

ON THE ASYMPTOTIC DISTRIBUTION OF THE MAXIMA FROM GAUSSIAN FUNCTIONS SUBJECT TO MISSING OBSERVATIONS*

BY

SHENGCHAO ZHENG (JIAXING) AND ZHONGQUAN TAN (JIAXING)

Abstract. In this paper, we derive the asymptotic distributions for the maxima of two types of Gaussian functions, including a χ -random sequence and a Gaussian order statistics sequence subject to missing observations, where the Gaussian functions are generated by stationary Gaussian sequences with covariance functions r_n satisfying $r_n \log n \rightarrow \gamma \in [0, \infty)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60G70; Secondary 60G15.

Key words and phrases: random missing, maximum, stationary Gaussian sequence, χ -random sequence, Gaussian order statistics sequence.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables, and let $M_n(X) = \max\{X_j : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$. Suppose there exist constants $c_n > 0, d_n \in \mathbb{R}, n \geq 1$, and a non-degenerate distribution $G(x)$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(c_n(M_n - d_n) \leq x) = G(x).$$

Then G must be one of three types of extreme value distributions (see, e.g., Leadbetter et al. [16] and Piterbarg [25]). The dependent case of the above classical result can also be found in these monographs.

Missing observations may occur randomly in practical applications, but the model (1.1) cannot be applied directly in such situations. In extreme value theory, it is important to investigate the asymptotic relation between the maxima of complete samples and incomplete samples. Consider a sequence $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ of stationary random variables, and let $\{\varepsilon_n, n \geq 1\}$ denote a sequence of Bernoulli random variables indicating whether the variables $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ are observed. Furthermore,

* Research supported by Innovation of Jiaxing City, a program to support talented persons, and Scientific Research Fund of Zhejiang Provincial Education Department (No. Y202352209).

suppose that $\{\varepsilon_n, n \geq 1\}$ is independent of $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$. Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i$ satisfy

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{P} \lambda \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where λ is a random or non-random variable.

If λ is a constant, under a type of long dependence condition $D(u_n, v_n)$ (see [21] for the definition) and a local dependence condition $D'(u_n)$ (see [16] for the definition), Mladenović and Piterbarg [21] first derived the following result for all real $x < y$:

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(M_n(X, \varepsilon) \leq \vartheta_n(x), M_n(X) \leq \vartheta_n(y)) = G^\lambda(x)G^{1-\lambda}(y),$$

where $M_n(X, \varepsilon) = \max\{X_j : 1 \leq j \leq n, \varepsilon_j = 1\}$ denotes the maxima of samples observed and $\vartheta_n(x) = c_n^{-1}x + d_n$.

Peng et al. [23] and Cao and Peng [4] extended the above result to Gaussian cases. Tong and Peng [35] and Tan and Wang [34] considered the almost sure version of complete maxima and incomplete maxima, while Glavaš et al. [10] and Glavaš and Mladenović [9] studied similar problems for autoregressive processes and linear processes, respectively. Some related studies including the cases of maxima and minima, sums and maxima, non-stationary random fields and exceedance point processes can be found in Hashorva and Weng [12], Krajka and Rychlik [15], Panga and Pereira [22], Peng et al. [24], Li and Tan [17] and Zheng and Tan [39]. Some similar studies for continuous time stochastic processes can be found in Piterbarg [26], Tan and Tang [33], Xu et al. [37], Ling et al. [19], Lu and Peng [20] and the references therein.

When λ is a random variable, under the same conditions of $D(u_n, v_n)$ and $D'(u_n)$, Krajka [14] obtained the following result for any $x < y \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$(1.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(M_n(X, \varepsilon) \leq \vartheta_n(x), M_n(X) \leq \vartheta_n(y)) = E(G^\lambda(x)G^{1-\lambda}(y)).$$

Note that the condition $D(u_n, v_n)$ is weakly dependent and (1.4) indicates that the complete maxima and the incomplete maxima are asymptotic independent conditional on λ . Since the strongly dependent phenomenon is very common in financial time series (see, e.g., Baillie and Kapetanios [3]), it is interesting to study the model (1.4) in the strongly dependent setting. Hashorva et al. [11] extended (1.4) to strongly dependent Gaussian sequences and found that asymptotic conditional independence is destroyed by strong dependence. A naturally arising problem is whether the asymptotic conditional independence between complete maxima and incomplete maxima can be preserved for strongly dependent non-Gaussian random sequences.

In this paper, we extend the model (1.4) to some non-Gaussian strongly dependent random sequences. In what follows, $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ will be a sequence

of stationary standard Gaussian random variables with covariance functions $r_n = \text{Cov}(X_1, X_{n+1})$ satisfying

$$(1.5) \quad r_n \log n \rightarrow \gamma \in [0, +\infty) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The Gaussian sequence $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is said to be *strongly dependent* when (1.5) holds with $\gamma > 0$ (see, e.g., Leadbetter et al. [16, Chapter 5]). Let $\{X_{ij}, j \geq 1, i = 1, \dots, d\}$, $d \geq 1$, be independent copies of $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$. Define two types of Gaussian functions generated by the Gaussian sequence:

$$(1.6) \quad \chi_{dj}(X) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d X_{ij}^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad j \geq 1,$$

and for $r \in \{1, \dots, d\}$,

$$(1.7) \quad O_{dj}^{(d)}(X) = \min_{i=1}^d X_{ij} \leq \dots \leq O_{dj}^{(r)}(X) \leq \dots \leq O_{dj}^{(1)}(X) = \max_{i=1}^d X_{ij}, \quad j \geq 1.$$

Note that the sequences $\{\chi_{dj}(X), j \geq 1\}$ and $\{O_{dj}^{(r)}(X), j \geq 1\}$ are a χ -random sequence and a Gaussian r th order statistics sequence, respectively, and both are strongly dependent when (1.5) holds with $\gamma > 0$. The χ -random sequence has been extensively studied in theoretical fields (see, e.g., Sharpe [27], Tan and Hashorva [31, 32], Sun and Tan [29]), and in applied fields (see, e.g., Albin and Jarušková [1], Chareka et al. [5], Jarušková and Piterbarg [13]). The Gaussian r th order statistics random variables play an important role in many applied fields such as in models concerned with the analysis of surface roughness during machinery processes and functional magnetic resonance imaging data. For related studies on Gaussian r th order statistics, we refer to Alodat [2], Dębicki et al. [6, 7, 8], Worsley and Friston [36], Zhao [38] and the references therein.

The studies on the asymptotic relation between the maxima of complete samples and incomplete samples are far from complete. In this paper, we extend the model (1.4) to a stationary χ -random sequence and a Gaussian r th order statistics sequence with missing observations when (1.2) holds with λ a random variable. Section 2 gives the main results and their proofs appear in Section 3.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Now we state our main results. The first result is about the asymptotic distribution for the maxima of χ -random sequences with missing observations.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of stationary Gaussian variables with covariance functions r_n satisfying (1.5) and $\{\chi_{dn}(X), n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence defined as in (1.6). Assume that $\{\varepsilon_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of indicators which is independent of $\{\chi_{dn}(X), n \geq 1\}$ and such that (1.2) holds with λ*

a random variable. If moreover

$$(2.1) \quad a_n = (2 \log n)^{1/2}, \quad b_n = a_n + \frac{\log(2^{1-d/2}(\Gamma(d/2))^{-1}a_n^{d-2})}{a_n},$$

then for any real $x < y$,

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(M_n(\chi_d(X), \varepsilon) \leq u_n(x), M_n(\chi_d(X)) \leq u_n(y)) \\ = E\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(-\lambda e^{-(x+\gamma-\sqrt{2\gamma}\|\mathbf{z}\|)} - (1-\lambda)e^{-(y+\gamma-\sqrt{2\gamma}\|\mathbf{z}\|)}) d\Phi(\mathbf{z})\right),$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Euler gamma function,

$$\Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2} dt,$$

$\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_d)$, $\|\mathbf{z}\| = \sqrt{z_1^2 + \dots + z_d^2}$, $u_n(x) = a_n^{-1}x + b_n$, and $d\Phi(\mathbf{z})$ stands for $d\Phi(z_1) \cdots d\Phi(z_d)$ for simplicity.

