

Hardy Fields and Transseries

Lou van den Dries

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Bedlewo, July 7, 2017

- Part I: Orders of Infinity and Hausdorff Fields
- Part II: Hardy Fields
- Part III: Transseries

Parts I and II: mostly historical, and background. Part III: includes report on ongoing work with Matthias Aschenbrenner and Joris van der Hoeven

I. Orders of Infinity and Hausdorff Fields

Orders of infinity originate with Du Bois-Reymond, a rival of Cantor. Around 1910 his work was put on a firm basis and further developed by Hardy and Hausdorff. (Hardy: DBR is at times exceedingly obscure.)

Du Bois-Reymond: *Orders of Infinity* (1870s)

Hausdorff: *Hausdorff fields* (1910)

Hardy: *Logarithmic-exponential functions* (1910)

Bourbaki: *Hardy fields* (1950s)

Conway: *Surreal numbers* (1970s)

Écalle: *Transseries* (1980s)

Rosenlicht and Boshernitzan should also be mentioned as having revived interest in Hardy fields in the seventies and eighties

\mathcal{C} : ring of germs at $+\infty$ of real-valued functions whose domain is a subset of \mathbb{R} containing an interval $(a, +\infty)$ on which the function is continuous; *germs*: identify two such functions iff they agree on such an interval. For $f, g \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$f \preceq g \iff |f(t)| \leq c|g(t)|, \text{ eventually, for some positive constant } c.$$

\mathcal{C} : ring of germs at $+\infty$ of real-valued functions whose domain is a subset of \mathbb{R} containing an interval $(a, +\infty)$ on which the function is continuous; *germs*: identify two such functions iff they agree on such an interval. For $f, g \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$f \preccurlyeq g \iff |f(t)| \leq c|g(t)|, \text{ eventually, for some positive constant } c.$$

The transitive and reflexive relation \preccurlyeq on \mathcal{C} yields an equivalence relation

$$f \asymp g \iff f \preccurlyeq g \text{ and } g \preccurlyeq f.$$

and \preccurlyeq induces a partial ordering on the set of equivalence classes; these equivalence classes are essentially DBR's "orders of infinity".

A *Hausdorff field* is a subfield of \mathcal{C} . Examples:

$$\mathbb{Q}, \quad \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathbb{R}(x), \quad \mathbb{R}(\sqrt{x}), \quad \mathbb{R}(x, e^x, \log x)$$

For any expansion $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ of the field of reals the following are equivalent:

- $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ is o-minimal;
- the germs at $+\infty$ of its definable functions $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ form a Hausdorff field.

A Hausdorff field is a subfield of \mathcal{C} . Examples:

$$\mathbb{Q}, \quad \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathbb{R}(x), \quad \mathbb{R}(\sqrt{x}), \quad \mathbb{R}(x, e^x, \log x)$$

For any expansion $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ of the field of reals the following are equivalent:

- $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ is o-minimal;
- the germs at $+\infty$ of its definable functions $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ form a Hausdorff field.

Let H be a Hausdorff field. For every nonzero $g \in H$ there is an $h \in H$ with $gh = 1$, so $g(t) \neq 0$, eventually, and thus $g(t) > 0$, eventually, or $g(t) < 0$, eventually.

Thus H is naturally a (totally) ordered field. Moreover, the set of orders of infinity in H is totally ordered: $f \preccurlyeq g$ or $g \preccurlyeq f$ for all $f, g \in H$.

- *H has a unique algebraic Hausdorff field extension that is real closed*

Hausdorff was particularly interested in "maximal" objects, and their order type. Every Hausdorff field is contained in a maximal Hausdorff field (by Zorn). Maximal Hausdorff fields are real closed by the above.

- *H has a unique algebraic Hausdorff field extension that is real closed*

Hausdorff was particularly interested in "maximal" objects, and their order type. Every Hausdorff field is contained in a maximal Hausdorff field (by Zorn).

Maximal Hausdorff fields are real closed by the above.

Maximal Hausdorff fields have uncountable cofinality, in fact:

- *The underlying ordered set of a maximal Hausdorff field H is an η_1 -set: if $A, B \subseteq H$ are countable and $A < B$, then $A < h < B$ for some $h \in H$.*

Corollary

(CH) *All maximal Hausdorff fields are isomorphic.*

II. Hardy Fields

A Hardy field is basically a Hausdorff field whose germs can be differentiated, with the resulting derivation as an extra primitive.

This leads to a much richer theory and an intriguing open problem:

What can we say about maximal Hardy fields?

