

THE RATE OF CONVERGENCE  
IN THE PRECISE LARGE DEVIATION THEOREM

BY

ALEKSANDRAS BALTRŪNAS (VILNIUS)

*Abstract.* Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be i.i.d. random variables with a common d.f.  $F$ . Let  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and  $M_n = \max_{k \leq n} X_k$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . In this paper for a large class of subexponential distributions we estimate the rate of convergence

$$\Delta_n(t) = P(S_n > t) - P(M_n > t),$$

where  $n \geq 1$  and  $t \geq 0$ . We close this paper with some examples.

**2000 AMS Subject Classification:** Primary 60F10; Secondary 60E05.

**Key words and phrases:** Limit theorems, large deviations, subexponential distributions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be i.i.d. real random variables with a common distribution function (d.f.)  $F(t)$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , which has the mean  $\mathbf{E}X_1 = 0$ .

**DEFINITION.** We say that the d.f.  $F$  belongs to the class  $S$  of subexponential distributions if its tail  $\bar{F} := 1 - F$  satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{F}(x+y)/\bar{F}(x) = 1, \quad y \in \mathbf{R},$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \overline{F * \bar{F}}(t)/\bar{F}(t) = 2,$$

where, as usual,  $*$  denotes the Stieltjes convolution of  $F$  with itself.

The class  $S$  of subexponential distributions was introduced by Chistyakov [3] (in the case  $F(0) = 0$ ).

It is well known (see [3], Theorem 2) that if  $F(0) = 0$ , then (1.2) implies (1.1).

We denote by  $\mathcal{Q}$  a class of heavy tailed distributions for which the relation (1.1) is satisfied.

Let  $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$  and  $M_n = \max_{k \leq n} X_k$ ,  $n \in N$ .

By definition it follows that if  $F \in \mathcal{S}$ , then

$$\mathbf{P}(S_n > t) \sim \mathbf{P}(M_n > t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, we infer that if d.f.  $F$  is subexponential, then there exists a positive sequence  $t_n$ ,  $n \in N$ , such that

$$(1.3) \quad \mathbf{P}(S_n > t) \sim \mathbf{P}(M_n > t) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly in  $t \in (t_n, \infty)$ .

This means that in the investigation of precise large deviations for subexponential distributions the main problem becomes finding the intervals  $(t_n, \infty)$ .

Many papers are devoted (see [12] and the references contained therein) to search conditions for which the relation (1.3) holds as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly for  $t \in (t_n, \infty)$ . There are but a few papers that consider the rate of convergence in the relation (1.3). Perhaps the most important paper among them is [2] in which Borovkov has established the rate of convergence in a theorem of large deviations for a class of subexponential distributions, the so-called semiexponential distributions. In the present paper we shall investigate the rate of convergence in (1.3) for one rather wide subclass of subexponential distributions.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let us define the hazard function  $R_F$  of  $F$  by

$$R_F(t) = -\log \bar{F}(t), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Assume that there exists a non-negative function  $q_F: \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$R_F(t) = R_F(0) + \int_0^t q_F(u) du, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}^+.$$

The function  $q_F$  is called the *hazard rate* of  $F_0 = F \cdot U_0$ , where  $U_0$  is the d.f. concentrated at 0.

It is well known (see [7]) that if for some  $F_0 \in \mathcal{Q}$  the hazard rate  $q_F$  or  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} q_F(t)$  does not exist, one can always construct a d.f.  $H_0$  such that  $\bar{H}_0(t) \sim \bar{F}_0(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $q_H(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $q_H$  is the hazard rate of  $H_0$ .