REMARK 2.1. (i) The weakly dependent case $\gamma = 0$ has been proved by Zheng and Tan [39, Theorem 3.2]; the case $\gamma > 0$ is strongly dependent.

(ii) A similar study for continuous time χ -processes can be found in Ling and Tan [18].

For the maxima of Gaussian order statistics random variables with missing observations, we have the following result.

THEOREM 2.2. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of stationary Gaussian variables with covariance functions r_n satisfying (1.5) and $\{O_{dn}^{(r)}(X), n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence defined as in (1.7). Assume that $\{\varepsilon_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of indicators which is independent of $\{O_{dn}^{(r)}(X), n \geq 1\}$ and such that (1.2) holds with λ a random variable. If moreover

$$(2.3) \quad \alpha_n = (2r \log n)^{1/2}, \quad \beta_n = \frac{1}{r}\alpha_n - \frac{r(\log 4\pi - \log r + \log \log n)}{2\alpha_n},$$

then for any real $x < y$,

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(M_n(O_d^{(1)}(X), \varepsilon) \leq v_n(x), M_n(O_d^{(1)}(X)) \leq v_n(y)) \\ = E\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp\left(-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^d e^{-(x+\gamma-\sqrt{2\gamma}z_i)} - (1-\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^d e^{-(y+\gamma-\sqrt{2\gamma}z_i)}\right) d\Phi(\mathbf{z})\right)$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(M_n(O_d^{(d)}(X), \varepsilon) \leq v_n(x), M_n(O_d^{(d)}(X)) \leq v_n(y)) \\ = E\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(-\lambda e^{-(x+\gamma-\sqrt{2\gamma\bar{z}})} - (1-\lambda)e^{-(y+\gamma-\sqrt{2\gamma\bar{z}})}) d\Phi(\mathbf{z})\right),$$

where $v_n(x) = \alpha_n^{-1}x + \beta_n$ and $\bar{\mathbf{z}} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^d z_i$.

REMARK 2.2. (i) The case $\gamma = 0$ has been proved by Zheng and Tan [39, Theorem 3.3].

(ii) Note that the cases $r \in \{2, 3, \dots, d-1\}$ are not covered by our method, since the transformations (3.12) and (3.13) below are only valid for $r = 1, d$.

(iii) A similar study for continuous time Gaussian order statistics processes can be found in Tan [30], where there are some mistakes in the strongly dependent case, but they can be rectified by the method of the present paper.

3. PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Let Y_{ij}, U_i be i.i.d. $N(0, 1)$ random variables, where $j \geq 1, i = 1, \dots, d$ with an integer $d \geq 1$. Let $\rho_n = \gamma/\log n$ and define

$$(3.1) \quad Z_{ij} = (1 - \rho_n)^{1/2} Y_{ij} + \rho_n^{1/2} U_i, \quad j \geq 1, i = 1, \dots, d.$$

It is easy to see that the covariance function of $\{Z_{ij}, j \geq 1, i = 1, \dots, d\}$ is

$$\text{Cov}(Z_{ij}, Z_{lk}) = \begin{cases} \rho_n, & i = l, \\ 0, & i \neq l. \end{cases}$$

Define χ -random sequences and r th order statistics sequences generated by the random sequences $\{Y_{ij}, j \geq 1, i = 1, \dots, d\}$ as follows:

$$(3.2) \quad \chi_{dj}(Y) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d Y_{ij}^2\right)^{1/2}, \quad j \geq 1,$$

and for $r \in \{1, \dots, d\}$,

$$(3.3) \quad O_{dj}^{(d)}(Y) = \min_{i=1}^d Y_{ij} \leq \dots \leq O_{dj}^{(r)}(Y) \leq \dots \leq O_{dj}^{(1)}(Y) = \max_{i=1}^d Y_{ij}, \quad j \geq 1.$$

Similarly, we can define random sequences $\{\chi_{dj}(Z), j \geq 1\}$ and $\{O_{dj}^{(r)}(Z), j \geq 1\}$. For fixed k , let $K_s = \{j : (s-1)m + 1 \leq j \leq sm\}$ for $1 \leq s \leq k$, where

$m = [n/k]$ denotes the integer part of n/k . Let $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \{\theta_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a non-random sequence taking values in $\{0, 1\}$. For any random sequence $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$, let

$$M_n(X, K_s, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \begin{cases} \max_{j: j \in K_s, \theta_j = 1} X_j & \text{if } \sum_{j \in K_s} \theta_j > 0, \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$M_n(X, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \begin{cases} \max_{j: 1 \leq j \leq n, \theta_j = 1} X_j & \text{if } \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_j > 0, \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Further, for a random variable λ such that $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ a.s., set

$$B_{t,k} = \left\{ \omega : \lambda(\omega) \in \begin{cases} [0, 1/2^k], & t = 0, \\ (t/2^k, (t+1)/2^k], & 0 < t \leq 2^k - 1 \end{cases} \right\},$$

and define

$$B_{t,k,\boldsymbol{\theta},n} = \{\omega : \varepsilon_j(\omega) = \theta_j, 1 \leq j \leq n\} \cap B_{t,k}.$$

In the following, C will denote a constant whose values may change from line to line, and $\mathbf{1}(\cdot)$ will stand for the indicator function.

To prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we first prove the following lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1. *Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Assume that, for large n , the positive integers l are such that $k < l < m = [n/k]$ and $l = o(n)$. Then, for any real $x < y$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| P(M_n(\chi_d(Y), \boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq u_n(x), M_n(\chi_d(Y)) \leq u_n(y)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \prod_{s=1}^k P(M_n(\chi_d(Y), K_s, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq u_n(x), M_n(\chi_d(Y), K_s) \leq u_n(y)) \right| \\ & \leq (4k+2)l(1 - F_\chi(u_n(x))) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for all $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \{0, 1\}^n$, where $F_\chi(\cdot)$ denotes the distribution function of a χ -random variable with d degrees of freedom.

Proof. The proof is similar to that in Hashorva et al. [11, Lemma 1], so it is omitted. ■

LEMMA 3.2 *Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. Assume that, for large n , the positive integers l are such that $k < l < m = [n/k]$ and*

$l = o(n)$. Let $v_n(x, z_i) = (1 - \rho_n)^{-1/2}(v_n(x) - \rho_n^{1/2}z_i)$ with $z_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and define

$$\mathcal{A}_r = \begin{cases} \bigcap_{\substack{j: \theta_j=1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(x, z_i)\} \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^n \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(y, z_i)\}, & r = 1, \\ \left\{ \bigcap_{\substack{j: \theta_j=1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} \bigcup_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(x, z_i)\} \right\} \cap \left\{ \bigcap_{j=1}^n \bigcup_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(y, z_i)\} \right\}, & r = d, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_{r, K_s} = \begin{cases} \bigcap_{\substack{j: \theta_j=1 \\ j \in K_s}} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(x, z_i)\} \cap \bigcap_{j \in K_s} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(y, z_i)\}, & r = 1, \\ \left\{ \bigcap_{\substack{j: \theta_j=1 \\ j \in K_s}} \bigcup_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(x, z_i)\} \right\} \cap \left\{ \bigcap_{j \in K_s} \bigcup_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(y, z_i)\} \right\}, & r = d. \end{cases}$$

Then, for all real $x < y$, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \left| P(\mathcal{A}_r) - \prod_{s=1}^k P(\mathcal{B}_{r, K_s}) \right| \leq \begin{cases} (4k+2)l \sum_{i=1}^d (1 - \Phi(v_n(x, z_i))), & r = 1, \\ (4k+2)l \prod_{i=1}^d (1 - \Phi(v_n(x, z_i))), & r = d, \end{cases}$$

uniformly for all $\theta \in \{0, 1\}^n$.

