### PROBABILITY AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS Vol. 19, Fasc. 2 (1999), pp. 287–322

# THEORETICAL PREDICTION OF PERIODICALLY CORRELATED SEQUENCES

#### BY

## ANDRZEJ MAKAGON\* (HAMPTON, VA, AND WROCŁAW)

Abstract. The paper deals with a spectral analysis and prediction of periodically correlated (PC) sequences. In particular, a moving average representation of a predictor is obtained and its coefficients are described in the language of outer factors of spectral line densities of the sequence. A comprehensive and self-contained overview of the spectral theory of PC sequences is included. The developed technique is used to compute the spectrum and an optimal moving average representation of a PC solution to a PARMA system of equations.

### AMS Classification: 60G25, 62M15, 62M10.

Key words: Periodically Correlated Sequence, Prediction, PARMA System, Stationary Sequence.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a sequence (x(n)) in a complex Hilbert space H, the theoretical prediction deals with the problem of finding the best approximation of a future element x(n) in the past:  $M_x(m) = \overline{sp} \{x(k): k \le m\}, m < n$ , assuming that the spectral characteristics of the sequence are known.

In this paper we study the prediction problem for periodically correlated (PC) sequences. PC sequences are directly related to T-dimensional stationary sequences; each PC sequence with period T partitioned into blocks of size T produces a T-dimensional stationary sequence, and vice versa. However, due to a rather complex relationship between the spectra of these two sequences, the mentioned partitioning a PC sequence is not a convenient tool in the prediction analysis. Theory of PC sequences has developed its own technique based on the fact that a PC sequence is a trajectory of a unitary group evalu-

<sup>\*</sup> Department of Mathematics, Hampton University, Hampton, VA, USA, and The Hugo Steinhaus Center for Stochastic Methods, Wrocław, Poland.

ated at a periodic sequence. In the paper we will explore this approach and use it to study prediction problem for PC sequences.

The prediction problem is solved completely for one-dimensional stationary sequences, and is fairly advanced for multidimensional stationary sequences; however, in the latter case no explicit expression for a predictor in terms of the spectral density of a sequence is known yet. The available descriptions are in terms of *conjugate analytic* factors of a spectral density. Because of the mentioned correspondence between PC and T-dimensional stationary sequences, we cannot expect more explicit solutions in PC case either.

The paper is self-contained and is organized as follows. Section 2 summarizes basic facts about PC sequences. Section 3 contains a short review of prediction results for T-dimensional stationary sequences and precise statement of the problem. In Section 4 we study a certain T-dimensional stationary sequence, induced by a PC sequence, which will be the main tool used in the paper. In Section 5 we derive regularity conditions and give a spectral description of the coefficients in a moving average representation of a predictor of a PC sequence. Section 6 contains a short discussion of other stationary sequences associated with a PC sequence. Section 7 contains an example showing how the induced sequence technique can be applied to find spectrum and an optimal moving average representation of a PARMA sequence.

Notation. In the paper H and K will stand for complex Hilbert spaces,  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  will denote the inner product,  $(x \mid M)$  will denote the orthogonal projection of  $x \in H$  onto a closed linear subspace M of H, and  $H^T = H \oplus H \oplus \ldots \oplus H$  will denote the direct sum of T copies of a Hilbert space H. The letters  $\mathscr{Z}$  and  $\mathscr{C}$  will stand for the sets of integers and complex numbers, respectively. The dual of  $\mathscr{Z}$  is identified with  $[0, 2\pi)$ , the operations of addition and multiplication in  $[0, 2\pi)$  will always be modulo  $2\pi$ , unless otherwise is stated. T will always be a positive integer.  $\mathscr{Z}_T$  will denote the set of congruence classes modulo T, that is the set  $\{0, \ldots, T-1\}$  with addition modulo T; any time we write  $k, j \in \mathscr{Z}_T$ , this will indicate that the addition, subtraction, multiplication, etc. of k and j are modulo T. If  $n \in \mathscr{Z}$ , then [n] and Q(n) will denote the remainder and the quotient in division of n by T, so that  $n = Q(n)T + [n], 0 \leq [n] < T$ . The mapping  $\mathscr{Z} \ni n \to [n] \in \mathscr{Z}_T$  is a homomorphism of the group  $\mathscr{Z}$  onto the group  $\mathscr{Z}_T$ .

A sequence (x(n)) (or  $(x_n)$ ) in H is a function from  $\mathscr{Z}$  into H. A sequence (x(n)) is said to be *T*-periodic if x(n+T) = x(n) for all  $n \in \mathscr{Z}$ . *T*-periodic sequences are in one-to-one correspondence with functions on  $\mathscr{Z}_T$  via the mapping  $(x(n)) \leftrightarrow x([n])$ , and they will be often identified in the paper without mentioning. If (s(n)) is *T*-periodic, then ten sum  $\sum_{k=r}^{r+T-1} s(k)$  does not depend on r and this also will be very often employed in the paper without warning.

Matrices will be denoted by  $[X^{j,k}]$  or by bold-face letters. Multiplication of matrices is a standard matrix multiplication. We allow  $X^{j,k}$  to be elements of

a Hilbert space, and then the product of entries is an inner product in H, that is

$$[X^{j,k}][Y^{j,k}] = \left[\sum_{p} (X^{j,p}, Y^{p,k})\right].$$

If  $A = [A^{j,k}]$  is a matrix, then  $A^*$  denotes its conjugate matrix, that is the transposed matrix (with conjugate entries, if  $A^{j,k} \in \mathscr{C}$ ).

 $L^2(\mu; H)$  will stand for a Hilbert space of H-valued functions on  $[0, 2\pi)$  which are square-integrable with respect to a nonnegative measure  $\mu$ . If  $\mu$  is Lebesgue measure, then the letter  $\mu$  will be dropped. If  $f \in L^2(H)$ , then its Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  is a square-summable sequence defined by

(1) 
$$\hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{-int} f(t) dt, \quad n \in \mathscr{Z}.$$

With this normalization,  $f(t) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi})\sum_k \hat{f}(k)e^{ikt}$  in  $L^2(H)$ . A function  $f \in L^2(H)$  is called *conjugate analytic* if  $\hat{f}(n) = 0$  for all n > 0. The set of all *H*-valued square-integrable conjugate analytic functions is denoted by  $L^2_-(H)$ . A matrix-valued function is *conjugate analytic* if its coordinate functions are conjugate analytic.

If (s(n)) is a T-periodic sequence in H, then the discrete Fourier transform of (s(n)) is a T-periodic sequence defined by

(2) 
$$\tilde{s}(n) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i n k/T} s(k), \quad n \in \mathscr{Z}.$$

The *inverse* discrete Fourier transform is given by

$$s(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i n k/T} \tilde{s}(k), \quad n \in \mathscr{Z}.$$

The paper deals with stochastic sequences of zero mean and finite variance complex random variables, which are represented here as sequences in a complex Hilbert space H. If (x(n)) is a stochastic sequence, then the function  $K_x(n, m) = (x(n), x(m))$  is referred to as the *correlation function* of (x(n)). A sequence (x(n)) is called *harmonizable* if there is an H-valued finite Borel complex measure F on  $[0, 2\pi) \times [0, 2\pi)$  such that

(3) 
$$K_x(m, n) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(ms - nt)} F(ds, dt).$$

An  $H^T$ -valued sequence  $[X^k(n)]$  will be called a *T*-dimensional sequence in *H*. *T*-dimensional sequences will be looked upon as column vectors  $(T \times 1 \text{ matrices})$ . Coordinates of vectors and matrices are numbered from 0. Two *T*-dimensional sequences  $[X^k(n)]$  and  $[Y^k(n)]$  are said to be *equivalent* if there is an isometry that maps  $X^k(n)$  onto  $Y^k(n)$ , that is if  $(X^k(m), X^j(n)) = (Y^k(m), Y^j(n))$  for all k, j = 0, ..., T-1 and  $n, m \in \mathscr{Z}$ . A T-dimensional sequence  $[X^k(n)]$  is called stationary if for every k, j = 0, ..., T-1 the cross-correlation function  $(X^k(m), X^j(n))$  depends only on m-n. The correlation function of a T-dimensional stationary sequence is a  $T \times T$ -matrix valued sequence defined as  $[K^{j,k}(n)] = [X^k(n)] [X^k(0)]^*$ , that is  $K^{j,k}(n) = (X^j(n), X^k(0))$ . A T-dimensional sequence  $[X^k(n)]$  is stationary iff there is a unitary operator U in  $M_X = \overline{sp} \{X^k(m): k = 0, ..., T-1, m \in \mathcal{Z}\}$  such that  $X^k(n) = U^n X^k(0)$  for every  $n \in Z$  and k = 0, ..., T-1. The operator U is referred to as the shift operator of  $[X^k(n)]$ . If  $[X^k(n)]$  is a T-dimensional stationary sequence, then writing  $U^n = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{inx} E(dx)$  we obtain

(4) 
$$K^{j,k}(n) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{inx} \Gamma^{j,k}(dx),$$

where  $\Gamma^{j,k}(\Delta) = (E(\Delta) X^j(0), X^k(0)), k, j = 0, ..., T-1$ , is a complex measure on  $[0, 2\pi)$ . The  $T \times T$ -matrix measure  $\Gamma(\Delta) = [\Gamma^{j,k}(\Delta)]$  is called the *spectral measure* of a *T*-dimensional stationary sequence  $[X^k(n)]$ . If  $\Gamma$  is absolutely continuous with respect to a nonnegative  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$ , then its Radon-Nikodym derivative  $d\Gamma/d\mu(t)$  is  $\mu$ -almost everywhere a nonnegative definite  $T \times T$ -matrix. Every  $T \times d$ -matrix valued function A(t) with coordinates in  $L^2(\mathscr{C})$  such that

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{d\mu}(t) = A(t) A(t)^*, \ \mu\text{-a.e.},$$

will be called a square root of the density  $d\Gamma/d\mu(t)$ .

If  $A(\cdot)$  is a square root of  $d\Gamma/d\mu$ , then the rows  $A^0(\cdot), \ldots, A^{T-1}(\cdot)$  of  $A(\cdot)$  are elements of the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{C}^d)$  and

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{i(n-m)t} \left( A^{j}(t), A^{k}(t) \right) \mu(dt) = K^{j,k}(n-m), \quad j, k = 0, ..., T-1, n, m \in \mathcal{Z},$$

where the inner product  $(A^{j}(t), A^{k}(t))$  is in  $\mathscr{C}^{d}$ . Therefore, any *T*-dimensional stationary sequence  $[X^{k}(n)]$  is equivalent to an  $L^{2}(C^{d})$ -valued *T*-dimensional stationary sequence  $[Y^{k}(n)]$  defined by

(5) 
$$Y^k(n)(\cdot) = e^{in \cdot} A^k(\cdot), \quad k = 0, \ldots, T-1, n \in \mathscr{Z},$$

where A(t) is a square root of the density  $d\Gamma/d\mu$  of  $[X^k(n)]$ . This is known as Kolmogorov's Isomorphism Theorem.

### 2. PC SEQUENCES: BASIC FACTS

Theory of PC sequences was set by Gladyshev in [5]. In this section we review Gladyshev's results concerning the structure and the spectrum of PC sequences.

DEFINITION 2.1. A stochastic sequence (x(n)) is called *periodically correl*ated (PC) with period T if  $K_x(n, m) = K_x(n+T, m+T)$  for every  $n, m \in \mathscr{Z}$ .

If (x(n)) is PC with period T, then the function  $K_x(n+p, n)$  is T-periodic in n for every  $p \in \mathscr{X}$ , and hence

(6) 
$$K_{x}(n+p, n) = \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i j n/T} a_{j}(p),$$

where

(7) 
$$a_j(p) = (1/T) \sum_{n=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i j n/T} K_x(n+p, n).$$

Let us put  $M_x = \overline{sp} \{x(n): n \in \mathscr{Z}\}$ . If (x(n)) is PC with period T, then the mapping  $V: x(n) \to x(n+T), n \in \mathscr{Z}$ , extends linearly to a unitary operator  $V: M_x \xrightarrow{onto} M_x$ . The operator V is called the *T*-shift operator of (x(n)). If U is a unitary T-th root of V, that is U is a unitary operator in a space  $K \supseteq M_x$ such that  $U^T = V$  on  $M_x$ , then  $p(n) = U^{-n}x(n)$  is a T-periodic sequence in K and

(8) 
$$x(n) = U^n p(n), \quad n \in \mathscr{Z}.$$

Define  $W^q(0) = (1/T) \sum_{n=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i n q/T} p(n)$  and  $W^q(n) = U^n W^q(0)$ . Then  $[W^q(n)]$  is a T-dimensional stationary sequence in K and

(9) 
$$x(n) = \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i n q/T} W^q(n), \quad n \in \mathscr{Z}.$$

Conversely, if (x(n)) has the form (9), then  $x(n) = U^n p(n)$ , where U is the shift of  $[W^k(n)]$  and (p(n)) is a T-periodic function in K defined by

(10) 
$$p(n) = \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i n q/T} W^{q}(0).$$

This proves the following characterization of PC sequences:

PROPOSITION 2.2 (Gladyshev [5]). A sequence (x(n)) is PC with period T iff there are a Hilbert space  $K \supseteq M_x$ , a unitary operator U in K, and a T-periodic sequence (p(n)) in K such that  $x(n) = U^n p(n)$ ,  $n \in \mathcal{X}$ , or, equivalently, iff there are a Hilbert space  $K \supseteq M_x$  and a T-dimensional stationary sequence  $[W^k(n)]$  in K such that (x(n)) has a representation (9).

Any *T*-dimensional stationary sequence  $[W^q(n)]$  in  $K \supseteq M_x$  that satisfies (9) will be called a generating sequence of (x(n)).