Let us define

$$\alpha = \sup \{k: \mathbf{E}(X_1^k, X_1 > 0) < \infty\},$$

$$\beta = \sup \{k: \mathbf{E}(|X_1|^k, X_1 < 0) < \infty\}, \quad \gamma = \min(\alpha, \beta).$$

Moreover, let us define the hazard ratio index

$$r := \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} tq_F(t)/R_F(t).$$

LEMMA 2.1. Assume that  $\gamma > 2$  and  $EX_1 = 0$ . Then for  $z > 0$  we have

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{1/z} e^{uz} dF(u) - 1 \right| < C_0 z^2.$$

Proof. We note that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{1/z} e^{uz} dF(u) - 1 = \int_{-\infty}^{1/z} (e^{uz} - 1 - zu) dF(u) - \bar{F}(1/z) + z \int_{-\infty}^{1/z} udF(u).$$

Since  $EX_1 = 0$ , we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{1/z} udF(u) = - \int_{1/z}^{\infty} udF(u).$$

Hence

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{1/z} e^{uz} dF(u) - 1 \right| \leq z^2 \int_{-\infty}^{1/z} u^2 dF(u) + z \int_{1/z}^{\infty} udF(u) + \bar{F}(1/z) \leq 5z^2 EX_1^2.$$

The proof is complete.

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we study the rate of convergence in (1.3). For further use, let us define

$$\Delta_n(t) = P(S_n > t) - P(M_n > t),$$

where  $n \in N$  and  $t \geq 0$ .

Put  $s := s(t) = R_F(t)/t$ ,  $t > 0$ .

We have

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta_n(t) = P(S_n > t, M_n > t) - P(M_n > t) + P(S_n > t, M_n \leq t) := L_1 + L_2.$$

Our first preliminary result is used to estimate the term  $L_1$  in (3.1).

LEMMA 3.1. If  $z > 0$  is small enough, then

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \geq L_1 \geq -P(M_n > t) \left( \int_t^{t+1/z} q_F(u) du + P(|S_n| \geq 1/z) + P(X_1 > t) \right).$$

Proof. Let us put  $A_n^k = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{k\}$  and  $S_n^k = \sum_{i \in A_n^k} X_i$ ,  $n \in N$ . From (3.1) it follows that

$$P(M_n > t) \geq \sum_{k=1}^n P(S_n > t, M_n > t, M_n = X_k)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{-1/z}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(X_k > \max(t-u, t)) d\mathbf{P}(S_n^k < u) \\
&\geq \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{P}(X_k > t+1/z) \mathbf{P}(S_n^k \geq -1/z) \\
&\geq \mathbf{P}(M_n > t+1/z) - \mathbf{P}(M_n > t) \mathbf{P}(|S_n| \geq 1/z) - \mathbf{P}(M_n > t) \mathbf{P}(X_1 > t).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $z > 0$  is small enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{P}(M_n > t) - \mathbf{P}(M_n > t+1/z) \\
&\leq \mathbf{P}(M_n > t) \left(1 - \exp\left(-\int_t^{t+1/z} q_F(u) du\right)\right) \leq \mathbf{P}(M_n > t) \int_t^{t+1/z} q_F(u) du.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

Let  $X_{1:n} \leq X_{2:n} \leq \dots \leq X_{n-1:n} \leq X_{n:n} = M_n$  denote the order statistics of the sample.

Define

$$b(r) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } r = 0, \\ 4/(1-r) & \text{if } r \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Our main result is the following

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Assume that*

- (i)  $\mathbf{E}X_1 = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} tq_F(t) > 2$ ;
- (iii)  $r < 1$ ;
- (iv)  $\beta > 2$ ,  $\alpha > b(r)$ .

*Then for  $n$  and  $t$  large enough*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad &-\mathbf{P}(M_n > t)(c_0 n^{1-\gamma/2} + c_1 \sqrt{n \log ns}) \leq \Delta_n(t) \\
&\leq \mathbf{P}(M_n > t)(\exp(c^* ns^2)/t^2 + C_1 \sqrt{n \log ns} + C_2 ns^2 + C_3 n^{1-\gamma/2}),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $c_0 > 0$ ,  $c_1 > 0$ ,  $c^* > 0$ ,  $C_1 > 0$ ,  $C_2 > 0$ ,  $C_3 > 0$  are some constants.

