

A FORMULA FOR THE DENSITY OF THE NORM OF STABLE RANDOM VECTORS IN HILBERT SPACES

BY

TOMASZ ŻAK (WROCLAW)

Abstract. Let μ be a symmetric p -stable measure on a Hilbert space H . The distribution function of the norm $F(t) = \mu\{x: \|x\| < t\}$ is absolutely continuous on $(0, \infty)$. We prove an explicit formula for the density $F'(t)$ and some of its consequences.

1. Introduction. Let μ be a symmetric p -stable measure on a Banach space $(E, \|\cdot\|)$. Consider the distribution function of the norm, i.e. $F(t) = \mu\{x: \|x\| < t\}$. It is well-known ([3], [8], [9]) that F is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $(0, \infty)$ (apart from one possible jump if $1 \leq p \leq 2$). The properties of the density $F'(t)$ were investigated (even in more general setting) for $0 < p < 1$ in [5]. It was shown that

$$(1) \quad F'(t) = \frac{p}{t} \int_E [\mu(U_t) - \mu(U_t + x)] dv(x) \quad \text{for } t > 0,$$

where $U_t = \{x: \|x\| < t\}$ and v is the Lévy measure of μ . The crucial point in the proof of this formula was the fact that the absolute continuity of F implied that, in a neighbourhood of the origin,

$$(2) \quad \mu(U_t) - \mu(U_t + x) \leq c_t \|x\|,$$

where c_t are bounded on half-lines (a, ∞) . Since, for $0 < p < 1$, the integral

$$\int_{\{\|x\| < 1\}} \|x\| dv(x)$$

is finite, we could prove formula (1). From (1) we deduced the asymptotic behaviour of $F'(t)$, when t tends to infinity (cf. [5]). If $1 \leq p < 2$, the problem is more difficult. The estimate (2) is not strong enough, but it is easy to see that the estimate

$$(3) \quad \mu(U_t) - \mu(U_t + x) \leq c_t \|x\|^2$$

is sufficient, where c_t are bounded on every half-line (a, ∞) .

In this paper we show that (3) holds for all $p \in (0, 2]$ if E is a separable Hilbert space. As a corollary we get formula (1) and some of its consequences like boundedness and behaviour at infinity. The problem of boundedness of $F'(t)$ is important for the Berry-Essèen type estimates in the Central Limit Theorem in Banach spaces with the stable limiting law.

In the Hilbert space these densities were examined by Pap [6]. He showed that, for $1 < p < 2$, the density $F'(t)$ is bounded, but he used the Hölder's inequality, hence he could not examine the case $p = 1$. We use his idea to prove our Theorem 2. Later, in [2], Bentkus and Pap investigated the smoothness of $F(t)$ in Banach spaces, when the norm is of a particular form, for example, if it is induced by a bilinear functional. Using characteristic functions, they managed to show that, under additional assumptions, F has a number of derivatives, and if E is a Hilbert space, then $F'(t)$ is bounded for $1 < p < 2$. They also gave an asymptotic estimate of $F'(t)$.

In our paper we obtain formula (1) for $F'(t)$ which enables us to show that $F'(t)$ is bounded for every $p \in (0, 2)$ and to give an asymptotic estimate for $F'(t)$ at infinity. Our methods are quite elementary (especially for Gaussian measures) and do not depend on characteristic functions and sophisticated symmetrisation inequalities used in [2]. We use only the fact that any symmetric p -stable measure can be obtained as a mixture of Gaussian measures (see Proposition 1).

2. Notation and basic facts. Throughout the paper H denotes the separable Hilbert space with its norm $\|\cdot\|$. We write $U_t = \{x: \|x\| < t\}$. We consider symmetric p -stable, $0 < p \leq 2$, measures μ on H . If $p = 2$, then this measure is Gaussian and we usually denote it by γ . To avoid triviality, we always assume that $\dim \text{supp } \mu \geq 2$. If μ is a symmetric p -stable measure on H , then there exists a σ -finite measure ν on H , $\nu(V^c) < \infty$, for every open neighbourhood V of the origin and such that $\mu = \lim \exp(\nu|V_n^c)$ for $V_n \searrow \{0\}$. The measure ν is called the *Lévy measure* of μ , and $\nu(rA) = r^{-p} \nu(A)$ for every Borel set A and $r > 0$. There exists a finite measure σ on the unit sphere S_1 in H such that, if $r(x) = \|x\|$ and $s(x) = x/\|x\|$,

$$(4) \quad \nu|_{U_\varepsilon} \{x: \|x+y\| \in A\} = \int_{S_1} \int_\varepsilon^\infty \mathbf{1}_A(\|rs+y\|) \frac{dr}{r^{1+p}} d\sigma(s)$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and a Borel set A . We call σ the spectral measure for μ .