Proof. First we divide the km integers into $2k$ consecutive intervals as follows. For large n , let l be integers such that $k < l < m$ and $l = o(n)$. Write $I_s = \{(s-1)m+1, \dots, sm-l\}$ and $J_s = \{sm-l+1, \dots, sm\}$ for $s = 1, \dots, k$, and set $I_{k+1} = \{(k-1)m+l+1, \dots, km\}$ and $J_{k+1} = \{km+1, \dots, km+l\}$. For $r = 1$, using the triangular inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| P(\mathcal{A}_1) - \prod_{s=1}^k P(\mathcal{B}_{1, K_s}) \right| \\ &= \left| P\left(\bigcap_{\substack{j: \theta_j=1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(x, z_i)\} \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^n \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(y, z_i)\} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \prod_{s=1}^k P\left(\bigcap_{\substack{j: \theta_j=1 \\ j \in K_s}} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(x, z_i)\} \cap \bigcap_{j \in K_s} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(y, z_i)\} \right) \right| \\ & \leq \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_1 &= \left| P\left(\bigcap_{\substack{j:\theta_j=1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(x, z_i)\} \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^n \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(y, z_i)\} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - P\left(\bigcap_{s=1}^k \left\{ \bigcap_{\substack{j:\theta_j=1 \\ j \in I_s}} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(x, z_i)\} \cap \bigcap_{j \in I_s} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(y, z_i)\} \right\} \right) \right|, \\
\Sigma_2 &= \left| P\left(\bigcap_{s=1}^k \left\{ \bigcap_{\substack{j:\theta_j=1 \\ j \in I_s}} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(x, z_i)\} \cap \bigcap_{j \in I_s} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(y, z_i)\} \right\} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \prod_{s=1}^k P\left(\bigcap_{\substack{j:\theta_j=1 \\ j \in I_s}} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(x, z_i)\} \cap \bigcap_{j \in I_s} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(y, z_i)\} \right) \right|, \\
\Sigma_3 &= \left| \prod_{s=1}^k P\left(\bigcap_{\substack{j:\theta_j=1 \\ j \in I_s}} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(x, z_i)\} \cap \bigcap_{j \in I_s} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(y, z_i)\} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \prod_{s=1}^k P\left(\bigcap_{\substack{j:\theta_j=1 \\ j \in K_s}} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(x, z_i)\} \cap \bigcap_{j \in K_s} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(y, z_i)\} \right) \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bigcap_{s=1}^k \left\{ \bigcap_{\substack{j:\theta_j=1 \\ j \in I_s}} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(x, z_i)\} \cap \bigcap_{j \in I_s} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(y, z_i)\} \right\} \\
&\quad - \bigcap_{\substack{j:\theta_j=1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(x, z_i)\} \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^n \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(y, z_i)\} \\
&\subset \bigcup_{s=1}^{k+1} \left\{ \bigcup_{\substack{j:\theta_j=1 \\ j \in J_s}} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} > v_n(x, z_i)\} \cup \bigcup_{j \in J_s} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} > v_n(y, z_i)\} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

it follows that

(3.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_1 &\leq P\left(\bigcup_{s=1}^{k+1} \left\{ \bigcup_{\substack{j:\theta_j=1 \\ j \in J_s}} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} > v_n(x, z_i)\} \cup \bigcup_{j \in J_s} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} > v_n(y, z_i)\} \right\} \right) \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{s=1}^{k+1} P\left(\bigcup_{j \in J_s} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} > v_n(x, z_i)\} \right) \\
&\leq 2l \sum_{s=1}^{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^d (1 - \Phi(v_n(x, z_i))) \\
&= 2(k+1)l \sum_{i=1}^d (1 - \Phi(v_n(x, z_i))).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\{Y_{ij}, j \geq 1, i = 1, \dots, d\}$ is a sequence of independent standard normal random variables, we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \Sigma_2 = 0.$$

By using the fact that

$$(3.7) \quad \left| \prod_{s=1}^k a_s - \prod_{s=1}^k b_s \right| \leq \sum_{s=1}^k |a_s - b_s|$$

for all $a_s, b_s \in [0, 1]$, we get

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \Sigma_3 &\leq \sum_{s=1}^k \left| P \left(\bigcap_{\substack{j: \theta_j=1 \\ j \in I_s}} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(x, z_i)\} \cap \bigcap_{j \in I_s} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(y, z_i)\} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - P \left(\bigcap_{\substack{j: \theta_j=1 \\ j \in K_s}} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(x, z_i)\} \cap \bigcap_{j \in K_s} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(y, z_i)\} \right) \right| \\ &\leq 2l \sum_{s=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^d (1 - \Phi(v_n(x, z_i))) = 2kl \sum_{i=1}^d (1 - \Phi(v_n(x, z_i))). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.5), (3.6) with (3.8), the case $r = 1$ of (3.4) follows.

The proof for $r = d$ is similar, hence we omit it. ■

LEMMA 3.3. *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.1, for any real $x < y$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| P(M_n(\chi_d(X), \boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq u_n(x), M_n(\chi_d(X)) \leq u_n(y)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P(M_n(\chi_d(Y), \boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq u_n(x, \|\mathbf{z}\|), M_n(\chi_d(Y)) \leq u_n(y, \|\mathbf{z}\|)) d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \right| \\ &\leq Cnd \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|r_k - \rho_n| (u_n(x)u_n(y))^{d-1}}{(1 - \omega_k^2)^{1/2d}} \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2(x) + u_n^2(y)}{2(1 + \omega_k)}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $u_n(x, \|\mathbf{z}\|) = (1 - \rho_n)^{-1/2}(u_n(x) - \rho_n^{1/2}\|\mathbf{z}\|)$ and $\omega_k = \max(|r_k|, |\rho_n|)$.