If a T-dimensional stationary sequence  $[W^k(n)]$  generates a PC sequence (x(n)), then

(11) 
$$K_{x}(n+p, n) = \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i j n/T} \Big( \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i k p/T} \big( W^{k}(p), W^{k-j}(0) \big) \Big),$$

6 - PAMS 19.2

where  $k, j \in \mathscr{Z}_T$  (that is, the subtraction is modulo T). Therefore the coefficients  $a_i(p)$  in (6) can be written as

(12) 
$$a_j(p) = \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i k p/T} (W^k(p), W^{k-j}(0)) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i p s} \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \Gamma^{k,k-j} (ds - 2\pi k/T),$$

 $j \in \mathscr{Z}_T$ ,  $p \in \mathscr{Z}$ , where  $[\Gamma^{j,k}]$  is the spectral measure of  $[W^q(n)]$  (algebraic operations in  $[0, 2\pi)$  are modulo  $2\pi$ ). Writing  $\gamma_j(ds) = \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \Gamma^{k,k-j}(ds - 2\pi k/T)$ , we obtain

(13) 
$$a_j(p) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{ips} \gamma_j(ds), \quad j \in \mathscr{Z}_T, \ p \in \mathscr{Z}.$$

Define a measure F on  $[0, 2\pi) \times [0, 2\pi)$  as  $F = \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} F_j$ , where  $F_j$  is the image of  $\gamma_j$  through the mapping  $l_j: s \to (s, s - 2\pi j/T)$ , which maps  $[0, 2\pi)$  onto the line segment

$$L_{j} = \{(s, s - 2\pi j/T): s \in [0, 2\pi)\} \subset [0, 2\pi) \times [0, 2\pi)$$

Then

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{i(ms-tn)} F(ds, dt) = \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i j n/T} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{i(m-n)s} F_j(ds, ds - 2\pi j/T)$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i j n/T} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{i(m-n)s} \gamma_j(ds) = \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i j n/T} a_j(m-n) = K_x(m, n),$$

which shows that (x(n)) is harmonizable and its spectrum is equal to F.

**PROPOSITION 2.3 (Gladyshev [5]).** A sequence (x(n)) is PC with period T iff

$$K_{x}(m, n) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{i(ms-tn)} F_{x}(ds, dt),$$

where  $F_x(ds, dt) = \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} F_j(ds, dt)$  and support of  $F_j \subseteq L_j, j = 0, \ldots, T-1$ .

Note that although a generating sequence  $[W^k(n)]$  of (x(n)) is not unique, the measure  $F_x$  is unique. In consequence, the measures  $\gamma_j$ ,  $j \in \mathscr{Z}_T$ , are unique in the sense that two PC sequences with period T have the same sets of  $\gamma_j$ 's iff they are equivalent. Customarily, not  $F_x$ , but the family  $(\gamma_j)$ ,  $j \in \mathscr{Z}_T$ , is named the spectrum of a PC sequence.

DEFINITION 2.4. Let (x(n)) be a PC sequence with period T. The family of measures  $(\gamma_j)$ ,  $j \in \mathscr{Z}_T$ , defined by (13) is called the *spectrum* of (x(n)).

From the definition of  $(\gamma_j)$  it follows that if  $[W^k(n)]$  generates (x(n)) and  $[\Gamma^{j,k}]$  is the spectral measure of  $[W^k(n)]$ , then

(14) 
$$\gamma_j(ds) = \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \Gamma^{k,k-j}(ds - 2\pi k/T) = \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \left( E_U(ds - 2\pi k/T) W^k(0), W^{k-j}(0) \right),$$

where  $E_U$  is the spectral resolution of the shift U of  $[W^k(n)]$ . From the Schwarz inequality we infer therefore that  $|\gamma_j(ds)|^2 \leq \gamma_0(ds)\gamma_0(ds-2\pi j/T), j \in \mathscr{Z}_T$ , so all the measures  $\gamma_j$  are absolutely continuous with respect to  $\gamma_0$ . The weakness of Gladyshev's construction of a generating sequence is that neither a triple  $(U^n, p(n), K)$  in Proposition 2.2 nor a generating sequence  $[W^q(n)]$  in (9) nor a measure  $[\Gamma^{j,k}]$  in (14) are uniquely determined by (x(n)) or  $(\gamma_j)$ . Although the *T*-shift operator *V* is uniquely defined, there are many different unitary *T*-th roots *U* of *V* and each of them leads to a different generating sequence. If  $U = \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{it} E_U(dt)$  is such that  $U^T = V$  on  $M_x$ , then

$$V = \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{itT} E_U(dt) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{is} E_V(ds),$$

where the spectral resolution  $E_V$  of V is obtained by "stretching" the measure  $E_U$  by a factor T to a measure over the interval  $[0, 2\pi T)$ , and then "wrapping" it T times around the unit circle  $[0, 2\pi)$ , that is

(15) 
$$E_V(ds) = \sum_{r=0}^{T-1} E_U\left(\frac{ds}{T} + \frac{2\pi r}{T}\right).$$

If  $E_V$  is given, then the construction of a unitary T-th root U of V means an opposite operation, that is "splitting"  $E_V$  into T mutually orthogonal projection valued measures  $E'_V$ , r = 0, ..., T-1, placing them on intervals  $[2\pi r, 2\pi (r+1)), r = 0, ..., T-1$ , respectively, and "squeezing" the sum to  $[0, 2\pi)$ . If T > 1 and the dimension of  $M_x$  is more than one, then this clearly can be done in many different ways.

The standard example of a generating stationary sequence is the sequence created by the principal *T*-th root of *V* defined as  $U = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{it/T} E_V(dt)$ , where  $E_V$  is the spectral resolution of *V* (see [5]). In terms of "splitting"  $E_V$ , the spectral resolution of *U* is obtained when  $E_V^0 = E_V$  and the other  $E_V^r$ 's are zero. The generating sequence produced in such a way will be called the *principal root sequence*. The principal root sequence is given by

(16) 
$$W^{q}(n) = \int_{0}^{2\pi/T} e^{itn} E_{V}(T\,ds) W^{q}(0), \quad n \in \mathscr{Z}, q = 0, \ldots, T-1,$$

where  $W^q(0) = (1/T) \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i k q/T} (U^{-k} x(k))$ . Note that since the spectral measure of  $[W^q(n)]$  is supported on  $[0, 2\pi/T)$ , the principal root sequence is always deterministic (Proposition 3.1), and hence it fails to reflect regularity properties of (x(n)). This observation prompts a problem of constructing a generating sequence which shares the prediction properties of a PC sequence it generates and the spectrum of which can be uniquely expressed in terms of  $(\gamma_j)$ . Such a construction will be presented in Section 4.

We finish this section with a restatement of Proposition 2.2 in the spirit of Kolmogorov's Isomorphism Theorem. In what follows, a nonnegative measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, 2\pi)$  is called  $(2\pi/T)$ -invariant if  $\mu(\Delta) = \mu(\Delta + 2\pi/T)$  for every Borel set  $\Delta \subseteq [0, 2\pi)$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.5.** A sequence (x(n)) is PC with period T iff there are a  $(2\pi/T)$ -invariant measure  $\mu$ , an integer  $0 < d \leq T$ , and a T-periodic sequence (C(n)) of functions in  $L^2(\mu; C^d)$  such that (x(n)) is equivalent to an  $L^2(\mu; C^d)$ -valued sequence

(17) 
$$y(n)(\cdot) = e^{in \cdot} C(n)(\cdot), \quad n \in \mathscr{X}.$$

If this is the case, then the spectral measures  $(\gamma_j)$  of (x(n)) are absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  and

$$\frac{d\gamma_j}{d\mu}(ds) = \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} (A^k(s - 2\pi k/T), A^{k-j}(s - 2\pi k/T)),$$

where  $A^{q}(\cdot) = (1/T) \sum_{n=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i q n/T} C(n)(\cdot), q = 0, ..., T-1.$ 

The proposition above is merely a restatement of Proposition 2.2, and hence inherits its nonuniqueness. The proof follows immediately from Proposition 2.2 and (5).

## **3. PREDICTION PROBLEM**

For any T-dimensional sequence  $[X^k(n)]$  in a Hilbert space H let us write

$$M_X(n) = \overline{\operatorname{sp}} \{ X^k(m) \colon k = 0, \dots, T-1, m \le n \}, \quad M_X(-\infty) = \bigcap_n M_X(n),$$
$$M_X = M_X(\infty), \quad \text{and} \quad N_X(n) = M_X(n) \ominus M_X(n-1).$$

If  $M_X(n) = M_X$  for all  $n \in \mathscr{X}$ , then the sequence is called *deterministic*; if  $M_X(-\infty) = \{0\}$ , then the sequence is called *regular*. If  $[X^k(n)]$  is stationary, then the dimension of  $N_X(0)$  is called the *rank* of the sequence  $[X^k(n)]$ .

The objectives of prediction theory is to describe the *predictor*  $(X^p(n) | M_X(m))$ , m < n, in terms of the spectrum of the sequence, which is assumed to be known. Intermediate questions are concerned with a decomposition of a sequence into deterministic and regular parts, spectral characterizations of regularity, computation of the prediction error, etc. The prediction problem is almost completely solved for stationary sequences, however still no explicit analytic expressions for regularity or predictor of a *T*-dimensional stationary sequence in terms of its density are available for T > 1 (for more information and references see [18] or [16]). The accessible characterizations are in the language of *conjugate analytic* square roots of the spectral density, and the prediction formula is in the equivalent language of *moving average* series. The next two propositions summarize known results in the stationary case.

If the spectral measure  $[\Gamma^{j,k}]$  of a *T*-dimensional stationary sequence is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure, then its Radon-Nikodym derivative  $G(s) = [d\Gamma^{j,k}/ds(s)]$  will be referred to as the spectral Prediction of PC sequences

density of the sequence. Any d-dimensional stationary sequence  $[\xi_n^k]$ ,  $n \in \mathscr{X}$ , such that  $[\xi_n^k] [\xi_m^k]^* = I_d \delta_{n-m}$ ,  $n, m \in \mathscr{X}$ , where  $I_d$  is the  $d \times d$  identity matrix, will be called a *d*-dimensional innovation (or innovation, if d = 1).

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** Let  $[X^k(n)]$  be a T-dimensional stationary sequence. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i)  $[X^k(n)]$  is regular;

(ii) the spectral measure  $\Gamma$  of  $[X^k(n)]$  is equivalent to the Lebesgue measure and the spectral density G(s) of  $[X^k(n)]$  admits a conjugate analytic square root  $A(\cdot)$ ;

(iii) there are an integer  $d \leq T$ , a d-dimensional innovation  $[\xi_n^k]$ , and a sequence  $A_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, ..., of T \times d$  matrices such that

(18) 
$$[X^p(n)] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k [\zeta_{n-k}^p].$$

The series representation (18) is called a moving average representation of  $[X^k(n)]$ . Matrices  $A_k$  in (18) are given by  $A_k = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \int_0^{2\pi} e^{ikt} A(t) dt$ ,  $k \ge 0$ , where A(t) is a conjugate analytic square root of G(s). A representation (18) does not guarantee that the orthogonal projection of  $[X^q(n)]$  onto  $M_X(m)$ , m < n, is a tail of the series (18), i.e.

(19) 
$$\left[\left(X^{p}(n) \mid M_{X}(m)\right)\right] = \sum_{k=n-m}^{\infty} A_{k} [\xi_{n-k}^{p}].$$

A moving average representation with the property (19) will be called *optimal*. The corresponding property of A(s) is called *outerness*.

DEFINITION 3.2. Functions  $f^k(\cdot) \in L^2_-(\mathscr{C}^d)$ , k = 0, ..., N, are said to be jointly outer in  $L^2_-(\mathscr{C}^d)$  if

$$\overline{\operatorname{sp}}\left\{e^{-in\cdot}f^k(\cdot):\ k=0,\ldots,N,\ n\geq 0\right\}=L^2_{-}(\mathscr{C}^d).$$

A  $T \times d$  matrix function A(s) is called *outer* if the rows  $A^{q}(\cdot), q = 0, ..., T-1$ , of  $A(\cdot)$  are jointly outer in  $L^{2}_{-}(\mathcal{C}^{d})$ .

Retrieving the coefficients  $A_k$ ,  $k \ge 0$ , of an optimal moving average representation of  $[X^q(n)]$  from its spectrum constitutes a solution to the prediction problem.

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** Let  $[X^k(n)]$  be a regular T-dimensional stationary sequence of rank r.

(A) Matrix coefficients  $A_k$ ,  $k \ge 0$ , in (18) can be chosen so that d = r and

(20) 
$$\left[\left(X^{p}(n) \mid M_{X}(m)\right)\right] = \sum_{k=n-m}^{\infty} A_{k}\left[\xi_{n-k}^{p}\right], \quad n, m \in \mathscr{Z}_{T}, m \leq n.$$

(B) A necessary and sufficient condition for a sequence of  $T \times r$ -matrices  $A_k$ ,  $k \ge 0$ , to satisfy (20) is that the function  $A(s) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k e^{-iks}$  is an outer square root of the spectral density G(s) of  $[X^q(n)]$ .

The function A(s) in part (B) is unique up to a unitary matrix, that is, if A(s) and B(s) are two outer square roots of G(s), then there is a unitary  $r \times r$ -matrix D such that A(s) = B(s)D, ds-a.e. If  $A_k$ ,  $k \ge 0$ , satisfy (20), then the one-step prediction error matrix is given by

$$G_0 = \left[ \left( X^k(0) \,|\, N_X(0) \right) \right] \left[ \left( X^k(0) \,|\, N_X(0) \right) \right]^* = A_0 \,A_0^*.$$

In this paper we study the prediction problem for PC sequences. It is rather simple to see that part (A) of Proposition 3.3 holds true for PC sequences, we just need to alter slightly the definition of an innovation.

DEFINITION 3.4. A sequence  $(\xi_k)$  of elements of a Hilbert space *H* is called a 0-1 *innovation* if  $\xi_k$ 's are mutually orthogonal and  $\|\xi_k\| = 1$  or 0 for every  $k \in \mathscr{Z}$ . The set  $S_{\xi} = \{k \in \mathscr{Z}: \xi_k \neq 0\}$  is called the *support* of a 0-1 innovation  $(\xi_k)$ . A 0-1 innovation is said to be *T*-periodic if  $(\|\xi_k\|)$  is *T*-periodic.

If (x(n)) is PC with period T, then the dimension of  $M_x(0) \oplus M_x(-T)$  or, equivalently, the number of non-zero elements in the set  $\{(x(n)|N_x(n)):$  $n = 0, -1, ..., -T+1\}$  will be called the *rank* of a PC sequence (x(n)). Hence the rank of a T-periodic 0-1 innovation is the cardinality of the set  $S_{\xi} \cap \{0, -1, ..., -T+1\}$ . Regular PC sequences of period T and rank T are called *completely regular*.

The following is a slight extension of the construction presented in [10] in the case of completely regular PC sequences.