In the sequel all absolute constants will be denoted by c_1, c_2, \dots . By F we denote the distribution function of the norm:

$$F(t) = \mu\{x: \|x\| < t\}.$$

We prove the estimate (3) for Gaussian measures and next apply it to stable measures using the following

PROPOSITION 1 ([4], [8]). *Let X be a symmetric p -stable vector in H with the*

distribution μ and with the spectral measure σ . Put $M^p = \sigma(S_1)$ and

$$c_p^{-1} = \int_0^{\infty} x^{-p} \sin x dx.$$

Let $X_1(\omega_1), X_2(\omega_1), \dots$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with the exponential distribution $P\{X_1 \geq x\} = \exp(-x)$ for $x > 0$; $\Gamma_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$. Let $(g_i(\omega_2))_{i=1}^{\infty}$ denote a sequence of i.i.d. Gaussian random variables with $Eg_1 = 0$ and $E|g_1|^p = 1$, and let $Z_1(\omega_1), Z_2(\omega_1), \dots$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random-vectors with values in H and with the distribution $L(Z_1) = \sigma(S_1)$.

Assume also that the three sequences defined above are independent.

Then for every Borel set A we have

$$(5) \quad P\{X \in A\} = E_{\omega_1} P_{\omega_2} \left\{ c_p M \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_i(\omega_1)^{-1/p} g_i(\omega_2) Z_i(\omega_1) \in A \right\}.$$

3. Estimates for the Gaussian measures in R^n and H . Let γ be a symmetric Gaussian measure on H . Assume that $\text{supp } \gamma = H$ and that $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq \dots$ are the eigenvalues of the covariance operator of γ . It is well known that we can choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}$ in H in such a way that γ is the distribution of a series

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_i} \theta_i(\omega) e_i,$$

where $(\theta_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are i.i.d. with the distribution $N(0, 1)$. We are interested in behaviour of the distribution of the norm of

$$S_n(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\sqrt{\lambda_i} \theta_i(\omega) + r_i) e_i, \quad \text{where } r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in R^n.$$

In the sequel by \tilde{a} we always denote $\min(a, 1)$ for every $a \in R$.

LEMMA 1. The distribution function of $\|S_n(\omega)\|$ is absolutely continuous on $(0, \infty)$. If we denote its density by $f_r(t)$, then there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that, for every $r \in R^n$, $\|r\| < 1$ and $t > 0$,

$$(a) \quad f_r(t) \leq \frac{c_1 t}{(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2)^{1/2}}, \quad \text{where } \tilde{\lambda}_i = \min(\lambda_i, 1),$$

and

$$(b) \quad \left| \frac{d}{dt} f_r(t) \right| \leq \frac{c_2 t}{(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2)^{5/2}}.$$

Proof. For every $s, h > 0$ we have

$$(6) \quad P\{s < \|S_n(\omega)\| < s+h\} = P\{s^2 \leq \|S_n(\omega)\|^2 \leq (s+h)^2\} \\ = P\{s^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (\sqrt{\lambda_i} \theta_i(\omega) + r_i)^2 \leq (s+h)^2\}.$$

The distribution function of $(\sqrt{\lambda_i} \theta_i(\omega) + r_i)^2$ is absolutely continuous on $(0, \infty)$, hence $\|S_n(\omega)\|$ has absolutely continuous distribution.

We estimate the density of the distribution of $\|S_2(\omega)\|^2$.

Let us put, for $t > 0$,

$$h_i(t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi\lambda_i t}} \left[\exp\left(\frac{-(\sqrt{t+r_i})^2}{2\lambda_i}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{-(\sqrt{t-r_i})^2}{2\lambda_i}\right) \right].$$

The density $g(t)$ of the variable $\|S_2(\omega)\|^2$ is the convolution of h_1 and h_2 :

$$(7) \quad g(t) = \int_0^t h_1(t-x) h_2(x) dx \leq \frac{1}{2\pi(\lambda_1\lambda_2)^{1/2}} \int_0^t [(t-x)x]^{-1/2} dx = \frac{c_2}{(\lambda_1\lambda_2)^{1/2}}.$$

It is evident that $g(0) = 0$.