Proof. By using the comparison inequality for χ -variables (see, e.g., Song et al. [28, Lemma 3.2]), we get

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left| P(M_n(\chi_d(X), \boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq u_n(x), M_n(\chi_d(X)) \leq u_n(y)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - P(M_n(\chi_d(Z), \boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq u_n(x), M_n(\chi_d(Z)) \leq u_n(y)) \right| \\ &\leq Cnd \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|r_k - \rho_n| (u_n(x)u_n(y))^{d-1}}{(1 - \omega_k^2)^{1/2d}} \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2(x) + u_n^2(y)}{2(1 + \omega_k)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Define a Gaussian random field by

$$Z_{(j,\nu)} = Z_{1j}\nu_1 + Z_{2j}\nu_2 + \cdots + Z_{dj}\nu_d, \quad j \geq 1,$$

with

$$\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_d) \in \mathbb{S}_{d-1},$$

where \mathbb{S}_{d-1} stands for the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^d and the sequences $\{Z_{ij}, j \geq 1, i = 1, \dots, d\}$ are defined in (3.1). We have (see, e.g., Piterbarg [25])

$$\chi_{dj}(Z) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d Z_{ij}^2 \right)^{1/2} = \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{S}_{d-1}} Z_{(j,\nu)} = \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{S}_{d-1}} \sum_{i=1}^d Z_{ij}\nu_i, \quad j \geq 1.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (3.10) \quad & P(M_n(\chi_d(Z), \boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq u_n(x), M_n(\chi_d(Z)) \leq u_n(y)) \\ &= P\left(\max_{\substack{(j,\nu) \in [1,n] \times \mathbb{S}_{d-1} \\ j: \theta_j=1}} \sum_{i=1}^d ((1-\rho_n)^{1/2} Y_{ij} + \rho_n^{1/2} U_i) \nu_i \leq u_n(x), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \max_{(j,\nu) \in [1,n] \times \mathbb{S}_{d-1}} \sum_{i=1}^d ((1-\rho_n)^{1/2} Y_{ij} + \rho_n^{1/2} U_i) \nu_i \leq u_n(y) \right) \\ &= P\left(\bigcap_{\substack{(j,\nu) \in [1,n] \times \mathbb{S}_{d-1} \\ j: \theta_j=1}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d Y_{ij}\nu_i \leq (1-\rho_n)^{-1/2} \left(u_n(x) - \rho_n^{1/2} \sum_{i=1}^d U_i \nu_i \right) \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \cap \bigcap_{(j,\nu) \in [1,n] \times \mathbb{S}_{d-1}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d Y_{ij}\nu_i \leq (1-\rho_n)^{-1/2} \left(u_n(y) - \rho_n^{1/2} \sum_{i=1}^d U_i \nu_i \right) \right\} \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P\left(\bigcap_{\substack{(j,\nu) \in [1,n] \times \mathbb{S}_{d-1} \\ j: \theta_j=1}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d Y_{ij}\nu_i \leq (1-\rho_n)^{-1/2} \left(u_n(x) - \rho_n^{1/2} \sum_{i=1}^d z_i \nu_i \right) \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \cap \bigcap_{(j,\nu) \in [1,n] \times \mathbb{S}_{d-1}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d Y_{ij}\nu_i \leq (1-\rho_n)^{-1/2} \left(u_n(y) - \rho_n^{1/2} \sum_{i=1}^d z_i \nu_i \right) \right\} \right) d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P(M_n(\chi_d(Y), \boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq u_n(x, \|\mathbf{z}\|), M_n(\chi_d(Y)) \leq u_n(y, \|\mathbf{z}\|)) d\Phi(\mathbf{z}). \end{aligned}$$

Plugging (3.10) into (3.9), we complete the proof of Lemma 3.3. \blacksquare

LEMMA 3.4. *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.2, we have, for $r = 1, d$ and any real $x < y$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| P(M_n(O_d^{(r)}(X), \boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq v_n(x), M_n(O_d^{(r)}(X)) \leq v_n(y)) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P(\mathcal{A}_r) d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \right| \\ & \leq Cnd \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|r_k - \rho_n|}{(v_n(x)v_n(y))^{r-1}} \exp\left(-\frac{r(v_n^2(x) + v_n^2(y))}{2(1 + \omega_k)}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{A}_r is defined in Lemma 3.2 and $\omega_k = \max(|r_k|, |\rho_n|)$.

Proof. By using the comparison inequality for order statistics variables (see e.g. Song et al. [28, Lemma 3.2]), we get

$$(3.11) \quad \left| P(M_n(O_d^{(r)}(X), \boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq v_n(x), M_n(O_d^{(r)}(X)) \leq v_n(y)) \right. \\ \left. - P(M_n(O_d^{(r)}(Z), \boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq v_n(x), M_n(O_d^{(r)}(Z)) \leq v_n(y)) \right| \\ \leq Cnd \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|r_k - \rho_n|}{(v_n(x)v_n(y))^{r-1}} \exp\left(-\frac{r(v_n^2(x) + v_n^2(y))}{2(1 + \omega_k)}\right), \quad r = 1, d.$$

For $r = 1$, we have

$$(3.12) \quad P(M_n(O_d^{(1)}(Z), \boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq v_n(x), M_n(O_d^{(1)}(Z)) \leq v_n(y)) \\ = P\left(\max_{\substack{j: \theta_j=1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} Z_{ij} \leq v_n(x), \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} Z_{ij} \leq v_n(y)\right) \\ = P\left(\max_{\substack{j: \theta_j=1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} \{(1 - \rho_n)^{1/2} Y_{ij} + \rho_n^{1/2} U_i\} \leq v_n(x), \right. \\ \left. \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} \{(1 - \rho_n)^{1/2} Y_{ij} + \rho_n^{1/2} U_i\} \leq v_n(y)\right) \\ = P\left(\bigcap_{\substack{j: \theta_j=1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(x, U_i)\} \cap \bigcap_{1 \leq j \leq n} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(y, U_i)\}\right) \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P\left(\bigcap_{\substack{j: \theta_j=1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(x, z_i)\} \cap \bigcap_{1 \leq j \leq n} \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(y, z_i)\}\right) d\Phi(\mathbf{z}).$$

By the same arguments, for $r = d$ we have

$$(3.13) \quad P(M_n(O_d^{(d)}(Z), \boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq v_n(x), M_n(O_d^{(d)}(Z)) \leq v_n(y)) \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P\left(\bigcap_{\substack{j: \theta_j=1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} \bigcup_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(x, z_i)\} \cap \bigcap_{1 \leq j \leq n} \bigcup_{i=1}^d \{Y_{ij} \leq v_n(y, z_i)\}\right) d\Phi(\mathbf{z}).$$

Recall the definition of \mathcal{A}_r in Lemma 3.2. Combining (3.12) with (3.13), we get

$$(3.14) \quad P(M_n(O_d^{(r)}(Z), \boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq v_n(x), M_n(O_d^{(r)}(Z)) \leq v_n(y)) \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P(\mathcal{A}_r) d\Phi(\mathbf{z}), \quad r = 1, d.$$

Plugging (3.14) into (3.11) completes the proof of Lemma 3.4. ■

LEMMA 3.5. *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.1, we have, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$Cnd \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|r_k - \rho_n| (u_n(x)u_n(y))^{d-1}}{(1 - \omega_k^2)^{1/2d}} \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2(x) + u_n^2(y)}{2(1 + \omega_k)}\right) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\omega_k = \max(|r_k|, |\rho_n|)$.