$\mathcal{C}^1 := \{f \in \mathcal{C} : f \text{ is eventually continuously differentiable}\}.$

So \mathcal{C}^1 is a subring of \mathcal{C} and any $f \in \mathcal{C}^1$ has a derivative $f' \in \mathcal{C}$.

$$\mathcal{C}^1 := \{f \in \mathcal{C} : f \text{ is eventually continuously differentiable}\}.$$

So \mathcal{C}^1 is a subring of \mathcal{C} and any $f \in \mathcal{C}^1$ has a derivative $f' \in \mathcal{C}$.

A **Hardy field** is a subfield of \mathcal{C}^1 that is closed under $f \mapsto f'$. Hardy fields are thus not only ordered fields but also differential fields. For f in a Hardy field we have either $f' > 0$, or $f' = 0$, or $f' < 0$, so f is either eventually strictly increasing, or eventually constant, or eventually strictly decreasing (not necessarily true for f in a Hausdorff field).

Let H be a Hardy field. Its ordering and derivation interact: if $f \in H$ and $f > n$ for all n , then $f' > 0$. Asymptotic relations in H can be “differentiated and integrated”:

$$f \asymp g \iff f' \asymp g' \quad (\text{for nonzero } f, g \neq 1)$$

Let H be a Hardy field. Its ordering and derivation interact: if $f \in H$ and $f > n$ for all n , then $f' > 0$. Asymptotic relations in H can be “differentiated and integrated”:

$$f \asymp g \iff f' \asymp g' \quad (\text{for nonzero } f, g \neq 1)$$

Hardy’s Theorem: consider the functions $f(x)$ that can be expressed in terms of x using real constants, $+$, \times , $1/-$, \exp , \log , and which are defined for all sufficiently large real arguments; their germs form a Hardy field. (Main thing to show: such a function is eventually positive, constant, or negative.)

We now see this theorem as a byproduct of some basic extension results on Hardy fields H :

- H has a unique algebraic Hardy field extension that is real closed
- if $h \in H$, then e^h generates a Hardy field $H(e^h)$
- any antiderivative $g = \int h$ of any $h \in H$ generates a Hardy field $H(g)$

We now see this theorem as a byproduct of some basic extension results on Hardy fields H :

- H has a unique algebraic Hardy field extension that is real closed
- if $h \in H$, then e^h generates a Hardy field $H(e^h)$
- any antiderivative $g = \int h$ of any $h \in H$ generates a Hardy field $H(g)$

Special cases of the last item: $H(\mathbb{R})$ and $H(x)$ are Hardy fields, and if $h \in H^>$, then $H(\log h)$ is a Hardy field. Thus maximal Hardy fields contain \mathbb{R} , are real closed, and closed under exponentiation and integration.

Work in progress (ADH) has among its goals to prove for Hardy fields H :

- 1 **for any differential polynomial $P(Y) \in H[Y, Y', Y'', \dots]$ and $f < g$ in H with $P(f) < 0 < P(g)$ there exists a y in a Hardy field extension of H such that $f < y < g$ and $P(y) = 0$**
- 2 **for any countable sets $A < B$ in H there exists a y in a Hardy field extension of H such that $A < y < B$**

Work in progress (ADH) has among its goals to prove for Hardy fields H :

- ① **for any differential polynomial $P(Y) \in H[Y, Y', Y'', \dots]$ and $f < g$ in H with $P(f) < 0 < P(g)$ there exists a y in a Hardy field extension of H such that $f < y < g$ and $P(y) = 0$**
- ② **for any countable sets $A < B$ in H there exists a y in a Hardy field extension of H such that $A < y < B$**

Conjecture 1 \implies all maximal Hardy fields are elementarily equivalent

Conjectures 1,2 \implies all maximal Hardy fields are isomorphic (CH)

III. Transseries

Hardy: the logarithmic-exponential functions seem to cover all orders of infinity that occur naturally in mathematics. But he also suspected that the order of infinity of the compositional inverse of $(\log x)(\log \log x)$ differs from that of any logarithmic-exponential function; in fact, his suspicion is correct.

For a more revealing view of orders of infinity and a more comprehensive theory we need transseries. For example, transseries lead to an easy proof of Hardy's suspicion.

What are transseries?

Also called **logarithmic-exponential series**, they are formal series in a variable x involving typically \exp and \log . One can get a sense by considering an example like:

$$e^{e^x + e^{x/2} + e^{x/4} + \dots} - 3e^{x^2} + 5x^{\sqrt{2}} - (\log x)^\pi + 1 + x^{-1} + x^{-2} + \dots + e^{-x}.$$

Think of x as positive infinite: $x > \mathbb{R}$. The monomials here, called **transmonomials**, are arranged from left to right in decreasing order, with real coefficients.