Let us denote by $R(x)$ the density of

$$\|S_n(\omega) - S_2(\omega)\|^2 = \sum_{i=3}^n (\sqrt{\lambda_i} \theta_i(\omega) + r_i)^2.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} P\{s^2 < \|S_n(\omega)\|^2 < (s+h)^2\} &= P\{s^2 < \|S_2(\omega)\|^2 + \|S_n(\omega) - S_2(\omega)\|^2 < (s+h)^2\} \\ &= \int_0^\infty P\{s^2 - x < \|S_2(\omega)\|^2 < (s+h)^2 - x\} R(x) dx \\ &\leq \sup_{x \geq 0} P\{s^2 - x < \|S_2(\omega)\|^2 < (s+h)^2 - x\} \\ &\leq \frac{c_2}{(\lambda_1\lambda_2)^{1/2}} [(s+h)^2 - s^2], \end{aligned}$$

from (6) we get that $f_r(s) \leq c_1 s / (\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{1/2}$. We now estimate $f_r'(t)$. Denote by $k_r(t)$ the density of the distribution of $\|S_n(\omega)\|^2$; then

$$k_r(t) = \int_0^t g(t-x) R(x) dx.$$

Observe that $k_r(t^2) = f_r(t)$, hence $f_r'(t) = 2tk_r'(t^2)$ and $k_r'(t)$ exists because k_r is a convolution of smooth functions $h_i(t)$. If we show that

$$(8) \quad |g'(t)| \leq \frac{c_4}{(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2)^{5/2}},$$

then, since $g(0) = 0$, we infer that

$$|k_r'(t)| = \left| \int_0^t g'(t-x) R(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{c_4}{(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2)^{5/2}}$$

and, finally, $|f_r'(t)| \leq c_2 t / (\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2)^{5/2}$. Now we show that (8) holds. Substituting $u = x/t$ in (7), we get

$$g(t) = \frac{1}{8\pi(\lambda_1\lambda_2)^{1/2}} \int_0^1 [(1-u)u]^{-1/2} X_1^t(1-u) X_2^t(u) du,$$

where

$$X_i^t(x) = \exp\left(\frac{-t(\sqrt{x+r_i/\sqrt{t}})^2}{2\lambda_i}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{-t(\sqrt{x-r_i/\sqrt{t}})^2}{2\lambda_i}\right).$$

Let

$$Y_i^t(x) = \exp\left(\frac{-t(\sqrt{x+r_i/\sqrt{t}})^2}{2\lambda_i}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{-t(\sqrt{x-r_i/\sqrt{t}})^2}{2\lambda_i}\right).$$

Easy calculations show that

$$(9) \quad g'(t) = \frac{-1}{16\pi(\lambda_1\lambda_2)^{1/2}} \int_0^1 [(1-u)u]^{-1/2} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_1} (1-u) X_1^t(1-u) X_2^t(u) + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{r_1 \sqrt{1-u}}{\sqrt{t}} Y_1^t(u) X_2^t(u) + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} u X_2^t(u) X_1^t(1-u) + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \frac{r_2 \sqrt{u}}{\sqrt{t}} Y_2^t(u) X_1^t(1-u) \right] du.$$

Let us divide the right-hand side of (9) into four integrals and observe that the absolute value of the first and the third integral is less than $(\lambda_1^{-1} + \lambda_2^{-1})g(t)$. It is easy to see that estimating two remaining integrals it is sufficient to do it for one of them:

$$\sup_{t>0} \int_0^1 [(1-u)u]^{-1/2} \frac{r_1 \sqrt{1-u}}{\sqrt{t}} Y_1^t(u) X_2^t(u) du = c_5 < +\infty$$

(recall that $|r_1| \leq 1$ by assumption).

By elementary inequality $|e^{-x} - e^{-y}| \leq |x - y|$ for $x, y > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} Y_1^t(u) \right| &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \left| \exp\left(\frac{-t(\sqrt{1-u-r_1/\sqrt{t}})^2}{2\lambda_1}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{-t(\sqrt{1-u+r_1/\sqrt{t}})^2}{2\lambda_1}\right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2|r_1|\sqrt{1-u}}{\lambda_1} \leq \frac{2}{\lambda_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$|g'(t)| \leq \frac{1}{8\pi(\lambda_1\lambda_2)^{1/2}} \left(\frac{c_6}{\lambda_1^2} + \frac{c_7}{\lambda_2^2} \right) \leq \frac{c_3}{(\lambda_1\lambda_2)^{5/2}},$$

which completes the proof.

Now we prove a theorem which is the crucial point of the paper.

THEOREM 1. *Let γ be a distribution of the series*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\lambda_i} \theta_i(\omega) e_i,$$

where $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$ is the standard basis in R^n and $(\theta_i)_{i=1}^n$ are i.i.d. with the distribution $N(0, 1)$. Assume that $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n > 0$ and let $r \in R^n$ with $\|r\| \leq 1$.

Then there exists an absolute constant $c > 0$ such that

$$(10) \quad \gamma(U_t) - \gamma(U_t + r) \leq \frac{c}{t(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2)^{5/2}} \|r\|^2.$$

Remark 1. It is obvious that the left-hand side of (10) is less than 1.

