

INTERPOLATION ERROR OPERATOR
FOR HILBERT SPACE VALUED STATIONARY
STOCHASTIC PROCESSES

BY

ANDRZEJ MAKAGON (WROCLAW)

Abstract. In the paper a characterization of interpolation error operator for Hilbert space valued stationary stochastic processes is obtained.

1. Introduction. Let Z be the set of integers and let $X_n = (X_n^1, \dots, X_n^q)$, $n \in Z$, be a q -variate stationary stochastic process over Z . Suppose that all random variables X_n , $n \neq 0$, are known. Then the linear predictor of X_0 is given by the formula

$$\hat{X}_0 = P_{M_0(X)} X_0,$$

where $M_0 \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \overline{\text{sp}} \{X_n^i: n \neq 0, i = 1, \dots, q\}$, P_M denotes the orthogonal projection operator onto M , and $P_M(X^1, \dots, X^q) = (P_M X^1, \dots, P_M X^q)$. An important problem in the prediction theory of such processes is to obtain a formula for the interpolation error matrix

$$\Sigma_0 \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} [(X_0^i - \hat{X}_0^i, X_0^j - \hat{X}_0^j)]_{i,j=1}^q.$$

A complete solution of this problem for $q = 1$ was given by A. N. Kolmogorov in 1941. In 1960 Masani [4] extended Kolmogorov's result to the case of minimal full rank q -variate processes and later (1967) Salehi [8] proved that for any q -variate minimal process ($q < \infty$) we have

$$\Sigma_0 = \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P_J \left(\frac{dF^a}{dt} \right)^\#(t) P_J dt \right]^\#,$$

where F^a is the absolutely continuous part of the spectral measure of the

process and J is a range of Σ_0 . Finally, in 1976, Makagon and Weron [3] obtained a full description of the space $J = \text{range } \Sigma_0$.

In the present paper a characterization of the interpolation error operator for Hilbert space valued stationary processes is given.

2. Preliminaries. Let H and K be complex Hilbert spaces. Unless otherwise stated, the following conventions and notation will remain fixed in this paper:

$L(H, K)$ – the set of all linear and continuous operators from H into K ;
 $L^+(H)$ – the set of all selfadjoint operators $T \in L(H, H)$ such that $(Tx, x) \geq 0$ for every $x \in H$; we write $T \geq S$ if $T - S \in L^+(H)$, $T, S \in L(H, H)$;
 $\mathcal{N}(T)$ – the null space of an operator T , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{N}(T) = \{x \in H: Tx = 0\}, \quad T \in L(H, K);$$

$\mathcal{R}(T)$ – the range of T , i.e., $\mathcal{R}(T) = \{y \in K: y = Tx, x \in H\}$;
 $T^\#$ – the generalized inverse operator of an operator $T \in L(H, K)$, i.e., a linear mapping from $\mathcal{R}(T)$ onto $\mathcal{N}(T)^\perp$ such that $T^\# y = x$ if and only if $Tx = y$ and $x \in \mathcal{N}(T)^\perp$ (we observe that $T^\#$ is closed because $T^\# = (T|_{\mathcal{N}(T)^\perp})^{-1}$);

P_M – the orthogonal projection operator onto M ;
 M^\perp – the orthogonal complement of M ;
 $\text{sp } L$ – the minimal closed linear subspace containing the set L ;
 G – a discrete Abelian group;
 Γ – the dual group of G ;
 $\langle g, \gamma \rangle$ – the value of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ at a point $g \in G$;
 $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ – the Borel σ -algebra of Γ ;
 κ – the normed Haar measure of Γ ;
 $L^2(\Gamma, \kappa, K)$ – the Hilbert space of all K -valued κ -square (Bochner) integrable functions on Γ ;
 \mathbb{C} – the set of all complex numbers.

2.1. Definition. A function $X = \{X_g: g \in G\}$ from G into $L(H, K)$ is said to be an H -valued stationary stochastic process (SSP) if its correlation $X_h^* X_g = K(g-h)$ depends only on $g-h$.