**PROPOSITION 3.5.** Let (x(n)) be a PC sequence with period T. The sequence (x(n)) is regular iff there are a T-periodic 0-1 innovation  $(\xi_k)$  in  $M_x$ , and T-periodic (in n) sequences of scalars  $(\beta_k^n)$ ,  $k \ge 0$ , such that

(21) 
$$(x(n)|M_x(m)) = \sum_{k=n-m}^{\infty} \beta_k^n \xi_{n-k}, \quad n, m \in \mathscr{Z}, m \leq n.$$

If this is the case, then  $(\xi_k)$  and the coefficients  $(\beta_k^n)$  can be chosen so that  $(U-1) \ \beta_0^n \ge 0$  for all  $n \in \mathscr{Z}$ ,

(U-2)  $\beta_k^{k+m} = 0$  provided  $m \notin S_{\xi}, k \ge 0$ ,

and then  $(\beta_k^n)$  and  $(\xi_n)$  are unique, the rank of (x(n)) is equal to the rank of  $(\xi_n)$ , and the one-step prediction error  $||x(n) - (x(n)|M_x(n-1))|| = \beta_0^n$ ,  $n \in \mathcal{Z}$ .

Proof. If (x(n)) satisfies (21), then  $M_x(n) \subseteq M_{\xi}(n)$ ,  $n \in \mathscr{X}$ , and hence (x(n)) is regular.

To prove the necessity suppose that (x(n)) is regular and define  $z_n = (x(n) | N_x(n)), n \in \mathscr{Z}$ . Let  $\xi_n = z_n/||z_n||$  if  $z_n \neq 0$ , and zero otherwise. Then  $(\xi_n)$  is a T-periodic 0-1 innovation, and  $\xi_n \in N_x(n) \subset M_x(n), n \in \mathscr{Z}$ . Since

 $\bigoplus_n N_x(n) = M_x$ , every  $y \in M_x$  has an expansion  $y = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} c_j(y) \xi_j$ , which is unique, provided that  $c_j(y) = 0$  if  $\xi_j = 0$ . In particular,  $x(n) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{n} c_j(x(n)) \xi_j$ ,  $n \in \mathscr{Z}$ . Since  $\xi_n \perp M_x(n-1)$ , we also have

$$\left(x\left(n\right)|M_{x}\left(m\right)\right)=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{m}c_{j}\left(x\left(n\right)\right)\xi_{j}=\sum_{k=n-m}^{\infty}c_{n-k}\left(x\left(n\right)\right)\xi_{n-k}, \quad n, m \in \mathcal{Z}, m \leq n.$$

Define  $\beta_k^n = c_{n-k}(x(n)) = (x(n), \xi_{n-k})$ . Since the T-shift operator V of (x(n)) maps  $M_x(n)$  onto  $M_x(n+T)$ , we obtain

$$Vz_{j} = V(x(j) - (x(j) | M_{x}(j))) = z_{j+T},$$

and hence  $V\xi_j = \xi_{j+T}, j \in \mathscr{Z}$ . Therefore

 $\beta_k^n = (x(n), \xi_{n-k}) = (Vx(n), V\xi_{n-k}) = (x(n+T), \xi_{n+T-k}) = \beta_k^{n+T}, \quad n \in \mathcal{X}, \ k \ge 0,$ that is  $(\beta_k^n)$  are T-periodic in n. By definition,  $\beta_0^n = ||(x(n) | N_x(n))|| \ge 0, \ n \in \mathcal{X},$ and  $\beta_k^{k+m} = (x(m+k), \xi_m) = 0$  if  $\xi_m = 0$ , that is, if  $m \notin S_{\xi}.$ 

To see the uniqueness suppose that

$$(x(n) | M_x(m)) = \sum_{k=n-m}^{\infty} \beta_k^n \zeta_{n-k} = \sum_{k=n-m}^{\infty} \alpha_k^n \zeta_{n-k}, \quad m, n \in \mathscr{Z}, m \leq n,$$

where  $(\xi_n)$  and  $(\zeta_n)$  are 0-1 innovations in  $M_x$ , and the sequences  $(\alpha_k^n)$  and  $(\beta_k^n)$ ,  $k \ge 0$ , are *T*-periodic in *n* and satisfy (U-1) and (U-2). Then  $(x(n) | N_x(n)) = \beta_0^n \xi_n = \alpha_0^n \zeta_n$ ,  $n \in \mathscr{Z}$ . Since the rank of (x(n)) is *r*, exactly *r* of  $(x(n) | N_x(n))$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \ldots, T-1$ , are nonzero, say for *n*'s in the set  $S \subseteq \{0, \ldots, T-1\}$ . If  $[n] \in S$ , then  $\beta_0^n \xi_n = \alpha_0^n \zeta_n \neq 0$  and, because of (U-1),  $\beta_0^n = \alpha_0^n$  and  $\xi_n = \zeta_n$ . If  $[n] \in S$  and k > 0, then  $(x(n+k) | N_x(n)) = \beta_k^{n+k} \xi_n = \alpha_k^{n+k} \zeta_n$ , and since we have just proved that  $\xi_n = \zeta_n$ , we conclude that  $\beta_k^{n+k} = \alpha_k^{n+k}$ ,  $k \ge 0$ . Finally, if  $[n] \notin S$ , then  $\xi_n$ 's and  $\zeta_n$ 's are zero, because  $\bigoplus_k N_x(k) = M_x$ , and both innovations are assumed to be in  $M_x$ . Therefore  $S_{\xi} = S_{\zeta} = \{n \in \mathscr{Z}: [n] \in S\}$  and, by (U-2),  $\beta_k^{k+n} = 0 = \alpha_k^{k+n}$  for all  $k \ge 0$  and  $[n] \notin S$ .

Note that if (x(n)) satisfies (21), (U-1) and (U-2), then the mapping

$$\Phi: \xi_n \to e^{in \cdot} / \sqrt{2\pi}, \qquad n \in S_{\xi},$$

maps (x(n)) into an equivalent  $L^2(\mathscr{C})$ -valued PC sequence (y(n)):

(22) 
$$y(n)(s) = \frac{e^{ins}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k^n e^{-iks}$$

(in fact, the sum is over the set of all  $k \ge 0$  such that  $n-k \in S_{\xi}$ , but this is taken care of by the assumption that  $\beta_k^n = 0$  if  $n-k \notin S_{\xi}$ ). Putting

$$C(n)(s) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k^n e^{-iks}, \quad n \in \mathscr{Z},$$

we obtain  $y(n) = e^{in} C(n)$ ,  $n \in \mathscr{X}$ , which is a particular form of (17). From Proposition 3.5 we conclude that the spectral densities  $g_j(s) = (d\gamma_j/ds)(s)$  of (x(n)) are given by

(23) 
$$g_j(s) = \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} A^q (s - 2\pi q/T) \overline{A^{q-j}(s - 2\pi q/T)}, \quad j \in \mathscr{Z}_T,$$

where

(24) 
$$A^{q}(s) = (1/T\sqrt{2\pi}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i q n/T} \beta_{k}^{n} e^{-iks}, \quad q \in \mathscr{Z}_{T}.$$

The formulas (23) and (24) allow us to compute the spectrum of (x(n)), given the coefficients  $(\beta_k^m)$ . The main effort in this paper is the opposite: to construct the coefficients  $(\beta_k^m)$  of the optimal moving average representation (21) of (x(n)) from the spectrum  $(\gamma_j)$  of the sequence, similarly as matrices  $A_k$  in Proposition 3.3 in the stationary case. We will also obtain an alternative *external* version of an optimal moving average representation for regular PC sequences.

## 4. INDUCED STATIONARY SEQUENCE

Let T > 0 and let (x(n)) be a sequence in a Hilbert space. For every  $n \in \mathscr{Z}$ and  $q \in \mathscr{Z}_T$  let  $Z^q(n)$  be an element of  $K = M_x^T$  whose p-th coordinate is

(25) 
$$Z^{q}(n)(p) = (1/T) x(n-p) e^{-2\pi i q(n-p)/T}, \quad p = 0, ..., T-1.$$

In other words, for a fixed  $n \in \mathscr{Z}$ ,  $Z^q(n)(\cdot)$  is a part of the trajectory of the infinite sequence  $(1/T)x(n-\cdot)e^{-2\pi i q(n-\cdot)/T}$  that is seen in the window  $\{0, \ldots, T-1\}$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** Let (x(n)) be a PC sequence with period T,  $(\gamma_j)$  be the spectrum of (x(n)), and let  $Z^q(n)$  be defined by (25). Then:

(I-1)  $[Z^{q}(n)]$  is a T-dimensional stationary sequence in  $K = M_{x}^{T}$  with the correlation

(26) 
$$K^{j,k}(n) = a_{j-k}(n)e^{-2\pi i j n/T}, \quad j, k \in \mathscr{Z}_T, n \in \mathscr{Z},$$

where  $a_j(n)$  are defined in (7). The spectrum  $(\gamma_j)$  of (x(n)) and the spectral measure  $[\Gamma^{j,k}]$  of  $[Z^q(n)]$  are related through

(27) 
$$\Gamma^{j,k}(\Delta) = (1/T)\gamma_{j-k}(\Delta + 2\pi j/T),$$

(28) 
$$\gamma_k(\Delta) = T\Gamma^{j,j-k}(\Delta - 2\pi j/T), \quad j, k \in \mathscr{Z}_T.$$

(I-2)  $x(n) = \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i q n/T} Z^q(n), n \in \mathcal{Z}, provided <math>M_x$  is identified with  $M_x \oplus \{0\} \oplus \ldots \oplus \{0\}.$ 

(I-3)  $M_Z = M_x^T$  and  $M_Z(n) = M_x(n) \oplus M_x(n-1) \oplus \ldots \oplus M_x(n-T+1)$ ,  $n \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Consequently, (x(n)) is regular (deterministic) iff  $[Z^k(n)]$  is regular (deterministic, respectively). (I-4) For every  $m, n \in \mathcal{Z}, n > m$ ,

(29) 
$$\sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i q n/T} \left( Z^{q}(n) \mid M_{Z}(m) \right) = \left( \left( x(n) \mid M_{x}(m) \right), 0, \ldots, 0 \right).$$

Note that despite the fact that  $M_z(m)$  is much larger than  $M_x(m)$ , the property (29) states that

(30) 
$$(x(n)|M_x(m)) = (x(n)|M_Z(m)), \quad n \ge m.$$

The idea of an induced sequence comes from [4]. In the above form it appeared in [17] and the properties (I-1) and (I-2) were proved therein. Also in [17] a probabilistic version of an induced sequence was introduced and was used to show that every, not necessarily of finite variance, periodically distributed sequence admits a representation (9). Property (I-3) was proved in [19].

Proofs. (I-1) Since  $K_x(m-p, n-p)$  is T-periodic in p, by substituting r = n-p in the second line below we obtain

$$(Z^{j}(m), Z^{k}(n)) = (1/T^{2}) \sum_{p=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i j(m-p)/T} e^{2\pi i k(n-p)/T} K_{x}(m-p, n-p)$$
$$= (1/T) e^{-2\pi i (m-n)j/T} (1/T) \sum_{r=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i r(j-k)/T} K_{x}(m-n+r, r)$$
$$= (1/T) e^{-2\pi i (m-n)j/T} a_{j-k}(m-n).$$

Hence  $[Z^k(n)]$  is a T-dimensional stationary sequence and its correlation equals

$$K^{j,k}(n) = (1/T) e^{-2\pi i n j/T} a_{i-k}(n).$$

Writing both sides of the above as the integrals (4) and (13), we obtain (27) and (28).

(I-2) Since  $\sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i n q/T} = 0$  if  $n \neq 0$  modulo T, and  $\sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i n q/T} = T$  if n = 0 modulo T,

(31) 
$$\sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i r q/T} Z^{q}(n) = (0, 0, \dots, \underbrace{x(n-[n-r])}_{([n-r])}, 0, \dots, 0),$$

where the only nonzero entry is at the place [n-r] (recall that [m] is the remainder in division of m by T). If r = n, then we obtain (I-2).

(I-3) and (I-4). The inclusion

$$M_{\mathbb{Z}}(m) \subseteq M_{\mathbb{X}}(m) \oplus M_{\mathbb{X}}(m-1) \oplus \ldots \oplus M_{\mathbb{X}}(m-T+1), \quad m \in \mathscr{Z},$$

is obvious. On the other hand, if we fix  $j \in \{0, ..., T-1\}$  and  $m \in \mathscr{Z}$ , and substitute r = n-j in (31), then for every  $n \leq m$  we obtain

$$\sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i (n-j)q/T} Z^q(n) = (0, 0, \dots, \underbrace{x(n-j)}_{(j)}, 0, \dots, 0) \in M_Z(n).$$

Hence

$$M_{\mathbb{Z}}(m) \supseteq \{0\} \oplus \ldots \oplus \underbrace{M_{\mathbb{X}}(m-j)}_{(j)} \oplus \{0\} \oplus \ldots \oplus \{0\}, \quad j = 0, \ldots, T-1,$$

which proves (I-3). The property (I-4) is an immediate consequence of (I-3).

Clearly, the converse of Proposition 4.1 also holds true.

**PROPOSITION 4.2.** If  $[Z^q(n)]$  in (25) is a T-dimensional stationary sequence in  $M_x^T$ , then (x(n)) is PC with period T.

Proof. The formula (31) holds true for any (not necessarily PC) sequence (x(n)). Therefore, if  $[Z^{q}(n)]$  is stationary and U is its shift, then

$$U^{T}(x(n), 0, ..., 0) = \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i n q/T} U^{T} Z^{q}(n)$$
  
=  $\sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i (n+T)q/T} Z^{q}(n+T) = (x(n+T), 0, ..., 0),$ 

so  $U^T x(n) = x(n+T)$ ,  $n \in \mathscr{Z}$ . Hence (x(n)) is PC with period T.

DEFINITION 4.3. If (x(n)) is PC with period T, then the T-dimensional stationary sequence  $[Z^{q}(n)]$  defined in (25) is said to be *induced* by (x(n)).

From Proposition 4.1 it follows that if  $(\gamma_j)$  is the spectrum of a PC sequence with period T, then  $[\Gamma^{j,k}] = (1/T) [\gamma_{j-k}(\Delta + 2\pi j/T)]$  is the spectral measure of a T-dimensional stationary sequence. This correspondence is bijective.

COROLLARY 4.4. (A) If  $(\gamma_j)$ ,  $j \in \mathscr{Z}_T$ , is the spectrum of a PC sequence, then the  $T \times T$ -matrix measure  $[\Gamma^{j,k}(\Delta)] = (1/T) [\gamma_{j-k}(\Delta + 2\pi j/T)]$  is the spectral measure of a T-dimensional stationary sequence.