Remark 2. Observe that by virtue of the well-known Anderson's inequality we have $\gamma(U_t) - \gamma(U_t + r) \geq 0$. In view of Proposition 1 we infer that the same is true for symmetric stable measures.

Proof. For fixed $r \in R^n$ put $r^k = (r_1, \dots, r_k, 0, \dots, 0) \in R^n$. Let us write

$$S_n(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\lambda_i} \theta_i(\omega) e_i \quad \text{and} \quad S_n^k = \sum_{i \neq k} \sqrt{\lambda_i} \theta_i(\omega) e_i.$$

We show that, for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$,

$$(11) \quad |\mathbb{P}\{S_n(\omega) \in U_t + r^k\} - \mathbb{P}\{S_n(\omega) \in U_t + r^{k+1}\}| \leq \frac{c}{t(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2)^{5/2}} r_{k+1}^2.$$

By the triangle inequality and (11) we get

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_n(\omega) \in U_t\} - \mathbb{P}\{S_n(\omega) \in U_t + r\} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{c}{t(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2)^{5/2}} r_{k+1}^2 = \frac{c}{t(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2)^{5/2}} \|r\|^2.$$

Now we show (11). For fixed $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ let f_r^k be the density of the distribution of $\|S_n^{k+1}(\omega) - r^k\|$; here $r^k = (r_1, \dots, r_k, 0, \dots, 0) \in R^{n-1}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^k &= \mathbb{P}\{S_n(\omega) - r^k \in U_t\} - \mathbb{P}\{S_n(\omega) - r^{k+1} \in U_t\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}\{\|S_n^{k+1}(\omega) - r^k\|^2 + (\sqrt{\lambda_{k+1}} \theta_{k+1}(\omega))^2 < t^2\} - \\ &\quad - \mathbb{P}\{\|S_n^{k+1}(\omega) - r^k\|^2 + (\sqrt{\lambda_{k+1}} \theta_{k+1}(\omega) + r_{k+1})^2 < t^2\} \\ &= \int_0^t [\mathbb{P}\{(\sqrt{\lambda_{k+1}} \theta_{k+1}(\omega))^2 < t^2 - x^2\} - \\ &\quad - \mathbb{P}\{(\sqrt{\lambda_{k+1}} \theta_{k+1}(\omega) + r_{k+1})^2 < t^2 - x^2\}] f_r^k(x) dx \\ &= \int_0^t \left[\Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{t^2 - x^2}{\lambda_{k+1}}}\right) - \Phi\left(-\sqrt{\frac{t^2 - x^2}{\lambda_{k+1}}}\right) - \Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{t^2 - x^2}{\lambda_{k+1}}} - \frac{r_{k+1}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{k+1}}}\right) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Phi\left(-\sqrt{\frac{t^2 - x^2}{\lambda_{k+1}}} - \frac{r_{k+1}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{k+1}}}\right) \right] f_r^k(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi(y) = \int_{-\infty}^y \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) dx.$$

Denoting for simplicity λ_{k+1} by λ and r_{k+1} by a we get

$$I_1^k = \int_0^t H_\lambda(x) f_r^k(x) dx,$$

where

$$H_\lambda(x) = 2\Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{t^2-x^2}{\lambda}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{t^2-x^2}+a}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{t^2-x^2}-a}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right).$$

Now the estimate depends on k . Let $k = 0$ or 1 . Taking three terms in the Taylor's formula with the Lagrange form of the remainder, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2\pi} H_\lambda(x) = & \frac{a^2}{2\lambda} \left[\frac{\sqrt{t^2-x^2}-\delta_1 a}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\sqrt{t^2-x^2}-\delta_1 a)^2}{2\lambda}\right) + \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\sqrt{t^2-x^2}+\delta_2 a}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\sqrt{t^2-x^2}+\delta_2 a)^2}{2\lambda}\right) \right] \quad \text{for } 0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Because $\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |xe^{-x^2/2}| = e^{-1/2}$, we have

$$|I_1^k| \leq \frac{a^2}{\sqrt{e\lambda}} \int_0^t f_r^k(x) dx \leq \frac{c_8}{\lambda},$$

hence, for $k = 0$ or 1 , we have $|I_1^k| \leq c_8/(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2)^{5/2}$.