Proof. From (2.1), it is easy to see that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(3.15) \quad \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2(x)}{2}\right) \sim \frac{C(u_n(x))^{-(d-2)}}{n}, \quad u_n(x) \sim (2 \log n)^{1/2}.$$

Let $\delta(k) = \sup_{k < m \leq n} \omega_m$. Clearly ω_k , and hence $\delta(k)$, also depend on n , but we do not make this dependence explicit in the notation. In view of Leadbetter et al. [16, p. 86], we have $\sup_{n \geq 1} |r_n| < 1$ and $\sup_{n \geq 1} |\rho_n| < 1$. Furthermore, it is easy to see that $\sup_{n \geq 1} |\omega_n| < 1$ and $\delta(0) < 1$. Further, let τ be such that $0 < \tau < (1 - \delta(0))/(1 + \delta(0))$ for all sufficiently large n , and let $p = \lceil n^\tau \rceil$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Cnd \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|r_k - \rho_n|(u_n(x)u_n(y))^{d-1}}{(1 - \omega_k^2)^{1/2d}} \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2(x) + u_n^2(y)}{2(1 + \omega_k)}\right) \\ \leq Cnd \sum_{k=1}^n |r_k - \rho_n|(u_n(y))^{2(d-1)} \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2(x)}{1 + \omega_k}\right) \\ = Cnd \sum_{k=1}^p |r_k - \rho_n|(u_n(y))^{2(d-1)} \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2(x)}{1 + \omega_k}\right) \\ + Cnd \sum_{k=p+1}^n |r_k - \rho_n|(u_n(y))^{2(d-1)} \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2(x)}{1 + \omega_k}\right) \\ =: B_{n,1} + B_{n,2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.15) and $\omega_k \leq \delta(0)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_{n,1} &\leq Cnd \sum_{k=1}^p (u_n(y))^{2(d-1)} \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2(x)}{1 + \delta(0)}\right) \\ &\leq Cdn^{1+\tau} (u_n(y))^{2(d-1)} \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2(x)}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{1+\delta(0)}} \\ &\sim Cdn^{\tau - \frac{1-\delta(0)}{1+\delta(0)}} (\log n)^{d-1 - \frac{d-2}{1+\delta(0)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < \tau < \frac{1-\delta(0)}{1+\delta(0)}$, we get $B_{n,1} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

For the second part $B_{n,2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{n,2} &\leq Cnd \sum_{k=p+1}^n |r_k - \rho_n|(u_n(y))^{2(d-1)} \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2(x)}{1 + \delta(p)}\right) \\ &= Cd \frac{n^2}{\log n} (u_n(y))^{2(d-1)} \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2(x)}{1 + \delta(p)}\right) \frac{\log n}{n} \sum_{k=p+1}^n |r_k - \rho_n|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $r_n \log n \rightarrow \gamma$, there is a constant C such that $r_n \log n \leq C$ for all $n \geq 1$. Hence also

$$(3.16) \quad \delta(p) \log p \leq C,$$

so by (3.15) and (3.16) we have

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} Cd \frac{n^2}{\log n} (u_n(y))^{2(d-1)} \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2(x)}{1 + \delta(p)}\right) \\ \leq Cd \frac{n^2}{\log n} (u_n(y))^{2(d-1)} \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2(x)}{1 + C/\log n^\tau}\right) \\ \leq Cdn^{\frac{2C}{\tau \log n + C}} (\log n)^{\frac{C(d-2)}{\tau \log n + C}} = O(1). \end{aligned}$$

According to Leadbetter et al. [16, p. 135], we have

$$(3.18) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{n} \sum_{k=p+1}^n |r_k - \rho_n| = 0.$$

Combining (3.17) with (3.18), we have $B_{n,2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, the proof of Lemma 3.5 is complete. ■

LEMMA 3.6. *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.2 we have, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$(3.19) \quad Cnd \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|r_k - \rho_n|}{(v_n(x)v_n(y))^{r-1}} \exp\left(-\frac{r(v_n^2(x) + v_n^2(y))}{2(1 + \omega_k)}\right) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\omega_k = \max(|r_k|, |\rho_n|)$.

Proof. We use the notations of Lemma 3.5. From (2.3), it is easy to see that

$$(3.20) \quad \exp\left(-\frac{rv_n^2(x)}{2}\right) \sim \frac{Cv_n^r(x)}{n}, \quad v_n(x) \sim \left(\frac{2 \log n}{r}\right)^{1/2}.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.5, split the sum in (3.19) into two parts:

$$\begin{aligned} Cnd \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|r_k - \rho_n|}{(v_n(x)v_n(y))^{r-1}} \exp\left(-\frac{r(v_n^2(x) + v_n^2(y))}{2(1 + \omega_k)}\right) \\ \leq Cnd \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{|r_k - \rho_n|}{(v_n(x))^{2(r-1)}} \exp\left(-\frac{rv_n^2(x)}{1 + \omega_k}\right) \\ + Cnd \sum_{k=p+1}^n \frac{|r_k - \rho_n|}{(v_n(x))^{2(r-1)}} \exp\left(-\frac{rv_n^2(x)}{1 + \omega_k}\right) \\ =: B_{n,3} + B_{n,4}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.20) and $0 < \tau < \frac{1-\delta(0)}{1+\delta(0)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{n,3} &\leq Cnd \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{|r_k - \rho_n|}{(v_n(x))^{2(r-1)}} \exp\left(-\frac{rv_n^2(x)}{1+\delta(0)}\right) \\ &\leq Cdn^{1+\tau} \frac{1}{(v_n(x))^{2(r-1)}} \exp\left(-\frac{rv_n^2(x)}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{1+\delta(0)}} \\ &\sim Cdn^{\tau - \frac{1-\delta(0)}{1+\delta(0)}} (\log n)^{1-r + \frac{r}{1+\delta(0)}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

For $B_{n,4}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{n,4} &\leq Cnd \sum_{k=p+1}^n \frac{|r_k - \rho_n|}{(v_n(x))^{2(r-1)}} \exp\left(-\frac{rv_n^2(x)}{1+\delta(p)}\right) \\ &= Cd \frac{n^2}{(\log n)(v_n(x))^{2(r-1)}} \exp\left(-\frac{rv_n^2(x)}{1+\delta(p)}\right) \frac{\log n}{n} \sum_{k=p+1}^n |r_k - \rho_n|. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.16) and (3.20),

$$\begin{aligned} Cd \frac{n^2}{(\log n)(v_n(x))^{2(r-1)}} \exp\left(-\frac{rv_n^2(x)}{1+\delta(p)}\right) &\leq Cd \frac{n^2}{(\log n)(v_n(x))^{2(r-1)}} \exp\left(-\frac{rv_n^2(x)}{1+C/\log n^\tau}\right) \\ &\leq Cd \frac{n^2}{(\log n)^r} \frac{(\log n)^{\frac{r}{1+C/\log n^\tau}}}{n^{\frac{2}{1+C/\log n^\tau}}} \\ &= Cdn^{\frac{2C}{C+\tau \log n}} (\log n)^{-\frac{rC}{C+\tau \log n}} = O(1). \end{aligned}$$