If X is an H -valued SSP, then there exists a unique Borel regular $L^+(H)$ -valued measure (countably additive in the weak operator topology) such that for every $x \in H$

$$(K(g)x, x) = \int_{\Gamma} \langle g, \gamma \rangle (F(d\gamma)x, x).$$

F is called the spectral measure of the process X . By the spectral density of the process X we mean an $L^+(H)$ -valued function $F'(\cdot)$ on Γ such that, for every $x \in H$, $(F'(\cdot)x, x)$ is κ -integrable and

$$(F(\Delta)x, x) = \int_{\Delta} (F'(\gamma)x, x)\kappa(d\gamma).$$

If H is separable and F' exists, then it is unique up to the κ -a.e. equality.

2.2. LEMMA. *Let H be a separable Hilbert space and let F' be the spectral density of an H -valued SSP X . Then*

- (1) $[F'(\cdot)]^{1/2} x \in L^2(\Gamma, \kappa, H)$ for every $x \in H$;
- (2) $\text{sp} \{ \langle g, \cdot \rangle F'(\cdot)^{1/2} x : g \in G, x \in H \} = \{ f \in L^2(\Gamma, \kappa, H) : f(\gamma) \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(F'(\gamma))} \kappa\text{-a.e.} \}$;
- (3) for every κ -measurable function $f : \Gamma \rightarrow H$ such that $f(\gamma) \in \mathcal{R}(F'(\gamma)^{1/2}) \kappa$ -a.e. the function $\varphi(\gamma) = [F'(\gamma)^{1/2}]^\# f(\gamma)$ is κ -measurable.

Proof. The first part follows from the definition of F' and the construction of an operator square root (see, e.g., [5], p. 183).

To prove (2) it suffices to show that the right-hand side (RHS) of (2) is included in the left one (LHS) (the converse inclusion is trivial). Suppose that $f \in \text{RHS}$ and $f \perp \text{LHS}$ and let $\{x_k : k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a countable dense set in H . Then for every $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $g \in G$ we have

$$\int_{\Gamma} \langle g, \gamma \rangle (F'(\gamma)^{1/2} x_k, f(\gamma)) \kappa(d\gamma) = 0.$$

Hence there exists a set $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ such that $\kappa(\Delta) = 0$ and

$$f(\gamma) \perp \overline{\mathcal{R}(F'(\gamma)^{1/2})} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(F'(\gamma))} \quad \text{for every } \gamma \notin \Delta.$$

Thus $f = 0$ κ -a.e. since $f \in \text{RHS}$.

Part (3) is a special case of Lemma 2.7 (2) in [2].

For any H -valued SSP X and $A \subset G$ we put

$$M_A(X) = \overline{\text{sp} \{ X_g x : x \in H, g \in A \}}, \quad M(X) = M_G(X), \\ N_A(X) = M(X) \ominus M_A(X).$$

The following lemma was observed by Rosanov (see [7]):

2.3. LEMMA. *Let H be separable and let X be an H -valued SSP. Suppose that the spectral density F' of the process X exists. Then the mapping V defined by the formula*

$$V(X_g x)(\cdot) = \langle g, \cdot \rangle F'(\cdot)^{1/2} x, \quad x \in H, g \in G,$$

extends to an isometry from $M(X)$ onto

$$L(F) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \{ f \in L^2(\Gamma, \kappa, H) : f(\gamma) \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(F'(\gamma))} \kappa\text{-a.e.} \}.$$

Moreover,

$$V(N_{G-\{0\}}(X)) = \{ f \in L(F) : F'(\gamma)^{1/2} f(\gamma) = \text{const } \kappa\text{-a.e.} \}.$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.2 it follows that $Y = \{ Y_g : g \in G \}$, where

$$(Y_g x)(\cdot) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \langle g, \cdot \rangle F'(\cdot)^{1/2} x, \quad Y_g \in L(H, L^2(\Gamma, \kappa, H)),$$

is an H -valued SSP with the same spectral measure as the process X . Moreover, $M(Y) = L(F)$. A simple calculation shows that the mapping V defined by $V(X_g x) = Y_g x$ extends linearly to an isometry from $M(X)$ onto $M(Y)$. To prove the remaining part of the lemma it suffices to observe that $f \in V(N_{G-\{0\}}(X))$ if and only if

$$\int \overline{\langle g, \gamma \rangle} (F'(\gamma)^{1/2} f(\gamma), x) \kappa(d\gamma) = (f, V(X_g x)) = (V^{-1} f, X_g x) = 0$$

for every $g \in G - \{0\}$ and $x \in H$. The last equality holds if and only if $F'(\gamma)^{1/2} f(\gamma) = \text{const } \kappa$ -a.e.