Now, take $k \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ and use the Taylor's formula with the integral form of the remainder:

$$H_\lambda(x) = \frac{a^2}{\lambda} \int_0^1 (1-s) \left[\Phi''\left(\frac{\sqrt{t^2-x^2}-sa}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right) + \Phi''\left(\frac{\sqrt{t^2-x^2}+sa}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right) \right] ds.$$

Because $\sqrt{2\pi}\Phi''(x) = -x \exp(-x^2/2)$, we have to show that

$$\sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} |I_1^k| = c_9 < \infty,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^k = & \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^t u f_r^k(\sqrt{t^2-u^2}) \int_0^1 (1-s) \left[\frac{u+sa}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \exp\left(-\frac{(u+sa)^2}{2\lambda}\right) + \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{u-sa}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \exp\left(-\frac{(u-sa)^2}{2\lambda}\right) \right] ds du. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming $0 < a$, we estimate I_1^k using Fubini theorem and Lemma 1. The first term is estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (12) \quad & \int_0^t \frac{f_r^k(\sqrt{t^2-u^2})}{\sqrt{t^2-u^2}} \int_0^1 (1-s) \frac{u}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \frac{u+sa}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \exp\left(-\frac{(u+sa)^2}{2\lambda}\right) ds du \\
 & \leq \int_0^t \frac{f_r^k(\sqrt{t^2-u^2})}{\sqrt{t^2-u^2}} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{u+sa}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \exp\left(-\frac{(u+sa)^2}{2\lambda}\right) ds du \\
 & = \int_0^1 \int_{sa/\sqrt{\lambda}}^{(t+sa)/\sqrt{\lambda}} \frac{f_r^k(\sqrt{t^2-(\sqrt{\lambda}v-sa)^2})}{\sqrt{t^2-(\sqrt{\lambda}v-sa)^2}} v^2 \exp\left(-\frac{v^2}{2}\right) dv ds \\
 & \leq \int_0^1 \frac{c_2}{(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} v^2 \exp\left(-\frac{v^2}{2}\right) dv ds \leq \frac{c_2}{(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2)^{5/2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now the second term:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^t \frac{u f_r^k(\sqrt{t^2-u^2})}{\sqrt{t^2-u^2}} \int_0^1 (1-s) \frac{u-sa}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \exp\left(-\frac{(u-sa)^2}{2\lambda}\right) ds du \\
 & = \int_0^1 \int_0^t \frac{f_r^k(\sqrt{t^2-u^2})}{\sqrt{t^2-u^2}} \frac{1-s}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left(\frac{u-sa}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{(u-sa)^2}{2\lambda}\right) du ds + \\
 & + \int_0^1 \int_0^t \frac{f_r^k(\sqrt{t^2-u^2})}{\sqrt{t^2-u^2}} \frac{sa}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \frac{u-sa}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \frac{1-s}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \exp\left(-\frac{(u-sa)^2}{2\lambda}\right) du ds = I_2 + I_3.
 \end{aligned}$$

The integral I_2 we estimate in the same way as (12). Observe that it is sufficient to prove (10) for r such that $\|r\|^2 \leq t/2$; hence we may assume that $a = \|r\| \leq t/2$ because, for $0 < a \leq 1$, we have $a^2 \leq a$. To estimate I_3 we divide it into two integrals; the first one is the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (13) \quad I_4 & = \int_0^{t/2} \int_0^t \frac{f_r^k(\sqrt{t^2-u^2})}{\sqrt{t^2-u^2}} \frac{sa}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \frac{u-sa}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \frac{1-s}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \exp\left(-\frac{(u-sa)^2}{2\lambda}\right) du ds \\
 & \leq \int_0^{t/2} \int_0^t \frac{c_1}{(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2)^{1/2}} \frac{sa}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \frac{u-sa}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \exp\left(-\frac{(u-sa)^2}{2\lambda}\right) du ds \\
 & = \frac{c_1}{(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2)^{1/2}} \int_0^1 \int_{(t/2-sa)/\sqrt{\lambda}}^{(t-sa)/\sqrt{\lambda}} \frac{sa}{\sqrt{\lambda}} x \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) dx ds
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{c_1}{(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2)^{1/2}} \frac{a}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \int_0^1 \exp\left(-\frac{(t/2-sa)^2}{2\lambda}\right) ds \\ &= \frac{c_1}{(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2)^{1/2}} \int_{(t/2-a)/\sqrt{\lambda}}^{t/2\sqrt{\lambda}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) dx \leq \frac{c_1 \sqrt{\pi/2}}{(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2)^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Estimating the second integral we apply the mean value theorem,

$$(14) \quad \frac{f_r^k(\sqrt{t^2 - (\sqrt{\lambda} x + sa)^2})}{\sqrt{t^2 - (\sqrt{\lambda} x + sa)^2}} = \frac{f_r^k(\sqrt{t^2 - (sa)^2})}{\sqrt{t^2 - (sa)^2}} + x \sqrt{\lambda} (\sqrt{\lambda} \vartheta x + sa) \frac{f_r^k(y_x) - f_r^k(y_x) \sqrt{y_x}}{y_x^{3/2}} = D + x \sqrt{\lambda} (\sqrt{\lambda} \vartheta x + sa) E(y_x),$$

where $y_x = t^2 - (\sqrt{\lambda} \vartheta x + sa)^2$ and $0 < \vartheta < 1$.