What are transseries?

Also called **logarithmic-exponential series**, they are formal series in a variable x involving typically \exp and \log . One can get a sense by considering an example like:

$$e^{e^x + e^{x/2} + e^{x/4} + \dots} - 3e^{x^2} + 5x^{\sqrt{2}} - (\log x)^\pi + 1 + x^{-1} + x^{-2} + \dots + e^{-x}.$$

Think of x as positive infinite: $x > \mathbb{R}$. The monomials here, called **transmonomials**, are arranged from left to right in decreasing order, with real coefficients.

The field \mathbb{T} of transseries has a somewhat lengthy inductive definition. For each transseries there is a finite bound on the “nesting” of \exp and \log in its transmonomials: series like

$$\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{e^x} + \frac{1}{e^{e^x}} + \frac{1}{e^{e^{e^x}}} + \dots, \quad \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x \log x} + \frac{1}{x \log x \log \log x} + \dots$$

are excluded. (“ \mathbb{T} is not spherically complete.”)

\mathbb{T} as an exponential field

The construction of \mathbb{T} involves building an isomorphism $f \mapsto e^f$ of the ordered additive group of \mathbb{T} onto its multiplicative group $\mathbb{T}^>$ of positive element, with inverse $g \mapsto \log g$

\mathbb{T} as an exponential field

The construction of \mathbb{T} involves building an isomorphism $f \mapsto e^f$ of the ordered additive group of \mathbb{T} onto its multiplicative group $\mathbb{T}^>$ of positive element, with inverse $g \mapsto \log g$

$x, e^x, e^{e^x}, e^{e^{e^x}}, \dots$ is cofinal in \mathbb{T}

\mathbb{T} as an exponential field

The construction of \mathbb{T} involves building an isomorphism $f \mapsto e^f$ of the ordered additive group of \mathbb{T} onto its multiplicative group $\mathbb{T}^>$ of positive element, with inverse $g \mapsto \log g$

$x, e^x, e^{e^x}, e^{e^{e^x}}, \dots$ is cofinal in \mathbb{T}

$x, \log x, \log \log x, \log \log \log x, \dots$ is cointial in $\mathbb{T}^{>\mathbb{R}}$

\mathbb{T} as an exponential field

The construction of \mathbb{T} involves building an isomorphism $f \mapsto e^f$ of the ordered additive group of \mathbb{T} onto its multiplicative group $\mathbb{T}^>$ of positive element, with inverse $g \mapsto \log g$

$x, e^x, e^{e^x}, e^{e^{e^x}}, \dots$ is cofinal in \mathbb{T}

$x, \log x, \log \log x, \log \log \log x, \dots$ is coinital in $\mathbb{T}^{>\mathbb{R}}$

As an ordered exponential field, \mathbb{T} is an elementary extension of the ordered exponential field of real numbers.

- Every $f \in \mathbb{T}$ can be *differentiated* term by term:

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! x^{-1-n} e^x \right)' = \frac{e^x}{x}.$$

- Every $f \in \mathbb{T}$ can be *differentiated* term by term:

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! x^{-1-n} e^x \right)' = \frac{e^x}{x}.$$

- We obtain a *derivation* $f \mapsto f' : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ on the field \mathbb{T} :

$$(f + g)' = f' + g', \quad (f \cdot g)' = f' \cdot g + f \cdot g'.$$

Its *constant field* is $\{f \in \mathbb{T} : f' = 0\} = \mathbb{R}$.

\mathbb{T} as a differential field

- Every $f \in \mathbb{T}$ can be *differentiated* term by term:

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! x^{-1-n} e^x \right)' = \frac{e^x}{x}.$$

- We obtain a *derivation* $f \mapsto f' : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ on the field \mathbb{T} :

$$(f + g)' = f' + g', \quad (f \cdot g)' = f' \cdot g + f \cdot g'.$$

Its *constant field* is $\{f \in \mathbb{T} : f' = 0\} = \mathbb{R}$.