**Remarks. 1.** Let  $t_n, n \in \mathbf{N}$ , be a sequence such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n \log ns}(t_n) = 0.$$

From (3.3) it follows that under the conditions of Theorem 3.2 we have

$$\Delta_n(t) = o(1) \mathbf{P}(M_n > t) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly with respect to  $t \in (t_n, \infty)$ .

2. Moreover, we can see that in this large deviation result the assumption of the concavity of a hazard function  $R_F$  can be removed.

For the proof of Theorem 3.2 we first need the next lemma.

LEMMA 3.3. Assume that

$$r := \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} tq_F(t)/R_F(t) < 1.$$

Then

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{1/s}^t \exp(su) dF(u) \leq C < \infty.$$

Proof. Using the partial integration, we have

$$\int_{1/s}^t \exp(su) dF(u) \leq s \int_{1/s}^t \exp(su) \bar{F}(u) du + e\bar{F}(1/s) := I + II.$$

Let us put  $r_\epsilon = r + \epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon$  is small enough and  $r_\epsilon < 1$ . From the relation

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} tq_F(t)/R_F(t) < 1$$

it follows that for  $u$  large enough

$$(R_F(u)/u)' = (uq_F(u) - R_F(u))/u^2 < -(1 - r_\epsilon)R_F(u)/u^2 < 0,$$

so that  $R_F(t)/t$  is non-increasing. Then for  $u$  such that  $1/s \leq u \leq t$  we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} su - R_F(u) &= \frac{R_F(t)u}{t} - R_F(u) \\ &\leq -(1 - r_\epsilon)u \int_u^t (R_F(v)/v^2) dv \leq -(1 - r_\epsilon) \frac{R_F(t)}{t^2} u(t - u). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from (3.5) it follows that

$$I = s \int_{1/s}^t \exp(su - R_F(u)) du \leq 4s/(1 - r_\epsilon)s.$$

Moreover, we have

$$II < e.$$

The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let us define  $y$  as follows:

$$y = \max \left\{ u > 0: \frac{2 \log u}{R_F(u)} \leq (1 - r_\epsilon) \frac{t - u}{t} \right\}.$$

It is known that if  $r = 0$ , then  $y > \delta t$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . In the case  $r \neq 0$  we can see that  $y > (1/2 + \delta_0)t$  for some  $\delta_0 > 0$ .

Let  $\xi$  be the number of summands  $X_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , in  $S_n$  such that  $X_k \geq y$ . Since the random variable  $\xi$  has the Bernoulli distribution with parameters  $n$  and  $\bar{F}(y)$ , we may write

$$L_2 = \mathbf{P}(S_n > t, M_n \leq t) = \mathbf{P}(S_n > t, \xi = 0) + \mathbf{P}(S_n > t, \xi = 1, M_n \leq t) \\ + \mathbf{P}(S_n > t, \xi \geq 2, M_n \leq t) := \text{I} + \text{II} + \text{III}.$$

We have

$$\text{III} \leq \mathbf{P}(X_{n-1:n} > y, M_n \leq t) = O(1) \mathbf{P}^2(M_n > y) \\ = O(1) \mathbf{P}(M_n > t) n \exp(-2R_F(y) + R_F(t)).$$

Under our assumptions we obtain

$$R_F(t) - R_F(y) \leq r_\varepsilon s(y)(t - y),$$

where  $r_\varepsilon$  is the same as in Lemma 3.3. Hence

$$R_F(t) - 2R_F(y) \leq -R_F(y) + r_\varepsilon s(y)(t - y) = -R_F(y) \left(1 - r_\varepsilon \frac{t - y}{y}\right).$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is an arbitrarily small positive quantity, in the case  $r = 0$  we obtain

$$R_F(t) - 2R_F(y) \leq -R_F(y) + r_\varepsilon s(y)(t - y) \\ \leq -R_F(\delta t)(1 - \varepsilon) \leq -2\log t + O(1).$$