By (14) we obtain the estimate

$$(15) \quad I_3 - I_4 = \int_0^1 (1-s) \int_{-sa/\sqrt{\lambda}}^{(t/2-sa)/\sqrt{\lambda}} D \frac{sa}{\sqrt{\lambda}} x \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) dx ds + \int_0^1 \int_{-sa/\sqrt{\lambda}}^{(t/2-sa)/\sqrt{\lambda}} (1-s) x \sqrt{\lambda} (\sqrt{\lambda} \vartheta x + sa) E(y_x) \frac{sa}{\sqrt{\lambda}} x \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) dx ds = I_5 + I_6.$$

The integral I_5 can be estimated in the same way as I_4 in (13), hence it remains to estimate I_6 only. From Lemma 1, for $x \in (-sa/\sqrt{\lambda}, (t/2-sa)/\sqrt{\lambda})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\sqrt{\lambda} \vartheta x + sa) E(y_x)| &\leq |\sqrt{\lambda} \vartheta x + sa| \left(\frac{|f_r^k(y_x)|}{y_x} + \frac{f_r^k(y_x)}{y_x^{3/2}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{c_2}{(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2)^{5/2}} \frac{|\sqrt{\lambda} \vartheta x + sa|}{\sqrt{y_x}} + \frac{c_1}{(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2)^{1/2}} \frac{|\sqrt{\lambda} \vartheta x + sa|}{y_x} \\ &\leq \frac{c_{10}}{(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2)^{5/2}} \frac{t/2}{\min(\frac{3}{4}t^2, \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}}t)} \leq \frac{c_{11}}{t(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2)^{5/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$(16) \quad |I_6| \leq \frac{c_{11}}{t(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2)^{5/2}} \int_0^1 \int_{-sa/\sqrt{\lambda}}^{(t/2-sa)/\sqrt{\lambda}} sa \cdot x^2 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) dx ds \leq \frac{c_{12}}{t(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2)^{5/2}},$$

which, together with (13), gives the desired result. The proof of the theorem is completed.

Let now γ be a Gaussian measure on H . Assume that $\text{supp } \gamma = H$ and that γ is the distribution of the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_i} \theta_i(\omega) e_i$, where $(e_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a CONS in H and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i < \infty$ with $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots$

By γ_n we denote the distribution of $\sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\lambda_i} \theta_i(\omega) e_i$.

COROLLARY. 1. *Under the above assumptions there exists an absolute constant $c > 0$ such that, for every $t > 0$ and $r \in H$,*

$$(17) \quad \gamma(U_t) - \gamma(U_t + r) \leq \frac{c}{t(\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{5/2}} \|r\|^2.$$

Proof. For every $r \in H$ the series

$$S(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\sqrt{\lambda_i} \theta_i(\omega) + r_i) e_i$$

is convergent with probability 1, hence weakly. Lemma 1 implies that the distribution of $\|S(\omega)\|$ is absolutely continuous on $(0, \infty)$, hence, for every $t > 0$, and $r \in H$,

$$\lim_n \gamma_n(U_t + r) = \gamma(U_t + r).$$

For every $\varepsilon > 0$ we can choose an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for $n > n_0$,

$$(18) \quad |\gamma_n(U_t) - \gamma(U_t)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad |\gamma_n(U_t + r) - \gamma(U_t + r)| < \varepsilon.$$

By virtue of Theorem 1 and estimates (18) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(U_t) - \gamma(U_t + r) &= |\gamma(U_t) - \gamma_n(U_t) + \gamma_n(U_t) - \gamma_n(U_t + r) + \gamma_n(U_t + r) - \gamma(U_t + r)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \frac{c}{t(\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{5/2}} \|r\|^2 + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get the desired result.

Remark. Using the Cameron-Martin formula we get an estimate much weaker than (17) and only for r belonging to the RKHS of γ .

4. Estimates for stable measures in H . We use an idea belonging to Pap [6]. Let μ be a symmetric p -stable measure on H . By Proposition 1 we can write, for every $t > 0$,

$$(19) \quad \mu(U_t) = E_{\omega_1} P_{\omega_2} \left\{ c_p M \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_i(\omega_1)^{-1/p} g_i(\omega_2) Z_i(\omega_1) \in U_t \right\}.$$

For fixed ω_1 the series on the right-hand side of (19) represents a symmetric Gaussian measure γ_{ω_1} . Let $\lambda_i(\omega_1)$ denote the eigenvalues of the covariance operator of γ_{ω_1} and assume that $\lambda_1(\omega_1) \geq \lambda_2(\omega_1) \geq \dots$. Pap [6] showed that

$$E_{\omega_1} \frac{1}{(\lambda_1(\omega_1) \lambda_2(\omega_1))^{5/2}} < \infty.$$

From this result we deduce our next theorem.