So by using (3.18), we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{n,4} = 0$. Hence the proof of Lemma 3.6 is complete. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\Psi(n, x, \|\mathbf{z}\|) = n(1 - F_\chi(u_n(x, \|\mathbf{z}\|)))$. We use the techniques of Krajka [14]. By the total probability rule and the triangle inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| P(M_n(\chi_d(X), \varepsilon) \leq u_n(x), M_n(\chi_d(X)) \leq u_n(y)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - E\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \prod_{s=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{\lambda \Psi(n, x, \|\mathbf{z}\|) + (1-\lambda)\Psi(n, y, \|\mathbf{z}\|)}{k}\right) d\Phi(\mathbf{z})\right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{t=0}^{2^k-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \{0,1\}^n} E \left| P(M_n(\chi_d(X), \boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq u_n(x), M_n(\chi_d(X)) \leq u_n(y)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \prod_{s=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{\lambda \Psi(n, x, \|\mathbf{z}\|) + (1-\lambda)\Psi(n, y, \|\mathbf{z}\|)}{k}\right) d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \right| \mathbf{1}(B_{t,k,\boldsymbol{\theta},n}) \\ &\leq \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 + \Delta_4, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_1 &= \sum_{t=0}^{2^k-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \{0,1\}^n} E \left(\left| P(M_n(\chi_d(X), \boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq u_n(x), M_n(\chi_d(X)) \leq u_n(y)) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P(\mathcal{C}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{z}}) d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \right| \mathbf{1}(B_{t,k,\boldsymbol{\theta},n}) \right), \\ \Delta_2 &= \sum_{t=0}^{2^k-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \{0,1\}^n} E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| P(\mathcal{C}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{z}}) - \prod_{s=1}^k P(\mathcal{C}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, K_s, \mathbf{z}}) \right| d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{1}(B_{t,k,\boldsymbol{\theta},n}) \right), \\ \Delta_3 &= \sum_{t=0}^{2^k-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \{0,1\}^n} E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \prod_{s=1}^k P(\mathcal{C}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, K_s, \mathbf{z}}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \prod_{s=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{\frac{t}{2^k} \Psi(n, x, \|\mathbf{z}\|) + (1 - \frac{t}{2^k}) \Psi(n, y, \|\mathbf{z}\|)}{k} \right) \right| d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{1}(B_{t,k,\boldsymbol{\theta},n}) \right), \\ \Delta_4 &= \sum_{t=0}^{2^k-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \{0,1\}^n} E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \prod_{s=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{\frac{t}{2^k} \Psi(n, x, \|\mathbf{z}\|) + (1 - \frac{t}{2^k}) \Psi(n, y, \|\mathbf{z}\|)}{k} \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \prod_{s=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{\lambda \Psi(n, x, \|\mathbf{z}\|) + (1 - \lambda) \Psi(n, y, \|\mathbf{z}\|)}{k} \right) \right| d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{1}(B_{t,k,\boldsymbol{\theta},n}) \right)\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mathcal{C}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{z}} = \{M_n(\chi_d(Y), \boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq u_n(x, \|\mathbf{z}\|)\} \cap \{M_n(\chi_d(Y)) \leq u_n(y, \|\mathbf{z}\|)\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, K_s, \mathbf{z}} = \{M_n(\chi_d(Y), K_s, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq u_n(x, \|\mathbf{z}\|)\} \cap \{M_n(\chi_d(Y), K_s) \leq u_n(y, \|\mathbf{z}\|)\}.$$

Using Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5, we have

$$(3.21) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_1 = 0.$$

For Δ_2 , according to Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\Delta_2 \leq (4k+2) \frac{l}{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Psi(n, x, \|\mathbf{z}\|) d\Phi(\mathbf{z}).$$

It follows from Leadbetter et al. [16, proof of Theorem 6.5.1] that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(3.22) \quad u_n(x, \|\mathbf{z}\|) = u_n(x + \gamma - \sqrt{2\gamma} \|\mathbf{z}\|) + o(a_n^{-1}),$$

and taking into account the tail asymptotic of χ -variables (see, e.g., Piterbarg [25]), we have

$$(3.23) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(1 - F_\chi(u_n(x))) = \exp(-x).$$

Combining (3.22) with (3.23), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(n, x, \|\mathbf{z}\|) = \exp(-(x + \gamma - \sqrt{2\gamma} \|\mathbf{z}\|)) =: g(x, \gamma, \|\mathbf{z}\|).$$

Noting that $l = o(n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the dominated convergence theorem yields

$$(3.24) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_2 = 0.$$

For Δ_3 , according to (3.7) and using the same arguments as in Krajka [14, (7)], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_3 &\leq \sum_{t=0}^{2^k-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \{0,1\}^n} E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{s=1}^k \left| P(\mathcal{C}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, K_s, \mathbf{z}}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \left(1 - \frac{t}{2^k} \Psi(n, x, \|\mathbf{z}\|) + \left(1 - \frac{t}{2^k} \right) \Psi(n, y, \|\mathbf{z}\|) \right) \right| d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{1}(B_{t,k,\boldsymbol{\theta},n}) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{t=0}^{2^k-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \{0,1\}^n} E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{s=1}^k \left| \frac{\sum_{j \in K_s} \theta_j}{m} - \frac{t}{2^k} \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \frac{\Psi(n, x, \|\mathbf{z}\|) - \Psi(n, y, \|\mathbf{z}\|)}{k} d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{1}(B_{t,k,\boldsymbol{\theta},n}) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Psi(n, x, \|\mathbf{z}\|))^2 d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{2^k-1} \sum_{s=1}^k E \left(\left| \frac{\sum_{j \in K_s} \varepsilon_j}{m} - \frac{t}{2^k} \right| \mathbf{1}(B_{t,k}) \right) \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\Psi(n, x, \|\mathbf{z}\|) - \Psi(n, y, \|\mathbf{z}\|)}{k} d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) + \frac{1}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Psi(n, x, \|\mathbf{z}\|))^2 d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \\ &\leq \sum_{s=1}^k \left(E \left| \frac{\sum_{j \in K_s} \varepsilon_j}{m} - \lambda \right| + \frac{1}{2^k} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\Psi(n, x, \|\mathbf{z}\|) - \Psi(n, y, \|\mathbf{z}\|)}{k} d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Psi(n, x, \|\mathbf{z}\|))^2 d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^k \left(E \left| \frac{S_{sm}}{sm} s - \frac{S_{(s-1)m}}{(s-1)m} (s-1) - \lambda \right| + \frac{1}{2^k} \right) \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\Psi(n, x, \|\mathbf{z}\|) - \Psi(n, y, \|\mathbf{z}\|)}{k} d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) + \frac{1}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Psi(n, x, \|\mathbf{z}\|))^2 d\Phi(\mathbf{z}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{P} \lambda$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\frac{S_{sm}}{sm} \xrightarrow{P} \lambda$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore,

$$(3.25) \quad \frac{S_{sm}}{sm} s - \frac{S_{(s-1)m}}{(s-1)m} (s-1) - \lambda \xrightarrow{P} 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, we get

$$(3.26) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_3 \leq \frac{1}{2^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g(x, \gamma, \|\mathbf{z}\|) - g(y, \gamma, \|\mathbf{z}\|)) d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \\ + \frac{1}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g(x, \gamma, \|\mathbf{z}\|))^2 d\Phi(\mathbf{z}).$$

For Δ_4 , we have

$$(3.27) \quad \Delta_4 \leq \sum_{t=0}^{2^k-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \{0,1\}^n} E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{s=1}^k \left| \lambda - \frac{t}{2^k} \right| \right. \\ \left. \times \frac{\Psi(n, x, \|\mathbf{z}\|) + \Psi(n, y, \|\mathbf{z}\|)}{k} d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{1}(B_{t,k,\boldsymbol{\theta},n}) \right) \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Psi(n, x, \|\mathbf{z}\|) + \Psi(n, y, \|\mathbf{z}\|)) d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \\ \times \sum_{t=0}^{2^k-1} E \left(\left| \lambda - \frac{t}{2^k} \right| \mathbf{1}(B_{t,k}) \right) \\ \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\Psi(n, x, \|\mathbf{z}\|) + \Psi(n, y, \|\mathbf{z}\|)}{2^k} d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \\ \rightarrow \frac{1}{2^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g(x, \gamma, \|\mathbf{z}\|) + g(y, \gamma, \|\mathbf{z}\|)) d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, combining (3.21), (3.24), (3.26) with (3.27), we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| P(M_n(\chi_d(X), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \leq u_n(x), M_n(\chi_d(X)) \leq u_n(y)) \right. \\ \left. - E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda g(x, \gamma, \|\mathbf{z}\|) + (1-\lambda)g(y, \gamma, \|\mathbf{z}\|)}{k} \right)^k d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \right) \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x, \gamma, \|\mathbf{z}\|) d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) + \frac{1}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g(x, \gamma, \|\mathbf{z}\|))^2 d\Phi(\mathbf{z}).$$