In the case  $r \neq 0$  we have

$$R_F(t) - 2R_F(y) \leq -R_F(y) + r_\varepsilon s(y)(t - y) = -R_F(y) \left(1 - r_\varepsilon \frac{t - y}{y}\right) \\ \leq -R_F(t/2)(1 - r) \leq -2\log t + O(1).$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\text{III} = O(1) \mathbf{P}(M_n > t) n/t^2 = o(1) \mathbf{P}(M_n > t) n s^2.$$

Next we consider I. Let us define

$$V_k = \begin{cases} X_k & \text{for } X_k < y, \\ 0 & \text{for } X_k \geq y, \end{cases} \quad U_n = \sum_{k=1}^n V_k.$$

Let  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with common d.f.  $F_s$  which equals

$$F_s(u) = \min \left\{ 1, \left( \int_{-\infty}^u \exp(sv) dF(v) \right) \left( \int_{-\infty}^y \exp(sv) dF(v) \right)^{-1} \right\}.$$

So, to estimate the term I, we use the Cramer equality (see e.g. [9]): for any  $u > 0$  we have

$$\mathbf{P}(S_n > u, \xi = 0) = (\mathbf{E}(\exp(sV_1)))^n \int_u^\infty e^{-sv} d\mathbf{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i < v\right).$$

Hence

$$(3.6) \quad \mathbf{P}(S_n > u, \xi = 0) \leq \exp(-su) (\mathbf{E}(\exp(sV_1)))^n \mathbf{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j \geq u\right).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \exp(sV_1) &= \left( \int_{-\infty}^{1/s} + \int_{1/s}^y \right) \exp(su) dF(u) \\ &\leq J_1 + s \int_{1/s}^y \exp(su - R_F(u)) du := J_1 + sJ_2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the condition  $\gamma > 2$ , from Lemma 2.1 we get

$$J_1 = 1 + O(1)s^2.$$

Now we consider  $J_2$ . We have

$$J_2 \leq s^2 \int_{1/s}^y u^2 \exp(su - R_F(u)) du.$$

Let us define the function  $Q_1$  as follows:

$$Q_1(t) = R_F(t) - 2\log t, \quad t \geq t_1 \geq 1.$$

Since  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} tq_F(t) > 2$ , we infer that  $Q_1$  is a hazard function. Let us put

$$q_1(t) = \frac{d}{dt} Q_1(t), \quad t \geq t_1 \geq 1.$$

We can show that under our assumptions

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{tq_1(t)}{Q_1(t)} &\leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{tq(t) - 2}{R_F(t) - 2\log t} \\ &\leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_e(R_F(t) - 2\log t) + 2(r_e \log t - 1)}{R_F(t) - 2\log t} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{R_F(t)}{t} &= \frac{R_F(y) - 2\log y}{y} + \frac{2\log y}{y} + \frac{R_F(t)}{t} - \frac{R_F(y)}{y} \\ &\leq s_1(y) + \frac{2\log y}{y} - (1 - r_e) \frac{R_F(y)}{yt} (t - y) \leq s_1(y), \end{aligned}$$

where  $s_1 := s_1(y) = Q_1(y)/y$ . Therefore, from Lemma 3.3 it follows that

$$(3.7) \quad s \int_{1/s}^y u^2 \exp(su - R_F(u)) du \leq s_1 \int_{1/s}^y \exp(s_1 u - Q_1(u)) du < \infty.$$

From (3.6) it follows that under our assumptions

$$(3.8) \quad \mathbf{P}(S_n \geq u, \xi = 0) \leq \exp(c^* ns^2) \exp(-su) \mathbf{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j \geq u\right).$$