3. The main theorem. Let X be an H -valued SSP over an Abelian group G , $A \subset G$, and let g be a fixed element of G . Then the predictor X_g^A of X_g and the prediction error operator Σ_g^A are defined by the following formulas:

$$X_g^A = P_{M_A(X)} X_g \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_g^A = (X_g - X_g^A)^*(X_g - X_g^A).$$

First, we describe the prediction error operator using the Yaglom idea (cf. [9], p. 175). For convenience we introduce the following definition:

3.1. Definition. Let \mathcal{A} be a subset of $L^+(H)$. An operator $T \in L^+(H)$ is said to be the *maximal element* of \mathcal{A} (we write $T = \max \mathcal{A}$) if $T \in \mathcal{A}$ and $T \geq S$ for every $S \in \mathcal{A}$.

3.2. LEMMA. Suppose that X is an H -valued SSP over an Abelian group G , $A \subset G$, and $g \in G$. Let \mathcal{A}_g^A be the set of all operators $T \in L(H, K)$ such that

- (i) $\mathcal{R}(T) \subset N_A(X)$,
- (ii) $T^* T = X_g^* T$

and let

$$\mathcal{D}_g^A \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \{T^* T : T \in \mathcal{A}_g^A\}.$$

Then $\Sigma_g^A = \max \mathcal{D}_g^A$. Moreover, if $\Sigma_g^A = T^* T$ and $T \in \mathcal{A}_g^A$, then $T = X_g - X_g^A$.

Proof. First, we note that $Y_g^A \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} X_g - X_g^A$ satisfies (i) and (ii). Hence $\Sigma_g^A \in \mathcal{D}_g^A$. Let now $T \in \mathcal{A}_g^A$. Since $(Y_g^A)^* T = X_g^* T = T^* T$, we have

$$\Sigma_g^A = (Y_g^A)^* Y_g^A = ((Y_g^A - T) + T)^* ((Y_g^A - T) + T) = (Y_g^A - T)^* (Y_g^A - T) + T^* T \geq T^* T.$$

Thus Σ_g^A is the maximal element of \mathcal{D}_g^A . Moreover, $\Sigma_g^A = T^* T$ if and only if $T = Y_g^A$.

We will be interested only in the case whence G is a discrete Abelian group, $A = G - \{0\}$, and $g = 0$. For simplicity we write

$$\hat{X}_0 = X_0^{G-\{0\}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_0 = \Sigma_0^{G-\{0\}}.$$

3.3. LEMMA. Suppose that H is separable, X is an H -valued SSP, and that F' is its spectral density. Then $S \in \mathcal{D}_0^{G-(0)}$ (see Lemma 2.2) if and only if $S \in L(H, H)$ and for every $x \in H$:

- (i) $Sx \in \mathcal{R}(F'(\gamma)^{1/2}) \quad \kappa$ -a.e.,
- (ii) $\int_{\Gamma} ([F'(\gamma)^{\#}]^{1/2} Sx, [F'(\gamma)^{1/2}]^{\#} Sx) \kappa(d\gamma) = (Sx, x)$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{D}_0 denote the set of all operators $S \in L(H, H)$ for which (i) and (ii) are satisfied. First we show that $\mathcal{D}_0^{G-(0)} \subset \mathcal{D}_0$. Let V denote the isomorphism defined in Lemma 2.3. Suppose that $S = T^*T$, where $T \in \mathcal{A}_0^{G-(0)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (Sx, y) &= (T^*Tx, y) = (X_0^*Tx, y) = (VTx, VX_0y) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} ((VTx)(\gamma), F'(\gamma)^{1/2}y) \kappa(d\gamma) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} (F'(\gamma)^{1/2}(VTx)(\gamma), y) \kappa(d\gamma) \\ &= (F'(\gamma)^{1/2}(VTx)(\gamma), y) \quad \kappa\text{-a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

since $VTx \in V(N_{G-(0)}(X))$ and, by 2.3, $F'(\gamma)^{1/2}(VTx)(\gamma) = \text{const}$ κ -a.e. Thus $Sx = F'(\gamma)^{1/2}(VTx)(\gamma)$ κ -a.e. and (i) is satisfied. From the above equation it follows that

$$(VTx)(\gamma) = [F'(\gamma)^{1/2}]^{\#} Sx \quad \kappa\text{-a.e.}$$

Therefore

$$(Sx, y) = (VTx, VTy) = \int_{\Gamma} ([F'(\gamma)^{1/2}]^{\#} Sx, [F'(\gamma)^{1/2}]^{\#} Sy) \kappa(d\gamma).$$