THEOREM 2. *If μ is a symmetric p -stable measure on H , then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for all $r \in \text{supp } \mu$ and $t > 0$,*

$$(20) \quad \mu(U_t) - \mu(U_t + r) \leq \frac{C}{t} \|r\|^2.$$

Proof. Sztencel [9] proved that for almost all ω_1 the supports of γ_{ω_1} are equal to the support of μ , so we can assume that $\text{supp } \mu = H$, and then $\text{supp } \gamma_{\omega_1} = H$ for almost all ω_1 .

By Pap's result, (19) and Theorem 1 we see that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(U_t) - \mu(U_t + r) &= E_{\omega_1} [\gamma_{\omega_1}(U_t) - \gamma_{\omega_1}(U_t + r)] \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t} \|r\|^2 E_{\omega_1} \frac{1}{(\lambda_1(\omega_1) \lambda_2(\omega_1))^{5/2}} = \frac{C}{t} \|r\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

5. Formula for the density. We can now prove our main theorem. In [5] we gave an analogous formula for $p \in (0, 1)$ and measurable seminorms in any Banach space. Here we show the formula in Hilbert space only, but for all $p \in (0, 2)$. All the technical details are very similar to those given in [5] with one exception: now we apply Theorem 2 instead of estimate (2).

THEOREM 3. *Let μ be a symmetric p -stable, $0 < p < 2$, measure on a separable Hilbert space H . Then the distribution function $F(t) = \mu\{x: \|x\| < t\}$ is absolutely continuous and, for every $t > 0$,*

$$(21) \quad F'(t) = \frac{p}{t} \int_H [\mu(U_t) - \mu(U_t + x)] d\nu(x),$$

where ν is the Lévy measure of μ .

Proof. The details of the proof may be found in [5], here we sketch only the main ideas. It is easy to see that if $F'(t)$ exists, then

$$F'(t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{p}{t} \int_H [\mu(U_t) - \mu(U_t + x)] d \frac{1}{s} \mu_s(x),$$

where $(\mu_s)_{s>0}$ is a symmetric semigroup of p -stable measures such that $\mu_1 = \mu$. For every $t > 0$ the function $f_t(x) = \mu(U_t) - \mu(U_t + x)$ is continuous and bounded and, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(22) \quad \frac{1}{s} \mu_s \Big|_{\{x: \|x\| > \varepsilon\}} \text{ converges weakly to } \nu \Big|_{\{x: \|x\| > \varepsilon\}} \text{ as } s \rightarrow 0.$$

By virtue of Theorem 2, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$(23) \quad \int_{\{x: \|x\| < \varepsilon\}} [\mu(U_t) - \mu(U_t + x)] d \frac{1}{s} \mu_s(x) \\ \leq \int_{\{x: \|x\| < \varepsilon\}} \frac{C}{t} \|x\|^2 d \frac{1}{s} \mu_s(x) = \frac{C}{t} \int_{\{x: \|x\| < \varepsilon s^{-1/p}\}} s^{(2/p)-1} \|x\|^2 d\mu(x) \\ \leq \frac{C}{t} s^{(2/p)-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon s^{-1/p}} 2\alpha \mu\{x: \|x\| > \alpha\} d\alpha \leq \frac{c_{13}}{t} \varepsilon^{2-p},$$

because $\mu\{x: \|x\| > \alpha\} \leq c_{14} \alpha^{-p}$ (see e.g. [1]).

Combining (22) and (23) we deduce that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \int_H [\mu(U_t) - \mu(U_t + x)] d \frac{1}{s} \mu_s(x) = \int_H [\mu(U_t) - \mu(U_t + x)] d\nu(x),$$

which implies

$$F'(t) = \frac{p}{t} \int_H [\mu(U_t) - \mu(U_t + x)] d\nu(x).$$

This formula implies the continuity of $F'(t)$. Indeed, let $t_n \rightarrow t > 0$. Then, for every $x \in H$, $f_{t_n}(x) \rightarrow f_t(x)$, and this, in turn, implies that $F'(t_n) \rightarrow F'(t)$ (cf. [5]). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Now we give an asymptotic estimate of $F'(t)$ at infinity. Fix $t > 0$. By Theorems 2 and 3 and by (4) we can estimate as follows:

$$\int_H [\mu(U_t) - \mu(U_t + x)] d\nu(x) = \int_{S_1} \int_0^\infty [\mu(U_t) - \mu(U_t + rz)] \sigma(dz) \frac{dr}{r^{1+p}} \\ \leq \sigma(S_1) \int_0^\infty \sup_{0 \leq z \in S_1} [\mu(U_t) - \mu(U_t + rz)] \frac{dr}{r^{1+p}} \\ \leq \sigma(S_1) \int_0^\infty \min\left(\frac{C}{t} r^2, 1\right) \frac{dr}{r^{1+p}} \\ = \sigma(S_1) \left[\int_0^1 \frac{C}{t} r^{1-p} dr + \int_1^\infty C r^{-1-p} dr \right] \leq c_{15} < +\infty.$$