(B) If the spectral measure  $[\Gamma^{j,k}]$  of a T-dimensional stationary sequence satisfies

(32)  $\Gamma^{j,k}(\Delta) = \Gamma^{j+d,k+d}(\Delta - 2\pi d/T)$  for every  $j, k, d \in \mathscr{Z}_T$  and Borel  $\Delta$ ,

then the measures  $\gamma_k(\Delta) = T\Gamma^{p,p-k}(\Delta - 2\pi p/T), k > \mathscr{Z}_T$ , form the spectrum of a PC sequence with period T.

Proof. Part (A) has already been noticed. Suppose therefore that  $[\Gamma^{j,k}]$  is the spectral measure of a *T*-dimensional stationary sequence  $[X^k(n)]$ . Define

$$x(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i j n/T} X^j(n), \quad n \in \mathscr{Z}.$$

300

Then (x(n)) is PC and from (14) we conclude that the spectrum  $(\gamma_j)$  of (x(n)) is given by

$$\gamma_k(\Delta) = \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} \Gamma^{j,j-k}(\Delta - 2\pi j/T) = T\Gamma^{p,p-k}(\Delta - 2\pi p/T),$$

because by (32) all the components in the sum above are equal.

Corollary 4.4 is just another form of Gladyshev's Theorem 1 from [5]: A kernel  $K(m, n) = \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i j n/T} a_j(m-n)$  is the correlation function of a PC sequence with period T iff the  $T \times T$ -matrix sequence with entries  $K^{j,k}(n) = a_{j-k}(n) e^{-2\pi i k n/T}$  is the correlation function of a T-dimensional stationary sequence.

The induced sequence meets all our requirements. It generates the sequence (x(n)) (I-2); the spectral characteristics of both sequences are related to each other in a very simple and one-to-one way (I-1); it controls prediction properties of a PC sequence (x(n)) and predicting x(n) is equivalent to predicting  $[Z^q(n)]$  ((I-3) and (I-4)). The induced sequence has been already used in [19] to relate autoregressive representations of the predictors of (x(n)) and  $[Z^q(n)]$  to each other. In the next section we will employ the induced sequence technique to study the prediction problem for PC sequences.

It should be pointed out here that the spectral theory of continuous time PC processes is also very well developed (see, for example, [6]–[9], [14]); in particular, a construction of an induced process goes through for continuous time PC processes [14]. A process  $[Z^k(t)]$  induced by a continuous parameter locally square integrable PC process x(t) is an infinite-dimensional continuous stationary process with values in  $K = L^2([0, T), dt; M_x)$ . The main difficulty of retrieving a PC process back from its induced process was recently resolved in [13]. A method of reconstruction of a PC process used in that paper essentially differs from (I-2) and reveals a strong link between induced stationary processes and unitary representations of groups induced from their subgroups. In this framework, part (B) of Corollary 4.4 is equivalent to a special case of Mackey's Imprimitivity Theorem. Please see [13] for details.

## 5. REGULARITY AND MOVING AVERAGE REPRESENTATION

Since a PC sequence (x(n)) is regular iff its induced sequence  $[Z^k(n)]$  is regular, and the regularity of the latter depends on the absolutely continuous part of its spectral measure, we will be assuming in this section that the spectral measures  $(\gamma_j)$  of (x(n)) are absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. Let us put

(33) 
$$g_j(s) = \frac{d\gamma_j}{ds}(s), \quad j \in \mathscr{Z}_T,$$

(34) 
$$G^{j,k}(s) = (1/T)g_{j-k}(s+2\pi j/T), \quad j, k \in \mathscr{Z}_T.$$

Also, in order to simplify notation, let E be the  $T \times T$ -matrix whose j, k-th entry is  $e^{2\pi i jk/T}$  and let  $E^{-1}$  be its inverse, that is

$$E = [e^{2\pi i jk/T}]$$
 and  $E^{-1} = (1/T)[e^{-2\pi i jk/T}].$ 

Since  $G(s) = [G^{j,k}(s)]$  is the spectral density of  $[Z^k(n)]$ , a simple adaptation of Proposition 3.1 gives the following regularity criteria for PC sequences.

**PROPOSITION 5.1.** Let (x(n)) be a PC sequence with period T and absolutely continuous spectrum  $(\gamma_j)$ . Let  $g_j(s)$  and  $[G^{j,k}(s)]$  be as in (33) and (34). The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) The sequence (x(n)) is regular.

(ii) There exist a positive integer  $d \leq T$  and conjugate analytic functions  $A^{k}(\cdot) \in L^{2}_{-}(\mathcal{C}^{d}), \ k \in \mathcal{Z}_{T}$ , such that for every  $j, \ k \in \mathcal{Z}_{T}$ 

(35) 
$$g_k(s) = T(A^j(s-2\pi j/T), A^{j-k}(s-2\pi j/T)), ds-a.e.,$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the inner product in  $\mathcal{C}^d$ .

(iii) (x(n)) is equivalent to an  $L^2_-(\mathcal{C}^d)$ -valued sequence

(36) 
$$y(n)(\cdot) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k^n e^{i(n-k)\cdot}$$

where  $(C_k^n)$ ,  $k \ge 0$ , are T-periodic (in n) sequences in  $\mathscr{C}^d$  and  $d \le T$ .

(iv) There exist  $K \supseteq M_x$ , a positive integer  $d \leq T$ , a d-dimensional innovation  $[\zeta_n^{\alpha}]$  in K, and  $\mathscr{C}^{\alpha}$ -valued T-periodic sequences  $(C_k^{\alpha})$ ,  $k \ge 0$ , such that

(37) 
$$x(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k^n [\zeta_{n-k}^q], \quad n \in \mathscr{Z}.$$

 $(C_k^n \in \mathscr{C}^d \text{ above are row-vectors } C_k^n = [C_k^{n,0}, C_k^{n,1}, \dots, C_k^{n,d-1}] \text{ and } [\zeta_{n-k}^q] \text{ are columns as usual, so that } C_k^n[\zeta_{n-k}^q] = \sum_{q=0}^{d-1} C_k^{n,q} \zeta_{n-k}^q.$ 

Observe that the right-hand side of (35) does not depend on *j*. Also note that (36) is equivalent to the representation  $y(n)(\cdot) = e^{in \cdot} C(n)(\cdot)$ ,  $n \in \mathcal{Z}$ , where (C(n)) is a *T*-periodic sequence in  $L^2_{-}(\mathcal{C}^d)$ .

Proof. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). If (x(n)) is regular, then its induced sequence  $[Z^q(n)]$  is regular, and hence its density G(s) admits a conjugate analytic square root  $A(\cdot)$  (Proposition 3.1). If  $A^k(\cdot)$  is the k-th row of A(s), then

$$(A^{j}(s), A^{k}(s)) = G^{j,k}(s) = (1/T)g_{j-k}(s + 2\pi j/T),$$

which gives (35).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Let  $A(s) = [A^q(s)]$  be the  $T \times d$ -matrix valued function whose q-th row is  $A^q(s)$ . From (35) it follows that the  $L^2(\mathscr{C}^d)$ -valued sequence

(38) 
$$y(n)(\cdot) = \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{in \cdot} e^{2\pi i n q/T} A^{q}(\cdot)$$

is equivalent to (x(n)). Let C(s) = EA(s),  $s \in [0, 2\pi)$ ,  $C^{q}(\cdot)$  be the q-th row of  $C(\cdot)$ , and let  $C(n)(\cdot) = C^{[n]}(\cdot)$ , that is

(39) 
$$C(n)(\cdot) = \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i n q/T} A^q(\cdot), \quad n \in \mathscr{Z}.$$

Then (C(n)) is a *T*-periodic sequence of conjugate analytic functions and  $y(n)(\cdot) = e^{in \cdot} C(n)(\cdot)$ . If we write  $C(n)(s) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k^n e^{-iks}$ , then we obtain (36).

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). Define  $\zeta_n^q(s) = (0, \ldots, 0, e^{ins}/\sqrt{2\pi}, 0, \ldots, 0), n \in \mathscr{X}$ , where the only nonzero entry is at the q-th place,  $q = 0, \ldots, d-1$ . Then  $\zeta_n^q \in L^2(\mathscr{C}^d)$  and  $[\zeta_n^q]$  is a d-dimensional innovation in  $L^2(\mathscr{C}^d)$ . If  $c = [c_0, \ldots, c_{d-1}] \in \mathscr{C}^d$  is a row vector, then the matrix multiplication of c by  $[\zeta_n^q]$  gives

$$c[\zeta_n^q(s)] = \sum_{q=0}^{d-1} c_q \zeta_n^q(s) = (c_0 e^{ins}, c_1 e^{ins}, \dots, c_{d-1} e^{ins})/\sqrt{2\pi} = c e^{ins}/\sqrt{2\pi}.$$

Therefore (36) can be rewritten as (37).

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Since  $M_x(n) \subseteq M_{\zeta}(n)$  and  $[\zeta_n^q]$  is regular, the sequence (x(n)) is regular.

Even in the stationary case (T = 1 and d = 1) the representation (37) is not optimal, unless  $C(n)(\cdot) = C(\cdot)$  is outer. In the PC case the situation seems to be yet worse; even if the functions  $C(n)(\cdot)$ ,  $n \in \mathcal{Z}$ , are jointly outer in  $L^2_-(\mathcal{C}^d)$ , there is a doubt whether  $(y(n)|M_y(m)) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi})\sum_{k=n-m}^{\infty} C_k^n e^{i(n-k)\cdot}$ , simply because  $M_y(m)$  is much smaller than  $\mathcal{M}_m = e^{im\cdot} L^2_-(\mathcal{C}^d)$ . Nevertheless, it turns out that due to the property (30) of an induced sequence both projections coincide.

**PROPOSITION 5.2.** If (x(n)) is a regular PC sequence of rank r, then  $(C_k^n)$  in (37) can be chosen so that d = r and

(40) 
$$(x(n) | M_x(m)) = \sum_{k=n-m}^{\infty} C_k^n [\zeta_{n-k}^q], \quad n, m \in \mathscr{X}, m \leq n.$$

Namely, one can take  $C_k^n$  to be the [n]-th row of the matrix  $C_k = EA_k$ , where  $A(s) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k e^{-iks}$  is an outer square root of the function G(s) defined in (34).

Proof. Let  $[Z^q(n)]$  be induced by (x(n)). From Proposition 4.1 (I-3) it follows that  $[Z^q(n)]$  is regular, and hence its density G(s) admits an outer square root A(s). Write

$$A(s) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k e^{-iks}$$

and define

$$C_k = EA_k, \ k \ge 0, \quad C(s) = EA(s) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k e^{-iks}.$$

Let  $C^{q}(\cdot)$  be the q-th row of  $C(\cdot)$  and let  $C_{k}^{q}$  be the q-th row of  $C_{k}$ . Define

(41) 
$$y(n)(s) = e^{ins} C^{[n]}(s) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k^{[n]} e^{i(n-k)s}.$$

Then (y(n)) is an  $L^2(\mathscr{C}^d)$ -valued PC sequence and  $y(n) = \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i n q/T} Y^q(n)$ , where  $[Y^q(n)]$  is a T-dimensional stationary sequence in  $L^2(\mathscr{C}^d)$  defined by  $Y^q(n)(\cdot) = e^{in} A^q(\cdot)$ . Since G(s) is the spectral density of  $[Y^q(n)]$ , the mapping  $\Phi: Z^q(n) \to Y^q(n)$  extends to an isometry from  $M_Z$  onto  $M_Y = L^2(\mathscr{C}^d)$ . Moreover,

$$\Phi(x(n)) = \Phi\left(\sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i n q/T} Z^q(n)\right) = y(n).$$

Consequently,  $\Phi(M_x(m)) = M_y(m)$  and, by (30),

$$(y(n) | M_y(m)) = \Phi(x(n) | M_x(m)) = \Phi(x(n) | M_Z(m)) = (y(n) | M_Y(m)), \quad m \le n.$$

Since  $A^q(\cdot)$ , q = 0, ..., T-1, are jointly outer in  $L^2_-(\mathscr{C}^d)$ ,  $M_Y(m) = \mathscr{M}_m = e^{im \cdot L^2_-}(\mathscr{C}^d)$ , and hence

(42) 
$$(y(n) | M_y(m)) = (y(n) | \mathcal{M}_m) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \sum_{k=n-m}^{\infty} C_k^{[n]} e^{i(n-k)}, \quad m \leq n, \ n \in \mathscr{Z}.$$

Applying  $\Phi^{-1}$  to the above we obtain representation (40) with  $\zeta_n^q = \Phi^{-1}(0, ..., 0, e^{in}/\sqrt{2\pi}, 0, ..., 0).$ 

It remains to prove that  $d = \operatorname{rank}([Z^q(n)]) = r$ . Denote for simplicity  $\eta_p = (x(-p)|N_x(-p))$ , and  $\hat{Z}^p(0) = (Z^p(0)|N_Z(0))$ ,  $p = 0, \ldots, T-1$ . The rank of (x(n)) is equal to the number of nonzero  $\eta_p$ 's in the set  $\{\eta_0, \ldots, \eta_{T-1}\}$ , and the rank of  $[Z^q(n)]$  is equal to the dimension of the span of  $\{\hat{Z}^q(0): q = 0, \ldots, T-1\}$ . From Proposition 4.1 (I-4) it follows that

$$\hat{Z}^{q}(0) = (1/T)(\eta_{0}, e^{2\pi i q/T} \eta_{1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i (T-1)q/T} \eta_{T-1}).$$

Since

$$\sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i k q/T} \hat{Z}^q(0) = (0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{\eta_k, 0}_{(k)}, 0, \dots, 0), \quad k = 0, \dots, T-1,$$

we conclude that rank  $([Z^q(n)]) \ge r$ . On the other hand, since each  $\hat{Z}^q(0)$  is a linear combination of such vectors, namely

$$\hat{Z}^{q}(0) = (1/T) \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i p k/T} (0, \dots, 0, \eta_{k}, 0, \dots, 0),$$

 $\operatorname{rank}([Z^q(n)]) \leq r. \blacksquare$ 

Proposition 5.2 is a version of a prediction formula for PC sequences and provides a description of the predictor coefficients  $C_k^n$  in terms of an outer square root of G(s). The moving average representation (40) is *external* in the sense that innovation lives in the space bigger than  $M_x$ . In the next lemma we show that the property (32) forces a special structure of the matrices  $C_k$ , which links the moving average representations (40) and (21).