It remains to prove that $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}_0^{G-(0)}$. Let $S \in \mathcal{D}_0$. Then $S \geq 0$ and from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.2 we deduce that $[F'(\gamma)^{1/2}]^{\#} Sx$ is a function defined κ -a.e. and belonging to $L(F)$ for every $x \in H$ (for the definition of $L(F)$ see Lemma 2.3). Let us note that by the Closed Graph Theorem a mapping R defined by the formula

$$H \ni x \xrightarrow{R} [F'(\cdot)^{1/2}]^{\#} Sx \in L^2(\Gamma, \kappa, H)$$

is continuous. In fact, let $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ in H and $[F'(\cdot)^{1/2}]^{\#} Sx_n \rightarrow g(\cdot)$ in $L^2(\Gamma, \kappa, H)$. Without loss of generality one can assume that

$$[F'(\gamma)^{1/2}]^{\#} Sx_n \rightarrow g(\gamma) \quad \kappa\text{-a.e.}$$

By (i) there exists a set $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ of κ -measure zero such that $Sx_n \in \mathcal{R}(F'(\gamma)^{1/2})$ for all $n = 0, 1, \dots$ and

$$g(\gamma) = \lim [F'(\gamma)^{1/2}]^{\#} Sx_n$$

provided $\gamma \notin \Delta$. Since $Sx_n \rightarrow Sx_0$ and $[F'(\gamma)^{1/2}]^\#$ is a closed operator for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we obtain $g(\gamma) = [F'(\gamma)^{1/2}]^\# Sx_0$ for every $\gamma \notin \Delta$. Since $F'(\gamma)^{1/2}(Rx)(\gamma) = Sx$ κ -a.e., Lemma 2.3 implies that

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{R}(R) \subset V(N_{G-\{0\}}(X)).$$

Moreover, we have

$$(2) \quad (R^* Rx, x) = \int_{\Gamma} \|[F'(\gamma)^{1/2}]^\# Sx\|^2 \kappa(d\gamma) = (Sx, x) \quad (\text{i.e., } R^* R = S),$$

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} (R^* Rx, y) &= (Sx, y) = (F'(\gamma)^{1/2}(Rx)(\gamma), y) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} ((Rx)(\gamma), F'(\gamma)^{1/2} y) \kappa(d\gamma) \\ &= (Rx, VX_0 y) = ((VX_0)^* Rx, y) \quad \kappa\text{-a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

Let us put $T = V^{-1}R$, where V is the isomorphism defined in Lemma 2.3. Then, by (1)-(3), we have $T \in \mathcal{A}_0^{G-(0)}$, and hence $T^* T = R^* R = S \in \mathcal{D}_0^{G-(0)}$.

Now we state the main result of this paper.

3.4. THEOREM. *Let $X = \{X_g; g \in G\}$ be an H -valued SSP over a discrete Abelian group G and let F be its spectral measure. Suppose that H is separable and the process X has the operator spectral density F' (with respect to κ). Let \mathcal{D}_0 be the set of all those operators $S \in L^+(H)$ for which*

$$Sx \in \mathcal{R}(F'(\gamma)^{1/2}) \quad \kappa\text{-a.e.}$$

and

$$(Sx, x) = \int_{\Gamma} ([F'(\gamma)^{1/2}]^\# Sx, [F'(\gamma)^{1/2}]^\# Sx) \kappa(d\gamma)$$

for every $x \in H$.