We have

$$\mathbf{E}\delta_1^2 \leq \left(\mathbf{E}(\exp(sV_1))\right)^{-1} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{1/s} u^2 e^{su} dF(u) + \int_{1/s}^y u^2 e^{su} dF(u) \right).$$

Since  $\gamma > 2$ , we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^{1/s} u^2 e^{su} dF(u) < \infty.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{1/s}^y u^2 e^{su} dF(u) &\leq es^{-2} \bar{F}(1/s) + s \int_{1/s}^y u^2 e^{su} \bar{F}(u) du + 2 \int_{1/s}^y u e^{su} \bar{F}(u) du \\ &\leq es^{-2} \bar{F}(1/s) + s \int_{1/s}^y u^2 e^{su} \bar{F}(u) du + 2s \int_{1/s}^y u^2 e^{su} \bar{F}(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.7), we obtain

$$\int_{1/s}^y u^2 e^{su} dF(u) < \infty.$$

Hence  $\mathbf{E}\delta_1^2 < \infty$ . From this it follows that

$$(3.9) \quad \mathbf{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i > t\right) \leq n \mathbf{E}\delta_1^2 / t^2 = O(1) n / t^2.$$

Application of (3.9) now shows that

$$I = O(1) \mathbf{P}(M_n > t) \exp(c^* ns^2) / t^2.$$

To complete the proof, it remains to estimate II. For  $\sqrt{n \log ns} < 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{II} &= \mathbf{P}(S_n > t, t \geq M_n > y, X_{n-1:n} \leq y) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(S_n > t, t - 1/s \geq M_n > y, X_{n-1:n} \leq y) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{P}(S_n > t, t - \sqrt{n \log n} \geq M_n > t - 1/s, X_{n-1:n} \leq y) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{P}(S_n > t, t \geq M_n > t - \sqrt{n \log n}, X_{n-1:n} \leq y) := A + B + C. \end{aligned}$$



Using (3.4), (3.8) and (3.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A &= O(1)n \int_y^{t-1/s} \mathbf{P}(S_{n-1} \geq t-u, \max_{k \leq n-1} X_k < y) dF(u) \\ &= O(1)n \int_y^{t-1/s} \mathbf{P}(\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i > t-u) \exp(-s(t-u)) dF(u) \\ &= O(1)n \mathbf{P}(\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \geq 1/s) \exp(-st) \int_y^{t-1/s} \exp(su) dF(u) \\ &= O(1)\mathbf{P}(M_n > t) \mathbf{P}(\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \geq 1/s) = O(1)\mathbf{P}(M_n > t) ns^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we use the next result of [5]: let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables such that  $\mathbf{E}Y_1 = 0, \mathbf{E}|Y_1|^\beta < \infty$ , where  $\beta \geq 2$ . Let us put  $B_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{E}Y_k^2, M_{\beta,n} = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{E}|Y_k|^\beta$ . Then