LEMMA 5.3. Let G(s) be the spectral density of a T-dimensional regular stationary sequence and let  $A(s) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k e^{-iks}$  be a  $T \times r$  outer square root of G(s). Suppose that G(s) has the property that  $G^{j+d,k+d}(s) = G^{j,k}(s+2\pi d/T)$ , ds-a.e. for all j, k,  $d \in \mathscr{Z}_T$ . Define  $C_k = EA_k$ ,  $k \ge 0$ . Then the rows  $C_0^i$  of  $C_0$  are mutually orthogonal elements of  $\mathscr{C}^r$  and exactly r of them are nonzero. Moreover, there are T-periodic (in n) scalar sequences  $(\alpha_k^n)$ ,  $k \ge 0$ , such that

(43) 
$$C_k^{[n]} = \alpha_k^n C_0^{[n-k]}, \quad n \in \mathscr{Z}, \ k \ge 0.$$

Proof. By Corollary 4.4 and (I-3) of Proposition 4.1, G(s) is the spectral density of a stationary sequence  $[Z^q(n)]$  induced by some regular PC sequence, say (x(n)). From Proposition 5.2 it follows that (x(n)) is equivalent to the sequence (y(n)) defined in (41). Therefore

$$(y(n) | N_y(m)) = (y(n) | M_y(m)) \ominus (y(n) | M_y(m-1)) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) C_{n-m}^{[n]} e^{im\cdot}, \quad n \ge m,$$

that is  $C_{n-m}^{[n]} e^{im} \in N_y(m)$  for every  $m \in \mathscr{Z}$  and  $n \ge m$ . Since  $N_y(m)$  is at most one-dimensional, we conclude that for every  $m \in \mathscr{Z}$  there is at most one-dimensional subspace  $D_m$  of  $\mathscr{C}^r$ , such that

(44) 
$$C_{n-m}^{[n]} \in D_m$$
 for all  $n \in \mathscr{Z}, n \ge m$ .

If n = m, this implies that  $C_0^n \in D_n$ ,  $n = 0, \ldots, T-1$ .

We will show that the rows of  $C_0$  are orthogonal, and so are the subspaces  $D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_{T-1}$ , and that exactly r of them are nonzero. Since A(s) is an outer square root of G(s), the one-step prediction error matrix of  $[Z^q(n)]$  is of the form

$$G_0 = \left[ \left( Z^j(0) \,|\, N_Z(0) \right) \right] \left[ \left( Z^j(0) \,|\, N_Z(0) \right) \right]^* = A_0 \, A_0^*.$$

Hence there is a unitary mapping  $\Psi: N_Z(0) \to \mathscr{C}^r$  such that  $\Psi(\hat{Z}^q(0)) = A_0^q$ , where  $\hat{Z}^q(0) = (Z^q(0) | N_Z(0))$  and  $A_0^q$  is the q-th row of  $A_0$ . Recall that in Proposition 5.2 we have shown that

$$\hat{Z}^{q}(0) = (1/T)(\eta_{0}, e^{2\pi i q/T} \eta_{1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i (T-1)q/T} \eta_{T-1}),$$

where  $\eta_p = (x(-p) | N_x(-p)), p = 0, \dots, T-1$ . Putting  $[W^q] = E[\hat{Z}^q(0)]$ , we obtain

$$W^{[-q]} = \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i j q/T} \hat{Z}^{j}(0) = (0, ..., 0, \eta_{q}, 0, ..., 0), \qquad q = 0, ..., T-1,$$

and hence the vectors  $W^0, \ldots, W^{T-1}$  are orthogonal to each other. Therefore

$$\Psi(W^k) = \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i k q/T} \Psi(\hat{Z}^q(0)) = \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i k q/T} A_0^q = C_0^k, \quad k = 1, \ldots, T-1,$$

are mutually orthogonal. By Proposition 5.2,  $r = \operatorname{rank}([Z^q(n)])$ , and since the latter is equal to  $\operatorname{rank}(G_0) = \operatorname{rank}(A_0) = \operatorname{rank}(C_0)$ , we conclude that the matrix  $C_0$  has exactly r nonzero rows. Consequently, exactly r out of T-subspaces  $D_0, \ldots, D_{T-1}$  are nonzero.

Since  $V(M_y(m) \ominus M_y(m-1)) = M_y(mT) \ominus M_y(mT-1)$ , where V is the *T*-shift operator of (y(n)) (which in our case is the operator of multiplication by  $e^{iT}$ ), we conclude that  $D_m = D_{m+pT}$  for every  $p \in \mathscr{Z}$ , and so  $D_m = D_{[m]}$ ,  $m \in \mathscr{Z}$ . Hence for every  $m \in \mathscr{Z}$  the subspace  $D_m$  is spanned by the [m]-th row  $C_0^{[m]}$  of the matrix  $C_0 = EA_0$ . Substituting m = n - k in (44), we obtain  $C_k^{[n]} \in D_{[n-k]}$ , and therefore there are scalars  $\alpha_k^n$  such that

(45) 
$$C_k^{[n]} = \alpha_k^n C_0^{[n-k]}, \quad n \in \mathscr{X}, \ k \ge 0.$$

Namely, one can take

$$\alpha_k^n = \frac{(C_k^{[n]}, C_0^{[n-k]})}{\|C_0^{[n-k]}\|^2}$$

(where 0/0 = 0), and then  $(\alpha_k^n)$  is T-periodic in n for every  $k \ge 0$ .

Recall that in Proposition 3.5 we proved that any regular PC sequence (x(n)) of period T and rank r can be written as

(46) 
$$x(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k^n \xi_{n-k}, \quad n \in \mathscr{Z},$$

where  $(\xi_k)$  is a T-periodic 0-1 innovation in  $M_x$  of rank r. Sequences  $(\beta_k^n)$ ,  $k \ge 0$ , are T-periodic (in n) and can be chosen so that

(U-0)  $(x(n)|M_x(m)) = \sum_{k=n-m}^{\infty} \beta_k^n \xi_{n-k}$  for all  $m, n \in \mathscr{Z}, m \leq n$ ,

(U-1)  $\beta_0^n \ge 0$  for all  $n \in \mathscr{Z}$ ,

(U-2)  $\beta_k^{k+m} = 0$  for all  $m \notin S_{\xi}$  and  $k \ge 0$ ,

and then  $(\beta_k^n)$  and  $(\xi_n)$  are unique. Below we present a "spectral domain" construction of the predictor coefficients  $(\beta_k^n)$ .

THEOREM 5.4. Suppose that (x(n)) is a regular PC sequence of period T and rank r, and let  $(g_i), j \in \mathcal{X}$ , be the spectral densities of (x(n)). Let

- (i)  $G^{j,k}(s) = (1/T) g_{j-k}(s + 2\pi j/T), j, k \in \mathscr{Z}_T$
- (ii) A(s) be an outer square root of  $[G^{j,k}(s)]$ ,
- (iii)  $A_k = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \int_0^{2\pi} e^{ikt} A(t) dt, \ C_k = EA_k, \ k \ge 0,$

(iv)  $\beta_k^n = (C_k^{[n]}, C_0^{[n-k]})/||C_0^{[n-k]}||, n \in \mathcal{Z}, k \ge 0$ , where  $C_k^q$  denotes the q-th row of  $C_k$ , and the norm and the inner product are in  $\mathcal{C}^r$  (we use the convention that 0/0 = 0).

Then the sequences  $(\beta_k^n)$ ,  $k \ge 0$ , are T-periodic in n and satisfy the conditions (U-0), (U-1) and (U-2) above. Moreover, the one-step prediction errors are given by

$$||x(n)-(x(n)|M_x(n-1))|| = \beta_0^n, \quad n \in \mathscr{Z}.$$

Proof. From Proposition 5.2 it follows that there is an *r*-dimensional innovation  $[\zeta_n^{\alpha}]$  in a Hilbert space  $K \supseteq M_x$  such that

(47) 
$$(x(n)|M_x(m)) = \sum_{k=n-m}^{\infty} C_k^{[n]} [\zeta_{n-k}^q], \quad m \leq n, m, n \in \mathscr{Z}.$$

Define

$$\xi_{n} = \frac{C_{0}^{[n]}[\zeta_{n}^{q}]}{\|C_{0}^{[n]}\|} = \|C_{0}^{[n]}\|^{-1} \sum_{q=0}^{r-1} C_{0}^{[n],q} \zeta_{n}^{q}, \quad n \in \mathscr{Z}$$

(0/0 = 0). By Lemma 5.3,  $C_k^{[n]} = \alpha_k^n C_0^{[n-k]}$ , where  $\alpha_k^n = (C_k^{[n]}, C_0^{[n-k]})/||C_0^{[n-k]}||^2$ . Therefore from (47) we infer that for all  $m, n \in \mathcal{Z}, m \leq n$ ,

(48) 
$$(x(n) | M_x(m)) = \sum_{k=n-m}^{\infty} \alpha_k^n C_0^{[n-k]} [\zeta_{n-k}^q] = \sum_{k=n-m}^{\infty} \alpha_k^n ||C_0^{[n-k]}|| \xi_{n-k}.$$

From (48) we obtain  $(x(n)|N_x(n)) = \beta_0^n \xi_n$ ,  $n \in \mathscr{X}$ , and hence  $(\xi_n)$  is a 0-1 innovation in  $M_x$ . Directly from the construction it also follows that the sequences  $(\beta_k^n)$  satisfy (U-1) and (U-2).

Below we will show that a converse theorem is also true. In order to simplify the formulation we will say that functions  $f^k(\cdot) \in L^2_-(\mathscr{C}^T)$ , k = 0, ..., N, are jointly r-outer if

 $\overline{\operatorname{sp}}\left\{e^{-in\cdot}f^k(\cdot):\ k=0,\ldots,N,\ n\geq 0\right\}=L^2_{-}(\mathscr{C}_0\oplus\ldots\oplus\mathscr{C}_{T-1}),$ 

where  $\mathscr{C}_k = \mathscr{C}$  or  $\mathscr{C}_k = \{0\}$ , and exactly r of  $\mathscr{C}_k$ 's are nonzero. For example, the rows  $A^k(\cdot)$  of a  $T \times T$  matrix function  $A(s) = [A^{k,j}(s)]$  are jointly r-outer if T-r columns of A(s) are zero ds-a.e. and when they are removed from the matrix, the rows of the resulting  $T \times r$  matrix function are jointly outer in  $L^2_{-}(\mathscr{C}^r)$ .

THEOREM 5.5. Let (x(n)) be a regular PC sequence of period T and rank r,  $(g_j)$  be its spectral densities and let  $G^{j,k}(s)$  be as in (34). Suppose that  $(\beta_k^n), k \ge 0$ , are T-periodic (in n) and satisfy the conditions (U-0), (U-1) and (U-2), where  $(\xi_n)$ is a 0-1 innovation in  $M_x$ . Define

(i) 
$$C_k^q = (0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{\beta_k^q}_{[q-k]}, 0, \dots, 0) = \beta_k^q e_{[q-k]}, \quad 0 \le q < T, \ k \ge 0,$$

where  $(e_k)$  is the standard basis in  $\mathscr{C}^T$ ;

7 – PAMS 19.2

ii) 
$$C^{q}(s) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k}^{q} e^{-iks}$$

and 
$$A^k(s) = (1/T) \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i q k/T} C^q(s), \quad k, q = 0, ..., T-1.$$

Then the rank of  $(\xi_n) = r$ , the  $\mathscr{C}^T$ -valued functions  $A^k(s)$  are jointly r-outer, and (49)  $g_k(s) = T(A^j(s-2\pi j/T), A^{j-k}(s-2\pi j/T)), ds-a.e., j, k \in \mathscr{Z}_T.$ 

In other words, if we remove from the matrix function  $A(s) = [A^k(s)]$  the T-r zero columns, then the resulting  $T \times r$ -matrix function is an outer square root of  $[G^{j,k}(s)]$ .

Proof. First we will show that the matrix function  $A(s) = [A^k(s)]$  is a square root of the density  $G(s) = [G^{j,k}(s)]$ . Let us put

$$C_k = [C_k^q], \quad A_k = E^{-1} C_k, \quad C(s) = [C^q(s)] = (1/\sqrt{2n}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k e^{-iks},$$

and

$$A(s) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k e^{-iks} = E^{-1} C(s).$$

Let  $Y^q(n)(s) = e^{ins} A^q(s)$  and let  $y(n) = \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i q n/T} Y^q(n)$ ,  $n \in \mathcal{Z}$ , so that  $[Y^q(n)]$  generates (y(n)). From the definitions of  $C_k$  and  $A_k$  it follows that

$$y(n)(\cdot) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k^{[n]} e^{i(n-k)\cdot} = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k^n e_{[n-k]} e^{i(n-k)\cdot}, \quad n \in \mathscr{Z}.$$

By (U-0) we have

$$\mathbf{x}(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k^n \xi_{n-k}, \quad n \in \mathscr{Z},$$

and hence (y(n)) is equivalent to (x(n)), where the equivalence is achieved through the mapping  $\Phi(\xi_n) = e_{[n]}e^{in}$ ,  $\xi_n \neq 0$ . Therefore  $(g_j)$  are the spectral densities of (y(n)). Since  $[Y^q(n)]$  generates (y(n)), we obtain

(50) 
$$g_q(s) = \sum_{p=0}^{T-1} (A^p(s - 2\pi p/T), A^{p-q}(s - 2\pi p/T)), \quad q \in \mathscr{Z}_T$$

(Proposition 3.5). We will show that in fact  $[Y^{q}(n)]$  is equivalent to the sequence induced by (y(n)), that is

(51) 
$$(A^{p}(s), A^{q}(s)) = G^{p,q}(s) = (1/T)g_{p-q}(s+2\pi p/T).$$

Because of (50), it is enough to show that functions  $(A^p(s-2\pi p/T), A^{p-q}(s-2\pi/T))$  do not depend on p. From the definition of  $A_k$  it follows

that

$$\begin{aligned} A_k^p &= (1/T) \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i j q/T} \beta_k^q e_{[q-k]} = e^{-2\pi i q j/T} \Big( \sum_{r=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i q r/T} \beta_j^{r+j} e_r \Big), \\ (A_k^p, A_j^{p-q}) &= (1/T^2) e^{-2\pi i p (k-j)/T} e^{-2\pi i q j/T} \sum_{r=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i q r/T} \beta_k^{r+k} \overline{\beta_j^{r+j}}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(A^{p}(t), A^{p-q}(t)) = (1/2\pi T^{2}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi i p(k-j)/T} e^{-2\pi i q j/T} \sum_{r=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i q r/T} \beta_{k}^{r+k} \overline{\beta_{j}^{r+j}} e^{-ikt} e^{ijt}.$$

Therefore

$$(A^{p}(t-2\pi p/T), A^{p-q}(t-2\pi p/T))$$
  
=  $(1/2\pi T^{2}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i q(r+k)/T} \beta_{k}^{r+k} \overline{\beta_{j}^{r+j}} e^{-ikt} e^{ijt}$ 

does not depend on p. Note that the formula above also gives an explicit expression for the spectral densities  $(g_a)$  of (x(n)) in terms of  $(\beta_k^n)$ , namely

(52) 
$$g_q(t) = (1/2\pi T) \sum_{r=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i q r/T} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_k^r \overline{\beta_j^{r-k+j}} e^{-i(k-j)t},$$

which is simpler than (23)-(24).