Then the prediction error operator Σ_0 and the predictor \hat{X}_0 are given by the following formulas:

$$(1) \quad \Sigma_0 = \max \mathcal{D}_0,$$

$$(2) \quad \hat{X}_0 x = V^{-1}(F'(\cdot)^{1/2} x - [F'(\cdot)^{1/2}]^\# \Sigma_0 x), \quad x \in H.$$

Proof. Part (1) follows immediately from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3. To prove (2) it suffices to observe that if $T = V^{-1}R$, where $(Rx)(\cdot) = [F'(\cdot)^{1/2}]^\# \Sigma_0 x$, $x \in H$, then according to the proof of Lemma 3.3 we have $T \in \mathcal{A}_0^{G-(0)}$ and $T^* T = \Sigma_0$. Thus, by Lemma 3.2, $T = X_0 - \hat{X}_0$, which completes the proof.

4. Final remarks.

4.1. First, let us note that for a finite-dimensional Hilbert space Theorem 3.4 contains the results stated in the Introduction. Suppose $H = \mathbb{C}^q$ ($q < \infty$).

Then, by Theorem 3.4, Σ_0 is the maximal element of the set \mathcal{D}_0 of all $q \times q$ Hermitian non-negative matrices S for which

- (i) $\mathcal{R}(S) \subset \mathcal{R}(F'(\gamma)^{1/2}) = \mathcal{R}(F'(\gamma))$ κ -a.e.,
- (ii) $S = \int_{\Gamma} S F'(\gamma)^{\#} S \kappa(d\gamma)$

(here $A^{\#}$ denotes the generalized inverse matrix of a matrix A in the sense of Penrose; for its properties we refer to [6]). In that case one can find an explicit form of Σ_0 .

4.2. COROLLARY (cf. [8] and [3], Theorem 4.5). Assume that $\{X_g = (X_g^1, \dots, X_g^q) : g \in G\}$ is a q -variate stationary stochastic process over G and let F' be its spectral density. Let J be the space of all $x \in \mathbb{C}^q$ (the elements of \mathbb{C}^q are regarded as column vectors) such that

$$x \in \mathcal{R}(F'(\gamma)) \text{ } \kappa\text{-a.e.}, \quad \int_{\Gamma} x^* F'(\gamma)^{\#} x \kappa(d\gamma) < \infty.$$

Then

$$\Sigma_0 = \left[\int_{\Gamma} P_J F'(\gamma)^{\#} P_J \kappa(d\gamma) \right]^{\#}.$$

Proof. Write

$$S_0 = \left[\int_{\Gamma} P_J F'(\gamma)^{\#} P_J \kappa(d\gamma) \right]^{\#}$$

and observe that, according to the results of [6], S_0 satisfies (i) and (ii) of 4.1. In fact, we have

- (i) $\mathcal{R}(S_0) = \mathcal{N} \left(\int_{\Gamma} P_J F'(\gamma)^{\#} P_J \kappa(d\gamma) \right)^{\perp}$
 $= \mathcal{R} \left(\int_{\Gamma} P_J F'(\gamma)^{\#} P_J \kappa(d\gamma) \right) \subset J \subset \mathcal{R}(F'(\gamma))$ κ -a.e.,
- (ii) $S_0 = S_0 S_0^{\#} S_0 = S_0 \left(\int_{\Gamma} P_J F'(\gamma)^{\#} P_J d\kappa \right) S_0 = \int_{\Gamma} S_0 F'(\gamma)^{\#} S_0 \kappa(d\gamma).$

We shall prove that $S_0 \geq S$ for every S satisfying (i) and (ii) of 4.1. Since

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \left[\int P_{\mathcal{A}(S)} F'(\gamma)^{\#} P_{\mathcal{A}(S)} d\kappa \right]^{\#} = \left[P_{\mathcal{A}(S)} \left(\int P_J F'(\gamma)^{\#} P_J d\kappa \right) P_{\mathcal{A}(S)} \right]^{\#} \\ &= \left[P_{\mathcal{A}(S)} S_0^{\#} P_{\mathcal{A}(S)} \right]^{\#}, \end{aligned}$$

the proof will be completed if we show the following fact:

If S_0 is a $q \times q$ Hermitian non-negative (complex) matrix and M is a subspace of $\mathcal{R}(S_0)$, then $S_0 \geq (P_M S_0^{\#} P_M)^{\#}$.