- Every $f \in \mathbb{T}$ has an *antiderivative* in \mathbb{T} :

$$\int \frac{e^x}{x} dx = \text{const} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! x^{-1-n} e^x \quad (\text{diverges}).$$

The dominance relation \asymp on \mathbb{T}

For $f, g \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f \asymp g & : \iff |f| \leq c|g| \text{ for some } c \in \mathbb{R}^{\gt} \\ & \iff (\text{leading transmonomial of } f) \leq (\text{leading transmonomial of } g) \\ f \asymp g & : \iff f \asymp g \text{ and } g \asymp f \\ f \prec g & : \iff f \asymp g \text{ and } f \not\asymp g \end{aligned}$$

For example $0 \prec e^{-x} \prec x^{-10} \prec 1 \prec \log x \prec x^{1/10} \prec e^x \prec e^{e^x}$

As in Hardy fields, $f \succ \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow f' \succ 0$, and we can differentiate and integrate dominance:

$$f \asymp g \iff f' \asymp g' \quad \text{for nonzero } f, g \not\asymp 1.$$

We shall consider \mathbb{T} as a *valued ordered differential field*, and model-theoretically as an \mathcal{L} -structure where the language \mathcal{L} has primitives

0 , 1 , $+$, $-$, \cdot , ∂ (derivation), \leq (ordering), \preceq (dominance).

\mathbb{T} as a model-theoretic structure

We shall consider \mathbb{T} as a *valued ordered differential field*, and model-theoretically as an \mathcal{L} -structure where the language \mathcal{L} has primitives

0 , 1 , $+$, $-$, \cdot , ∂ (derivation), \leq (ordering), \preceq (dominance).

More generally, let K be any ordered differential field with constant field $C = \{f \in K : f' = 0\}$. This yields a dominance relation \preceq on K by

$$f \preceq g \iff |f| \leq c|g| \text{ for some positive } c \in C$$

and we view K accordingly as an \mathcal{L} -structure. We also introduce the valuation ring \mathcal{O} of K ,

$$\mathcal{O} := \{f \in K : f \preceq 1\} = \text{convex hull of } C \text{ in } K$$

with its maximal ideal $\mathfrak{o} := \{f \in K : f \prec 1\}$ of infinitesimals.

An H -**field** is an ordered differential field K such that:

- 1 $f > C \Rightarrow f' > 0$;
- 2 $\mathcal{O} = C + \mathfrak{o}$.

Examples: Hardy fields that contain \mathbb{R} ; differential subfields of \mathbb{T} that contain \mathbb{R} .

An H -field is an ordered differential field K such that:

- 1 $f > C \Rightarrow f' > 0$;
- 2 $\mathcal{O} = C + \mathfrak{o}$.

Examples: Hardy fields that contain \mathbb{R} ; differential subfields of \mathbb{T} that contain \mathbb{R} .

In particular, \mathbb{T} is an H -field, but \mathbb{T} has further basic elementary properties that do not follow from this: its derivation is *small*, and it is *Liouville closed*.

Here an H -field K is said to have **small derivation** if it satisfies $f \prec 1 \Rightarrow f' \prec 1$, and is said to be **Liouville closed** if it is real closed and for every $f \in K$ there are $g, h \in K$ such that $g' = f$ and $h \neq 0$ and $h'/h = f$.

We say that an H -field K has **IVP** (the Intermediate Value Property) if for every differential polynomial $P(Y) \in K[Y, Y', Y'', \dots]$ and all $f < g$ in K with $P(f) < 0 < P(g)$ there is a $y \in K$ such that $f < y < g$ and $P(y) = 0$.

We say that an H -field K has **IVP** (the Intermediate Value Property) if for every differential polynomial $P(Y) \in K[Y, Y', Y'', \dots]$ and all $f < g$ in K with $P(f) < 0 < P(g)$ there is a $y \in K$ such that $f < y < g$ and $P(y) = 0$.

Theorem

\mathbb{T} is completely axiomatized by the requirements:

- being an H -field with small derivation;
- being Liouville closed;
- having IVP.

Actually, IVP is a bit of an afterthought. We mention it here for expository reasons and because it explains why Conjecture 1 on maximal Hardy fields from Part II implies that all maximal Hardy fields are elementarily equivalent.

All H -fields embed into Liouville closed H -fields having IVP, and the latter are exactly the existentially closed H -fields. Thus:

Theorem

The theory of Liouville closed H -fields having IVP is model complete.

Byproduct of the proof: *If K is a Liouville closed H -field having IVP, then K has no proper differential-algebraic H -field extension with the same constant field.*

All H -fields embed into Liouville closed H -fields having IVP, and the latter are exactly the existentially closed H -fields. Thus:

Theorem

The theory of Liouville closed H -fields having IVP is model complete.

Byproduct of the proof: *If K is a Liouville closed H -field having IVP, then K has no proper differential-algebraic H -field extension with the same constant field.*

IVP refers to the ordering, but the valuation given by \preceq is more robust and more useful.

IVP comes from two more fundamental properties: ω -freeness and newtonianity.

