right)\left[\widehat{\mu}_{z}\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right]^{n}=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{z}^{2} t^{2}\right)
$$

for some $\sigma_{z}^{2}>0$, which means that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left(i t\left\langle z_{n}, z\right\rangle\right)\left[\widehat{T}\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} z\right)\right]^{n}=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{z}^{2} t^{2}\right)
$$

Putting $t=1$ we get

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left(i\left\langle z_{n}, z\right\rangle\right)\left[\widehat{T}\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right]^{n}=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{z}^{2}\right)
$$

and the existence of the limit on the left-hand side means that on the right-hand side we have the characteristic function of a Gaussian probability operator, which completes the proof.
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