$$\mathbf{P}(\sum_{k=1}^n Y_k \geq x) \leq (1 + 2/\beta)^\beta M_{\beta,n} x^{-\beta} + \exp(-c_0 x^2 B_n^{-1}).$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B &\leq n \int_{t-1/s}^{t-\sqrt{n \log n}} \mathbf{P}(S_{n-1} \geq t-u, \max_{k \leq n-1} X_k < y) dF(u) \\ &= O(1)n \bar{F}(t) \mathbf{P}(S_{n-1} \geq \sqrt{n \log n}) \\ &= O(1)\mathbf{P}(M_n > t) \mathbf{P}(S_{n-1} \geq \sqrt{n \log n}) = O(1)\mathbf{P}(M_n > t) n^{1-\gamma/2}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $C$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \mathbf{P}(S_n > t, t \geq M_n > t - \sqrt{n \log n}, X_{n-1:n} \leq y) = O(1)\mathbf{P}(t \geq M_n > t - \sqrt{n \log n}) \\ &= O(1)(\mathbf{P}(M_n > t - \sqrt{n \log n}) - \mathbf{P}(M_n > t)) \\ &= O(1)\mathbf{P}(M_n > t) (\exp(\int_{t-\sqrt{n \log n}}^t q_F(u) du) - 1) \\ &= O(1)\mathbf{P}(M_n > t) (\int_{t-\sqrt{n \log n}}^t q_F(u) du) = O(1)\mathbf{P}(M_n > t) \sqrt{n \log ns}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\sqrt{n \log ns} \geq 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &= \mathbf{P}(S_n > t, t \geq M_n > y, X_{n-1:n} \leq y) \\ &= O(1)n \int_y^t \mathbf{P}(S_{n-1} \geq t-u, \max_{k \leq n-1} X_k < y) dF(u) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= O(1)n \int_y^t \mathbf{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i > t-u\right) \exp(s-(t-u)) dF(u) \\
&= O(1)n \exp(-st) \int_y^t \exp(su) dF(u) = O(1)\mathbf{P}(M_n > t).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\text{II} = O(1)\mathbf{P}(M_n > t)(\sqrt{n \log ns} + ns^2 + n^{1-\gamma/2}).$$

The lower bound of  $\Delta_n(t)$  follows from Lemma 3.1 with  $z = 1/\sqrt{n \log n}$ . Thus Theorem 3.2 is proved.

#### 4. EXAMPLE

We say that d.f.  $F$  belongs to the class  $\mathfrak{D}$  of dominated-variation distributions if its tail  $\bar{F}$  satisfies

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{F}(t)/\bar{F}(2t) < \infty.$$

It follows from this definition that the class of distributions with regularly varying right tails is contained in  $\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{L}$ .

It is well known (see e.g. [6]) that if  $F \in \mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{L}$ , then  $F \in \mathfrak{S}$ .

It is also known ([7], Theorem 3.3) that if  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} tq(t) < \infty$ , then  $F \in \mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{L}$ . On the other hand, if the hazard rate  $q$  is non-increasing, then the statements  $F \in \mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{L}$  and  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} tq(t) < \infty$  are equivalent (see [7], Corollary 3.4).

The next result is true.

**COROLLARY 4.1.** Assume that

- (i)  $\mathbf{E}X_1 = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $A := \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} tq_F(t) < \infty$ ;
- (iii)  $\gamma > 2$ .

Then for some  $c_0 > 0$ ,  $c^* > 0$ ,  $C_1 > 0$ ,  $C_2 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
-\mathbf{P}(M_n > t)(c_0 n^{1-\gamma/2} + A\sqrt{n \log n/t}) &\leq \Delta_n(t) \\
&\leq \mathbf{P}(M_n > t)(\exp(c^* ns^2)/t^2 + C_1 n^{1-\gamma/2} + C_2 \sqrt{n \log n/t}).
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** We restrict ourselves only to indicating the changes which are necessary to make in the proof of Theorem 3.2. The basic change is in the estimates of the term II.

For  $t > \sqrt{n \log n}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{II} &= \mathbf{P}(S_n > t, t \geq M_n > y, X_{n-1:n} \leq y) \\
&= \mathbf{P}(S_n > t, t - \sqrt{n \log n} \geq M_n > y, X_{n-1:n} \leq y) \\
&\quad + \mathbf{P}(S_n > t, t \geq M_n > t - \sqrt{n \log n}, X_{n-1:n} \leq y) := A + B.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $t$  large enough we have  $y > \delta t$ , where  $\delta > 0$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A &\leq n \int_y^{t-\sqrt{n \log n}} \mathbf{P}(S_{n-1} \geq t-u, \max_{k \leq n-1} X_k < y) dF(u) \\ &= O(1) n \bar{F}(t) \mathbf{P}(S_{n-1} \geq \sqrt{n \log n}) \\ &= O(1) \mathbf{P}(M_n > t) \mathbf{P}(S_{n-1} \geq \sqrt{n \log n}) = O(1) \mathbf{P}(M_n > t) n^{1-\gamma/2}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $t > \sqrt{n \log n}$  and  $n$  large enough we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(S_n > t, t \geq M_n > t - \sqrt{n \log n}, X_{n-1:n} \leq y) &= O(1) \mathbf{P}(t \geq M_n > t - \sqrt{n \log n}) \\ &= O(1) (\mathbf{P}(M_n > t - \sqrt{n \log n}) - \mathbf{P}(M_n > t)) \\ &= O(1) \mathbf{P}(M_n > t) \left( \exp \left( \int_{t-\sqrt{n \log n}}^t q_F(u) du \right) - 1 \right) \\ &= O(1) \mathbf{P}(M_n > t) \left( (1 - \sqrt{n \log n}/t)^{-A} - 1 \right) = O(1) \mathbf{P}(M_n > t) \sqrt{n \log n}/t. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