To see this we put $S = (P_M S_0^{\#} P_M)^{\#}$ and $T = (S_0^{1/2})^{\#} S$. Since

$$\mathcal{R}(S) = \mathcal{R}(P_M S_0^{\#} P_M) \subset M \quad \text{and} \quad S^{\#} = P_M S_0^{\#} P_M,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (S_0^{1/2} - T)^* T &= S_0^{1/2} S_0^{1/2}{}^* S - SS_0^* S = P_{\mathcal{B}(S)} S - (SP_M) S_0^* (P_M S) \\ &= S - S(P_M S_0^* P_M) S = S - SS^* S = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= (S_0^{1/2})(S_0^{1/2}) = [(S_0^{1/2} - T) + T]^* [(S_0^{1/2} - T) + T] \\ &= (S_0^{1/2} - T)^* (S_0^{1/2} - T) + T^* T \geq T^* T = SS_0^* S = S. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we state a generalization of Theorem 3.4 to the case of processes without spectral densities. The following proposition will take place of Lemma 2.3 in our considerations.

4.3. PROPOSITION ([1], see also [2]). *Let X be an H -valued SSP over G with the spectral measure F and let $H_{2,F}$ denote the set of all H -valued measures m on $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} m(\Delta) &\in \mathcal{R}(F(\Delta)^{1/2}) \quad \text{for every } \Delta \in \mathcal{B}(\Gamma), \\ \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\Delta \in \sigma} \|[F(\Delta)^{1/2}]^* m(\Delta)\|^2 &\stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \|m\|_F^2 < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{F} is the set of all finite Borel partitions of Γ . Then

- (a) $(H_{2,F}, \|\cdot\|_F)$ is a Hilbert space;
- (b) the mapping U defined by

$$U(X_g x)(\Delta) = \int_{\Delta} \langle g, \gamma \rangle F(d\gamma) x, \quad \Delta \in \mathcal{B}(\Gamma),$$

extends linearly to an isometry from $M(X)$ onto $H_{2,F}$;

- (c) for every $A \subset G$

$$U(N_A(X)) = \{m \in H_{2,F} : \hat{m}(g) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \int_{\Gamma} \langle g, \gamma \rangle m(d\gamma) = 0 \text{ for all } g \in A\}.$$

Using this proposition and the same arguments as in Theorem 3.4 one can show the following

4.4. THEOREM. *Suppose that X is an H -valued SSP with the spectral measure F . Then Σ_0 is the maximal element of the set \mathcal{D}_0 of all operators $S \in L^+(H)$ for which*

$$Sx x(\Delta) \in \mathcal{R}(F(\Delta)^{1/2}) \quad \text{for every } \Delta \in \mathcal{B}(\Gamma),$$

$$\sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\Delta \in \sigma} \|[F(\Delta)^{1/2}]^* Sx x(\Delta)\|^2 = (Sx, x)$$

for every $x \in H$.

We point out that according to the results of [1] (or [2]) a Hilbert space H in Theorems 3.4 and 4.4 can be replaced by any linear topological space (under adequate definitions of $[F(\cdot)]^{1/2}$ and $H_{2,F}$).

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Makagon, *On the Hellinger square integral with respect to an operator valued measure and stationary processes* (to appear).
- [2] – *Remark on the extrapolation of Banach space valued stationary processes*, p. 196-207 in: *Probability theory on vector spaces. II*, Lecture Notes in Math. 828 (1980).
- [3] – and A. Weron, *q-variate minimal stationary processes*, *Studia Math.* 59 (1976), p. 41-52.
- [4] P. Masani, *The prediction theory of multivariate stochastic processes. III*, *Acta Math.* 104 (1960), p. 141-162.
- [5] W. Mlak, *Introduction to Hilbert space theory* (in Polish), BM 35, Warszawa 1972.
- [6] J. B. Robertson and M. Rosenberg, *The decomposition of matrix-valued measures*, *Michigan Math. J.* 15 (1968), p. 353-368.
- [7] Ju. A. Rosanov, *Some approximation problems in the theory of stationary processes*, *J. Multivariate Anal.* 2 (1972), p. 135-144.
- [8] H. Salehi, *Application of Hellinger integrals to q-variate stationary stochastic processes*, *Ark. Mat.* 7 (1967), p. 305-311.
- [9] A. M. Yaglom, *On the problem of linear interpolation of stationary stochastic sequences and processes* (in Russian), *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* 4 (4) (1949), p. 173-178.

Institute of Mathematics
Technical University of Wrocław
Wybrzeże Wyspiańskiego 27
50-370 Wrocław, Poland

Received on 10. 9. 1981