These properties make sense for more general differential fields with a valuation satisfying

$$f \preceq g \iff f' \preceq g' \quad (0 \neq f, g \neq 1)$$

To give an inkling of these somewhat technical notions, let $P(Y) \in K[Y, Y', Y'', \dots]$ be a nonzero differential polynomial. We wish to understand how the function $y \mapsto P(y)$ behaves for $y \in K$ not too far from the constant field C .

To give an inkling of these somewhat technical notions, let $P(Y) \in K[Y, Y', Y'', \dots]$ be a nonzero differential polynomial. We wish to understand how the function $y \mapsto P(y)$ behaves for $y \in K$ not too far from the constant field C .

It turns out that this function only reveals its true colors after rewriting P in terms of a derivation $\phi\partial$ with $\phi \in K^\times$ sufficiently large (with respect to \preceq) subject to $\phi\partial$ being small, that is, $\phi\partial\mathfrak{o} \subseteq \mathfrak{o}$. Indeed, this rewritten P will eventually be of the form $a \cdot (N(Y) + R(Y))$ with $a \in K^\times$, nonzero $N(Y) \in C[Y, Y', Y'', \dots]$ **independent of ϕ** , and where the coefficients of R are infinitesimal (in \mathfrak{o}). We call $N(Y)$ the **Newton polynomial** of P .

K is **ω -free** : \iff for all P as above its Newton polynomial has the form $A(Y)(Y')^n$ with $A(Y) \in C[Y]$.

To give an inkling of these somewhat technical notions, let $P(Y) \in K[Y, Y', Y'', \dots]$ be a nonzero differential polynomial. We wish to understand how the function $y \mapsto P(y)$ behaves for $y \in K$ not too far from the constant field C .

It turns out that this function only reveals its true colors after rewriting P in terms of a derivation $\phi\partial$ with $\phi \in K^\times$ sufficiently large (with respect to \preccurlyeq) subject to $\phi\partial$ being small, that is, $\phi\partial\mathfrak{o} \subseteq \mathfrak{o}$. Indeed, this rewritten P will eventually be of the form $a \cdot (N(Y) + R(Y))$ with $a \in K^\times$, nonzero $N(Y) \in C[Y, Y', Y'', \dots]$ **independent of ϕ** , and where the coefficients of R are infinitesimal (in \mathfrak{o}). We call $N(Y)$ the **Newton polynomial** of P .

K is **ω -free** : \iff for all P as above its Newton polynomial has the form $A(Y)(Y')^n$ with $A(Y) \in C[Y]$.

K is **newtonian** : \iff for all P as above with Newton polynomial of degree 1 we have $P(y) = 0$ for some $y \preccurlyeq 1$.

For H -fields: IVP \implies ω -free + newtonian; the converse holds for Liouville closed H -fields.

From what I said in part II it is clear that maximal Hardy fields are Liouville closed. We have shown recently that they are ω -free, and we have a good outline for proving they are newtonian. (Idea: solve “quasilinear” differential equations in the realm of Hardy fields by constructing fixpoints.) If that works out it would settle Conjecture 1 from part II, with the consequence that all maximal Hardy fields are elementarily equivalent to \mathbb{T} .

From what I said in part II it is clear that maximal Hardy fields are Liouville closed. We have shown recently that they are ω -free, and we have a good outline for proving they are newtonian. (Idea: solve “quasilinear” differential equations in the realm of Hardy fields by constructing fixpoints.) If that works out it would settle Conjecture 1 from part II, with the consequence that all maximal Hardy fields are elementarily equivalent to \mathbb{T} .

As to Conjecture 2 from that talk, we have promising partial results modulo Conjecture 1. For both of these conjectures we need analytic arguments in an essential way.

From what I said in part II it is clear that maximal Hardy fields are Liouville closed. We have shown recently that they are ω -free, and we have a good outline for proving they are newtonian. (Idea: solve “quasilinear” differential equations in the realm of Hardy fields by constructing fixpoints.) If that works out it would settle Conjecture 1 from part II, with the consequence that all maximal Hardy fields are elementarily equivalent to \mathbb{T} .

As to Conjecture 2 from that talk, we have promising partial results modulo Conjecture 1. For both of these conjectures we need analytic arguments in an essential way.

The analytically most important differential subfield of \mathbb{T} is no doubt Écalle’s field \mathbb{T}_{as} of *accelero-summable transseries*. It comes with an isomorphism (called “accelero-summation”) onto the Hardy field of so-called *analysable functions*. It would be highly desirable to show that \mathbb{T}_{as} is ω -free and newtonian; this would confirm Écalle’s conjecture that every solution in \mathbb{T} of a non-trivial algebraic differential equation over \mathbb{T}_{as} lies already in \mathbb{T}_{as} .

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!