By formula (21) we obtain the following

COROLLARY 2. *Let μ and F be as in Theorem 3. There exists a constant $K > 0$ such that, for all $t > 1$, $F'(t) \leq Kt^{-1}$.*

Remark. In [5] we showed the exact asymptotic behaviour of $F'(t)$. Namely, if $0 < p < 1$, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{1+p} F'(t) = \sigma(S_1).$$

We conjecture that in this case the same is true.

In view of formula (1), obtained in [5] for $0 < p < 1$, Ryznar [7] showed that $F'(t)$ is bounded. Repeating his arguments and using (21) instead of (1) one can show that $F'(t)$ is bounded for all $p \in (0, 2)$.

COROLLARY 3. *In the setting of Theorem 3 the density $F'(t)$ is bounded on $(0, \infty)$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that $F'(t)$ is bounded on $(0, 1)$. Let us choose $t < 1$ and fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We will specify m later on. By virtue of (21) and (20) we have

$$\begin{aligned} F'(t) &= \frac{p}{t} \int_E [\mu(U_t) - \mu(U_t + x)] dv(x) \\ &\leq \frac{p}{t} \sigma(S_1) \left[\int_0^{t^m} \frac{C}{t} r^2 \frac{dr}{r^{1+p}} + \int_{t^m}^{\infty} F(t) \frac{dr}{r^{1+p}} \right] \\ &\leq c_{16} p \sigma(S_1) [Ct^{(2-p)m-2} + F(t)t^{-mp-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking m such that $(2-p)m-2 > 0$ and taking into account that if $\text{supp } \mu$ is infinite-dimensional, then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F(t) = o(t^n)$, $t \rightarrow 0$ (see [1]), we get that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} F'(t) = 0.$$

If $\text{supp } \mu$ is finite-dimensional, the result is well-known.

We believe that the estimate of type (3) is valid in any Banach space and that the following conjecture is true:

CONJECTURE. *Let μ be a symmetric p -stable measure on a separable Banach space E and put $t_0 = \inf\{t > 0: F(t) > 0\}$. Then for every $t > t_0$ there exists a constant $c(t)$ which is bounded on every half-line (a, ∞) and such that $\mu(U_t) - \mu(U_t + x) \leq c(t)\|x\|^2$.*

If this conjecture is true, then formula (1) holds, and we can apply it in the investigation of properties of the density $F'(t)$.

Added in proof. It turned out that in general the above conjecture is false. Nevertheless, it is true in some classes of Banach spaces, e.g. in L_p spaces

for $p \geq 2$. The results are contained in the forthcoming paper "The measure of a translated ball in uniformly convex spaces" written by M. Ryznar and the author.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. de Acosta, *Stable measures and seminorms*, Ann. Prob. 3 (1975), p. 865-875.
- [2] V. Bentkus and G. Pap, *On the distribution function of the norm of a stable random variable taking values in a Hilbert space*, Lithuanian Math. J. 26/2 (1986), p. 211-220 (in Russian).
- [3] T. Byczkowski and K. Samotij, *Absolute continuity of stable seminorms*, Ann. Prob. 14/1 (1986), p. 299-312.
- [4] R. LePage, M. Woodroffe and J. Zinn, *Convergence to a stable distribution via order statistics*, ibidem 9/4 (1981), p. 624-632.
- [5] M. Lewandowski and T. Żak, *On the density of the distribution of p -stable seminorms, $0 < p < 1$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 100/2 (1987), p. 345-351.
- [6] G. Pap, *Boundedness of the density of the norm of stable random vector in a Hilbert space*, Theory Prob. Math. Statist. 36 (1987) (in Russian).
- [7] M. Ryznar, *Geometrical properties of Banach spaces and the distribution of the norm for a stable measure*, Studia Math. (to appear).
- [8] R. Sztencel, *On the lower tail of stable seminorms*, Bull. Pol. Ac.: Math. 32 (1984), p. 715-719.
- [9] R. Sztencel, *Absolute continuity of the lower tail of stable seminorms*, ibidem 34 (1986), p. 231-234.

Institute of Mathematics
Technical University
Wybrzeże Wyspiańskiego 27
50-370 Wrocław, Poland

Received on 9. 5. 1987;
revised version on 6. 10. 1987