We shall prove that A(s) is an *r*-outer square root of G(s). From (51) it follows that there is an isometry  $\Phi$  from  $M_Z$  onto  $M_Y$  such that  $\Phi Z^q(n) = Y^q(n) = e^{in \cdot} A^q(\cdot)$  and, consequently,

$$\Phi x(n) = \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i q n/T} \Phi(Z^{q}(n)) = y(n).$$

Note that if U is the shift of  $[Z^q(n)]$ , then from the definition of  $[Z^q(n)]$  it follows that for every  $(z_0, z_1, ..., z_{T-1}) \in M_x^T = M_Z$ 

(53) 
$$U(z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_{T-1}) = (Vz_{T-1}, z_0, \ldots, z_{T-2}),$$

where V is the T-shift of (x(n)). Therefore

 $U(N_x(m)\oplus\{0\}\oplus\ldots\oplus\{0\})=(\{0\}\oplus N_x(m)\oplus\{0\}\oplus\ldots\oplus\{0\})\subset M_Z(m+1).$ 

By iterations we obtain

(54) 
$$U^{p} N_{x}(n-p) \in N_{Z}(n), \quad n \in \mathcal{Z}, \ p \ge 0$$

(recall that  $N_x(j)$  is identified with  $N_x(j) \oplus \{0\} \oplus \ldots \oplus \{0\} \subset M_z$ ). Since  $\Phi$  is unitary, the same relationship holds for the pair  $[Y^q(n)]$  and (y(n)).

We shall compute  $M_Y(0)$ . From (U-0) it follows that, for every  $n \in \mathscr{Z}$ ,  $N_x(n) = \operatorname{sp} \{\beta_0^n \xi_n\} = \operatorname{sp} \{\xi_n\}$ , because if  $\beta_0^n = 0$  while  $\xi_n \neq 0$ , then  $\xi_n$  would not be in  $M_x$ . Therefore  $N_y(m) = \operatorname{sp} \{C_0^{[m]} e^{im}\}$ . Since the shift of  $[Y^q(n)]$  is the operator of multiplication by  $e^{is}$ , from (54) applied to the pair  $[Y^q(n)]$  and (y(n)) we conclude that  $C_0^{[n-p]} e^{in} \in N_Y(n)$  for all  $p \ge 0$ , and hence

$$N_{\mathbf{Y}}(n) = \overline{\mathrm{sp}} \{ e^{in \cdot} C_0^q \colon q = 0, \ldots, T-1 \} = e^{in \cdot} (\mathscr{C}_0 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathscr{C}_{T-1}),$$

where  $\mathscr{C}_k$  is either  $\{0\}$  or  $\mathscr{C}$  depending on whether  $\beta_0^k$  is zero or not. This shows that  $M_Y(0) = L^2_-(\mathscr{C}_0 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathscr{C}_{T-1})$ . Since the rank of (x(n)) is r, exactly r terms in the sequence  $\beta_0^0, \ldots, \beta_0^{T-1}$  are nonzero, and hence  $A^q(\cdot), q = 0, \ldots, T-1$ , are jointly r-outer.

Theorems 5.4 and 5.5 are PC analogues of Proposition 3.3, part (B). Since the rows of A(s) are *r*-outer iff the rows of C(s) = EA(s) are *r*-outer, the proof of Theorem 5.5 yields the following corollary:

COROLLARY 5.6. Let (x(n)) be a regular PC sequence with period T and rank r. Suppose that there is a 0-1 innovation of rank r in  $M_x$  such that

(55) 
$$x(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k^n \xi_{n-k}, \quad n \in \mathscr{Z},$$

where  $(\beta_k^n)$ , k = 0, 1, ..., are T-periodic in n and satisfy (U-1) and (U-2). Then the representation (55) is optimal (i.e. (U-0) holds true) iff the functions  $C^q(\cdot)$ , q = 0, ..., T-1, defined in Theorem 5.5 are jointly r-outer.

If a sequence (x(n)) is completely regular, then r = T and the phrase *r*-outer in Theorem 5.5 is replaced by a familiar phrase outer in  $C^T$ . The prediction problem for completely regular PC sequences was studied earlier in [10], where the authors introduced a new notion of a *T*-complete system: A system of *T* functions  $f_0, \ldots, f_{T-1} \in L^2_-(\mathscr{C})$  is said to be *T*-complete if for every  $k = 0, \ldots, T-1$ 

$$\overline{\operatorname{sp}}\left\{e^{im\cdot}f_{[m+k]}(\cdot); m \leq 0\right\} = L^2_{-}(\mathscr{C}).$$

If (x(n)) is a completely regular PC sequence with period T and  $(\beta_k^n)$  are the coefficients in the optimal moving average representation of (x(n)), then the functions  $f_n(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k^n e^{-iks}$ , n = 0, ..., T-1, form a T-complete system, and hence the results of this section also characterize T-complete systems.

#### 6. OTHER ASSOCIATED SEQUENCES

Stationary block sequence. Perhaps the most natural stationary sequence associated with a PC sequence is a *block* sequence, which is constructed by partitioning a PC sequence into adjacent blocks of length T. The starting point for a partition can be arbitrary, depending on what predictor is of interest. DEFINITION 6.1. Let (x(n)) be a PC sequence with period T and let  $0 \le d < T$ . A T-dimensional stationary sequence  $[X_d^q(n)]$  defined by  $X_d^q(n) = x(d+nT+q), n \in \mathcal{Z}, q = 0, ..., T-1$ , will be called a *block sequence* (at d) associated with (x(n)).

A block sequence has excellent properties. It takes values in  $M_x$ ; for a fixed d the correspondence between (x(n)) and  $[X_d^q(n)]$  is a bijection from the set of all PC sequences with period T onto the set of all T-dimensional stationary sequences; both sequences are simultaneously regular or deterministic; rank of  $[X_d^q(n)]$  is equal to the rank of (x(n)); the shift of  $[X_d^q(n)]$  is equal to the T-shift operator of (x(n)), and, finally, doing prediction of both is the same task because  $M_{X_d}(n) = M_x(d+(n+1)T-1)$ . A block sequence was successfully used in many papers on PARMA models (e.g. [21], [23]), and also was employed in [19] to obtain the Wold-Cramer decomposition of a PC sequence.

The major disadvantage of a block sequence is that its spectrum and the spectrum of a corresponding PC sequence are related in a rather complex way. To see the relation let us put  $J_r = [2\pi r/T, 2\pi (r+1)/T), r \in \mathscr{Z}_T$ , and let  $\omega: [0, 2\pi) \rightarrow [0, 2\pi)$  be a function defined by

(56) 
$$\omega(s) = T \sum_{r=0}^{T-1} (s - 2\pi r/T) IND_{J_r}(s),$$

where  $IND_{\Delta}$  denotes the indicator of a set  $\Delta$ . If  $\mu$  is a measure on  $[0, 2\pi)$ , then the image of  $\mu$  under  $\omega$  will be denoted by  $\mathscr{W}\mu$ , that is  $(\mathscr{W}\mu)(\Delta) = \mu(w^{-1}(\Delta))$ . The operator  $\mathscr{W}$  splits  $\mu$  into the sum of its restrictions  $\mu_r(\Delta) = \mu(\Delta \cap J_r)$  to  $J_r, r = 0, ..., T-1$ , shifts each  $\mu_r$  to the left by  $2\pi r/T$ , adds the shifted measures up and then stretches the resulting sum to the interval  $[0, 2\pi)$ , that is:

$$(\mathscr{W}\mu)(ds) = \sum_{r=0}^{T-1} \mu_r (ds/T + 2\pi r/T).$$

Note that if f is  $\mathcal{W}\mu$ -integrable and has period  $2\pi/T$ , then

(57) 
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} f(s) d(\mathscr{W}\mu)(ds) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(Ts) d\mu.$$

**PROPOSITION 6.2 (cf. [5]). (A)** Let (x(n)) be a PC sequence with period T > 1and spectrum  $(\gamma_j)$  and let  $[X_d^q(n)]$  be a block sequence of (x(n)). Then  $[X_d^q(n)]$  is stationary and the p, q-th entry of its spectral measure is given by

(58) 
$$\Gamma_{d}^{p,q}(ds) = \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i j(d+q)/T} \mathscr{W}(e^{i(p-q)s} \gamma_j(ds)).$$

(B) Let  $[X^q(n)]$  be a T-dimensional stationary sequence with the spectral measure  $[\Gamma^{p,q}]$  and let  $x(n) = X^{[n]}(Q(n))$ ,  $n \in \mathcal{X}$ , where n = Q(n)T + [n],  $0 \leq [n] < T$ ,  $Q(n) \in \mathcal{X}$ . Then (x(n)) is PC with period T and the spectrum  $(\gamma_i)$  of

A. Makagon

(x(n)) is uniquely determined by the relations

(59) 
$$\mathscr{W}(e^{irs}\gamma_{j}(ds)) = (1/T)\sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i j q/T} e^{iQ(q+r)s} \Gamma^{[q+r],q}(ds),$$
$$r = 0, \dots, T-1, \ j \in \mathscr{Z}_{T}.$$

Proof. (A) Suppose that (x(n)) is PC with period T, and let  $[X_d^q(n)]$  be its block sequence (at d). Then from (6), (7), and (57) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(X_{d}^{p}(n), X_{d}^{q}(m)\right) &= K_{x}(d+nT+p, d+mT+q) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i (mT+d+p) j/T} a_{j}((n-m) T+p-q) \\ &= \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{i (n-m)/Ts} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{i (p-q)s} e^{2\pi i j (d+q)/T} \gamma_{j}(ds)\right) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{T-1} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{i (n-m)s} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{i (p-q) (s/T+2\pi r/T)} e^{2\pi i j (d+q)/T} \gamma_{j}(ds/T+2\pi r/T)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $[X_d^q(n)]$  is stationary and  $\Gamma_d^{p,q}(ds) = \mathscr{W}(\sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i j(d+q)/T} e^{i(p-q)s} \gamma_j(ds)).$ 

(B) Suppose that  $[X^q(n)]$  is a *T*-dimensional stationary sequence and  $x(n) = X^{[n]}(Q(n)), n \in \mathcal{X}$ . Then (x(n)) is PC with period *T* and  $[X^q(n)]$  is its block sequence at d = 0. Substituting d = 0 and p = [q+r], r = 0, ..., T-1, in (58), we obtain p-q = r-Q(r+q)T, and hence

(60) 
$$\Gamma^{[r+q],q}(ds) = e^{-iQ(r+q)s} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i j q/T} \mathscr{W}(e^{irs} \gamma_j(ds)).$$

Multiplying both sides by  $e^{iQ(r+q)/Ts}$  and taking the inverse discrete Fourier transform, we obtain (59). The relations (59) determine  $(\gamma_j)$  uniquely despite that  $\mathscr{W}\mu = \mathscr{W}v$  does not imply that  $\mu = v$ . However, if  $\mathscr{W}(e^{irs}\mu(ds)) = \mathscr{W}(e^{irs}v(ds))$  for r = 0, ..., T-1, then

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{inTs} \mathscr{W}(e^{irs} \mu(ds)) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{inTs} \mathscr{W}(e^{irs} \nu(ds)), \quad n \in \mathscr{Z},$$

and, by (57),  $\int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(nT+r)s} \mu(ds) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(nT+r)s} \nu(ds), n \in \mathcal{Z}, r = 0, ..., T-1$ . Hence  $\mu = \nu$ .

The relations (58) and (59) are not only complicated, but additionally the operator  $\mathscr{W}$  does not transfer conjugate analytic roots of  $[d\Gamma^{p,q}/ds]$  into conjugate analytic factors of  $(\gamma_j/ds)$ , and this is the main reason that an induced sequence was used in the paper instead of a block sequence. However, the predictors of (x(n)) and the predictors of its block sequence are obviously related through a time domain procedure, and therefore the results of Sec-

312

tion 5 link the coefficients  $(\beta_k^n)$  of an optimal moving average representation of a PC sequence with matrix coefficients of an optimal moving average representation of its block sequence. Since each *T*-dimensional stationary sequence arranged in a linear order forms a PC sequence with period *T*, the author believes that the technique of induced sequences and the results of this paper may also contribute to the prediction theory of *T*-dimensional stationary sequences.

Generating stationary sequences in  $M_x$ . An induced stationary sequence lives in much bigger space than  $M_x$ , and this raises the question of whether it is possible to find a generating sequence  $[W^q(n)]$  with values in  $M_x$ , which at least controls the regularity of (x(n)). The principal root sequence, so far the only generating sequence of (x(n)) constructed in  $M_x$ , is always deterministic, and hence does not meet this requirement.

THEOREM 6.3. A PC sequence (x(n)) with period T has a regular generating sequence with values in  $M_x$  iff (x(n)) is completely regular. Moreover, each regular T-dimensional stationary sequence in  $M_x$  that generates a completely regular PC sequence is of rank 1.

The fact that a completely regular PC sequence admits a regular generating sequence in  $M_x$  was first noted in [10].