The claimed result follows by letting $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let

$$\varphi_r(n, x, \mathbf{z}) = \begin{cases} n \sum_{i=1}^d (1 - \Phi(v_n(x, z_i))), & r = 1, \\ n \prod_{i=1}^d (1 - \Phi(v_n(x, z_i))), & r = d. \end{cases}$$

By the total probability rule and the triangle inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| P(M_n(O_d^{(r)}(X), \varepsilon) \leq v_n(x), M_n(O_d^{(r)}(X)) \leq v_n(y)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \prod_{s=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{\lambda \varphi_r(n, x, \mathbf{z}) + (1-\lambda) \varphi_r(n, y, \mathbf{z})}{k} \right) d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \right) \right| \\
& \leq \sum_{t=0}^{2^k-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \{0,1\}^n} E \left| P(M_n(O_d^{(r)}(X), \boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq v_n(x), M_n(O_d^{(r)}(X)) \leq v_n(y)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \prod_{s=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{\lambda \varphi_r(n, x, \mathbf{z}) + (1-\lambda) \varphi_r(n, y, \mathbf{z})}{k} \right) d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \right| \mathbf{1}(B_{t,k,\boldsymbol{\theta},n}) \\
& \leq \Delta_5 + \Delta_6 + \Delta_7 + \Delta_8,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_5 &= \sum_{t=0}^{2^k-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \{0,1\}^n} E \left(\left| P(M_n(O_d^{(r)}(X), \boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq v_n(x), M_n(O_d^{(r)}(X)) \leq v_n(y)) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P(\mathcal{A}_r) d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \right| \mathbf{1}(B_{t,k,\boldsymbol{\theta},n}) \right), \\
\Delta_6 &= \sum_{t=0}^{2^k-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \{0,1\}^n} E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| P(\mathcal{A}_r) d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) - \prod_{s=1}^k P(\mathcal{B}_{r,K_s}) \right| d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{1}(B_{t,k,\boldsymbol{\theta},n}) \right), \\
\Delta_7 &= \sum_{t=0}^{2^k-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \{0,1\}^n} E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \prod_{s=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{\frac{t}{2^k} \varphi_r(n, x, \mathbf{z}) + (1 - \frac{t}{2^k}) \varphi_r(n, y, \mathbf{z})}{k} \right) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - \prod_{s=1}^k P(\mathcal{B}_{r,K_s}) \right| d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{1}(B_{t,k,\boldsymbol{\theta},n}) \right), \\
\Delta_8 &= \sum_{t=0}^{2^k-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \{0,1\}^n} E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \prod_{s=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{\lambda \varphi_r(n, x, \mathbf{z}) + (1-\lambda) \varphi_r(n, y, \mathbf{z})}{k} \right) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - \prod_{s=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{\frac{t}{2^k} \varphi_r(n, x, \mathbf{z}) + (1 - \frac{t}{2^k}) \varphi_r(n, y, \mathbf{z})}{k} \right) \right| d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{1}(B_{t,k,\boldsymbol{\theta},n}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 3.4 and 3.6,

$$(3.28) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_5 = 0.$$

By Lemma 3.2,

$$\Delta_6 \leq (4k+2) \frac{l}{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_r(n, x, \mathbf{z}) d\Phi(\mathbf{z}).$$

Again according to Leadbetter et al. [16, proof of Theorem 6.5.1], we have

$$v_n(x, z_i) = v_n(x + \gamma - \sqrt{2\gamma} z_i) + o(\alpha_n^{-1}).$$

By using (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_r(n, x, \mathbf{z}) &= \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^d \exp(-(x + \gamma - \sqrt{2\gamma} z_i)), & r = 1, \\ \exp(-(x + \gamma - \sqrt{2\gamma} \bar{\mathbf{z}})), & r = d, \end{cases} \\ &=: f_r(x, \gamma, \mathbf{z}). \end{aligned}$$

The dominated convergence theorem and the fact that $l = o(n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ imply

$$(3.29) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_6 = 0.$$

For Δ_7 , by the same arguments as for Δ_3 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_7 &\leq \sum_{t=0}^{2^k-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \{0,1\}^n} E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{s=1}^k \left| \left(1 - \frac{t}{2^k} \varphi_r(n, x, \mathbf{z}) + \left(1 - \frac{t}{2^k} \right) \varphi_r(n, y, \mathbf{z}) \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - P(\mathcal{B}_{r, K_s}) \right| d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{1}(B_{t,k,\boldsymbol{\theta},n}) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{t=0}^{2^k-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \{0,1\}^n} E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{s=1}^k \left| \frac{\sum_{j \in K_s} \theta_j}{m} - \frac{t}{2^k} \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \frac{\varphi_r(n, x, \mathbf{z}) - \varphi_r(n, y, \mathbf{z})}{k} d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{1}(B_{t,k,\boldsymbol{\theta},n}) \right) + \frac{1}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi_r(n, x, \mathbf{z}))^2 d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{2^k-1} \sum_{s=1}^k E \left(\left| \frac{\sum_{j \in K_s} \varepsilon_j}{m} - \frac{t}{2^k} \right| \mathbf{1}(B_{t,k}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\varphi_r(n, x, \mathbf{z}) - \varphi_r(n, y, \mathbf{z})}{k} d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi_r(n, x, \mathbf{z}))^2 d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{s=1}^k \left(E \left| \frac{\sum_{j \in K_s} \varepsilon_j}{m} - \lambda \right| + \frac{1}{2^k} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\varphi_r(n, x, \mathbf{z}) - \varphi_r(n, y, \mathbf{z})}{k} d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi_r(n, x, \mathbf{z}))^2 d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^k \left(E \left(\frac{S_{sm}}{sm} s - \frac{S_{(s-1)m}}{(s-1)m} (s-1) - \lambda \right) + \frac{1}{2^k} \right) \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\varphi_r(n, x, \mathbf{z}) - \varphi_r(n, y, \mathbf{z})}{k} d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) + \frac{1}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi_r(n, x, \mathbf{z}))^2 d\Phi(\mathbf{z}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.25), we get

$$(3.30) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_7 \leq \frac{1}{2^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f_r(x, \gamma, \mathbf{z}) - f_r(y, \gamma, \mathbf{z})) d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \\ + \frac{1}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f_r(x, \gamma, \mathbf{z}))^2 d\Phi(\mathbf{z}).$$

For Δ_8 , as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$(3.31) \quad \Delta_8 \leq \sum_{t=0}^{2^k-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \{0,1\}^n} E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{s=1}^k \left| \lambda - \frac{t}{2^k} \right| \right. \\ \left. \times \frac{\varphi_r(n, x, \mathbf{z}) + \varphi_r(n, y, \mathbf{z})}{k} d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{1}(B_{t,k,\boldsymbol{\theta},n}) \right) \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi_r(n, x, \mathbf{z}) + \varphi_r(n, y, \mathbf{z})) d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \\ \times \sum_{t=0}^{2^k-1} E \left(\left| \lambda - \frac{t}{2^k} \right| \mathbf{1}(B_{t,k}) \right) \\ \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\varphi_r(n, x, \mathbf{z}) + \varphi_r(n, y, \mathbf{z})}{2^k} d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \\ \rightarrow \frac{1}{2^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f_r(x, \gamma, \mathbf{z}) + f_r(y, \gamma, \mathbf{z})) d\Phi(\mathbf{z}).$$

Hence, combining (3.28) – (3.31), we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| P(M_n(O_d^{(r)}(X), \varepsilon) \leq v_n(x), M_n(O_d^{(r)}(X)) \leq v_n(y)) \right. \\ \left. - E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda f_r(x, \gamma, \mathbf{z}) + (1-\lambda) f_r(y, \gamma, \mathbf{z})}{k} \right)^k d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) \right) \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_r(x, \gamma, \mathbf{z}) d\Phi(\mathbf{z}) + \frac{1}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f_r(x, \gamma, \mathbf{z}))^2 d\Phi(\mathbf{z}).$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we complete the proof.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the referee and the Editor Prof. Krzysztof Dębicki for several corrections and important suggestions which improved this paper significantly.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Albin and D. Jarušková, *On a test statistic for linear trend*, Extremes 6 (2003), 247–258.