Remark. Let  $t_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a sequence such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n R_F(t_n)} / t_n \leq \varepsilon (dc^*)^{-1/2} < \infty,$$

where  $c^*$  is the same as in Corollary 4.1 and  $\infty > d > \alpha$ . Then we have

$$\exp(c^* n s^2) / t^2 \leq t / t^2 = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly with respect to  $t \in (t_n, \infty)$ . Hence under the conditions of Corollary 4.1 we obtain

$$\Delta_n(t) = o(1) \mathbf{P}(M_n > t) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly with respect to  $t \in (t_n, \infty)$ .

### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Baltrūnas, *On the asymptotics of one-sided large deviation probabilities*, Lithuanian Math. J. 35 (1995), pp. 11–17.
- [2] A. A. Borovkov, *Large deviation probabilities for random walk with semiexponential distributions* (in Russian), Sibirsk. Math. Zh. 41 (6) (2000), pp. 1290–1324.
- [3] V. P. Chistyakov, *A theorem on sums of independent positive random variables and its applications to branching random processes*, Theory Probab. Appl. 9 (1964), pp. 640–648 (= English translation of: Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen. 9 (1964), pp. 710–718).
- [4] D. B. H. Cline and T. Hsing, *Large deviation probabilities for sums and maxima of random variables with heavy or subexponential tails*, preprint, Texas A & M University, 1991.

- 
- [5] D. H. Fuc and S. V. Nagaev, *Probability inequalities for the sums of independent random variables* (in Russian), *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.* 16 (1971), pp. 660–675.
- [6] C. M. Goldie, *Subexponential distributions and dominated-variation tail*, *J. Appl. Probab.* 15 (1978), pp. 440–442.
- [7] C. Klüppelberg, *Subexponential distributions and integrated tails*, *J. Appl. Probab.* 25 (1988), pp. 132–141.
- [8] T. Mikosch and A. V. Nagaev, *Large deviations of heavy-tailed sums with applications in insurance*, *Extremes* 1 (1998), pp. 81–110.
- [9] A. V. Nagaev, *On a property of sums of independent random variables* (in Russian), *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.* 22 (1977), pp. 335–346.
- [10] S. V. Nagaev, *On the asymptotic behaviour of one-sided large deviation probabilities* (in Russian), *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.* 26 (1981), pp. 369–372.
- [11] I. F. Pinelis, *Asymptotic equivalence of the probabilities of large deviations for sums and maxima of independent random variables* (in Russian): *Limit theorems of the probability theory*, *Trudy Inst. Mat. (Novosibirsk)* 5 (1985), pp. 144–173.
- [12] L. V. Rozovskii, *Probabilities of large deviations on the whole axis* (in Russian), *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.* 38 (1993), pp. 79–109.

Institute of Mathematics and Informatics  
Akademijos 4  
2021 Vilnius, Lithuania  
E-mail: baltrunas@takas.lt

Received on 11.12.2001;  
revised version on 14.10.2002

---