**Proof.**  $(\Rightarrow)$  Assume that  $[W^q(n)]$  is a regular *T*-dimensional sequence in  $M_x$  such that

$$x(n) = \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i n q/T} W^q(n), \quad n \in \mathscr{Z}.$$

Let r be the rank of  $[W^q(n)]$ . Then  $[W^q(n)]$  is equivalent to an  $L^2(\mathscr{C}^r)$ -valued sequence  $Y^q(n)(t) = e^{itn} A^q(t)$ , where  $[A^q(\cdot)]$  is a conjugate analytic square root of the spectral density  $d\Gamma/dt$  of  $[W^q(n)]$  and rank  $(\hat{A}(0)) = r$ . Hence the sequence  $y(n) = \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i n q/T} Y^q(n)$  is an  $L^2(\mathscr{C}^r)$ -valued PC sequence equivalent to (x(n)). Note that the shift U of  $[Y^q(n)]$  is the operator of multiplication by  $e^{is}$ and that  $M_Y = M_y$ , because by assumption  $M_W = M_x$ . Since  $[Y^q(n)]$  generates (y(n)), we conclude that  $V = U^T$  is the operator of multiplication by  $e^{iTs}$ . Consider the group  $V^n = (U^T)^n$ ,  $n \in \mathscr{Z}$ , and let  $e_1, \ldots, e_r$  be the standard basis in  $\mathscr{C}^r$ . The functions  $u_{k,q} = e^{ik \cdot} e_q$ ,  $k = 0, -1, \ldots, -T+1$ ,  $q = 0, \ldots, r-1$ , have the property that the rT subspaces  $M_{k,q} = \overline{sp} \{V^n u_{k,q}, n \in \mathscr{Z}\}$  are orthogonal. Since the spectral type (see [3], pp. 914–918, or [16], Section 2.3) of each vector  $u_{k,q}$  is the Lebesgue measure, which is the maximal spectral type of the group  $V^n$ , we conclude that the multiplicity of the group  $V^n$  in  $M_Y$  is rT. On the other hand, the subspaces  $V^n(M_y(0)-M_y(-T))$ ,  $n \in \mathscr{Z}$ , also span  $M_Y = M_y$ . Hence the multiplicity of  $V^n$  in  $M_Y$  is at most  $d = \dim(M_y(0)-M_y(-T))$  which is at most T. Comparing this with previously computed multiplicity we infer that

multiplicity of  $V^n$  in  $M_Y = rT \leq d \leq T$ ,

which is possible only if r = 1 and  $d = \dim(M_x(0) \ominus M_x(-T)) = T$ , that is if  $[W^q(n)]$  is of rank 1 and (x(n)) is completely regular. Note that we also proved the "moreover" part.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose now that (x(n)) is completely regular. Then it is regular and from Proposition 3.5 it follows that (x(n)) can be written as  $x(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k^n \xi_{n-k}$ ,  $n \in \mathscr{Z}$ , where  $[\xi_n]$  is an innovation in  $M_x$  and the coefficients  $\beta_k^n$  satisfy (21), (U-1) and (U-2) of Proposition 3.5. Define the unitary operator U:  $M_x \to M_x$  as a linear extension of the mapping  $U\xi_n = \xi_{n+1}$ ,  $n \in \mathscr{Z}$ . Since  $(\beta_k^n)$  are T-periodic,  $U^T x(n) = x(n+T)$ ,  $n \in \mathscr{Z}$ , so U is a T-th root of the T-shift operator V of (x(n)). Define

$$p(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k^n \xi_{-k}, \quad W^q(0) = (1/T) \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i j q/T} p(j), \text{ and} \\ W^q(n) = U^n W^q(0), \quad n \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

Then  $W^q(n) \in M_{\xi}(n)$ , and so  $[W^q(n)]$  is regular. Moreover,

$$x(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k^n \xi_{n-k} = U^n p(n) = \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i q n/T} W^q(n), \quad n \in \mathscr{Z},$$

and hence  $[W^q(n)]$  generates (x(n)).

#### 7. PARMA SEQUENCES

In the case of *T*-dimensional stationary sequences an explicit computation of an outer square root of its spectral density is possible only in few cases. One of them is the case when a sequence is a solution to an ARMA system of equations. A PC analogue of an ARMA sequence is called *PARMA*, and is defined to be a PC sequence (x(n)) that satisfies a system

(61) 
$$x(n) - \sum_{k=1}^{p(n)} \phi_k(n) x(n-k) = \sum_{k=0}^{q(n)} \theta_k(n) \xi_{n-k}, \quad n \in \mathcal{Z},$$

where it is assumed that:

(A-1) the sequences p(n), q(n),  $\phi_k(n)$ , and  $\theta_k(n)$  are periodic with the same period T,

(A-2)  $(\xi_n)$  is an orthonormal sequence (innovation).

Setting  $\phi_k(n) = 0$  and  $\theta_j(n) = 0$  for  $p(n) < k \le L$  and  $q(n) < j \le R$ , where  $L \ge \max \{p(n): p(n) \ne 0, n \in \mathcal{Z}\}$  and  $R \ge \max \{q(n): q(n) \ne 0, n \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ , we may assume that  $p(n) \equiv L$ , and  $q(n) \equiv R$  are constants.

Our goal in this section is to compute spectral densities  $g_j = d\gamma_j/ds$ ,  $j \in \mathscr{Z}_T$ , of a PARMA sequence (x(n)) and identify coefficients in the optimal moving average representation of (x(n)), provided that (61) has a unique PC solution in  $M_{\xi}$ . A literature on PARMA sequences is vast and many diverse methods for

studying PARMA systems, including procedures for retrieving predictor coefficients and for computing spectral densities, have been already developed (see for example [1], [12], [21]–[23] and references therein). This section should be viewed as an example of an application of an induced process technique rather than a systematic analysis of PARMA models.

The system (61) may have no solution at all. Even if it does have, it is not obvious whether a solution is PC, whether it belongs to the space  $M_{\xi}$  or is unique, although it is easy to see that if (61) has a unique solution (x(n)) in the space  $M_{\xi}$ , then this solution must be PC and its T-shift operator V is equal to  $U^T$ , where U:  $M_{\xi} \rightarrow M_{\xi}$  is defined by  $U\xi_n = \xi_{n+1}, n \in \mathscr{Z}$ . By proper partitioning the sums on both sides of (61), Vecchia [23] rewrote (61) in terms of a T-dimensional block sequence  $X^q(n) = x(nT+q), n \in \mathscr{Z}, q = 0, ..., T-1$ , and transformed a PARMA system into a T-dimensional ARMA systems involving  $[X^q(n)]$ . However, due to a complicate relationship between the spectra of a PC sequence and its block sequence, Vecchia's construction is not very suitable for our purpose. Our main tool here will be an induced sequence instead. An induced sequence technique is very similar to the approach used by Sakai in [22] for the same purpose.

Recall that a T-dimensional sequence  $[Z^q(n)]$  is said to be *induced* by a sequence (x(n)) if  $Z^q(n) \in M_x^T$  is defined by

(62) 
$$Z^{q}(n)(k) = (1/T) x (n-k) e^{-2\pi i q (n-k)/T}, \quad k = 0, ..., T-1,$$

 $n \in \mathscr{Z}$ , q = 0, ..., T-1. Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 state that (x(n)) is PC with period T iff  $[Z^q(n)]$  is T-dimensional stationary. Observe that if  $[Z^q_{\xi}(n)]$  is induced by an innovation  $(\xi_n)$ , then

(63) 
$$(Z_{\xi}^{p}(n), Z_{\xi}^{q}(m))_{M_{\xi}^{T}} = (1/T^{2}) \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i p(n-k)/T} e^{-2\pi i q(m-k)/T} (\xi_{n-k}, \xi_{m-k})$$
  
$$= \begin{cases} 1/T & \text{if } n = m \text{ and } p = q, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence

(64) 
$$\zeta_n^q(k) = \sqrt{T} Z_{\xi}^q(n)(k) = (1/\sqrt{T}) \xi_{n-k} e^{-2\pi i q(n-k)/T}, \quad k = 0, \dots, T-1,$$

satisfies  $[\zeta_n^q] [\zeta_m^q]^* = I_T \delta_{n-m}$ , that is  $[\zeta_n^q]$  is a *T*-dimensional innovation in  $M_{\xi}^T$ . Clearly,  $M_{\zeta} = M_{\xi}^T$  (Proposition 4.1, (I-3)).

Consider a PARMA system

(65) 
$$x(n) - \sum_{k=1}^{L} \phi_k(n) x(n-k) = \sum_{k=0}^{R} \theta_k(n) \xi_{n-k}, \quad n \in \mathscr{Z},$$

where  $\phi_k(n)$ ,  $\theta_k(n)$  and  $(\xi_n)$  satisfy (A-1) and (A-2). Let  $A_m$  and  $B_m$  be

 $T \times T$ -matrices defined by

(66) 
$$A_m^{j,k} = e^{-2\pi i m k/T} \widetilde{\phi}_m(j-k), \qquad j, k \in \mathscr{Z}_T, m = 1, \dots, L$$

(67) 
$$B_m^{j,k} = (1/\sqrt{T}) e^{-2\pi i m k/T} \tilde{\theta}_m(j-k), \quad j, k \in \mathscr{Z}_T, m = 1, ..., R_s$$

where  $\tilde{s}$  denotes the discrete Fourier transform (2) of a *T*-periodic sequence (s(n)). Let us put

(68) 
$$A(z) = I - \sum_{m=1}^{L} A_m z^m$$
 and  $B(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{m=0}^{R} B_m z^m, \quad z \in \mathcal{C},$ 

and consider the ARMA system

(69) 
$$[X^{j}(n)] - \sum_{k=1}^{L} A_{k} [X^{j}(n-k)] = \sum_{k=0}^{R} B_{k} [\zeta_{n-k}^{j}],$$

where  $[\zeta_n^q]$  is the *T*-dimensional innovation in  $M_{\xi}^T$  defined in (64).

LEMMA 7.1. The PARMA system (65) has a PC solution iff the ARMA system (69) has a stationary solution.

Proof. For convenience rewrite the system (65) as

(70) 
$$x(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{L} \phi_k(n) x(n-k) + \sum_{k=0}^{R} \theta_k(n) \xi_{n-k}, \quad n \in \mathscr{Z},$$

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose that (x(n)) is a PC solution to (70) and let  $[Z^q(n)]$  be the sequence induced by (x(n)). Then  $[Z^q(n)]$  is a T-dimensional stationary sequence and

$$Z^{q}(n)(p) = (1/T) e^{-2\pi i q(n-p)/T} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{L} \phi_{k}(n-p) x(n-k-p) + \sum_{k=0}^{R} \theta_{k}(n-p) \zeta_{n-k-p} \right)$$
  

$$= (1/T) \sum_{k=1}^{L} e^{-2\pi i q(n-p)/T} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i j(n-p)/T} \widetilde{\phi}_{k}(j) x(n-k-p)$$
  

$$+ (1/T) \sum_{k=0}^{R} e^{-2\pi i q(n-p)/T} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i j(n-p)/T} \widetilde{\theta}_{k}(j) \zeta_{n-k-p}$$
  

$$= (1/T) \sum_{k=1}^{L} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i (q-j)k/T} \widetilde{\phi}_{k}(j) e^{-2\pi i (q-j)(n-k-p)/T} x(n-k-p)$$
  

$$+ (1/T) \sum_{k=0}^{R} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i (q-j)k/T} \widetilde{\theta}_{k}(j) e^{-2\pi i (q-j)(n-k-p)/T} \zeta_{n-k-p}$$
  

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{L} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i (q-j)k/T} \widetilde{\phi}_{k}(j) Z^{q-j}(n-k)(p)$$
  

$$+ (1/\sqrt{T}) \sum_{k=0}^{R} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i (q-j)k/T} \widetilde{\theta}_{k}(j) \zeta_{n-k}^{q-j}(p).$$

Since all components of the sums above are T-periodic in j, substituting q-j=r we obtain for each  $n \in \mathcal{Z}$ ,  $q \in \mathcal{Z}_T$ , and  $p=0, \ldots, T-1$ 

$$Z^{q}(n)(p) = \sum_{k=1}^{L} \sum_{r=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i r k/T} \widetilde{\phi}_{k}(q-r) Z^{r}(n-k)(p) + (1/\sqrt{T}) \sum_{k=0}^{R} \sum_{r=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i r k/T} \widetilde{\theta}_{k}(q-r) \zeta_{n-k}^{r}(p).$$

Therefore  $[Z^{j}(n)]$  is a *T*-dimensional stationary solution to (69). Note that if x(n) is a solution in  $M_{\xi_{j}}$  then  $M_{x} \subseteq M_{\xi_{j}}$  and from the property (I-3) of Proposition 4.1 it follows that  $M_{z} = M_{x}^{T} \subseteq M_{\xi}^{T} = M_{\zeta_{j}}$ , that is  $Z^{j}(n) \in M_{\zeta_{j}}$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose now that  $[X^j(n)]$  is a *T*-dimensional stationary sequence that satisfies (69). Define  $x(n) = \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i n q/T} X^q(n)$ . Then (x(n)) is PC with period *T*, and

$$\begin{aligned} x(n) &= \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i n q/T} \Big( \sum_{k=1}^{L} \sum_{r=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i r k/T} \, \widetilde{\phi}_k(q-r) \, X^r(n-k) \\ &+ (1/\sqrt{T}) \sum_{k=0}^{R} \sum_{r=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i r k/T} \, \widetilde{\theta}_k(q-r) \, \zeta_{n-k}^r(p) \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Writing

$$\tilde{\phi}_k(q-r) = (1/T) \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i (q-r)j/T} \phi_k(j)$$

and

$$\tilde{\theta}_k(q-r) = (1/T) \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i (q-r)j/T} \theta_k(j),$$

and using the fact that  $\sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i q(n-j)/T} = 0$  except when n = j, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x(n) &= \sum_{k=1}^{L} \phi_k(n) \Big( \sum_{r=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i r(n-k)/T} X^r(n-k) \Big) \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{R} \theta_k(n) \Big( (1/\sqrt{T}) \sum_{r=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i r(n-k)/T} \zeta_{n-k}^r \Big) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{L} \phi_k(n) x(n-k) + \sum_{k=0}^{R} \theta_k(n) \xi_{n-k}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $(1/\sqrt{T})\sum_{r=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i r n/T} \zeta_n^r = (\xi_n, 0, ..., 0)$  (again  $M_{\xi}$  is identified with  $M_{\xi} \oplus \{0\} \oplus \ldots \oplus \{0\} \subset M_{\xi}^T$ ).

From the proof it follows that if (x(n)) is a PC solution to (65) and if the system (69) has a unique stationary solution, then the latter must be the sequence induced by (x(n)). The conditions for existence and uniqueness of a stationary solution to a *T*-dimensional ARMA system are well known (e.g. [2]).

A. Makagon

LEMMA 7.2. The system (69) has a unique stationary solution iff det A(z) has no zeros on the unit circle. Moreover, if this is the case, then the only stationary solution is given by

(71) 
$$[X^{q}(n)] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} D_{k} [\zeta_{n-k}^{q}], \quad n \in \mathscr{Z},$$

where  $D_k = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \int_0^{2\pi} e^{ikt} A(e^{-it})^{-1} B(e^{-it}) dt, \ k \in \mathscr{Z}.$ 

By definition the matrices  $A_k$  and  $B_k$  have the property that, for every  $k \ge 0$ ,  $e^{2\pi i r k/T} A_k^{q,r}$  and  $e^{2\pi i r k/T} B_k^{q,r}$  depend only on q-r. We will show that if  $[X^q(n)]$  in (71) is *causal*, then the coefficients  $D_k$  also have this property.