-
- [2] M. Alodat, *An approximation to cluster size distribution of two Gaussian random fields conjunction with application to FMRI data*, J. Statist. Planning Inference 141 (2011), 2331–2347.
- [3] R. T. Baillie and G. Kapetanios, *Nonlinear models for strongly dependent processes with financial applications*, J. Econometrics 147 (2008), 60–71.
- [4] L. Cao and Z. Peng, *Asymptotic distributions of maxima of complete and incomplete samples from strongly dependent stationary Gaussian sequences*, Appl. Math. Lett. 24 (2011), 243–247.
- [5] P. Chareka, F. Matarise, and R. Turner, *A test for additive outliers applicable to long-memory time series*, J. Economic Dynamics Control 30 (2006), 595–621.
- [6] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, K. Ji, and L. Tabiś, *On the probability of conjunctions of stationary Gaussian processes*, Statist. Probab. Lett. 88 (2014), 141–148.
- [7] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, L. Ji, and C. Ling, *Extremes of order statistics of stationary processes*, Test 24 (2015), 229–248.
- [8] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, L. Ji, and C. Ling, *Comparison inequality for order statistics of Gaussian arrays*, Latin Amer. J. Probab. Math. Statist. 14 (2017), 93–116.
- [9] L. Glavaš and P. Mladenović, *Extreme values of linear processes with heavy-tailed innovations and missing observations*, Extremes 23 (2020), 547–567.
- [10] L. Glavaš, P. Mladenović, and G. Samorodnitsky, *Extreme values of the uniform order 1 autoregressive processes and missing observations*, Extremes 20 (2017), 671–690.
- [11] E. Hashorva, Z. Peng, and Z. Weng, *On Piterbarg theorem for maxima of stationary Gaussian sequences*, Lithuanian Math. J. 53 (2013), 280–292.
- [12] E. Hashorva and Z. Weng, *Maxima and minima of complete and incomplete stationary sequences*, Stochastics 86 (2014), 707–720.
- [13] D. Jarušková and V. Piterbarg, *Log-likelihood ratio test for detecting transient change*, Statist. Probab. Lett. 81 (2011), 552–559.
- [14] T. Krajka, *The asymptotic behaviour of maxima of complete and incomplete samples from stationary sequences*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 121 (2011), 1705–1719.
- [15] T. Krajka and Z. Rychlik, *The limiting behaviour of sums and maximums of iid random variables from the viewpoint of different observers*, Probab. Math. Statist. 34 (2014), 237–252.
- [16] M. R. Leadbetter, G. Lindgren, and H. Rootzén, *Extremes and Related Properties of Random Sequences and Processes*, Springer, New York, 1983.
- [17] Y. Li and Z. Tan, *The limit properties of maxima of stationary Gaussian sequences subject to random replacing*, Mathematics 11 (2023), art. 3155.
- [18] C. Ling and Z. Tan, *On maxima of chi-processes over threshold dependent grids*, Statistics 50 (2016), 579–595.
- [19] C. Ling, Z. Peng, and Z. Tan, *Extremes on different grids and continuous time of stationary processes*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 461 (2018), 150–168.
- [20] Y. Lu and Z. Peng, *Maxima and minima of homogeneous Gaussian random fields over continuous time and uniform grids*, Stochastics 92 (2020), 165–192.
- [21] P. Mladenović and V. I. Piterbarg, *On asymptotic distribution of maxima of complete and incomplete samples from stationary sequences*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 116 (2006), 1977–1991.
- [22] Z. Panga and L. Pereira, *On the maxima and minima of complete and incomplete samples from nonstationary random fields*, Statist. Probab. Lett. 137 (2018), 124–134.
- [23] Z. Peng, L. Cao, and S. Nadarajah, *Asymptotic distributions of maxima of complete and incomplete samples from multivariate stationary Gaussian sequences*, J. Multivariate Anal. 101 (2010), 2641–2647.
- [24] Z. Peng, J. Tong, and Z. Weng, *Exceedances point processes in the plane of stationary Gaussian sequences with data missing*, Statist. Probab. Lett. 149 (2019), 73–79.
- [25] V. I. Piterbarg, *Asymptotic Methods in the Theory of Gaussian Processes and Fields*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.
- [26] V. I. Piterbarg, *Discrete and continuous time extremes of Gaussian processes*, Extremes 7 (2004), 161–177.

- [27] K. Sharpe, *Some properties of the crossings process generated by a stationary χ^2 -process*, Adv. Appl. Probab. 10 (1978), 373–391.
- [28] W. Song, J. Shao, and Z. Tan, *Almost sure central limit theorems for the maxima of Gaussian functions*, Comm. Statist. Theory Methods 51 (2022), 7136–7147.
- [29] J. Sun and Z. Tan, *Extremes of dependent chi-processes attracted by the Brown–Resnick process*, Acta Math. Sci. 44 (2024), 686–701.
- [30] Z. Tan, *On the maxima of continuous and discrete time Gaussian order statistics processes*, Sci. Sinica Math. 48 (2018), 623–642.
- [31] Z. Tan and E. Hashorva, *Exact asymptotics and limit theorems for supremum of stationary χ -processes over a random interval*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 123 (2013), 2983–2998.
- [32] Z. Tan and E. Hashorva, *Limit theorems for extremes of strongly dependent cyclo-stationary χ -processes*, Extremes 16 (2013), 241–254.
- [33] Z. Tan and L. Tang, *The dependence of extreme values of discrete and continuous time strongly dependent Gaussian processes*, Stochastics 86 (2014), 60–69.
- [34] Z. Tan and Y. Wang, *Some asymptotic results on extremes of incomplete samples*, Extremes 15 (2012), 319–332.
- [35] B. Tong and Z. Peng, *On almost sure max-limit theorems of complete and incomplete samples from stationary sequences*, Acta Math. Sinica (English Ser.) 27 (2011), 1323–1332.
- [36] K. Worsley and K. Friston, *A test for a conjunction*, Statist. Probab. Lett. 47 (2000), 135–140.
- [37] Z. Xu, Z. Tan, and L. Tang, *Approximation of the maximum of storage process with fractional Brownian motion as input*, Statist. Probab. Lett. 140 (2018), 147–159.
- [38] C. Zhao, *Extremes of order statistics of stationary Gaussian processes*, Probab. Math. Statist. 38 (2018), 61–75.
- [39] S. Zheng and Z. Tan, *On the maxima of nonstationary random fields subject to missing observations*, Comm. Statist. Theory Methods (online, 2023).

Shengchao Zheng, Zhongquan Tan (corresponding author)
College of Data Science
Jiaxing University
Jiaxing 314001, PR China
E-mail: zsc209@163.com
tzq728@163.com

Received 2.2.2024;
accepted 5.6.2024