LEMMA 7.3. If det A(z) has no zeros on the unit disc  $\{|z| \le 1\}$ , then the system (69) has a unique stationary solution

(72) 
$$[X^{q}(n)] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_{k}[\zeta_{n-k}^{q}], \quad n \in \mathscr{Z},$$

and the matrices  $D_k$  have the form

(73) 
$$D_k^{q,r} = e^{-2\pi i r k/T} \eta_k(q-r), \quad q, r \in \mathscr{Z},$$

where  $\eta_k$ ,  $k \ge 0$ , are functions of q-r.

Proof. The first part is well known. If det A(z) has no zeros on the unit disc  $\{|z| \leq 1\}$ , then  $d(z) = 1/\det A(z)$  is analytic in  $\{|z| < 1 + \varepsilon\}$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and hence  $A^{-1}(z)$  and  $A^{-1}(z)B(z)$  are analytic. Therefore

$$A^{-1}(z) B(z) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_k z^k, \quad |z| < 1 + \varepsilon,$$

which in view of Lemma 7.2 yields (72). Multiplying the above equation by A(z) we obtain

(74) 
$$(I - \sum_{k=1}^{L} A_k z^k) (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_k z^k) = \sum_{m=0}^{R} B_m z^m,$$

from which one can recursively compute the coefficients  $D_k$ ,  $k \ge 0$ :

(75) 
$$D_0 = B_0, \quad D_k = B_k + A_1 D_{k-1} + A_2 D_{k-2} + \ldots + A_k D_0, \ k \ge 1,$$

where  $A_j = 0$  if j > L, and  $B_k = 0$  if k > R. We will show that each  $D_k$  has the form (73), given that matrices  $B_k$  and  $A_k$  do. Clearly,  $D_0 = B_0$  does. Suppose that  $D_0, \ldots, D_{k-1}$  have the property (73). If  $(A_j D_{k-j})^{q,r}$  is the q, r-th entry of the matrix  $A_j D_{k-j}$ , then

$$(A_{j}D_{k-j})^{q,r} = \sum_{p=0}^{T-1} A_{j}^{q,p} D_{k-j}^{p,r} = \sum_{p=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i j p/T} \tilde{\phi}_{j}(q-p) e^{-2\pi i (k-j) r/T} \eta_{k-j}(p-r)$$
$$= e^{-2\pi i k r/T} \sum_{s=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i j s/T} \tilde{\phi}_{j}(q-r-s) \eta_{k-j}(s).$$

318

Hence, for every j = 1, ..., k,  $A_j D_{k-j}$  has the property (73), and so does  $D_k = B_k + A_1 D_{k-1} + A_2 D_{k-2} + ... + A_k D_0$ .

A moving average representation (72) does not have to be optimal. It will be if  $M_X(m) = M_{\zeta}(m)$ , that is, if  $[X^k(n)]$  is *invertible*. By changing the roles of  $[X^k(n)]$  and  $[\zeta_n^k]$  in the previous lemmas it is clear that  $[X^k(n)]$  is invertible if det B(z) has no zeros in the unit disk. Therefore, if det A(z) and det B(z) have no zeros in the closed unit disc  $\{|z| \leq 1\}$ , then  $D(t) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_k e^{-ikt}$  is an outer square root of the density of  $[X^q(n)]$ .

The following theorem is the main result in this section.

THEOREM 7.4. Suppose that  $\phi_k(n)$ ,  $\theta_k(n)$  and  $(\xi_n)$  satisfy (A-1) and (A-2), A(z)and  $\mathbf{B}(z)$  are defined by (66), (67) and (68), and that det A(z) and det  $\mathbf{B}(z)$  have no zeros in the closed unit disc  $\{|z| \leq 1\}$ . Let  $\mathbf{D}_k$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , be defined by the equation  $A^{-1}(z)\mathbf{B}(z) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi})\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{D}_k z^k$ ,  $|z| < 1 + \varepsilon$ . Then:

- (i) the system (65) has a unique PC solution (x(n)) in  $M_{\xi}$ ;
- (ii) the solution is given by

$$x(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k^n \xi_{n-k}, \ n \in \mathscr{Z}, \quad where \ \beta_k^n = \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i j n/T} D_k^{j,0};$$

(iii) the moving average representation above is optimal, that is

(76) 
$$(x(n) | M_x(m)) = \sum_{k=n-m}^{\infty} \beta_k^n \xi_{n-k}, \quad n, m \in \mathscr{Z}, m \leq n;$$

(iv) the spectral measures  $(\gamma_j)$  of (x(n)) are absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure and their densities are given by

(77) 
$$\frac{d\gamma_j}{ds}(s) = T \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} D^{0,q}(s) \overline{D^{T-j,q}(s)}, \ ds\text{-a.e.}, \quad j = 0, \dots, T-1,$$

where  $D(t) = A(e^{-it})^{-1} B(e^{-it}) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_k e^{-itk}$ .

Proof. Consider an associated system (69), where  $\zeta_n^q \in M_{\xi}^T$  is defined by (64). From Lemmas 7.2 and 7.3 it follows that the system (69) has a unique solution  $[X^q(n)]$ , which is in  $M_{\zeta} = M_{\xi}^T$  and is given by (72). Since det  $B(z) \neq 0$ in the unit disc,

(78) 
$$\left[\left(X^{q}(n) \mid M_{X}(m)\right)\right] = \sum_{k=n-m}^{\infty} D_{k}\left[\zeta_{n-k}^{q}\right], \quad n, m \in \mathscr{Z}, m \leq n.$$

Define  $x(n) = \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i q n/T} X^q(n)$ ,  $n \in \mathscr{Z}$ . Then (x(n)) is a PC sequence with period T, and from the proof of Lemma 7.1 it follows that (x(n)) satisfies (65) with

$$\xi_n = \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i j n/T} \zeta_n^j = (\xi_n, 0, \dots, 0).$$

We will show that  $x(n) \in M_{\xi} \oplus \{0\} \oplus \ldots \oplus \{0\}$ . From (72) and (73) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x(n) &= \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i q n/T} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} D_k^{q,j} \zeta_{n-k}^j = \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i q n/T} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i k j/T} \eta_k(q-j) \zeta_{n-k}^j \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{-2\pi i k j/T} \left( \sum_{p=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i (p+j)n/T} \eta_k(s) \right) \zeta_{n-k}^j \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{p=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i p n/T} \eta_k(p) \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i j (n-k)/T} \zeta_{n-k}^j \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\eta_k(p)$  are as in (73). Therefore

$$x(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k^n(\xi_{n-k}, 0, ..., 0), \quad \text{where } \beta_k^n = \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i j n/T} \eta_k(j),$$

and hence  $x(n) \in M_{\xi} = M_{\xi} \oplus \{0\} \oplus \ldots \oplus \{0\}$ . Note that we have also proved part (ii), because by definition  $\eta_k(j) = D_k^{j,0}$ ,  $j \in \mathscr{Z}_T$ ,  $k \ge 0$ . To see uniqueness, assume that (z(n)) is a PC solution to (65) in  $M_{\xi}$  different than (x(n)). The sequences induced by (x(n)) and (z(n)) are then two different stationary solutions to (69), but by Lemma 7.2 this is impossible.

To prove part (iii) note that from the uniqueness of a stationary solution to (69) and from the proof of Lemma 7.1 it follows that  $[X^{q}(n)]$  is the sequence induced by (x(n)). Therefore from Proposition 4.1, (I-4), we obtain

$$((x(n) | M_x(m)), 0, ..., 0) = \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i q n/T} (X^q(n) | M_x(m))$$
  
=  $\sum_{q=0}^{T-1} e^{2\pi i q n/T} \sum_{k=n-m}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} D_k^{q,j} \zeta_{n-k}^j.$ 

The same computation as in the proof of part (ii) gives (76).

Since  $[X^q(n)]$  is induced by a PC sequence (x(n)), from (28) we infer that  $(d\gamma_k/ds)(s) = TG^{0,-k}(s)$ , ds-a.e.,  $k \in \mathscr{Z}_T$ , where G(s) is the spectral density of  $[X^q(n)]$ . Since  $D(t) = A(e^{-it})^{-1}B(e^{-it})$  is a square root of G(t), we have

$$G^{0,-k}(s) = \sum_{q=0}^{T-1} D^{0,q}(s) \overline{D^{-k,q}(s)}, \quad k \in \mathscr{Z}_T.$$

The matrices  $D_k$  can be obtained recursively from (75), and hence the theorem produces an algorithm for computing an optimal moving average representation and the spectrum of a PARMA sequence (x(n)).

Lemmas 7.1 and 7.2 also produce a certain condition for existence of a PC solution to (65) in terms of the discrete Fourier transforms of the coefficients of the system. For example, if T = 2 and (x(n)) is a PAR(1) sequence that satisfies

(79) 
$$x(n) = \phi(n) x(n-1) + \xi_n,$$

then

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\phi}(0) & -\tilde{\phi}(1) \\ \tilde{\phi}(1) & -\tilde{\phi}(0) \end{bmatrix}, \quad A(z) = I - A_1 z, \quad \text{and} \quad \det A(z) = 1 - P z^2,$$

where  $P = \tilde{\phi}(0)^2 - \tilde{\phi}(1)^2$ . Hence det A(z) has no zeros in the unit disc iff |P| < 1. Since  $A(z)^{-1} = (\det A(z))^{-1} (I + A_1 z)$ , provided |P| < 1,  $D_{2k} = P^k I$  and  $D_{2k+1} = P^k A_1$ ,  $k \ge 0$ . Consequently,

(80) 
$$\beta_{2k}^n = P^k$$
 and  $\beta_{2k+1}^n = P^k \left( \widetilde{\phi}(0) + (-1)^n \widetilde{\phi}(1) \right), \quad n \in \mathscr{Z}, \ k \ge 0.$ 

This is consistent with the solution obtained for example in [23] or [11]. To see this write  $\tilde{\phi}(0) = (1/2)(\phi(0) + \phi(1))$  and  $\tilde{\phi}(1) = (1/2)(\phi(0) - \phi(1))$ . Then  $P = \phi(0)\phi(1)$ , and

(81) 
$$\beta_{2k}^n = P^k \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{2k+1}^n = \begin{cases} P^k \phi(0) & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ P^k \phi(1) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Although in this example the condition  $|P| = |\phi(0)\phi(1)| < 1$ , that guarantees the existence of a unique causal PC solution to (79), can be easier obtained by Vecchia's block sequence approach or by direct solving the system, it seems likely that for some special PARMA systems (for example, if  $\phi_k(n)$  and  $\theta_k(n)$ have only few nonzero harmonics) phrasing the solution in terms of  $\tilde{\phi}_k(n)$  and  $\tilde{\theta}_k(n)$  may be advantageous.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bentarzi and M. Hallin, On the invertibility of periodic moving-average models, J. Time Ser. Anal. 15 (3) (1996), pp. 263-268.
- [2] P. J. Brockwell and R. A. Davis, Time Series: Theory and Methods, Springer, 1987.
- [3] N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, Linear Operators, Wiley, 1988.
- [4] W. A. Gardner and L. E. Franks, Characterization of cyclostationary random processes, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory IT-21 (1975), pp. 4-14.
- [5] E. G. Gladyshev, Periodically correlated random sequences, Soviet Math. 2 (1961), pp. 385-388.
- [6] Periodically and almost periodically correlated random processes with continuous time parameter, Theory Probab. Appl. 8 (1963), pp. 173–177.
- [7] H. L. Hurd, Periodically correlated processes with discontinuous correlation function, ibidem 19 (1974), pp. 834–838.
- [8] Stationarizing properties of random shifts, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 26 (1) (1974), pp. 203–211.
- [9] Representation of strongly harmonizable periodically correlated processes and their covariance, J. Multivariate Anal. 29 (1989), pp. 53-67.
- [10] and A. Makagon, Spectral analysis of completely regular periodically correlated processes, Center for Stochastic Processes, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, unpublished report.
- [11] A. Makagon and A. G. Miamee, On AR(1) models with periodic and almost periodic coefficients, preprint.

#### A. Makagon

- [12] R. B. Lund and I. V. Basawa, Recursive prediction and likelihood evaluation for periodic ARMA models, preprint.
- [13] A. Makagon, Induced stationary process and structure of locally square integrable periodically correlated processes, Studia Math. (to appear).
- [14] A. G. Miamee and H. Salehi, Continuous time periodically correlated processes; spectrum and prediction, Stochastic Process. Appl. 49 (1994), pp. 277-295.
- [15] Periodically correlated processes and their spectrum, in: Nonstationary Stochastic Processes and Their Applications, A. G. Miamee (Ed.), Word Scientific, 1991, pp. 147–164.
- [16] A. Makagon and H. Salehi, Notes on infinite dimensional stationary sequences, in: Probability Theory on Vector Spaces. IV, A. Weron (Ed.), Lecture Notes in Math. 1391, Springer, 1989, pp. 200-238.
- [17] Structure of periodically distributed stochastic sequences, in: Stochastic Processes. A Festschrift in Honour of Dopinath Kallianpur, S. Cambanis (Ed.), Springer, 1993, pp. 245–251.
- [18] P. Masani, Recent trends in multivariate prediction theory, in: Multivariate Analysis, P. R. Krishnaiah (Ed.), Proc. International Symp. Dayton, Ohio 1965, Academic Press 1966, pp. 351-382.
- [19] A. G. Miamee, Explicit formula for the best linear predictor of periodically correlated sequences, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 24 (1993), pp. 703-711.
- [20] and H. Salehi, On the prediction of periodically correlated stochastic process, in: Multivariate Analysis. V, P. R. Krishnaiah (Ed.), North-Holland, Amsterdam 1980, pp. 167-179.
- [21] M. Pagano, On periodic and multiple autoregression, Ann. Statist. 6 (1978), pp. 1310-1317.
- [22] H. Sakai, On the spectral density matrix of a periodic ARMA process, J. Time Ser. Anal. 12 (1991), pp. 72-82.
- [23] A. V. Vecchia, Periodic Autoregressive Moving Average (PARMA) modeling with applications to water resources, Water Resources Bulletin 21 (5) (1985), pp. 721-730.

Department of Mathematics, Hampton University Hampton, VA 23668, USA Phone: (757) 727-5200, Fax: (757) 727-5832 *E-mail*: andrzej.makagon@hamptonu.edu

> Received on 15.6.1999; revised version on 25.7.1999